

Green Bond / Green Bond Programme

Independent External Review Form

Section 1. Basic Information

5000.			
Issuer	name: Vestel Group		
Green	Bond ISIN or Issuer Green E	Bond Framework Name, if app	olicable: Vestel Green Bond Framework
Indepe	endent External Review pro	vider's name: Vigeo Eiris	
Compl	etion date of this form: 05/	/06/2020	
Public	ation date of review publica	ation: week of June 8 th , 2020	
Secti	on 2. Review overvi	iew	
SCOPI	OF REVIEW		
The fol	owing may be used or adapted	d, where appropriate, to summar	se the scope of the review.
The review assessed the following elements and confirmed their alignment with the GBPs:			
\boxtimes	Use of Proceeds	\boxtimes	Process for Project Evaluation and Selection
X	Management of Proceeds	S 🗵	Reporting
ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT EXT	ERNAL REVIEW PROVIDER	
\boxtimes	Second Party Opinion		Certification
	Verification		Scoring/Rating
	Other (please specify):		
Note: II	n case of multiple reviews / diff	ferent providers, please provide s	eparate forms for each review.
EXECL	JTIVE SUMMARY OF REVI	IEW and/or LINK TO FULL R	EVIEW (if applicable)
_	•		estel is aligned with the four core
compo	nents of the Green Bond Pr	incipies 2018.	

Latest update: June 2018

Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section (if applicable):

The net proceeds of the Bonds will exclusively finance or refinance, in part or in full, projects falling under three Green Project Categories ("Eligible Categories"), namely: Climate Change Mitigation, Sustainable Use and Protection of Water, and Circular Economy and Waste Prevention and Recycling. We consider the Eligible Categories to be clearly defined.

The Eligible Categories are intended to contribute to three main environmental objectives (climate change mitigation, natural resource conservation, and pollution prevention and control). These objectives are formalized in the Framework and considered clearly defined and relevant.

The Eligible Categories are considered to provide clear environmental benefits. The Issuer has committed to assess and, where feasible, quantify the expected environmental benefits of the Bonds. An area for improvement consists in defining ex-ante quantified environmental targets, for each Eligible Category. The Eligible Categories are likely to contribute to four of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals ("SDGs"), namely: Goal 6. Clean Water and Sanitation, Goal 7. Affordable and Clean energy, Goal 12. Responsible Consumption and Production, Goal 13. Climate Action.

The Issuer has transparently communicated on the estimated share of refinancing for its first Bond issuance, which will be between 70% and 100%. The Issuer has committed that, in case of re-financing, a look-back period of maximum 36 months from each Bonds' issuance date will be applied, in line with market practices.

Use of	proceeds	categories	as per GBP:
--------	----------	------------	-------------

	Renewable energy	\boxtimes	Energy efficiency
	Pollution prevention and control		Environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use
	Terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation		Clean transportation
\boxtimes	Sustainable water and wastewater management		Climate change adaptation
\boxtimes	Eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and processes		Green buildings
	Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with GBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in GBPs		Other (please specify):

If applicable please specify the environmental taxonomy, if other than GBPs:

2. PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELECTION

Overall	Overall comment on section (if applicable):				
The governance and process for the evaluation and selection of the Eligible Projects are formalized in the Framework and in internal documentation. We consider that the process is reasonably structured, transparent and relevant. The process relies on explicit eligibility criteria (selection and exclusion), relevant to the environmental					
_	ves defined for the Eligible Categories.				
	ntification and management of the environme s are considered overall good.	ental a	and social risks associated with the Eligible		
Project	s are considered overall good.				
Evalua	tion and selection				
\boxtimes	Credentials on the issuer's environmental sustainability objectives	\boxtimes	Documented process to determine that projects fit within defined categories		
\boxtimes	Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Green Bond proceeds	\boxtimes	Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project		
\boxtimes	Summary criteria for project evaluation		Other (please specify):		
	and selection publicly available				
Inform	nation on Responsibilities and Accountabil	lity			
	Evaluation / Selection criteria subject to	\boxtimes	In-house assessment		
	external advice or verification Other (please specify):				
	Ottlei (pieuse specify).				
3. MAI	NAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS				
Overall comment on section (if applicable):					
The rules for the management of proceeds are clearly defined in the Framework and in internal documentation and will be verified. We consider that they would enable a documented and transparent allocation process.					
Tracking of proceeds:					
\boxtimes	Green Bond proceeds segregated or tracked	by th	e issuer in an appropriate manner		
\boxtimes	Disclosure of intended types of temporary investment instruments for unallocated proceeds				
	Other (please specify):				
Additio	onal disclosure:				
	Allocations to future investments only	\boxtimes	Allocations to both existing and future investments		

×	Disclosure of portfolio balance of unallocated proceeds		Other (please specify):
4. REP	ORTING		
Overall	comment on section (if applicable):		
environ	porting process and commitments appear to be nmental benefits of the Eligible Projects. Porting indicators on allocation of proceeds and		
Use of	proceeds reporting:		
	Project-by-project	\boxtimes	On a project portfolio basis
\boxtimes	Linkage to individual bond(s)		Other (please specify):
	Information reported:		
	☑ Allocated amounts		Green Bond financed share of total investment
	☑ Other (please specify):		
	The total amount of net proceeds allocated to each Eligible Category, including a list of eligible expenditures financed; The share (%) of financing and/or refinancing in total Bond proceeds; The share of allocated and unallocated proceeds		
	Frequency:		
	☐ Annual		Semi-annual
	☑ Other (please specify): annual until full allocation of proceeds		
Impact	reporting:		
	Project-by-project	\boxtimes	On a project portfolio basis
\boxtimes	Linkage to individual bond(s)		Other (please specify):
	Frequency:		
	☐ Annual		Semi-annual
	☑ Other (please specify): annual until full allocation of proceeds		
	Information reported (expected or ex-po	st):	
	☑ GHG Emissions / Savings		Energy Savings

Allocation to individual disbursements

Allocation to a portfolio of disbursements

	☑ Decrease in water use		Other ESG indicators (please specify): savings in chemical usage; savings in materials use; reduction in packaging waste	
Means	s of Disclosure			
	Information published in financial report		Information published in sustainability report	
\boxtimes	Information published in ad hoc documents		Other (please specify):	
×	Reporting reviewed (if yes, please specify which parts of the reporting are subject to external review): The issuer has also committed to mandate an external auditor to verify the Green Bond Impact Report, covering (i) the actual allocation of proceeds to the Eligible Green Assets, (ii) their alignment with the eligibility criteria and (iii) the output, outcome and impact indicators.			
Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section.				
USEFU	L LINKS (e.g. to review provider methodology or cr	edenti	als, to issuer's documentation, etc.)	
http://www.vestelinvestorrelations.com/en/				
SPECIFY OTHER EXTERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE, IF APPROPRIATE Type(s) of Review provided:				
\boxtimes	Second Party Opinion		Certification	
	Verification		Scoring/Rating	
	Other (please specify):			
Revie	w provider(s): Vigeo Eiris		Date of publication: week of June 8 th 2020	

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE GBP

- 1. Second Party Opinion: An institution with environmental expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Green Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Green Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability, and an evaluation of the environmental features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds.
- 2. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or environmental criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the environmentally sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Green Bond proceeds, statement of environmental impact or alignment of reporting with the GBP, may also be termed verification.
- 3. **Certification**: An issuer can have its Green Bond or associated Green Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external green standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
- 4. **Green Bond Scoring/Rating**: An issuer can have its Green Bond, associated Green Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on environmental performance data, the process relative to the GBP, or another benchmark, such as a 2-degree climate change scenario. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material environmental risks.