

Accenture Student Research Project

SMARTPHONE-BASED GAIT RECOGNITION

December 18, 2018

Students:

FÜLÖP Timea, MILLE János,
NÉMETH Krisztián-Miklós
Information Science III.

Supervisor:

dr. ANTAL Margit

OUTLINE


General Idea


Objectives

Related works

Application

Results







GENERAL IDEA


Approaches

- Camera/Video-based
- Floor-sensor based
- **Inertial Sensors based**





GENERAL IDEA



Approaches

- Camera/Video-based
- Floor-sensor based
- **Inertial Sensors based**

Usage

- Healthcare
- Sports
- **Security - access control system**

OBJECTIVES


- Access Control System
 - Feature extraction library
 - Machine learning algorithm
 - Data collection - Android application



RELATED WORKS

MARSICO - 2017 (Univ. Sapienza, Rome)

- Dynamic Time Warping
- 8,9% EER (ZJU-GaitAcc)




RELATED WORKS

MARSICO - 2017 (Univ. Sapienza, Rome)

- Dynamic Time Warping
- 8,9% EER (ZJU-GaitAcc)

NGO - 2014 (Osaka Univ.)

- period detection
- accelerometer > gyroscope



RELATED WORKS

MARSICO - 2017 (Univ. Sapienza, Rome)


- Dynamic Time Warping
- 8,9% EER (ZJU-GaitAcc)

NGO - 2014 (Osaka Univ.)



- period detection
- accelerometer > gyroscope

GADALETA - 2018 (Univ. Padova)


- feature extraction
- **IDNet** dataset



$\text{dist} = \min(\text{DTW}(, i \rightarrow i+m-1))$





APPLICATION




I. FEATURE EXTRACTION

- minimum points
- mean values
- standard deviations
- mean absolute differences
- zero crossing rates
- histograms



II. MACHINE-LEARNING




- Weka library
- Binary classifier
- Model creation
- Validation



III. ANDROID APPLICATION

- App with friendly UI
- User registration and login
- Raw data collecting
- Model generation
- User validation
- Storing data in Firebase



RESULTS

1. GAIT CHANGES OVER TIME

ML Alg.	Training	Testing	Prec.	AUC	EER
KNN	S1	S1	0,93	0,96	0,06
KNN	S1	S2	0,80	0,86	0,16
RF	S1	S1	0,94	0,98	0,04
RF	S1	S2	0,71	0,87	0,15

Dataset:

- ZJU-GaitAccel
- **153** users, 2 sessions
 - **S1**: session1
 - **S2**: session2
- $F_s = 100$ Hz

Binary classifiers:

- balanced training data

Validation:

- one step cycle

RESULTS

2. STEP CYCLES VS. FIXED-LENGTH FRAMES

Unit	Training	Testing	Prec.	AUC	EER
Cycle	S1	S1	0.94	0.98	0.04
128 samples	S1	S1	0.94	0.98	0.05
Cycle	S1	S2	0.71	0.87	0.15
128 samples	S1	S2	0.74	0.87	0.16

Random Forest classifier


- balanced

Verification - 1 unit:

- one step cycle
- 1 frame (128 samples)


RESULTS

3. REQUIRED NUMBER OF STEP CYCLES FOR VALIDATION




Random Forest Classifier

- balanced training data
- validation: 1 - 7 step cycles




SUMMARY




1. **Cross-session** evaluation:
precision decreases with 10 - 20%
2. Using frames \approx Using step cycles
3. Minimum **5 step cycles** for reliable result





SUMMARY



1. **Cross-session** evaluation:
precision decreases with 10 - 20%
2. Using frames \approx Using step cycles
3. Minimum **5 step cycles** for reliable
result

- Students' Scientific Conference, **April 13-14, 2018**, Târgu Mureș (3rd place)
- SZAMOKT XXVIII., **October 11-14, 2018**, Băile Tușnad, Romania, pp. 118-123.





THANK YOU **accenture** FOR SUPPORT!