```
import tweepy, codecs
import pandas as pd
import csv
from more_itertools import unique_everseen
import re
import nltk
from nltk.corpus import stopwords
from textblob import TextBlob
from textblob import Blobber
from newspaper import Article
import codecs
```

Fetching the Tweets From Tweeter using News or Event as Keyword

```
In [165]:
```

```
# fill in your Twitter credentials
consumer key = "//////////"
consumer secret = "///////"
access token = "////////"
access token secret = "///////"
# let Tweepy set up an instance of the REST API
auth = tweepy.OAuthHandler(consumer key, consumer secret)
auth.set access token(access token, access token secret)
api = tweepy.API(auth)
# Creating the API object while passing in auth information
api = tweepy.API(auth)
# The search term you want to find
query = "padmawat movie"
# Language code (follows ISO 639-1 standards)
language = "en"
# Calling the user timeline function with our parameters
results = api.search(q=query, count=10000, since="2016-04-03", lang=language)
csvFile = open('F:/tweet csv/demo','a',newline='')
csvWriter = csv.writer(csvFile)
with open('F:/tweet csv/demo', 'a',newline='') as csvFile:
   fieldnames = ['Tweets']
    writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
   writer.writeheader()
# foreach through all tweets pulled
    for tweet in results:
       writer.writerows([{ 'Tweets':tweet.text.encode('utf-8')}])
```

Reading Csv file using pandas

In [14]:

```
text = pd.read csv('F:/tweet csv/demo')
```

```
In [15]:
text.Tweets
Out[15]:
```

```
b'RT @harshmadhusudan: How A Robust Tax Regime...
b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
b'@Sanju_Verma_ @ArijitBanarji @RahulGandhi Sa...
b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
```

```
b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
      b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
11
     b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
12
     b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
      b'@harsh8848 @SabinaBasha I was thinking of a ...
13
14
     b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
15
     b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
16
     b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
17
     b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
18
     b'If the benefits of GST is transferred to com...
19
     b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
20
     b"RT @shaikhmustkim93: #saveindia from fake @n...
21
      b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
22
     b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
23
     b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
24
      b'RT @harshmadhusudan: How A Robust Tax Regime...
25
     b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
26
     b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
27
      b'As India completes one year of #GST implemen...
28
     b'RT @muglikar_: Positive news for the day.\n\...
29
     b'RT @harshmadhusudan: How A Robust Tax Regime...
70
     b'@DickDarryl @ncjain50 @radhacharandas @Times...
71
      b'@divaaaa ash There are many things. Even I c...
72
     b'RT @gst station: Malaysian move means little...
7.3
     b'RT @gst station: GST on Bitcoin? Cryptocurre...
74
     b'RT @harshmadhusudan: How A Robust Tax Regime...
     b'RT @CensorReports: GST is the biggest failur...
75
76
     b'RT @harshmadhusudan: How A Robust Tax Regime...
77
     b'RT @jitu_vaghani: We think of putting \xe2\x...
78
     b'RT @gst_station: If govt includes fuel under...
79
      b'@subraca @sumanthraman @Sanju_Verma_ U r rig...
80
     b'RT @arunjaitley: Rahul Gandhi has been advoc...
81
     b'RT @ModiforPMOrg: More than 46.75 lakh new #...
      b'RT @arunjaitley: Rahul Gandhi has been advoc...
82
8.3
     b'We think of putting \xe2\x80\x98India First\...
84
     b"RT @seriousfunnyguy: \xe0\xa4\xac\xe0\xa4\xa...
8.5
     b'RT @Alisha2494: My report on how the govt ma...
     b"RT @allaboutgaurav: Modi govt deserves credi...
86
87
     b'RT @gst station: GST: India flouting global ...
88
     b'RT @jitu vaghani: We think of putting \xe2\x...
     b'RT @gst station: GST: India\xe2\x80\x99s tex...
89
90
     b'RT @arunjaitley: Rahul Gandhi has been advoc...
     b'RT @IYCHimachal: Every Schemes which BJP lau...
91
     b'RT @harshmadhusudan: How A Robust Tax Regime...
92
     b'RT @narendramodi: I congratulate the people ...
93
94
     b'RT @PiyushGoyal: Congratulate the Nation on ...
95
     b'RT @dubeyamitabh: \xe2\x80\x9cReforms that h...
96
     b'RT @CensorReports: GST is the biggest failur...
97
     b'RT @arunjaitley: Rahul Gandhi has been advoc...
     b'RT @harshmadhusudan: How A Robust Tax Regime...
99
     b'RT @narendramodi: I congratulate the people ...
Name: Tweets, Length: 100, dtype: object
Eliminate the Duplicate Rows
In [16]:
from more itertools import unique everseen
with open('F:/tweet csv/demo','r') as f, open('F:/tweet csv/demo2','w',newline='') as out file:
    out file.writelines(unique everseen(f))
In [17]:
text without duplicate = pd.read csv('F:/tweet csv/demo2')
In [18]:
text without duplicate. Tweets
Out[18]:
```

n gyrranlanvarar gyrmcharresehn grunta-torres ...

b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...

8

```
0
      b'RT @harshmadhusudan: How A Robust Tax Regime...
      b'RT @muglikar : Positive news for the day.\n\...
2
      b'@Sanju_Verma_ @ArijitBanarji @RahulGandhi Sa...
      b'@kiranjankalar @ArmchairPseph @India Policy ...
3
      b'@harsh8848 @SabinaBasha I was thinking of a \dots
5
     b'If the benefits of GST is transferred to com...
     b"RT @shaikhmustkim93: #saveindia from fake @n...
6
7
     b'As India completes one year of #GST implemen...
8
      b'RT @AnthonySald: @DickDarryl @ncjain50 @radh...
      b'Positive news for the day.\n\n"India adjudge...
10
      b'Getting a country which is the size of India...
      b'We think of putting \xe2\x80\x98India First\...
11
      b'RT @dubeyamitabh: \xe2\x80\x9cReforms that h...
12
13
      b"RT @seriousfunnyguy: \xe0\xa4\xac\xe0\xa4\xa...
14
      b"RT @KoomarShah: #saveindia from fake @narend...
15
      b'@Gurdeepgmd @NDTVRavish U saying GST is bigg...
16
      b'A year on: How India\xe2\x80\x99s controvers...
17
      b'Why #GST is still at risk? On its first birt...
18
      b'It\xe2\x80\x99s been multi-year since the Go...
19
      b'@NDTVRavish GST is by far the biggest and th...
2.0
      b'RT @FinMinIndia: Govt of India celebrated th...
21
      b'Art kosha silk sarees.\nPrice:- Rs-1485/-(...
2.2
      b'#GST | Simplification of the tax regime has ...
      b'@DickDarryl @ncjain50 @radhacharandas @Times...
23
24
      b'@divaaaa ash There are many things. Even I c...
25
      b'RT @gst_station: Malaysian move means little...
26
      b'RT @gst station: GST on Bitcoin? Cryptocurre...
      b'RT @CensorReports: GST is the biggest failur...
2.7
28
      b'RT @jitu vaghani: We think of putting \xe2\x...
29
      b'RT @gst station: If govt includes fuel under...
30
      b'@subraca @sumanthraman @Sanju_Verma_ U r rig...
31
      b'RT @arunjaitley: Rahul Gandhi has been advoc...
32
      b'RT @ModiforPMOrg: More than 46.75 lakh new #...
      b'We think of putting \xe2\x80\x98India First\...
33
34
      b'RT @Alisha2494: My report on how the govt ma...
35
      b"RT @allaboutgaurav: Modi govt deserves credi...
36
      b'RT @gst station: GST: India flouting global ...
37
      b'RT @gst station: GST: India\xe2\x80\x99s tex...
      b'RT @IYCHimachal: Every Schemes which BJP lau...
38
      b'RT @narendramodi: I congratulate the people ...
40
     b'RT @PiyushGoyal: Congratulate the Nation on ...
Name: Tweets, dtype: object
```

Filtering – we remove URL links (e.g. http://example.com), Twitter user names (e.g. @alex – with symbol @ indicating a user name), Twitter special words (such as "RT"6), and emoticons

In [20]:

```
import re
list_of_text=[]
for each in (text_without_duplicate.Tweets):
    String_first =str(each)
    clean_tweets=' '.join(re.sub("(@[A-Za-z0-9]+)|([^0-9A-Za-z \t])|(\w+:\/\/\S+)"," ",String_first
).split())
    list_of_text.append(clean_tweets)
list_of_text
```

Out[20]:

['b RT How A Robust Tax Regime Can Drive India $xe2 \times 80 \times 99s \times 10$ Trillion Plus Growth Plan n n GST is a $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ',

- 'b RT Positive news for the day n n India adjudged most improved jurisdiction in insolvency resolution this year award given by $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ',
- 'b Verma Sanju Verma you seem confused The figures given for USA are incorrect xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b Policy Or better you would prefer eati xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b I was thinking of a federalized GST where every state would determine its own tax rate How xe2 x80 xa6'.
 - 'b If the benefits of GST is transferred to common ppls in India',
- 'b RT saveindia from fake jumla and you ll save India from n nUnemployment nBeef Lynching nGST Fi asco nFalling Ru xe2 x80 xa6,
- 'b As India completes one year of GST implementation watch PwC experts and policy makers review t he journey so far a xe2 x80 xa6,
 - 'b RT k70 Sorry nPiyush as banker do u know xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b Positive news for the day n n India adjudged most improved jurisdiction in insolvency resolution this year award ve? v80 va6'

on this year award her hou had ,

- 'b Getting a country which is the size of India to do a complete transformation has been extraordinary in itself Desp $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ',
- 'b We think of putting xe2 x80 x98India First xe2 x80 x99 instead of politics first PM Narendra M odi via NaMo App',
- 'b RT xe2 x80 x9cReforms that have been carried out in recent years have augmented India xe2 x80 x99s potential growth in contrast to its diminution xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b RT xe0 xa4 xac xe0 xa4 xa7 xe0 xa4 xbe xe0 xa4 x88 India for getting praise on GST It may have some flaws but it s improving We ll be a super power in a decade i xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b RT saveindia from fake and you ll save India from n nUnemployment nBeef Lynching nGST Fiasco n Falling Rupee nFall i xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b U saying GST is biggest n boldest step in independent India than why CM Gujarat now feku PM \times 2 \times 80 \times 80 \times 80.
- 'b A year on How India $xe2 \times 80 \times 99s$ controversial GST regime hit working capital The introduction of the unified Goods and Serv $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ',
- 'b Why GST is still at risk On its first birthday GST encounters a fundamental challenge Will it survive ensuing p xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b It $xe2 \times 80 \times 99s$ been multi year since the Goods and Services Tax GST touted as the greatest expense changes in India since $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ',
- 'b GST is by far the biggest and the boldest step in history of independent India it can b termed as 1991 \times 2 \times 80 \times 80.
- 'b RT Govt of India celebrated the 1st year of implementation of the unprecedented reform of Indian taxation GST on 1st July 2 \times 2 \times 80 \times 46',
- 'b Art kosha silk sarees nPrice Rs 1485 included gst nBlouse piece yes nColours Available Yes nTo book pleas xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b GST Simplification of the tax regime has been beneficial to the auto industry by way of reduce d compliance burd xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b k70 Sorry nPiyush as banker do xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b ash There are many things Even I calculate GST in it Lots of paper work is removed There is tr emendous $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ',
- 'b RT station Malaysian move means little for India xe2 x80 x99s GST Source',
- 'b RT station GST on Bitcoin Cryptocurrency trade may get taxed 18 Report Source India',
- 'b RT GST is the biggest failure in Independence India n nRetweet If you agree',
- 'b RT station If govt includes fuel under highest GST slab petrol diesel prices would come down b y Rs $27 \ \text{Rs} \ 15$ ',
- 'b Verma U r right GST in India is only for people thorough knowledge on TAXATION and not for xf0 x9f x4 x94 xf0 x9f x98 x9c',
- 'b RT Rahul Gandhi has been advocating a single slab GST for India It is a flawed idea A single s lab GST can function only i $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ',
- 'b RT More than 46 75 lakh new GST registrations led to an increase in MSME credit uptake GST is contributing to India $xe2 \times 80 \times 99$ s grow $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$,
- 'b We think of putting $xe2 \times 80 \times 98$ India First $xe2 \times 80 \times 99$ instead of politics first PM Narendra M odi via NaMo App',
- 'b RT My report on how the govt may be cutting a limb off its Make in India lion as GST burdens s mall businesses nvia ht $xe2\ x80\ xa6$ ',
- 'b RT Modi govt deserves credit for pushing through India s biggest tax reform and rolling it out fairly well so far warts a $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ',
- 'b RT station GST India flouting global laws by taxing international tickets says IATA Source xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b RT station GST India xe2 x80 x99s textile exporters expect easing of working capital Source ht tps xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b RT Every Schemes which BJP launched with pomp and show has turned the major failures whether i t is Swacch Bharat Make In Ind xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b RT I congratulate the people of India on the special occasion of GST completing 1 year n nA vi brant example of cooperative f xe2 x80 xa6',
- 'b RT Congratulate the Nation on completion of one year of GST the most transformative tax reform in India ever One Nation O $xe2 \times 80 \times a6$ ']

importing the Stopwords library set

In [22]:

import nltk

nltk.download('stopwords')

from nltk.corpus import stopwords

[nltk data] Downloading package stopwords to

[nltk data] C:\Users\milin\AppData\Roaming\nltk data...

[nltk_data] Package stopwords is already up-to-date!

In [23]:

```
# stop_words = set(['a', 'an','the','in','b', 'and', 'or' ,'Retweeted','x','xeZ','x99','x9'])
```

importing text blob and Converting the each and every Tweet from list object to String and then string to Textblob for Removing Stop words and findout the polarity of each Tweets

In [24]:

```
from textblob import TextBlob
from textblob import Blobber
with open('F:/tweet csv/demo2 sentiment.csv', 'a',newline='') as csvFile:
            fieldnames = ['Tweets', 'Polarity', 'Sentiment']
            writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
            writer.writeheader()
for each in (list of text):
    x=str(each)
    blob1 = TextBlob(x)
                         # [('The', 'DT'), ('titular', 'JJ'),
    blob1.tags
                    # ('threat', 'NN'), ('of', 'IN'), ...]
    blob1.noun phrases # WordList(['titular threat', 'blob',
                                 'ultimate movie monster',
                                 'amoeba-like mass', ...])
    #blob.words
    currect word=blob1.correct()
    sub stopwords = set(currect word.words) - stop words
    final_string=' '
    for word in sub stopwords:
        final string=final string + word +' '
    #print(final string)
    blob2= TextBlob(final string)
#WordList([u'Simple', u'is', u'better', u'than', u'complex'])
    polarity=blob2.sentiment.polarity
    #print(blob2.sentiment.polarity)
    if polarity==0:
        print("neutral")
        with open('F:/tweet csv/demo2 sentiment.csv', 'a',newline='') as csvFile:
            writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
            writer.writerows([{'Tweets': blob2, 'Polarity':polarity,'Sentiment':"neutral"}])
   # printing the text stored inside the tweet object
    else:
        if polarity<0:</pre>
            print("negative")
            with open('F:/tweet csv/demo2 sentiment.csv', 'a',newline='') as csvFile:
                writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
                writer.writerows([{'Tweets': blob2, 'Polarity':polarity,'Sentiment':"negative"}])
        else:
            print("positive")
            with open('F:/tweet csv/demo2 sentiment.csv', 'a',newline='') as csvFile:
                writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
                writer.writerows([{'Tweets': blob2, 'Polarity':polarity,'Sentiment':"positive"}])
neutral
```

positive negative positive negative neutral positive negative positive positive positive neutral

```
positive
positive
neutral
positive
positive
positive
positive
positive
negative
neutral
negative
positive
negative
neutral
negative
positive
negative
positive
negative
positive
positive
negative
positive
neutral
neutral
positive
positive
positive
Importing the "newspaper" module to fetch News Article
In [26]:
from newspaper import Article
import codecs
Set Url of News Article to String Variable name "url"
```

```
In [118]:
url = 'https://theconversation.com/after-quebec-whats-the-future-for-keystone-xl-15971'
```

Downloading the news article

```
In [119]:
article = Article(url)
news_article = article.download()
```

parsing of downloaded Article using parse fuction

```
In [120]:
article.parse()
```

Extracting only text from Article using text object

```
In [121]:
article_text = article.text
```

Printing the text of Article

```
In [122]:

| print(article text)
```

brinc (arcicle_ceve)

The runaway train of 73 oil tankers that derailed and exploded in the small town of Lac-Megantic in Quebec, Canada last week left 15 dead, around 50 missing, and shows how dangerous transporting oil can be.

An alternative to rail transport is the proposed, highly contested Keystone XL pipeline which would transport oil derived from Canadian tar sands in Alberta to refineries along the US Gulf Coast. The Obama administration has yet to decide whether to approve it.

That pipeline could reduce US dependence on foreign oil. But tar sands are a particularly carbon-intensive source of fuel, with the potential for leaks and spills from the pipeline along its proposed route through the heart of the US. Any decision will create winners and losers - either in the oil industry or environmental interests.

This whole debate would be unnecessary under a carbon tax or cap-and-trade policy that put a prope r price on carbon and other greenhouse gas emissions. If oil companies had to pay the true social costs of producing gasoline, then they would have to charge a price high enough to cover not only production but also environmental costs. Then if consumers are willing to pay for that gasoline, t hey're welcome to it. Each ton of carbon dioxide emissions is estimated to impose about \$20 of costs on the rest of society, which would raise the cost of conventional gasoline by about twenty US cents per gallon.

The Keystone project to transport tar sands oil by pipeline means overcoming three problems. Because it is thick and viscous, the tar sand oil must first be diluted to a liquid before it can be transported. This requires additional energy and generates about 12% more CO 2 emissions than c onventional petrol. Second, this process generates huge amounts of semi-solid waste for which disposal is uncertain. Additionally, spills from the pipeline might damage ecosystems along its ro ute - with particular concerns about pollution of Nebraska's vast Ogallala Aquifer, an important w ater source.

Without paying these pollution costs, oil companies can make huge profits if the Keystone pipeline is built; environmentalists get a windfall if the Keystone is cancelled. It's "winner take all". B ut if oil companies did have to pay environmental costs, then Obama could just leave it up to oil companies: make them pay for all that pollution and then let them choose whether and how to use the tar sands. But without those payments to cover the environmental costs, we can't really know if it's a good idea or not.

Three recent events have raised the stakes. President Obama's "Climate Action Plan" speech include s imposing emissions limits on power plants. Mentioning Keystone XL by name, Obama linked the pipeline not just to the environmental problems on its route but also to an increase in greenhouse gas emissions. But while he stated the project would not go ahead if it could be shown that greenhouse gas emissions would rise, he was vague about the "burden of proof" required.

Proponents say Obama's requirement has already been met. Seeing, they argue, as tar sands will def initely be used one way or the other rather than left in the ground, pipeline transportation via K eystone will be the most carbon-effective method. Thus, according to them, the Keystone reduces em issions.

Just days after Obama's speech came a decision in British Columbia on Canada's west coast to reject the "Northern Gateway" pipeline, which would have provided an alternative to Keystone and brough t tar sands oil from Alberta to the Pacific Ocean. That plan was scuttled by concerns about oil spills through the pristine British Columbian forests, the problems of building an oil tanker port on the beautiful Pacific coastline, and other subsequent problems.

The third event, of course, is the tragedy in Quebec. Railroad transport of oil hardly seems better than pipeline transport. Another alternative now drawing attention is to build a pipeline a ll the way from Alberta to the Atlantic. You have to give those Alberta oil interests some credit for persistence.

Ultimately, those tar sands can stay in the ground. After all, one policy to reduce global warming is to "sequester" atmospheric carbon by locking it into growing trees, reducing deforestation, or using carbon capture technology to store it deep underground. A shortcut route to sequestering carbon deep underground is to leave it there in the first place. Technology may advance fast enough to provide cleaner alternative fuels anyway, and the future introduction of a carbon tax or permit system might make tar sands too expensive to be viable.

Until we price the true cost of carbon and pollutants into our economy with a carbon price-per-ton , we prevent the market from doing what it does best. Without that, we allow gross profiteering in the oil and gas industry while leaving taxpayers to foot the bill.

If the Article Text is not in English Language, Convert it using following steps

```
article_text_to_english =TextBlob(article_text)
english_lag=article_text_to_english.translate(to="en")
```

convert the Blob into String Format.

```
In [ ]:
```

```
article_text_to_english_to_string =str(english_lag)
```

Importing textblob module for Natural Language Processing

```
In [9]:
```

```
from textblob import TextBlob
```

The text is first converted into textblob object and then Part-of-speech tags can be accessed through the tags property and noun phrases are accessed through the noun_phrases property. The sentiment property returns a namedtuple of the form Sentiment(polarity, subjectivity). The polarity score is a float within the range [-1.0, 1.0]. we are calculated only count of negative polarity(total negative phrases), total of all negative polarities and the average of negative polarities.

In [123]:

```
import csv
blob = TextBlob(article text)
                   # [('The', 'DT'), ('titular', 'JJ'),
blob.tags
                    # ('threat', 'NN'), ('of', 'IN'), ...]
blob.noun phrases # WordList(['titular threat', 'blob',
                                 'ultimate movie monster',
                                 'amoeba-like mass', ...])
total number of sentences = 0
total polarity of sentences=0.0
mean_total_polarity_of_sentences=0.0
total_negative_sentences=0
total of negative polarity sentences=0.0
mean_negative_polarity_sentences=0.0
percentage_of_negative_sentences=0
for sentence in blob.sentences:
   polarity_phrases = sentence.sentiment.polarity
    total number of sentences = total number of sentences + 1
    total polarity of sentences = polarity phrases + total polarity of sentences
   mean total polarity of sentences = total polarity of sentences/total number of sentences
    if polarity_phrases <0:</pre>
        total_negative_sentences = total_negative_sentences + 1
        total_of_negative_polarity_sentences = polarity_phrases +
total_of_negative_polarity_sentences
        mean negative polarity sentences = total of negative polarity sentences/total negative sent
ences
percentage of negative sentences=((total negative sentences/total number of sentences)*100)
print(total number of sentences)
print(total polarity of sentences)
print(mean total polarity of sentences)
print(total_negative_sentences)
print(total_of_negative_polarity_sentences)
print(mean_negative_polarity_sentences)
nrint (nercentage of negative sentences)
```

```
brine (bercemeade or medacine semences)
with open('F:/tweet csv/demo2_final_article.csv', 'a',newline='') as csvFile:
            fieldnames = ['News or Events','Article Number','Total Number of Sentences','Total Pola
rity_of_Sentences','Mean_Total_Polarity_of_Sentences','Total_Negative_Sentences','Total_of_Negative
_Polarity_Sentences','Mean_Negative_Polarity_Sentences','Percentage_of_Negative_Sentences']
            writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
            #writer.writeheader()
            #writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
            writer.writerows([{'News_or_Events': " " ,'Article_Number':'9',
'Total_Number_of_Sentences':total_number_of_sentences,'Total_Polarity_of_Sentences':total_polarity_
of_sentences,'Mean_Total_Polarity_of_Sentences':mean_total_polarity_of_sentences,'Total_Negative_Se
ntences':total negative sentences,'Total of Negative Polarity Sentences':total of negative polarity
sentences, 'Mean Negative Polarity Sentences': mean negative polarity sentences, 'Percentage of Negat
ive Sentences':percentage of negative sentences}])
4
38
2.0828531746031747
0.054811925647451964
-1.3574404761904761
-0.16968005952380952
21.052631578947366
```

calculating totals of all attribute of all articles

In [93]:

```
Article_total=pd.read_csv('F:/tweet csv/demo2_final_article.csv')
```

In [94]:

```
Article_total
```

Out[94]:

	News_or_Events	Article_Number	Total_Number_of_Sentences	Total_Polarity_of_Sentences	Mean_Total_Polarity_of_S
0	spot settling in IPL	1	17	0.674167	0.039657
1		2	16	1.472063	0.092004
2		3	19	0.982468	0.051709
3		4	15	1.719502	0.114633
4			1		

In [95]:

```
t s = Article total. Total Number of Sentences.sum()
t polarity s = Article total. Total Polarity of Sentences.sum()
m t polarity s = Article total.Mean Total Polarity of Sentences.mean()
t n s = Article total. Total Negative Sentences.sum()
t n polarity s = Article total. Total of Negative Polarity Sentences.sum()
m_n_polarity_s = Article_total.Mean_Negative_Polarity Sentences.mean()
per_n_s = (t_n_s/t_s)*100
print(t s)
print(t_polarity_s)
print(m_t_polarity_s)
print(t n s)
print(t_n_polarity_s)
print(m n polarity s)
print(per n s)
with open('F:/tweet csv/demo2 final article total.csv', 'a',newline='') as csvFile:
            fieldnames =
['News or Events','Total Number of Sentences','Total Polarity of Sentences','Mean Total Polarity of
_Sentences','Total_Negative_Sentences','Total_of_Negative_Polarity_Sentences','Mean_Negative_Polari
ty_Sentences','Percentage_of_Negative Sentences']
            writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
            writer writeheader()
```

```
#writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
writer.writerows([{'News_or_Events': "spot settling in IPL",
'Total_Number_of_Sentences':t_s,'Total_Polarity_of_Sentences':t_polarity_s,'Mean_Total_Polarity_of_Sentences':m_t_polarity_s,'Total_Negative_Sentences':t_n_s,'Total_of_Negative_Polarity_Sentences':t_n_polarity_s,'Mean_Negative_Polarity_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Percentage_of_Negative_Sentences':per_n_s}])

4

67

4.848199856
0.0745007815
14

-1.539545455
-0.10810200199999999
20.8955223880597
```

Consider the value of k as follow 0% > pnc <= 10% ---> value of 10%, 10% > pnc <= 20% ---> value of 20%, 20% > pnc <= 30% ---> value of 30%, 30% > pnc <= 40% ---> value of 40%, 40% > pnc <= 50% ---> value of 50%, 50% > pnc <= 60% ---> value of 60%, 60% > pnc <= 70% ---> value of 90% ---> value of 90%

```
In [96]:
```

```
k = 0
\#per_n_s = 18.705035971223023
\#m_n_{polarity_s} = -0.161176729
if ((per n s <10) or (per n s >90) or (m n polarity s <(-1)) or (m n polarity s >=(0)):
    print("this event or news is Non-Controversial ")
elif(m_n_polarity_s >= (-1) and m_n_polarity_s < (-0.9)):</pre>
    if per n s >= 10 and per n s <= 50:
        k=-2
        cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
        print(cs of sentences)
    else:
        if per n s > 50 and per n s <= 60:
            k=-1.33
            cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
            print(cs_of_sentences)
        else:
            if per n s > 60 and per n s <= 70:
                cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                print(cs of sentences)
            else:
                if per n s > 70 and per n s <= 80:
                     cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                    print(cs of sentences)
                else:
                    k=-0.22
                    cs of sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                    print(cs of sentences)
elif (m_n_polarity_s >= (-0.9) and m_n_polarity_s < (-0.8)):
    if per_n_s >= 10 and per_n_s <= 25:</pre>
        cs of sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
        print(cs of sentences)
    else:
        if per_n_s > 25 and per_n_s <= 35:</pre>
            cs\_of\_sentences = (per\_n\_s*k) / (m\_n\_polarity\_s*100)
            print(cs of sentences)
        else:
            if per_n_s > 35 and per_n_s <= 45:</pre>
                cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity s*100)
                print(cs_of_sentences)
            else:
                if per_n_s > 45    and per_n_s <= 55:</pre>
                     cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity_s*100)
                    print(cs_of_sentences)
                else:
                    if per_n_s > 55 and per_n_s <= 65:
                       k=-1.17
```

```
cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                         print(cs of sentences)
                     else:
                         if per n s > 65 and per n s <= 75:
                             k=-0.7452
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         if per n s > 75 and per n s \leq 85:
                             k=-0.4275
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         else:
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
elif (m_n_polarity_s >= (-0.8) and m_n_polarity_s < (-0.7)):</pre>
    if per_n_s >= 10 and per_n_s <= 25:
        k=-1.44
        cs\_of\_sentences = (per\_n\_s*k) / (m\_n\_polarity\_s*100)
        print(cs of sentences)
    else:
        if per_n_s > 25 and per_n_s <= 35:
            cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
            print(cs_of_sentences)
        else:
            if per_n_s > 35 and per_n_s <= 45:</pre>
                k=-1.52
                cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                print(cs of sentences)
            else:
                if per n s > 45 and per n s <= 55:
                     k=-1.536
                     cs\_of\_sentences = (per\_n\_s*k) / (m\_n\_polarity\_s*100)
                    print(cs of sentences)
                else:
                     if per n s > 55 and per n s \leq 65:
                        k=-1.013
                         cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                         print(cs of sentences)
                     else:
                         if per n_s > 65 and per_n_s <= 75:</pre>
                             k = -0.64
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         if per_n_s > 75 and per_n_s <= 85:</pre>
                             k = -0.36
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
                         else:
                             k = -0.16
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
elif (m n polarity s >= (-0.7) and m n polarity s < (-0.6)):
    if per_n_s >= 10 and per_n_s <= 25:
        cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
        print(cs_of_sentences)
    else:
        if per_n_s > 25 and per_n_s <= 35:</pre>
            cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
            print(cs of sentences)
        else:
            if per n s > 35 and per n s <= 45:
                k=-1.295
                cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                print(cs of sentences)
            else:
                if per n s > 45 and per n s <= 55:
                    k=-1.316
                     cs\_of\_sentences = (per\_n\_s*k) / (m\_n\_polarity\_s*100)
                    print(cs of sentences)
                else:
                    if per_n_s > 55   and per_n_s <= 65:</pre>
                         cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
```

```
print(cs of sentences)
                     else:
                         if per n s > 65 and per n s <= 75:
                              k = -0.54
                              cs of sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         if per_n_s > 75 and per_n_s <= 85:</pre>
                              cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         else:
                              k=-0.132
                              cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                              print(cs_of_sentences)
elif(m_n_polarity_s >= (-0.6) and m_n_polarity_s < (-0.5)):</pre>
    if per n s >= 10 and per n s <= 25:
        k = -0.96
        cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
        print(cs of sentences)
    else:
        if per n s > 25 and per n s <= 35:
            k=-1.04
            cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
            print(cs of sentences)
        else:
            if per_n_s > 35 and per_n_s <= 45:</pre>
                k=-1.08
                cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                print(cs_of_sentences)
            else:
                if per n s > 45 and per n s <= 55:
                     k=-1.104
                     cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                     print(cs_of_sentences)
                 else:
                     if per n s > 55 and per n s <= 65:
                         k=-0.72
                         cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                         print(cs of sentences)
                     else:
                         if per n s > 65 and per n s <= 75:
                              k=-0.445
                              cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         if per_n_s > 75 and per_n_s <= 85:</pre>
                              cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                              print(cs_of_sentences)
                         else:
                              k = -0.106
                              cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
\textbf{elif}\,(\texttt{m\_n\_polarity\_s}\,>=(-0.5)\,\,\textbf{and}\,\,\texttt{m\_n\_polarity\_s}\,<\,(-0.4)\,):
    if per n s >= 10 and per n s <= 25:
        k=-0.75
        cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
        print(cs of sentences)
    else:
        if per_n_s > 25 and per_n_s <= 35:</pre>
            cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
            print(cs of sentences)
        else:
            if per n s > 35 and per n s <= 45:
                 k=-0.875
                 cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                print(cs_of_sentences)
            else:
                if per n s > 45 and per n s \leq 55:
                     cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                     print(cs_of_sentences)
                 else:
                     if per n s > 55 and per n s \leq 65:
                         k = -0.58
                         cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                         print(cs of sentences)
```

```
else:
                         if per_n_s > 65 and per_n_s <= 75:</pre>
                             k=-0.3571
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
                         if per n s > 75 and per n s \leq 85:
                             k=-0.1875
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
                         else:
                             k=-0.083
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
elif (m n polarity s >= (-0.4) and m n polarity s < (-0.3)):
    if per_n_s >= 10 and per_n_s <= 25:</pre>
        k = -0.56
        cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
        print(cs_of_sentences)
    else:
        if per n s > 25 and per n s <= 35:
            cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
            print(cs of sentences)
        else:
            if per n s > 35 and per n s <= 45:
                cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity_s*100)
                print(cs_of_sentences)
            else:
                if per_n_s > 45 and per_n_s <= 55:
                     cs\_of\_sentences = (per\_n\_s*k) / (m\_n\_polarity\_s*100)
                     print(cs of sentences)
                else:
                     if per_n_s > 55 and per_n_s <= 65:</pre>
                         cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity_s*100)
                         print(cs of sentences)
                         if per_n_s > 65 and per_n_s <= 75:</pre>
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         if per n s > 75 and per n s \leq 85:
                             k=-0.14
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
                         else:
                             k=-0.062
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
elif (m_n_polarity_s >= (-0.3) and m_n_polarity_s < (-0.2)):</pre>
    if per n s >= 10 and per n s <= 25:
        k = -0.39
        cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
       print(cs_of_sentences)
    else:
        if per n s > 25 and per n s <= 35:
            cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
            print(cs of sentences)
        else:
            if per n s > 35 and per n s <= 45:
                cs\_of\_sentences = (per\_n\_s*k) / (m\_n\_polarity\_s*100)
                print(cs of sentences)
            else:
                if per_n_s > 45   and per_n s <= 55:</pre>
                     cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity_s*100)
                    print(cs_of_sentences)
                 else:
                     if per_n_s > 55   and per_n_s <= 65:</pre>
                         cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                         print(cs_of_sentences)
```

```
if per n s > 65 and per n s <= 75:
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
                         if per_n_s > 75 and per_n_s <= 85:
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         else:
                             k=-0.043
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
elif(m_n_polarity_s >= (-0.2) and m_n_polarity_s < (-0.1)):</pre>
    if per_n_s >= 10 and per_n_s <= 25:</pre>
        cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
        print(cs_of_sentences)
    else:
        if per_n_s > 25 and per_n_s <= 35:</pre>
            cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
            print(cs of sentences)
        else:
            if per_n_s > 35 and per_n_s <= 45:
                cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                print(cs of sentences)
            else:
                if per_n_s > 45 and per_n_s <= 55:</pre>
                     cs of sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                    print(cs of sentences)
                else:
                     if per n s > 55 and per n s \leq 65:
                         k=-0.213
                         cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                         print(cs of sentences)
                     else:
                         if per n s > 65 and per n s \leq 75:
                             k=-0.125
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
                         if per_n_s > 75 and per_n_s <= 85:
                             k = -0.06
                             cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                             print(cs_of_sentences)
                         else:
                             k=-0.026
                             cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
                             print(cs of sentences)
elif (m_n_polarity_s >= (-0.1) and m_n_polarity_s < (0)):</pre>
    if per_n_s >= 10 and per_n_s <= 15:</pre>
        k = -0.1
        cs\_of\_sentences = (per\_n\_s*k) / (m\_n\_polarity\_s*100)
        print(cs of sentences)
    else:
        if per_n_s > 15 and per_n_s <= 25:
            cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
            print(cs_of_sentences)
        else:
            if per_n_s > 25 and per_n_s <= 35:
                cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                print(cs of sentences)
            else:
                if per n s > 35 and per n s <= 45:
                     k=-0.155
                     cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
                    print(cs of sentences)
                else:
                     if per n s > 45 and per n s <= 55:
                        k=-0.164
                         cs\_of\_sentences = (per\_n\_s*k) / (m\_n\_polarity\_s*100)
                         print(cs of sentences)
                     else:
                        if per n s > 55 and per n s \leq 65:
```

```
____ _ ____
    k=-0.103
    cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k) / (m_n_polarity_s*100)
   print(cs_of_sentences)
if per_n_s > 65 and per_n_s <= 75:
    k = -0.06
   cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
   print(cs of sentences)
if per n s > 75 and per n s \leq 85:
   k=-0.0275
    cs of sentences = (per n s*k)/(m n polarity s*100)
   print(cs of sentences)
else:
    k=-0.011
    cs_of_sentences = (per_n_s*k)/(m_n_polarity_s*100)
    print(cs of sentences)
```

0.4639067991668025

```
In [97]:
```

```
count = pd.read_csv("F:/tweet csv/demo2_sentiment.csv")
```

calculating total comments, negative comments, positive comments, neutral comments, total polarity of comments, mean of total polarity of comments, total of negative polarity of comments, mean of the negative polarity of the comments, percentage of negative comments.

In [98]:

```
total comments=0
total polarity of comments=0.0
mean_of_total_polarity_of_comments=0.0
total_negative_comments=0
total_of_negative_polarity_comments=0.0
mean_of_negative_polarity_comments=0.0
percentage_of_negative_comments=0
for each in (count.Polarity):
    total comments=total comments + 1
    total polarity of comments=each + total polarity of comments
    mean of total polarity of comments = total polarity of comments/total comments
    if each <0:</pre>
        total negative comments = total negative comments + 1
        total_of_negative_polarity_comments = each + total_of_negative_polarity_comments
        mean_of_negative_polarity_comments = total_of_negative_polarity_comments/total_negative_com
ments
percentage_of_negative_comments=((total_negative_comments/total_comments)*100)
print(total_comments)
print(total polarity of comments)
print(total_negative_comments)
print(total_of_negative_polarity_comments)
print (percentage of negative comments)
print(mean_of_total_polarity_of_comments)
print(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments)
with open('F:/tweet csv/demo2_final_comments_total.csv', 'a') as csvFile:
            fieldnames = ['News_or_Events','Total_Comments','Total_Polarity_of_Comments','Total_Neg
ative_Comments','Total_of_Negative_Polarity_Comments','Percentage_of_Negative_Comments','Mean_of_Tc
tal Polarity of Comments', 'Mean of Negative Polarity Comments']
            writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
            writer.writeheader()
```

```
#writer = csv.DictWriter(csvFile, fieldnames=fieldnames)
    writer.writerows([{'News_or_Events': "spot settling in IPL", 'Total_Comments':total_cc
mments,'Total_Polarity_of_Comments':total_polarity_of_comments,'Total_Negative_Comments':total_nega
tive_comments,'Total_of_Negative_Polarity_Comments':total_of_negative_polarity_comments,'Percentage
    of_Negative_Comments':percentage_of_negative_comments,'Mean_of_Total_Polarity_of_Comments':mean_o
    f_total_polarity_of_comments,'Mean_of_Negative_Polarity_Comments':mean_of_negative_polarity_comment
}])

15443
1712.66589015
2602
-690.106261054
16.84905782555203
0.110902408221
-0.265221468506
```

Finding the Controversy Score of commets: Dividing Percentange of Negative Comments by Mean of the polarity of the negative comments multiply by proportionality Constant k

In [99]:

```
#percentage of negative comments = 44.03669724770643
#mean_of_negative_polarity_comments = -0.116333912037
if ((percentage of negative comments <10) or (percentage of negative comments >90) or (mean of neg
ative polarity comments <(-1)) or (mean of negative polarity comments >=(0)) ):
    print("this event or news is Non-Controversial ")
elif(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments >= (-1) and mean_of_negative_polarity_comments < (-0.9)):</pre>
    if percentage_of_negative_comments >= 10 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 55:</pre>
        cs of comments = (percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*10
0)
        print(cs of comments)
    else:
        if percentage of negative comments > 55 and percentage of negative comments <= 65:</pre>
            cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
            print(cs of comments)
        else:
            if percentage of negative comments > 65 and percentage of negative comments <= 75:</pre>
                k = -0.85
                cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                print(cs_of_comments)
            else:
                if percentage of negative comments > 75 and percentage of negative comments <= 85:</pre>
                     k = -0.5
                    cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                    print(cs_of_comments)
                    k=-0.22
                    cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                    print(cs of comments)
elif (m n polarity s >= (-0.9) and m n polarity s < (-0.8)):
    if percentage_of_negative_comments >= 10 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 25:</pre>
        cs of comments = (percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*10
0)
        print(cs_of_comments)
        if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
            k=-1.74
            cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
            print(cs of comments)
        else:
            if percentage of negative comments > 35 and percentage of negative comments <= 45:</pre>
                k=-1.755
                cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                print(cs of comments)
```

```
if percentage_of_negative_comments > 45 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 55:</pre>
                     k=-1.764
                    cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                    print(cs of comments)
                else:
                    {	t if} percentage of negative comments > 55 {	t and} percentage of negative comments <=
65:
                         k=-1.17
                         cs_of_comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                         print(cs_of_comments)
                    else:
                         if percentage of negative comments > 65 and
percentage of negative comments <= 75:
                             k=-0.7452
                             cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                            print(cs of comments)
                         if percentage of negative comments > 75 and
percentage_of_negative comments <= 85:
                             k=-0.4275
                             cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                            print(cs of comments)
                         else:
                             k = -0.19
                             cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                             print(cs of comments)
elif(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments >= (-0.8) and mean_of_negative_polarity_comments < (-0.7)):</pre>
    if percentage_of_negative_comments >= 10 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 25:</pre>
        cs_of_comments = (percentage_of_negative_comments*k) / (mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*10
())
        print(cs of comments)
    else:
        if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
            k = -1.49
            cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
            print(cs of comments)
        else:
            if percentage of negative comments > 35 and percentage of negative comments <= 45:</pre>
                cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                print(cs_of_comments)
            else:
                if percentage_of_negative_comments > 45 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 55:</pre>
                    k=-1.536
                    cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                    print(cs of comments)
                    {	t if} percentage of negative comments > 55 {	t and} percentage of negative comments <=
65:
                         k=-1.013
                         cs_of_comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                         print(cs of comments)
                    else:
                         if percentage of negative comments > 65 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 75:</pre>
                             k = -0.64
                             cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                             print(cs of comments)
                         if percentage of negative comments > 75 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 85:</pre>
                             k = -0.36
                             cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                             print(cs of comments)
                         else:
                             k=-0.16
                             ce of commente
```

```
CO OT COMMETICO
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                            print(cs_of_comments)
elif (mean of negative polarity comments >= (-0.7) and mean of negative polarity comments < (-0.6)):
    if percentage of negative comments >= 10 and percentage of negative comments <= 25:
        k=-1.19
        cs of comments = (percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*10
0)
        print(cs of comments)
    else:
        if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
            k=-1.26
            cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
            print(cs of comments)
        else:
            if percentage_of_negative_comments > 35 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 45:</pre>
                k=-1.295
                cs_of_comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                print(cs of comments)
            else:
                if percentage of negative comments > 45 and percentage of negative comments <= 55:</pre>
                    cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                    print(cs of comments)
                else:
                    if percentage of negative comments > 55 and percentage of negative comments <=</pre>
65:
                        k=-0.863
                        cs_of_comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                        print(cs of comments)
                        if percentage_of_negative_comments > 65 and
percentage of negative comments <= 75:
                            k = -0.54
                            cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                            print(cs_of_comments)
                        if percentage of negative comments > 75 and
percentage of negative comments <= 85:
                            k=-0.2975
                            cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                            print(cs_of_comments)
                        else:
                             k=-0.132
                            cs_of_comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                             print(cs_of_comments)
elif (mean of negative polarity comments >= (-0.6) and mean of negative polarity comments < (-0.5)):
    if percentage of negative comments >= 10 and percentage of negative comments <= 25:
        cs of comments = (percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*10
0)
        print(cs_of_comments)
    else:
        if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
            k=-1.04
            cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
            print(cs of comments)
        else:
            if percentage_of_negative_comments > 35 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 45:</pre>
                k=-1.08
                cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                print(cs of comments)
            else:
                if percentage_of_negative_comments > 45 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 55:</pre>
                    k=-1.104
                    cs of_comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                    print(cs of comments)
                else:
                    if novcontage of negative comments > 55 and novcontage of negative comme
```

```
ir percentage_or_negative_comments > 55 and percentage_or_negative_comments <=
65:
                       k=-0.72
                       cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                       print(cs_of_comments)
                   else:
                       if percentage of negative comments > 65 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 75:</pre>
                            k=-0.445
                            cs\ of\ comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                            print(cs of comments)
                       if percentage of negative comments > 75 and
percentage of negative comments <= 85:
                            k = -0.24
                           cs_of_comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                           print(cs_of_comments)
                       else:
                            k=-0.106
                           cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                            print(cs of comments)
elif (mean of negative polarity comments >= (-0.5) and mean of negative polarity comments < (-0.4)):
   if percentage of negative comments >= 10 and percentage of negative comments <= 25:
       cs of comments = (percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*10
()
       print(cs of comments)
   else:
       if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
           cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
           print(cs of comments)
       else:
           if percentage of negative comments > 35 and percentage of negative comments <= 45:</pre>
                k=-0.875
               cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
               print(cs_of_comments)
               if percentage of negative comments > 45 and percentage of negative comments <= 55:</pre>
                   k = -0.9
                   cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                   print(cs of comments)
               else:
                   if percentage_of_negative_comments > 55 and percentage_of_negative_comments <=</pre>
65:
                       k = -0.58
                       cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                       print(cs of comments)
                   else:
                       if percentage of negative comments > 65 and
percentage of negative comments <= 75:
                            k=-0.3571
                           cs_of_comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                           print(cs_of_comments)
                       if percentage_of_negative_comments > 75 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 85:</pre>
                            k=-0.1875
                           cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                           print(cs of sentences)
                       else:
                            k = -0.083
                            cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                           print(cs of comments)
elif (mean of negative polarity comments >= (-0.4) and mean of negative polarity comments < (-0.3)):
   if percentage_of_negative_comments >= 10 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 25:</pre>
```

```
print(cs of comments)
    else:
        if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
            cs of comments =
(\texttt{percentage\_of\_negative\_comments*k}) \, / \, (\texttt{mean\_of\_negative\_polarity\_comments*100})
            print(cs of comments)
        else:
            if percentage of negative comments > 35 and percentage of negative comments <= 45:</pre>
                cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                print(cs of comments)
            else:
                if percentage of negative comments > 45 and percentage of negative comments <= 55:</pre>
                     k = -0.704
                     cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                     print(cs of comments)
                else:
                     if percentage of negative comments > 55 and percentage of negative comments <=</pre>
65:
                         k = -0.45
                         cs_of_comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                         print(cs of comments)
                     else:
                         if percentage_of_negative_comments > 65 and
percentage of negative comments <= 75:
                             k=-0.274
                             cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                             print(cs_of_comments)
                         if percentage_of_negative_comments > 75 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 85:</pre>
                             k = -0.14
                             cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                             print(cs_of_comments)
                         else:
                             k=-0.062
                             cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                             print(cs_of_comments)
elif (mean of negative polarity comments >= (-0.3) and mean of negative polarity comments < (-0.2)):
    if percentage of negative comments >= 10 and percentage of negative comments <= 25:</pre>
        k = -0.39
        cs of comments = (percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*10
0)
        print(cs of comments)
    else:
        if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
            k=-0.46
            cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
            print(cs of comments)
        else:
            if percentage_of_negative_comments > 35 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 45:</pre>
                k=-0.495
                cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                print(cs of comments)
            else:
                if percentage of negative comments > 45 and percentage of negative comments <= 55:</pre>
                     cs_of_comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                     print(cs of comments)
                else:
                     {\tt if} percentage of negative comments > 55 {\tt and} percentage of negative comments <=
65:
                         k = -0.33
                         cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                         print(cs of comments)
```

```
if percentage of negative comments > 65 and
percentage of negative comments <= 75:
                             k=-0.197
                             cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                            print(cs of comments)
                        if percentage of negative comments > 75 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 85:</pre>
                             k=-0.097
                             cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                            print(cs of comments)
                        else:
                             k=-0.043
                             cs of comments =
(\texttt{percentage of negative comments*} \\ \texttt{k}) / (\texttt{mean\_of\_negative\_polarity\_comments*} \\ \texttt{100})
                             print(cs of comments)
elif (mean of negative polarity comments >= (-0.2) and mean of negative polarity comments < (-0.1)):
    if percentage of negative comments >= 10 and percentage of negative comments <= 25:</pre>
        ())
        print(cs_of_comments)
    else:
        if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
            cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
            print(cs of comments)
        else:
            if percentage of negative comments > 35 and percentage of negative comments <= 45:</pre>
                k = -0.2
                cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                print(cs_of_comments)
            else:
                if percentage of negative comments > 45 and percentage of negative comments <= 55:</pre>
                    k=-0.336
                    cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                    print(cs of comments)
                else:
                    if percentage_of_negative_comments > 55 and percentage_of_negative_comments <=</pre>
65:
                         k=-0.213
                        cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                        print(cs of comments)
                    else:
                        if percentage of negative comments > 65 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 75:</pre>
                             k=-0.125
                             cs_of_comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                            print(cs of comments)
                         if percentage_of_negative_comments > 75 and
percentage of negative comments <= 85:
                             k = -0.06
                             cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments *k) / (mean of negative polarity comments *100)
                            print(cs of comments)
                        else:
                             k=-0.026
                             cs_of_comments =
(\texttt{percentage\_of\_negative\_comments*k}) \, / \, (\texttt{mean\_of\_negative\_polarity\_comments*100})
                             print(cs of comments)
elif(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments >= (-0.1) and mean_of_negative_polarity_comments < (0)):</pre>
    if percentage_of_negative_comments >= 10 and percentage_of_negative_comments <= 15:</pre>
        cs of comments = (percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*10
0)
       print(cs of comments)
    else:
        if percentage of negative comments > 15 and percentage of negative comments <= 25:</pre>
            k=-0.11
            cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
```

```
print(cs of comments)
                      else:
                                if percentage of negative comments > 25 and percentage of negative comments <= 35:</pre>
                                           cs_of_comments =
(\texttt{percentage\_of\_negative\_comments*k}) \, / \, (\texttt{mean\_of\_negative\_polarity\_comments*100}) \, / \,
                                          print(cs_of_comments)
                                else:
                                            if percentage of negative comments > 35 and percentage of negative comments <= 45:</pre>
                                                       k=-0.155
                                                       cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                                                       print(cs of comments)
                                            else:
                                                       if percentage of negative comments > 45 and percentage of negative comments <=</pre>
55.
                                                                  k=-0.164
                                                                 cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments *k) / (mean of negative polarity comments *100)
                                                                 print(cs of comments)
                                                       else:
                                                                 if percentage_of_negative_comments > 55 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 65:</pre>
                                                                             k=-0.103
                                                                             cs_of_comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                                                                             print(cs_of_comments)
                                                                  if percentage of negative comments > 65 and
percentage of negative comments <= 75:
                                                                             k = -0.06
                                                                             cs of comments =
(percentage of negative comments*k)/(mean of negative polarity comments*100)
                                                                           print(cs_of_comments)
                                                                  if percentage of negative comments > 75 and
percentage_of_negative_comments <= 85:
                                                                              k=-0.0275
                                                                             cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                                                                           print(cs_of_comments)
                                                                  else:
                                                                             k=-0.011
                                                                             cs of comments =
(percentage_of_negative_comments*k)/(mean_of_negative_polarity_comments*100)
                                                                             print(cs of comments)
```

0.247760205423

```
In [100]:
```

```
print(cs_of_comments)
```

0.247760205423

Finding the Controversy Score of articles: Diving Percentange of Negative Sentences by Mean of the polarity of the negative Sentences

```
In [101]:
```

```
cs_of_sentences
```

Out[101]:

0.4639067991668025

Final Controversy of the news or event: its mean of controversy score of the comments and controversy score of sentences of article.

```
In [102]:
```

```
cs_of_news_or_event =((cs_of_comments + cs_of_sentences)/2)
```

,'Percentage_of_Negative_Comments':percentage_of_negative_comments,'Mean_of_Negative_Polarity_Commets':mean_of_negative_polarity_comments,'Percentage_of_Negative_Sentences':per_n_s,'Mean_Negative_Polarity_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Comments':cs_of_comments,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Score_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Controversy_Sentences':m_n_polarity_s,'Co

writer.writerows([{'News or Events': "spot settling in IPL"

tences':cs of sentences, 'Final Controversy Score':cs of news or event}])