Marking Criteria and Standards

Marks will be awarded on the marker's expert identification of fulfilment or otherwise of criteria. Not all criteria may apply to all assessment items. The recorded mark will be the weighted mark over all criteria. In the event that an assessment item is reviewed, either informally or formally, the reviewer's expert identification will take precedence over that of the original marker's. Marks may either increase or decrease. Requests to review a particular question or section may result in the entire assessment item being reviewed. Failure to submit an assessment item will result in no mark being awarded for that assessment item, corresponding to a 0% contribution to final grade determination.

				Standard for Assessment Item							
	Grade (% Awarded out of 100%)										
Criterion	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Adequate	Inadequate	Very Inadequate	Unacceptable				
	6	5	4	3	2	1	0				
	(100%)	(83.33%)	(66.67%)	(50%)	(33.33%)	(16.67%)	(0%)				
Knowledge	Select appropriate and apply sta- tistical definitions, rules and proce- dures accurately and concisely	Select appropriate and apply sta- tistical definitions, rules and proce- dures with minor flaws	Select appropriate and apply sta- tistical definitions, rules and proce- dures with some flaws	Select appropriate and apply sta- tistical definitions, rules and proce- dures with moderate flaws	Select appropriate and apply sta- tistical definitions, rules and proce- dures with major flaws	Select related statistical definitions, rules and procedures					
	Perform simple to complex tech- nical calculations if required accu- rately and concisely	Perform simple to complex techni- cal calculations if required with mi- nor flaws	Perform simple to moderately com- plex technical calculations if re- quired with some flaws	Perform simple to moderate tech- nical calculations if required with some flaws	Perform simple technical calcula- tions if required with some flaws	Perform simple technical calcula- tions if required with major flaws					
	Identify and accurately produce all appropriate numerical and/or graphical output if required	Identify and produce most appropri- ate numerical and/or graphical out- put if required with minor flaws	Identify and produce some appropri- ate numerical and/or graphical out- put if required with minor flaws	Identify and produce some appropri- ate numerical and/or graphical out- put if required with some flaws	Identify and produce few appropri- ate numerical and/or graphical out- put with moderate flaws if required	Fail to produce or produce numer- ical and/or graphical output with major flaws					
	Identify and describe accurately all relevant features of numerical and/or graphical output in context	Identify and describe most relevant features of numerical and/or graph- ical output in context with minor flaws	Identify and describe some relevant features of numerical and/or graph- ical output in context with minor flaws	Identify and describe some relevant features of numerical and/or graph- ical output with moderate flaws	Identify and describe few relevant features of numerical and/or graph- ical output with moderate flaws	Fail to identify relevant features of numerical and/or graphical output or does so with major flaws					
Modelling and Problem Solving	Use of high level problem solving strategies to interpret, clarify and analyse problems	Use of moderately high level prob- lem solving strategies to interpret, clarify and analyse problems	Use of good problem solving strate- gies to analyse, interpret and clarify problems	Use of sound problem solving strategies to interpret, clarify and analyse problems	Flawed use of problem solving strategies to interpret, clarify and analyse problems	Poor or lack of problem solving strategies to interpret, clarify and analyse problems					
	Identify all relevant parameters, variables and assumptions, and critique accurately and concisely where appropriate	Identify most relevant parameters, variables and assumptions, and cri- tique with minor flaws where appro- priate	Identify some relevant parameters, variables and assumptions, and cri- tique with some flaws where appro- priate	Identify some relevant parameters, variables and assumptions with moderate flaws	Identify few relevant parameters, variables and assumptions with moderate flaws	Fail to identify relevant parameters, variables and assumptions or does so with major flaws	Attempted but fails to meet minimum standards				
	Develop, investigate, evaluate and assess validity of models theoreti- cally soundly	Develop, investigate and assess va- lidity of models soundly	Develop sound models and assess their validity	Develop sound or slightly flawed models	Develop moderately flawed models	Unable to develop models or develop majorly flawed models					
	Accurately and concisely interpret results in context	Interpret results in context with mi- nor flaws	Soundly interpret results in context	Interpret results soundly or with slight flaws	Moderately flawed interpretation of results	Fail to interpret results or with ma- jor flaws					
Communication and Justification	Extract, analyse and translate all relevant information accurately	Extract, analyse and translate most relevant information with minor flaws	Extract, analyse and translate most relevant information with some flaws	Extract, analyse and translate some relevant information with some flaws	Extract, analyse and translate lim- ited information with major flaws	Extract, analyse and translate infor- mation to little or no extent with major flaws					
	Accurate and appropriate interpre- tation and use of all statistical ter- minology, symbols and conventions	Appropriate interpretation and use of most statistical terminology, symbols and conventions with mi- nor flaws	Appropriate interpretation and use of most statistical terminology, symbols and conventions with some flaws	Appropriate interpretation and use of some statistical terminology, symbols and conventions with some flaws	Poor interpretation and use of most statistical terminology, symbols and conventions	Inappropriate and incorrect inter- pretation and use of most statisti- cal terminology, symbols and con- ventions					
	Logical organisation, and clear, con- cise and accurate presentation of written work	Mostly logical organisation and mostly clear, concise and accurate presentation of written work	Somewhat logical organisation and somewhat clear and accurate pre- sentation of written work	Apparent organisation and some flawed presentation of written work	Poor organisation of, and many flaws in, written work	Disorganised and major flaws in written work					
	Coherent, concise, logical and accurate justification of all procedures, decisions and results	Coherent, concise, logical and mostly accurate justification of almost all procedures, decisions and results	Coherent and reasonable justifica- tion of most procedures, decisions and results	Coherent and reasonable justifica- tion of some procedures, decisions and results	Somewhat reasonable justification of few procedures, decisions and results	Little relevant justification of few procedures, decisions and results					

Table 1: Criteria and standards for assessment items.

A student's individual mark on a group assessment item may be determined proportionately to their contribution to that assessment item, based on written evidence of contribution provided by group members and as determined by the marker, and is calculated as follows:

Individual Grade for Group Assessment Item
$$=$$
 Grade for Assessment Item \times Grade for Teamwork (Table 1) (Table 2)

				Standard for Teamwork						
Grade										
	(% Awarded out of 100%)									
Criterion	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Adequate	Inadequate	Very Inadequate	Unacceptable			
	6	5	4	3	2	1	0			
	(100%)	(83.33%)	(66.67%)	(50%)	(33.33%)	(16.67%)	(0%)			
Contribution	High level of effectual contribution to and participation in teamwork on par with the highest contributing member(s), and timely, appropriate, clear and effective communication with team members	Moderately high level of effec- tual contribution to and partici- pation in teamwork slightly less than the highest contributing mem- ber(s), and timely, appropriate, clear and effective communication with team members	Moderate level of effectual contri- bution to and participation in team- work moderately less than the high- est contributing member(s), and timely, appropriate, clear and ef- fective communication with team members	Moderate level of contribution to and participation in teamwork mod- erately less than the highest con- tributing member(s), and appropri- ate, clear and effective communica- tion with team members	Some level of contribution to and participation in teamwork far less than the highest contributing mem- ber(s), and appropriate communica- tion with team members	Little useful contribution to team- work	No contribution, or ineffectual contribution, to teamwork			

Table 2: Criteria and standards for teamwork.