1 Global Heuristics

In the previous section we demonstrated local heuristics, that can be very efficiently implemented and one can rigorously analyze them. However, this benefits come with a cost of a significantly weaker performance on larger ratios of clauses to variables. In this section, we will present global heuristics, algorithms that in their free steps make decisions motivated by a global structure of the formula, rather than a local neighborhood. Currently, the most popular such heuristics are Belief Propagation (BP) and Survey Propagation (SP), both exhibiting a very good performance on large formulas with ratio close to the conjectured threshold of 4.2667. (Citation needed) (Maybe where to they come from)

2 Belief Propagation

First, let's define a uniform distribution over the set of all satysfying assignments of given 3-CNF ϕ . Then, this distribution can be written down:

$$\mu(\mathcal{A}) = \frac{1}{Z} \mathcal{A} \llbracket \phi \rrbracket \tag{1}$$

where Z is the total number of satysfying assignments.

BP aims at computing marginals of this distribution, namely $\mu(A \mid A[x] = b)$ for b = 0, 1. The algorithm itself is a message passing algorithm, that is, it proceeds in rounds, where in each round the messages between clauses and variables are updated according to the equations below:

$$h_{i \to a}^{r+1} = \tanh \left\{ \sum_{b \in \partial_+ i(a)} u_{b \to i}^r - \sum_{b \in \partial_- i(a)} u_{b \to i}^r \right\}$$
 (2)

$$u_{a \to i}^{r+1} = -\frac{1}{2} \log \left\{ 1 - \prod_{j \in \partial ai} \left(\frac{1 - h_{j \to a}^r}{2} \right) \right\}$$
 (3)

The message $u^r_{a\to i}$ is usually interpreted as a warning sent from clause a to variable i, and it informs variable i how much do the other variables inside clause a lean towards a polarity that violates a. With this interpretation in mind, the message $h^r_{i\to a}$ is treated as the probability that the variable i satisfies the clause a.

The algorithm is presented in Algorithm 1. BP terminates when the messages converge, i.e. when the messages do not change significantly between two consecutive rounds, or when the number of rounds exceeds some predefined limit referred to by *maxiter*. Afterwards, the approximation to the marginals is computed as follows:

$$\mathcal{V}_x^r(b) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + (-1)^b \tanh \left\{ \sum_{a \in \partial_i} u_{a \to i}^r - \sum_{a \in \partial_i, i} u_{a \to i}^r \right\} \right)$$
(4)

While for trees BP converges to the exact marginals, for general graphs it only approximates it (Citation needed). However, in the limit $N \to \infty$ the underlaying graph tends to a random tree, which explains why the performance of BP scales with the number of variables. Still, it provides us with a useful insight to the structure of the satysfying assignments, that we can exploit to make more informed decisions in the free steps, which is depicted in the Algorithm2.

Algorithm 1: Belief Propagation

```
Input: A k-SAT formula \phi and messages u_{a \to i}^0 and h_{i \to a}^0

Output: Approximations \{\mathcal{V}_{x_i}(b)\}_{i=1}^n

for r = 1, \dots, maxiter do

Calculate messages u_{a \to i}^r and h_{i \to a}^r according to equations 2 and 3;

Compute the approximations \mathcal{V}_x(b);

if \max_{x,b} |\mathcal{V}_x^r(b) - \mathcal{V}_x^{r-1}(b)| < \epsilon then

| Break

end

end

return \{\mathcal{V}_x(b)\}_{i=0}^n
```

Algorithm 2: Belief Propagation Inspired Decimation

```
Input: A k-SAT formula \phi
Output: True if \phi is satisfiable, False otherwise
for t=1,\ldots,n do

if there exists pure literal l or unit clause \{l\} then

satisfy l;
else

Compute the approximation of the marginals \{\mathcal{V}_{x_i}(b)\}_{i=1}^n by running BP on \phi;
Choose the most biased variable x^*, i.e. x^* = \arg\max_x |\mathcal{V}_x(0) - \mathcal{V}_x(1)|;
Set x^* to b \in \{0,1\} that maximizes \mathcal{V}_{x^*}(b) and adjust \phi accordingly;
end
end
```

Time Complexity

From previous section about PC and UC, we know that the steps taken by these heuristics run in $O(Nk\alpha)$, so we only need to analyze the complexity of Belief Propagation algorithm. First, we note that a single round of updates to the messages takes time $O(Nk\alpha)$, as we need to essentially iterate over all clauses. Hence, BP runs in $O(rNk\alpha)$, where r is the number of rounds. In fact, numerical experiments as well as theoretical considerations suggests that $r = O(\log N)$, which is motivated by the intuition that the expected distance between two nodes in our graph is $O(\log N)$. Hence, the overall time complexity of the heuristic is $O(N^2k\alpha\log N)$.

In my implementation, I set maxiter to 200 and ϵ to 10^{-6} . Moreover, instead of running BP on each free step, I run it only having satisfied $\lceil f * N_t \rceil$ variables since previous propagation. It boosts the time complexity to $O(Nk\alpha \log^2 N)$, as BP is invoked only $O(\log N)$ times.