

Performance document:

```
int place_count();
```

Estimate of performance: $O(1)$

`std::size()` has constant time complexity with `unordered_map`.

```
void clear_all();
```

Estimate of performance: $O(n)$

`std::clear()` time complexity is linear in the size of the container. Used twice to clear both `places_` and `areas_`.

```
std::vector<PlaceID> all_places();
```

Estimate of performance: $O(n)$

Map keys are inserted to vector inside a for-loop, so time complexity is linear in the size of the container.

```
bool add_place(PlaceID id, Name const& name, PlaceType type, Coord xy);
```

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

Both `std::find()` and `std::insert()` have the above time complexity with `unordered_map`

```
std::pair<Name, PlaceType> get_place_name_type(PlaceID id);
```

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

`std::find()` has the above time complexity with `unordered_map`

```
Coord get_place_coord(PlaceID id);
```

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

`std::find()` has the above time complexity with `unordered_map`

```
std::vector<PlaceID> places_alphabetically();
```

Estimate of performance: $O(n \log(n))$

Map items are inserted into a multimap inside a for-loop. This operation has the time complexity $O(n \log(n))$ where n is the size of the container. There is also a $O(n)$ operation when ids are inserted into a vector inside a for-loop.

```
std::vector<PlaceID> places_coord_order();
```

Estimate of performance: $O(n \log(n))$

`Places_` data is assigned to a struct. The structs are stored in a vector. This vector is sorted with `std::sort` where time complexity is $O(n \log(n))$ (n is distance between first and last member). Ids are then inserted in another vector inside a for-loop with time complexity $O(n)$.

```
std::vector<PlaceID> find_places_name(Name const& name);
```

Estimate of performance: $O(n)$

Ids are inserted in a vector inside a for-loop with time complexity $O(n)$ where n is the size of the container (`unordered_map` in this case).

`std::vector<PlaceID> find_places_type(PlaceType type);`

Estimate of performance: $O(n)$

Ids are inserted in a vector inside a for-loop with time complexity $O(n)$ where n is the size of the container (`unordered_map` in this case).

`bool change_place_name(PlaceID id, Name const& newname);`

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

`std::find()` has the above time complexity with `unordered_map`

`bool change_place_coord(PlaceID id, Coord newcoord);`

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

`std::find()` has the above time complexity with `unordered_map`

`bool add_area(AreaID id, Name const& name, std::vector<Coord> coords);`

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

Both `std::find()` and `std::insert()` have the above time complexity with `unordered_map`

Name `get_area_name(AreaID id);`

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

`std::find()` has the above time complexity with `unordered_map`

`std::vector<Coord> get_area_coords(AreaID id);`

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

`std::find()` has the above time complexity with `unordered_map`

`std::vector<AreaID> all_areas();`

Estimate of performance: $O(n)$

Depends on the amount of keys (n) in map

`bool add_subarea_to_area(AreaID id, AreaID parentid);`

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

`std::find()` has the above time complexity with `unordered_map`. Inserting subareas to vector takes constant time $O(1)$.

`std::vector<AreaID> subarea_in_areas(AreaID id);`

Estimate of performance: $O(n)$

`std::find()` on average has time complexity $O(1)$. `vector::clear()` time complexity is $O(n)$.

Uses a recursive helper function `check_parentareas(AreaID id)`; which stores the ids of parent areas in a vector. The time complexity for this operation is $O(n)$.

`std::vector<AreaID> all_subareas_in_area(AreaID id);`

Estimate of performance: $O(n)$

`std::find()` on average has time complexity $O(1)$. `vector::clear()` time complexity is $O(n)$.

Uses a recursive helper function `check_subareas(AreaID id)`; which stores the ids of sub areas in a vector. The time complexity for this operation is $O(n)$.

`std::vector<PlaceID> places_closest_to(Coord xy, PlaceType type);`

Estimate of performance: $O(n \log(n))$

Stores structs that hold coordinate data in a vector. This happens inside a for-loop so time complexity is $O(n)$. This vector is sorted with `std::sort` where time complexity is $O(n \log(n))$ (n is distance between first and last member). Finally the ids are inserted in another vector. This happens inside a for-loop so time complexity is $O(n)$.

`bool remove_place(PlaceID id);`

Estimate of performance: Average for `unordered_map` $O(1)$, worst case $O(n)$

`std::find()` has the above time complexity with `unordered_map`. `std::erase()` time complexity is also $O(1)$ on average and $O(n)$ in worst case with `unordered_map`.

`AreaID common_area_of_subareas(AreaID id1, AreaID id2);`

Estimate of performance: $O(n \log(n))$

`std::find()` on average has time complexity $O(1)$ and worst case $O(n)$. Function uses other function `subarea_in_areas()`. The time complexity for this function is $O(n)$. To check if vectors are empty `empty()` is used the time complexity is constant. The vectors are sorted using `std::sort` where time complexity is $O(n \log(n))$ (n is distance between first and last member). The two iterators are compared with each other inside a while loop. The time complexity for this is $O(n)$ where n is the size of the container.