Appendix C-1 Evaluation Sheet

Evaluation: Column H: Server-less Deliverables>

O The same amount of work compared to the on-premises version

▲ Reduced workload compared to on-premises systems

- Something that will not need to be created.

Verification Result: Amount of Document Creation>

Something that will not need to be created

on-premise installation Serverless construction

ernal Design/

37 Internal Design

Monitoring Design

Middleware Design

OS design

Backup and Restoration Design

peration Infrastructure Design

Non_Functional

The same amount of work compared to the on-premises version Reduced workload compared to on-premises systems

38 doc

38 (56% of the total deliverables)

0 (26% of total deliverables)12 (18% of total deliverables)

| | | deliverables | (| Docume | ent Creation | 1 | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| engineering | | | Supplemental Outputs | on- premises | server-le | ess Overview of Benefits | Disadvantages (new challenges that arise) | Tested on a device Yes/No |
| 1 | | Screen Layout | | 0 | 0 | In the case of a new build, no particular savings can be made. In | | No (desk verification) |
| | | Form Layout | | 0 | 0 | the case of a knowledgeable company with experience in multiple projects, the same configuration can be deployed in a short period of time (some changes can be made to the system by entering | | No (desk verification) |
| | | Screen Mockup | Create a screen mockup at the customer's request. | 0 | 0 | parameters at run time and then deploying it). So you can show your customers a working app right away. | None | No (desk verification) |
| | Functional Requirement | Screen Transition Diagram | Diagramming the transition relationship between each screen of the system. | 0 | 0 | None. | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Screen Item Description | A definition of the items to be displayed on the screen. | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Form-item Description | A definition of the items to be displayed on the form. | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Work Flow Diagram | A graphical representation of the work flow. | 0 | _ | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | List of Business Requirements | A summary of the business requirements (equivalent to a product backlog) | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | List of Business Functions | A summary of each function | 0 | _ | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | External Interface List | A collection of external interfaces that work together | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Conceptual Data Model (ER Diagram) | ER diagrams may be performed during the design phase (automatic generation by the tool is ideal) | 0 | _ | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Facilities and Equipment | Data Center Define the location of the data center, securing power supply, etc. | 0 | - | | None | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | | Network | Consideration of requirements for network construction | 0 | Δ | The scope of consideration is limited due to the scope of AWS responsibility. | Managing the overall configuration of services that use serverless is difficult. | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | nition | System Configuration | Overall system configuration | 0 | Δ | · · · | None | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| Requirement Definition | | Data Management | Backups Primary bkups, secondary bkups, generation management Restore Methodology, Summary of Restoration Tasks Required | 0 | Δ | There is no need to be aware of the HW and logical settings (transfer method and method (compression difference)) required to obtain a backup. The user only needs to decide on the target data, backup method, and period. | None. | No (substituted by requirements specification) |
| | | Operation Management | Service provision time, system uptime, maintenance time, batch processing time, etc. | 0 | 0 | None. | None | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | | Availability | Elimination of SPOFs for each component of the system and introduction of high availability configuration when necessary | 0 | Δ | AWS Responsibility Scope. Just select and configure the feature provided. | s None. | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | Non-Functional Requirement | Performance Control Scheme Definition | A description of the requirements for each performance control (response time, server processing time, etc.). | 0 | Δ | No need to be aware of sizing as a whole (physical, per-middle logical, app), just set performance requirements for a single process. | None. | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | | Failure Countermeasure Definition | A description of the requirements for continuity in the event of failure (RPO, RTO). | 0 | Δ | You can use the features provided by AWS for disaster recovery | . None. | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | Tr | Security Method Definition | A description of the requirements for each security measure (e.g., authentication, access control, etc.). | 0 | 0 | None, as per the AWS responsibility sharing concept, the physical is AWS/logic is the user, so you don't have to be aware of the physical. | The scope of settings is limited to each individual service. It becomes difficult to grasp the security settings from an overall perspective. | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | | Transition Method Definition | Describes requirements for migration. | 0 | 0 | None (for new builds). | None. | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | | System Operation System Specification | Describes requirements for operations (e.g., system support, system monitoring, etc.). | 0 | 0 | None | Monitoring of the operational status of serverless services and checking the logs is required for each individual service. | No (substituted by requirements specificatio |
| | | Operation Test Plan | Describe the plan for operational testing to be performed | 0 | 0 | None | None. | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | | System Test Plan | Describe the plan for system testing to be performed | 0 | Δ | No infrastructure and middleware related testing perspective is needed. | None | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | Development Plan | Schedule | Summarize the master schedule and development costs for the entire development. | 0 | 0 | None. | None | No (desk verification) |
| | Development I lan | Development Method | Agile, waterfall and prototyping. | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | DB Physical Design | A description of the application structure | 0 | 0 | None | There are multiple similar services, so it is necessary to understand the characteristics of these services and combine them to find the best fit. | No (substituted by requirements specification |
| | | Application Framework Design | Designing a common app specification | 0 | Δ | The cloud service provider provides APIs, which limits the scop of consideration. | Dependent on APIs provided by cloud service providers, so there is no customization factor. | No (desk verification) |
| | Basic Design | List of Tables and Views | A description of the views and tables used in the system. | 0 | 0 | None. | None | Yes (parameter sheet) |
| | | Tables and view definitions | A description of the definitions of views and table items. | 0 | 0 | None | None | Yes (parameter sheet) |
| | | file physical structure diagram | A file that illustrates the physical structure of the files used in the system. | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Batch processing design document | Describes the processing details of the batch processes used in the system. | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | System Configuration | Logical structure of the hardware, virtual server and virtual IO (disk and network). | nal server and virtual IO (disk and network). O - The scoresponse | The scope of consideration is limited due to the AWS scope of responsibility. | None | No (substituted by requirements specification | |
| | | Operational Design | Service provision time, system uptime, maintenance time, batch processing time, etc. | 0 | Δ | The scope of consideration is limited due to the AWS scope of responsibility. | None | No (substituted by requirements specificatio |
| | | Log Design | Log output, backup, generation management, long-term storage | 0 | Δ | Select the backup operational mechanism of the service offering to be used for the AWS scope of responsibility. | If you use a less expensive service, immediate restoration is not possible. You must check the requirements before using the service. | Yes (parameter sheet) |
| | Fault Design | HW, each SW, load distribution, web allocation control, DBMS, high availability clustering | 0 | Δ | Each failure feature is provided. | None | Yes (parameter sheet) | |
| Enternal During (| i | | | | | | Convenience convices expensive expensive monitoring and less should are required for each | |

usiness processing, process monitoring, HW monitoring (OS error logs, SNMP traps, etc.), FS usage

Design for the introduction of internal standard operations (automatic password change, library management, etc.)

Backup method, generation management and retention period

OS Parameters, Users, Groups, PV, LV, FS

Serverless service operation status monitoring and log checks are required for each individual service. It is complicated because error messages, etc. are different for

The feasibility of recovery operation needs to be confirmed through training using

Yes (parameter sheet)

Yes (parameter sheet)

Yes (parameter sheet)

Physical is limited in scope of consideration due to AWS scope

Select the backup and lifecycle operation mechanisms for your

service provision.

The scope of consideration is limited due to the AWS scope of

The scope of consideration is limited due to the AWS scope of

configuration values only. No configuration design require

responsibility.

Select to use the features provided and decide on the

0

0

0

0

Δ

Δ

Δ

Appendix C-1 Evaluation Sheet

<Evaluation: Column H: Server-less Deliverables>

 The same amount of work compared to the on-premises version
 Reduced workload compared to on-premises systems
 Something that will not need to be created.

Verification Result: Amount of Document Creation>

Verification Result: Amount of Document Creation>
on-premise installation
Serverless construction
The same amount of work compared to the on-premises version
Reduced workload compared to on-premises systems
Something that will not need to be created

38 doc

38 (56% of the total deliverables) 0 (26% of total deliverables)

12 (18% of total deliverables)

| - | | deliverables | Supplemental Outputs | Document Creation | | Organizing the Pros & Cons for serverless systems | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| engineering | | | | on- premises | server-less | os Overview of Benefits | Disadvantages (new challenges that arise) | Tested on a device Yes/No |
| | | DB Logical Design | Define the logical structure of the database | 0 | Δ | Select to use the features provided and decide on the configuration values only. No configuration design required. | None | Yes (parameter sheet) |
| | | DB Physical Design | Defining the physical structure of the database, the | 0 | - | Limited consideration due to the scope of AWS responsibility. | None | Yes (construction) |
| | DetailDesign (UML) | Additive Diagram | - | 0 | 0 | None. | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Use case diagrams and specifications | - | 0 | 0 | | | No (desk verification) |
| | | Sequence Diagram | - | 0 | 0 | | | No (desk verification) |
| | | Communication Diagram | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | | No (desk verification) |
| Construction | Coding | Application Source Code | - | 0 | 0 | No direct effect on new development. By turning each process into a service, you can improve the visibility of the code and reduce the cost of modification in the maintenance phase. | More freedom to choose an appropriate language, but less maintenance. | Yes (construction) |
| | Server Construction※ | Development Environment Construction Procedures Virtualization Server Construction | Virtualization software installation and configuration. Allocation of resources (CPU, memory, NW, disk) and devices associated with the server. | 0 | - | | None. | Yes (construction) |
| | | Development Environment Construction Procedures Document Logical Server Establishment (OS level) | OS installation and configuration (user groups, PV, LV, FS, network, etc.) | 0 | - | The scope of consideration is limited due to the scope of AWS responsibility. | | Yes (construction) |
| | | Development Environment Construction Procedures Server Operation | Set up daily, weekly or other automated system operation processes. Configure automatic startup and shutdown processes when the server starts and stops. | 0 | - | | Yes (construction) | |
| | | Development Environment Construction Procedures Load Balancer Construction | Install software and build a load balancing function. | 0 | - | A parameter sheet with procedures has been prepared in the design process. | None | Yes (construction) |
| | | Development Environment Construction Procedures WEB and Application Server Construction | Software installation and WEB Server, Application Server construction, Runtime installation | 0 | - | A parameter sheet with procedures has been prepared in the design process. | None | Yes (construction) |
| | | Development Environment Construction Procedures DB Server Construction | Software installation and DB server construction (Database, clustering function, backup function, etc.) | 0 | - | A parameter sheet with procedures has been prepared in the design process. | None | Yes (construction) |
| | | Development Environment Construction Procedures Operational System Function Construction | Build necessary functions such as log management, monitoring, backup, audit trail, and library management. | 0 | - | A parameter sheet with procedures has been prepared in the design process. | None | Yes (construction) |
| | | Development Environment Construction Procedures Linking Function Construction | Build inter-server and inter-system integration capabilities. | 0 | - | A parameter sheet with procedures has been prepared in the design process. | None | Yes (construction) |
| | | Staging Environment Construction Procedure | Build the development environment configuration image as a template. | 0 | Δ | Templating (e.g. CloudFormation, Beantalks, OpsWorks, SAM etc.) can reduce reconfiguration costs if it is created during the | , None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Production Environment Construction Procedure | Build a development environment configuration image as a template. | 0 | Δ | development environment build out. | None | No (desk verification) |
| Unit Test / Integration Test | Unit Test | Unit Test Plan | Describe the environment, prerequisites, schedule, etc. for unit tests. | 0 | | | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Unit Test Specification and Implementation Record | Describes the test cases for unit tests. | 0 | 0 | | None | No (desk verification) |
| | Integration Test | Integration Test Plan | Describes the environment, prerequisites, schedule, etc. for combined tests. | 0 | | | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Integration Test Specification and Implementation Note | Describes the environment, prerequisites, schedule, etc. for combined tests. | 0 | | | None | No (desk verification) |
| System Test/ Oparation Test | System Test | System Test Plan | Describes the environment, prerequisites, schedule, etc. for system tests. | 0 | | | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | System Test Specification and Implementation Record | Describes the test cases for system tests. | 0 | | | None | No (desk verification) |
| | Oparation Test | Operation Test plan | Describes the environment, prerequisites, schedule, etc. for operational tests. | 0 | | | None | No (desk verification) |
| | (UAT) | Operation Test Specification and Implementation Record | Describes the test cases for operational tests. | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| Migration (release) | Migration • System Migration • Data Migration | Migration and Release Plan | Describe the overall migration plan (environment, prerequisites, schedule, work content, fallback plan, etc.). | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |
| | | Migration and Release Procedures | Describe procedures related to migration (system migration, data migration, fallback, etc.). | 0 | 0 | None | None | No (desk verification) |

 $\ensuremath{\mbox{\%}}$ Documentation in the construction process refers to the construction procedure.