Second-Party Opinion

Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework



Evaluation Summary

Sustainalytics is of the opinion that the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework is credible and impactful and aligns to the four core components of the Green Bond Principles 2018. This assessment is based on the following:



USE OF PROCEEDS The eligible categories for the use of proceeds – Green Buildings, Renewable Energy, Energy Efficiency, Clean Transportation, Agriculture and Forestry – are aligned with those recognized by the Green Bond Principles 2018. Sustainalytics considers that providing financing in relation to the eligible categories will lead to positive environmental impacts and advance the UN Sustainable Development Goals ("SDGs"), specifically SDGs 2, 7, 11, and 15.



PROJECT EVALUATION / SELECTION Raiffeisen Bank Romania's Green Bond Committee will be responsible for the ultimate review and selection of Green Loans for the Loan Portfolio, based on a multi-step assessment process. Sustainalytics considers the project selection process to be in line with market practice.



MANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS Raiffeisen Bank Romania's Group Risk Control and Portfolio Management department will be in charge of managing the net proceeds through the Loan Portfolio that will be tracked by an internal register. Raiffeisen Bank Romania will invest unallocated proceeds within the treasury, in money market instruments, cash or cash equivalents instruments. This is in line with market practice.



REPORTING Raiffeisen Bank Romania intends to provide allocation reporting on its website on an annual basis until full allocation. The allocation reporting is expected to include category-level details on the Loan Portfolio and the balance of unallocated proceeds. In addition, Raiffeisen Bank Romania is committed to reporting on relevant quantitative impact where feasible, and has provided indicative metrics within the Framework. Sustainalytics views Raiffeisen Bank Romania's allocation and impact reporting as aligned with market practice.

Evaluation Date	March 2, 2021
Issuer Location	Bucharest, Romania

Report Sections

Introduction	2
Sustainalytics' Opinion	3
Appendices	10

For inquiries, contact the Sustainable Finance Solutions project team:

Zach Margolis (Toronto)

Project Manager zach.margolis@sustainalytics.com (+1) 647 695 4341

Hrithik Sharma (Toronto)

Project Support

Mina Jang (Amsterdam)

Project Support

Molly Stern (London)

Client Relations susfinance.emea@sustainalytics.com (+44) 20 3880 0193



Introduction

Raiffeisen Bank Romania¹ ("RBRO" or the "Bank") is one of the leading companies in the Romanian banking sector, offering a wide of range of products and services to individuals, SMEs and large corporations, through multiple distribution channels: banking units, ATM and EPOS networks, telephone- banking, mobile banking and internet banking.² Present in the local market for almost 28 years, at the end of 2019 the Bank had a portfolio of over 2 million private individual clients, 92,000 SMEs and 5,700 companies.

The Bank is part of the Raiffeisen Bank International Group (the "Group") that operates in 14 markets in Austria and Central and Eastern Europe.

RBRO has developed the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework (the "Framework") under which it intends to issue green bonds and use the proceeds to finance and/or refinance, in whole or in part, existing and/or future loans to projects that support the transition to an environmentally sustainable future.

The Framework defines eligibility criteria in five areas:

- 1. Green Buildings
- 2. Renewable Energy
- 3. Energy Efficiency
- 4. Clean Transportation
- Agriculture and Forestry

RBRO engaged Sustainalytics to review the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework, dated March 2021, and provide a Second-Party Opinion on the Framework's environmental credentials and its alignment with the Green Bond Principles 2018 (GBP).³ This Framework will be published in a separate document.⁴

Scope of work and limitations of Sustainalytics' Second-Party Opinion

Sustainalytics' Second-Party Opinion reflects Sustainalytics' independent⁵ opinion on the alignment of the reviewed Framework with the current market standards and the extent to which the eligible project categories are credible and impactful.

As part of the Second-Party Opinion, Sustainalytics assessed the following:

- The Framework's alignment with the Green Bond Principles 2018, as administered by ICMA;
- The credibility and anticipated positive impacts of the use of proceeds; and
- The alignment of the issuer's sustainability strategy and performance and sustainability risk management in relation to the use of proceeds.

For the use of proceeds assessment, Sustainalytics relied on its internal taxonomy, version 1.7, which is informed by market practice and Sustainalytics' expertise as an ESG research provider.

As part of this engagement, Sustainalytics held conversations with various members of RBRO's management team to understand the sustainability impact of their business processes and planned use of proceeds, as well as management of proceeds and reporting aspects of the Framework. RBRO representatives have confirmed (1) they understand it is the sole responsibility of RBRO to ensure that the information provided is complete, accurate or up to date; (2) that they have provided Sustainalytics with all relevant information and (3) that any provided material information has been duly disclosed in a timely manner. Sustainalytics also reviewed relevant public documents and non-public information.

This document contains Sustainalytics' opinion of the Framework and should be read in conjunction with that Framework.

¹ Raiffeisen Bank S.A. Headquarters address: Sky Tower Building, 246C Calea Floreasca, District 1, Bucharest, Romania- 014476.

² RBRO website, "Who We Are", at: https://www.raiffeisen.ro/despre-noi/cine-suntem/

³ The Green Bond Principles are administered by the International Capital Market Association and are available at https://www.icmagroup.org/green-social-and-sustainability-bonds/green-bond-principles-gbp/.

⁴ The Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework will be available on Raiffeisen Bank Romania 's website at: https://www.raiffeisen.ro/despre-noi/guvernanta-corporativa/

⁵ When operating multiple lines of business that serve a variety of client types, objective research is a cornerstone of Sustainalytics and ensuring analyst independence is paramount to producing objective, actionable research. Sustainalytics has therefore put in place a robust conflict management framework that specifically addresses the need for analyst independence, consistency of process, structural separation of commercial and research (and engagement) teams, data protection and systems separation. Last but not the least, analyst compensation is not directly tied to specific commercial outcomes. One of Sustainalytics' hallmarks is integrity, another is transparency.



Any update of the present Second-Party Opinion will be conducted according to the agreed engagement conditions between Sustainalytics and RBRO.

Sustainalytics' Second-Party Opinion, while reflecting on the alignment of the Framework with market standards, is no guarantee of alignment nor warrants any alignment with future versions of relevant market standards. Furthermore, Sustainalytics' Second-Party Opinion addresses the anticipated impacts of eligible projects expected to be financed with bond proceeds but does not measure the actual impact. The measurement and reporting of the impact achieved through projects financed under the Framework is the responsibility of the Framework owner.

In addition, the Second-Party Opinion opines on the potential allocation of proceeds but does not guarantee the realised allocation of the bond proceeds towards eligible activities.

No information provided by Sustainalytics under the present Second-Party Opinion shall be considered as being a statement, representation, warrant or argument, either in favour or against, the truthfulness, reliability or completeness of any facts or statements and related surrounding circumstances that RBRO has made available to Sustainalytics for the purpose of this Second-Party Opinion.

Sustainalytics' Opinion

Section 1: Sustainalytics' Opinion on the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework

Sustainalytics is of the opinion that the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework is credible and impactful, and aligns to the four core components of the GBP. Sustainalytics highlights the following elements of RBRO's Green Bond Framework:

- Use of Proceeds:
 - The eligible categories Green Buildings, Renewable Energy, Energy Efficiency, Clean Transportation, Agriculture and Forestry are aligned with those recognized by the GBP. Sustainalytics notes that the Bank has drawn from the EU Taxonomy to inform the thresholds of the Framework in the areas of renewable energy and clean transportation and additionally intends to align the criteria in two categories with those of the EU Taxonomy,⁶ on a best effort hasis
 - For the "Green Building" category, the Bank intends to invest in commercial and residential buildings that have (i) achieved a recognized green building certification, (ii) place within the top 15% of their country in terms of GHG emissions, or (iii) achieved at least 30% reduction of Primary Energy Demand in the case of refurbished buildings.
 - Sustainalytics views the schemes specified by the Framework to be credible and the levels selected to be indicative of positive impact and aligned with market practice namely LEED ("Gold" or above), BREEAM ("Very Good" or above), or other equivalent certification schemes such as DGNB or ÖGNI⁸ ("Gold" or above), or Edge ("Advanced" or above). For Sustainalytics' assessment of these certifications please refer to Appendix 1.
 - RBRO may use Energy Performance Certifications⁹ to demonstrate the "top 15%" criterion. Should the data not exist to substantiate a percentile-based evaluation, the Framework defines EPC "A" to be eligible. This approach is in line with that of the Draft Delegated Act of the EU Taxonomy.

⁶ EC report, "Technical annex to the TEG final report on the EU taxonomy", at: https://ec.europa.eu/info/sites/info/files/business_economy_euro/banking_and_finance/documents/200309-sustainable-finance-teg-final-report-taxonomy-annexes_en.pdf

⁷ RBRO has disclosed that it will ensure that all BREEAM "Very Good" buildings which are financed will achieve a minimum score of 70% in the Energy category, which is aligned with the minimum requirements of BREEAM Excellent. Sustainalytics views this to be aligned with good practice.

⁸ The ÖGNI certifies sustainable buildings and quarters according to the European DGNB quality certificate, as such a ÖGNI "Gold" is viewed as equivalent to DGNB "Gold".

⁹ EPCs play a central role in the context of the Article 20 (2) EPBD, which asks (EU) Member States to provide information on the energy performance certificates and the inspection reports, on their purpose and objectives, on the cost-effective ways and, where appropriate, on the available financial instruments to improve the energy performance of the building to the owners or tenants of the buildings. Further details available at: European Commission, EPC distribution per energy label, at: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/content/epc-distribution-energy-label



- For financing of building refurbishments, Sustainalytics views positively the use of a threshold for energy performance improvement, and views 30% to be aligned with market practice. RBRO has disclosed that its intention is to focus on whole-building upgrades, not projects dedicated to fossil fuel appliances.
- The Framework excludes financing towards buildings that are used for the purpose of occupation by fossil fuel extraction or manufacturing of fossil fuel activities, which Sustainalytics highlights positively.
- Under the "Renewable Energy" category, the Bank may finance assets dedicated to the transmission and distribution of renewable energy sources, including wind, solar, hydropower, geothermal, biomass, and waste-to-energy projects. Sustainalytics views the criteria to be aligned with market practice and to be informed by the EU Taxonomy, and notes the following:
 - Hydropower projects are limited to those with capacity below 20 megawatts; for projects with a power density below 5 W/m², Sustainalytics notes positively that the Framework restricts financing to those with life-cycle emissions verified to be below 100g CO₂ per kWh.¹⁰
 - Geothermal facilities are limited to those with direct emissions less than 100g CO₂ per kWh.
 - The Framework's criteria for biomass aim to ensure the sustainability of feedstocks.¹¹ While recognizing this ambition, Sustainalytics notes that the Framework allows for the use of first-generation biofuels (eg. "energy crops") without any verification around lifecycle emissions or induced land use changes, and therefore considers this to be a limitation of the Framework.
 - For Waste-to-energy projects, Sustainalytics recognizes that energy from waste could take out of circulation potentially recyclable materials and undermine the objectives of zero-waste circular economy, i.e. waste prevention and recycling. Additionally, it is noted that the composition of residual waste, particularly fossil carbon content, is a crucial consideration for the emissions intensity. However, it is recognized that energy from waste can offer better residual waste management option than landfills in many cases, and that all projects financed will be in Romania, which has enacted regulations in line with EU directives on recycling and waste management. Sustainalytics encourages RBRO to monitor thermal efficiency of the financed facilities.
- Under the "Energy Efficiency" category, the Framework allows for investments in (i) energy
 efficient lighting and energy storage projects, (ii) projects improving the energy efficiency of
 industrial production process in a factory, and (iii) smart grid solutions for energy transmission.
 - Sustainalytics views investments in energy efficient lighting and energy storage to be aligned with market practice.
 - Regarding energy efficient industrial processes, the Bank intends to achieve at least 30% improvement in energy efficiency and will exclude financing towards improvements of fossil fuel-powered equipment or carbon-intensive heavy industries including steel, cement, refining, etc. which Sustainalytics considers to be aligned with market practice.
 - While noting the variety of definitions and applications of "smart grid" technology, Sustainalytics views positively investments that are designed to improve grid efficiency and encourages the Bank to select projects that are clearly anticipated to deliver tangible efficiency improvements.
- For the "Clean Transportation" category, the Bank intends to invest in zero direct emission or low-carbon vehicles, and low-carbon transportation infrastructure.
 - Zero direct emission vehicles are automatically eligible. For non-zero direct emission vehicles the issuer considers investments in commercial vehicles with tailpipe emission intensity below 50g CO₂ per km until the end of 2025 and with zero direct emissions thereafter as eligible.
 - Sustainalytics considers financing of clean transportation with associated thresholds and low-carbon infrastructure to be aligned with market practice.
- For the "Agriculture and Forestry" category, the Framework contemplates investments in certified forest operations, and sustainable agricultural practices.
 - Eligible forest certification schemes include Forest Stewardship Council ("FSC"), and Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification ("PEFC"). Sustainalytics views

¹⁰ Sustainalytics recognizes the importance of appropriate environmental and social risk assessments for hydroelectric facilities. Projects financed under the Framework are subject to relevant Romanian regulation. Refer to Section 2 for a discussion of the Bank's processes in these areas.

¹¹ The Framework excludes investments in feedstock from sources depleting biomass and carbon pools, sources grown on land with high biodiversity and sources that use land that competes with food sources.



these certifications as credible and robust. Refer to Appendix 2 for more a detailed overview of these schemes.

- The Framework defines two areas of agricultural financing as eligible:
 - Financing may be provided to certified organic¹² farms. Sustainalytics considers the financing of such assets to be aligned with market practice, see Appendix 3 for a summary of the EU Organic scheme.
 - RBRO may finance projects intended to support carbon sequestration and the enhancement of carbon pools, specifically crop pattern changes to substitute perennial crops and the renewal of existing orchards. Sustainalytics recognizes the potential positive impacts of such interventions and encourages RBRO to (i) promote the holistic deployment of conservation agriculture practices¹³ through the projects financed and (ii) provide details of projects financed and report on impact where possible.
- Sustainalytics notes positively that the Framework excludes financing towards plantation of palm oil or tobacco, and activities that may lead to the destruction of critical habitat or degradation of tropical natural forests.

Project Evaluation and Selection:

- RBRO's Green Bond Committee, which comprises of representatives from the Bank's Board, Business, Risk and Operations departments, is responsible for ensuring the alignment of the potential Eligible Green Loans ("Green Loans") with the Framework and makes the final decision of selecting Green Loans for the Eligible Green Loan Portfolio (the "Loan Portfolio").
- The decision is based on a multi-step assessment process that assesses Green Loans for their social and environmental impact.
- Based on the establishment of a formal committee and a well-defined selection process for Green Loans, Sustainalytics considers this process to be in line with market practice.

· Management of Proceeds:

- RBRO's Group Risk Control and Portfolio Management department, along with Treasury, and PR & Communication departments, will be in charge of managing the net proceeds through the Loan Portfolio.
- RBRO intends to add Green Loans to the Loan Portfolio and achieve full allocation of an amount at least equal to the proceeds until maturity of the respective bonds. The Bank has confirmed that it intends to achieve full allocation within 36 months of bond issuance.
- The Bank will also establish an internal register to track Green Loans included in the Loan Portfolio and to assure that loans are not externally refinanced.
- Pending the allocation or reallocation, RBRO will invest unallocated proceeds within the treasury, in money market instruments, cash or cash equivalents instruments.
- Based on the establishment of the Loan Portfolio and the handling of unallocated net proceeds,
 Sustainalytics considers this process to be in line with market practice.

Reporting:

- RBRO intends to report on the allocation and the impact of proceeds on its website on an annual basis until full allocation, and thereafter in case of any material changes to the Loan Portfolio, until bond maturity.
- Allocation reporting is expected to include category-level details on the Loan Portfolio, proportion of financed and refinanced loans, and the balance of unallocated proceeds. Impact reporting is expected to provide category-wide impact of the Loan Portfolio against respective key performance indicators including (i) annual greenhouse gas emissions avoided (tCO₂e), (ii) annual energy savings (MWh), and (iii) total land area certified by FSC and PEFC.
- Based on RBRO's commitment to allocation reporting and, where feasible, impact reporting, Sustainalytics considers this process to be in line with market practice.

Alignment with Green Bond Principles 2018

Sustainalytics has determined that the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework aligns to the four core components of the GBP. For detailed information please refer to Appendix 4: Green Bond/Green Bond Programme External Review Form.

¹² Organic farms will be certified as such under either the EU Organic scheme or equivalent national regulations.

¹³ Conservation Agriculture is a set of management practices that helps maintaining the soil health, enhance biodiversity and natural biological processes above and below the ground surface, such as through conservation tillage; sowing of diverse cover crops; multiple crop rotation; soil restoration and management; nutrient and waste management; and no or minimal pesticides or synthetic fertilizers. FAO promotes the adoption of CA principles "that are universally applicable in all agricultural landscapes and cropping systems." Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Conservation Agriculture: http://www.fao.org/conservation-agriculture/en/



Section 2: Sustainability Strategy of Raiffeisen Bank Romania

Contribution of framework to Raiffeisen Bank Romania's sustainability strategy

Sustainalytics is of the opinion that RBRO demonstrates its commitment to sustainability through its stated mission to contribute to the development of sustainable and thriving communities.¹⁴ In line with this mission, the Bank envisages going beyond banking to prioritize "sustainable finance, sustainable development policies and a long-term vision".¹⁵ Towards this, the Bank has developed a three-tiered sustainability strategy through which it commits to remain (i) a responsible banker, (ii) a fair partner, and (iii) an active corporate citizen.¹⁶

In relation to the categories noted in the Framework, the Bank has set a goal of developing a portfolio of loans offered to projects that include ESG principles by 2025. The 2019, the latest reporting year for the Bank, RBRO invested EUR 89.9 million in sustainable construction projects. The Bank partnered with the Romanian Green Building Council to design the "Casa Ta Verde Housing Credit" product that incentivized clients to purchase energy-efficient and certified properties. In the same year, around 38% of the Group's green building portfolio was reported to be in Romania which included buildings certified with LEED Gold and BREEAM Excellent. 19

RBRO has also identified key environmental topics that are material to the Bank and its stakeholders, that include (i) waste, (ii) energy, (iii) emissions, and (iv) materials.²⁰ In line with this assessment, the Bank has committed to reporting on the Global Reporting Initiative Standards, and intends to achieve the following Group-level environmental goals by 2025:²¹

- Reduce greenhouse gas emissions resulting from energy consumption and employee transportation by 35% and 25% respectively.
- Reduce consumption of paper and water by 25%.
- Increase the share of energy consumption from renewable sources to 35%, at Central and Eastern Europe level.

Sustainalytics recognizes the Bank's commitment to key sustainability initiatives and encourages it to include quantifiable environmental targets for its sustainable lending portfolio to further strengthen its sustainability practices, where feasible. In this context, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that the Framework is aligned with the RBRO's overall sustainability strategy and initiatives and will further the Bank's action on its key environmental priorities.

Well-positioned to address common environmental and social risks associated with the projects

While Sustainalytics recognizes that the net proceeds from the bonds issued under the Framework will be directed towards eligible projects that are anticipated to have positive environmental impact, Sustainalytics is aware that such eligible projects could also lead to negative environmental and social outcomes. Some key environmental and social risks associated with the eligible projects, could include land use, biodiversity, occupational health and safety, community relations issues associated with large-scale infrastructure development/construction. Additionally, as a financial institution, RBRO is exposed to the risk of financing businesses and projects containing environmental and social risks. Sustainalytics is of the opinion that RBRO is able to manage and/or mitigate potential risks through implementation of the following:

¹⁴ BRBO report, "Digital Solutions for Responsible Banking- Sustainability Report 2019" (p7), at: https://www.raiffeisen.ro/wps/wcm/connect/79d8809c-4ac5-4eb4-a612-05d5b9e900ce/Sustainability-Report-2019-Raiffeisen-Bank.pdf?MOD=AJPERES

¹⁵ BRBO report, "Digital Solutions for Responsible Banking- Sustainability Report 2019" (p3), at: https://www.raiffeisen.ro/wps/wcm/connect/79d8809c-4ac5-4eb4-a612-05d5b9e900ce/Sustainability-Report-2019-Raiffeisen-Bank.pdf?MOD=AJPERES

^{16 16} BRBO report, "Digital Solutions for Responsible Banking- Sustainability Report 2019" (p8), at: https://www.raiffeisen.ro/wps/wcm/connect/79d8809c-4ac5-4eb4-a612-05d5b9e900ce/Sustainability-Report-2019-Raiffeisen-Bank.pdf?MOD=AJPERES

¹⁷ BRBO report, "Digital Solutions for Responsible Banking- Sustainability Report 2019" (p63), at:

https://www.raiffeisen.ro/wps/wcm/connect/79d8809c-4ac5-4eb4-a612-05d5b9e900ce/Sustainability-Report-2019-Raiffeisen-Bank.pdf?MOD=AJPERES

¹⁸ BRBO report, "Digital Solutions for Responsible Banking- Sustainability Report 2019" (p38), at: https://www.raiffeisen.ro/wps/wcm/connect/79d8809c-4ac5-4eb4-a612-05d5b9e900ce/Sustainability-Report-2019-Raiffeisen-Bank.pdf?MOD=AJPERES

19 Ihid

²⁰ BRBO report, "Digital Solutions for Responsible Banking- Sustainability Report 2019" (p27), at: https://www.raiffeisen.ro/wps/wcm/connect/79d8809c-4ac5-4eb4-a612-05d5b9e900ce/Sustainability-Report-2019-Raiffeisen-Bank.pdf?MOD=AJPERES

²¹ BRBO report, "Digital Solutions for Responsible Banking- Sustainability Report 2019" (p63), at: https://www.raiffeisen.ro/wps/wcm/connect/79d8809c-4ac5-4eb4-a612-05d5b9e900ce/Sustainability-Report-2019-Raiffeisen-Bank.pdf?MOD=AJPERES



- RBRO is compliant with EU Directive 2011/92/EU,²² which is implemented in Romania by Law 292/2018, requiring Environmental impact Assessment (EIA) for projects associated with significant effects on the environment prior to development consent being given, ensuring the mitigation of environmental risks relevant with land use changes and infrastructure development.
- RBRO follows the standards of the International Finance Corporation (IFC),²³ which are reflected in the RBRO's Environmental and Social Policy (E&S Policy). Under the E&S Policy, the Bank categorizes the environmental and social risks according to the level of potential environmental and social risks (low, medium or high) and conducts due diligence for all transactions of high and medium risk projects by addressing issues regarding work conditions, resource efficiency, environmental pollution, public health and safety, land acquisition and forced relocations, indigenous peoples, and cultural heritage.²⁴
- In regard to an occupational health and safety (OH&S) issue associated with large-scale development or construction projects, Sustainalytics notes that RBRO is not directly involved in the undertakings financed, and further that the Bank relies upon regulatory safeguards to ensure OH&S risks at construction sites are mitigated. Additionally, the Bank has communicated that it mandates its borrowers to proactively report any project-related environmental or social incidents through the tenure of financing. In case of construction projects, the Bank does not consider projects as eligible if they entail significant occupational health and safety risks.²⁴ All legal entities (borrowers) are requested to apply national regulations on environmental, social, labor, occupational health and safety for projects financed by the Bank.²⁴
- In regard to a community relations issue, RBRO complies with European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) "Performance 5: Land Acquisition, Involuntary Resettlement and Economic Displacement", providing the Resettlement Guidance and Good Practice. Following the guidance, RBRO should conduct stakeholder engagement through public disclosure of information and documents, or meeting/interviews (e.g. public meetings, focus groups, household surveys). Affected communities will be included in all phases of resettlement planning and implementation while their complaints will be officially recorded, acknowledged and responded.²⁵
- As part of Raiffeisen Bank International (RBI) Group, a signatory to the UN Global Compact,²⁶ RBRO commits to protecting environment and human rights while eliminating forced/child labor.
- Under the Framework, RBRO will exclude financing production, trade, or activities including, but not limited to, nuclear energy generation, weapons and munitions, mining, hazardous chemicals (gasoline, kerosene, and other petroleum products), wood or other forestry products other than from sustainably managed forests, forced/child labor, and impinging on the lands owned, or claimed under adjudication, by Indigenous Peoples, without full documented consent of such peoples.

Based on these policies, standards and assessments, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that RBRO has implemented adequate measures and is well-positioned to manage and mitigate environmental and social risks commonly associated with the eligible categories.

Section 3: Impact of Use of Proceeds

All five use of proceeds categories are aligned with those recognized by the GBP. Sustainalytics has focused on three below where the impact is specifically relevant in the local context.

Impact of renewable energy and energy efficiency projects in Romania

Romania has reduced its GHG emissions by approximately 50% by 2017 compared to the 1990 baseline, supported by the country's relatively large renewable capacity installed compared to the other 28 EU member

²² European Commission, "Directive 2011/92/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2011 on the assessment of the effects of certain public and private projects on the environment Text with EEA relevance", (2012), at: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32011L0092

²³ IFC/World Bank Group, "Performance Standards", (2012), at: https://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/c02c2e86-e6cd-4b55-95a2-b3395d204279/IFC_Performance_Standards.pdf?MOD=AJPERES&CVID=kTjHBzk

²⁴ Sustainalytics has reviewed internal polcies of RBRO, including its internal Environmental and Social Policy.

²⁵ EBRD, "How to implement our performance requirements", at: https://www.ebrd.com/who-we-are/our-values/environmental-and-social-policy/implementation.html

²⁶ UN Global Compact "The Ten Principles of the UN Global Compact", at: https://www.unglobalcompact.org/what-is-gc/mission/principles



countries.²⁷ Furthermore, Romania met its 2020 target of renewable energy sources contributing to 24% of the gross final energy consumption, reaching 26.27% in 2015.²⁸ Romania was able to meet this target through large private wind and solar generation capacity in recent years supported by the Green Certificate subsidy scheme.²⁹

Under the National Energy and Climate Plan (NECP), the government of Romania aims to achieve a renewable energy target of 34% by 2030, increasing from 24% in 2020.³⁰ The NECP states that energy efficiency plays a key role in facilitating a transition to clean energy in Romania during the 2021-2030 NECP period.³⁰ As such, the EC encouraged the government of Romania to implement more ambitious reduction targets for primary and final energy consumption by 2030 to be aligned with the EU's 2030 energy efficiency objective (at least 27% improvement in energy efficiency compared to the 1990 level).³⁰ Accordingly, the government of Romania aims to meet primary and final energy consumption of 32.3 Mtoe and 25.7 Mtoe, resulting in energy savings of 45.1% and 40.4% respectively compared to the 2007 baseline scenario.³⁰ It is, however, noted that investment in energy efficiency is still low in Romania, and therefore private financing is required to facilitate investment in energy efficiency.³¹ In order to meet the target of NECP, around EUR 150 billion is projected to be needed, equivalent of approximately 7% of the current GDP of Romania.²⁸

RBRO intends to invest in renewable energy and energy efficiency projects in Romania. Sustainalytics is of the opinion that RBRO's financing is expected to support the national energy-related targets, and therefore lead to the decarbonized economy in Romania.

Importance of promoting green buildings in Romania

Approximately 36% of the EU's building stock is over 50 years old, and approximately 75% of EU building stock is considered energy inefficient.³² According to the EC, through the renovation and retrofit of existing buildings, significant energy savings can be achieved, potentially reducing the EU's total energy consumption by 5-6% and lowering total CO₂ emissions by about 5%.³² Given this, the government of Romania aims to improve end-user energy efficiency in buildings as part of the strategic objectives of the National Climate Change Strategy for GHG emissions mitigation.²⁹ Additionally, the NECP provides the targets of residential and non-residential buildings for 2030 set by three (minimal, medium, maximum) renovation packages for the buildings located in three climate zones in Romania.²⁸ For example, Package 3 (maximum) includes renovation of building up to a level of nearly zero energy building (NZEB) through energy efficiency improvement and renewable energy solutions while Package 1 (minimum) includes renovation up to a level in line with the national law, equivalent to an Energy Performance Certificate (EPC) rating C.²⁸

Sustainalytics recognizes the importance of green building development in Romania and its impacts on reducing energy consumption and carbon emissions in the country. Sustainalytics expects that RBRO's financing for green buildings projects may contribute to reducing GHG emissions from the built environment in Romania, thereby facilitating the transit to a decarbonized economy.

Alignment with/contribution to SDGs

The SDGs were set in September 2015 by the United Nations General Assembly and form an agenda for achieving sustainable development by the year 2030. The bonds issued under the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework advances the following SDGs and targets:

Use of Proceeds Category	SDG	SDG target
Green Buildings	11. Sustainable Cities and Communities	11.3 Ensure inclusive and sustainable urbanization, planning and management

²⁷ IEA, "European Union 2020", (2020), at: https://www.iea.org/reports/european-union-2020

https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/default/files/documents/ro_final_necp_main_en.pdf

²⁸ Government of Romania, "The 2021-2030 Integrated National Energy and Climate Plan", (2020), at:

²⁹ Ministry of Environment, Waters and Forests, "Romania's Fourth Biennial Report under the UNFCCC", (2019), at: https://www4.unfccc.int/sites/SubmissionsStaging/NationalReports/Documents/3791685_Romania-BR4-1-BR4-Romania.pdf

³⁰ EC, "Romania", (2020), at: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/documents/necp_factsheet_ro_final.pdf

³¹ EUR-lex, "Country Report Romania 2020: 2020 European Semester: Assessment of progress on structural reforms, prevention and correction of macroeconomic imbalances, and results of in-depth reviews under Regulation (EU) No 1176/2011", (2020), at: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?qid=1584543810241&uri=CELEX:52020SC0522

³² European Commission, "Document on the energy performance of buildings in the European Union", at: https://ec.europa.eu/energy/en/topics/energy-energy-performance-of-buildings



Renewable Energy	7. Affordable and Clean Energy	7.2 By 2030, increase substantially the share of renewable energy in the global energy mix
Energy Efficiency	7. Affordable and Clean Energy	7.3 By 2030, double the global rate of improvement in energy efficiency
Clean Transportation	11. Sustainable Cities and Communities	11.2 By 2030, provide access to safe, affordable, accessible and sustainable transport systems for all, improving road safety, notably by expanding public transport, with special attention to the needs of those in vulnerable situations, women, children, persons with disabilities and older persons
Agriculture and Forestry	2. Zero Hunger	2.4 by 2030 ensure sustainable food production systems and implement resilient agricultural practices that increase productivity and production, that help maintain ecosystems, that strengthen capacity for adaptation to climate change, extreme weather, drought, flooding and other disasters, and that progressively improve land and soil quality
	15. Life on Land	15.b Mobilize significantly resources from all sources and at all levels to finance sustainable forest management, and provide adequate incentives to developing countries to advance sustainable forest management, including for conservation and reforestation

Conclusion

RBRO has developed the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework under which it will issue green bonds and the use of proceeds to finance eligible green projects. Sustainalytics considers that the projects funded by the green bond proceeds are expected to provide positive environmental impact.

The Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework outlines a process by which proceeds will be tracked, allocated, and managed, and commitments have been made for reporting on the allocation and impact of the use of proceeds. Furthermore, Sustainalytics believes that the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework is aligned with the overall sustainability strategy of the company and that the green use of proceed categories will contribute to the advancement of the UN SDGs 2, 7, 11, and 15. Additionally, Sustainalytics is of the opinion that RBRO has adequate measures to identify, manage and mitigate environmental and social risks commonly associated with the eligible projects funded by the use of proceeds.

Based on the above, Sustainalytics is confident that Raiffeisen Bank Romania is well-positioned to issue green bonds and that the Raiffeisen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework is robust, transparent, and in alignment with the four core components of the Green Bond Principles 2018.



Appendices

Appendix 1: Summary of Referenced Green Building Certification Schemes

	BREEAM ³³	LEED ³⁴	DGNB	EDGE ³⁵
Background	BREEAM (Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method) was first published by the Building Research Establishment (BRE) in 1990. Based in the UK. Used for new, refurbished and extension of existing buildings.	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) is a US Certification System for residential and commercial buildings used worldwide. LEED was developed by the non-profit U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC).	The German Green Building Certification or DGNB was developed in 2007 by the non-profit German Sustainable Building Council in partnership with the German Federal Ministry of Transport, Building, and Urban Affairs in order to actively encourage sustainable building.	EDGE (or "Excellence in Design for Greater Efficiencies") is a green building standard and certification system developed by the International Finance Corporation and applicable in 140 countries.
Certification levels	 Pass Good Very Good Excellent Outstanding 	Certified Silver Gold Platinum	Bronze Silver Gold Platinum	EDGE Certified EDGE Advanced EDGE Zero Carbon
Areas of Assessment	Energy Land Use and Ecology Pollution Transport Materials Water Waste Health and Wellbeing Innovation	Energy and atmosphere Sustainable Sites Location and Transportation Materials and resources Water efficiency Indoor environmental quality Innovation in Design Regional Priority	Environment Economic Sociocultural and functional aspects Technology Processes Site	1. Climatic Conditions 2. Building Type and Occupant Use 3. Design and Specifications 4. Building Orientation Calculation of the End Use Demand Overall energy demand in buildings; heating ventilation and air conditioning demand; virtual energy for comfort, energy demand for hot water requirements; lighting energy demand; water demand in buildings; estimations on rainwater harvesting or recycled water onsite; embodied energy in building materials.
Requirements	Prerequisites depending on the levels of certification and credits	Prerequisites independent of level of certification, and credits	Percentage-based performance index	Prerequisites depending on the level of certification. To achieve
	with associated points This number of points is then weighted by item ³⁶	with associated points. These points are then added together to obtain	The total performance index (expressed as a percentage) is calculated by adding the six key	the minimum level, EDGE Certified, a building must demonstrate a minimum 20% reduction in

 $^{{\}tt 33~BREEAM, "How certification works" at: \underline{https://www.breeam.com/discover/how-breeam-certification-works/.} \\$

³⁴ USGBC, "LEED rating system", at: <u>www.usgbc.org/LEED.</u>

³⁵ EDGE, "Certify", at: https://www.edgebuildings.com/certify/

³⁶ BREEAM weighting: Management 12%, Health and wellbeing 15%, Energy 19%, Transport 8%, Water 6%, Materials 12.5%, Waste 7.5%, Land Use and ecology 10%, Pollution 10% and Innovation 10%. One point scored in the Energy item is therefore worth twice as much in the overall score as one point scored in the Pollution item



	and gives a BREEAM level of certification, which is based on the overall score obtained (expressed as a percentage). Majority of BREEAM issues are flexible, meaning that the client can choose which to comply with to build their BREEAM performance score. BREEAM has two stages/ audit reports: a 'BREEAM Design Stage' and a 'Post Construction Stage', with different assessment criteria.	the LEED level of certification There are several different rating systems within LEED. Each rating system is designed to apply to a specific sector (e.g. New Construction, Major Renovation, Core and Shell Development, Schools-/Retail-/Healthcare New Construction and Major Renovations, Existing Buildings: Operation and Maintenance).	areas of assessment. The environmental, economic, socio-cultural and functional aspects and technical quality each account for 22.5% of the total, process accounts for 10% and the site quality is given a separate grade.	operational energy consumption, water use and embodied energy in materials as compared to typical local practices.
Performance display		Pass Octoberding	DGNB DGNB DGNB	Exellence in Design for Greater Efficiencies
Qualitative Considerations	Used in more than 70 countries: Good adaptation to the local normative context. Predominant environmental focus. BREEAM certification is less strict (less minimum thresholds) than HQE and LEED certifications.	Widely recognized internationally, and strong assurance of overall quality.	DGNB certification is based on current European Union standards and norms and is being recommended by the German Federal Ministry of Transport, Building and Urban Development. DGNB System has partnerships in a number of countries, among which Bulgaria, Denmark, Austria, Thailand and Switzerland.	Strong assurance of overall quality due to the EDGE's development under the IFC umbrella.



Appendix 2: Overview of Referenced Forestry Certifications

	FSC ³⁷	PEFC ^{38,39}
Background	Founded in 1993 after the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio failed to produce any international agreements to fight against deforestation, FSC aims to promote sustainable forest management practice.	PEFC was founded in 1999 in response to the specific requirements of small- and family forest owners as an international umbrella organization providing independent assessment, endorsement, and recognition of national forest certification systems.
Basic Principles	Compliance with laws and FSC principles Tenure and use rights and responsibilities Indigenous peoples' rights Community relations and workers' rights Benefits from the forests Environmental impact Management plans Monitoring and assessment Special sites – high conservation value forests (HCVF) Plantations	Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of forest resources and their contribution to the global carbon cycle Maintenance and enhancement of forest ecosystem health and vitality Maintenance and encouragement of productive functions of forests (wood and no-wood) Maintenance, conservation and appropriate enhancement of biological diversity in forest ecosystems Maintenance and appropriate enhancement of protective functions in forest management (notably soil and water) Maintenance of socioeconomic functions and conditions Compliance with legal requirements
Governance	The General Assembly, consisting of all FSC members, constitutes the highest decision-making body. At the General Assembly, motions are proposed by one member, seconded by two more, and deliberated and voted on by all members. Members are entitled to vote to amend the bylaws, initiate new policies, and clarify, amend or overturn a policy decision by the board. Members apply to join one of three chambers — environmental, social, or economic — that are further divided into northern and southern sub-chambers. Each chamber holds 33.3% of the weight in votes, and within each chamber the votes are weighted so that the North and South hold an equal portion of authority, to ensure influence is shared equitably between interest groups and countries with different levels of economic development. The votes of all individual members in each sub-chamber represent 10% of the total vote of the sub-chamber, while the votes of organizational members make up the other 90%.	PEFC's governance structure is formed by the General Assembly (GA) which is the highest authority and decision-making body. It is made up of all PEFC members, including national and international stakeholders. Members vote on key decisions including endorsements, international standards, new members, statutes and budgets. All national members have between one and seven votes, depending on membership fees, while international stakeholder members have one vote each. The Board of Directors supports the work of the GA and together the GA and the Board make the formal approval of final draft standards. Standards are developed by working groups. In general, PEFC's governance structure is more representative of industry and government stakeholders than of social or environmental groups, which gives industry and governments more influence in the decision-making process. However, the organization does include stakeholders from all sectors.

 $^{^{\}rm 37}$ Forest Stewardship Council, FSC: $\underline{\rm https://ca.fsc.org/en-ca}$

³⁸ The Brazilian Forest Certification Program (CERFLOR) was formally endorsed by PEFC in 2005 and has since formed alignment. As such, Sustainalytics' analysis of PEFC's framework, guidelines and credibility can be applied to CERFLOR. See more, at: https://www.pefc.org/discover-pefc/our-pefc-members/national-members/brazilian-forest-certification-programme-cerflor

³⁹ Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification, PEFC: https://www.pefc.org/



system. All FSC standards and policies are set by a consultative process. There is an FSC Global standard and for certain countries FSC National standards. Economic, social, and environmental interests have equal weight in the standard setting process. FSC follows the ISEAL Code of Good Practice for Setting Social and Environmental Standards. Chain-of-Custody The Chain-of-Custody (CoC) standard is evaluated by a third-party body that is accredited by FSC and compliant with international standards. CoC standard includes procedures for tracking wood origin. CoC standard includes specifications for the physical separation of certified and non-certified wood, and for the percentage of mixed content (certified and non-certified) of products. CoC certificates state the geographical location of the producer and the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the starting and finishing point of the CoC. Non-certified wood Non-certified wood FSC's Controlled Wood Standard establishes requirements to participants to establish supply-chain control systems, and documentation to avoid sourcing materials from controversial sources, including: a. the standards. Economic, social, and environmental standards. PEFC Stat ISO/IEC stoyle PEFC Stat ISO/IEC	
system. All FSC standards and policies are set by a consultative process. There is an FSC Global standard and for certain countries FSC National standards. Economic, social, and environmental interests have equal weight in the standard setting process. FSC follows the ISEAL Code of God Practice for Setting Social and Environmental Standards. Chain-of-Custody The Chain-of-Custody (CoC) standard is evaluated by a third-party body that is accredited by FSC and compliant with international standards. CoC standard includes procedures for tracking wood origin. CoC standard includes specifications for the physical separation of certified and noncertified wood, and for the percentage of mixed content (certified and non-certified) of products. CoC certificates state the geographical location of the producer and the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the standards and finishing point of the CoC. Non-certified wood Non-certified and non-certified of products. In the CoC certificates state the geographical location of the producer and the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the standards and finishing point of the CoC. Non-certified wood including point of the CoC. The Coforts about component in the producer and the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the standards against to establishes requirements to participants to establishes requirements are processed and the process was evaluated. The CoC of the fort the processed and the processed and the processed a	abolder participation is required in the
standard is evaluated by a third-party body that is accredited by FSC and compliant with international standards. CoC standard includes procedures for tracking wood origin. CoC standard includes specifications for the physical separation of certified and noncertified wood, and for the percentage of mixed content (certified and non-certified) of products. CoC certificates state the geographical location of the producer and the standards against which the process was evaluated. Certificates also state the starting and finishing point of the CoC. Non-certified wood standard establishes requirements to participants to establish supply-chain control systems, and documentation to avoid sourcing materials from controversial sources, including: a. Illegally harvested wood, including wood that is harvested without legal authorization, from protected areas, without payment of appropriate taxes and fees, using fraudulent papers and	eholder participation is required in the e of national schemes as well as in ard-setting process. Standards and documents are reviewed periodically is that do not exceed five years. The ndard Setting standard is based on Code for good practice for ation (Guide 59) ⁴⁰ and the ISEAL GOOD Practice for Setting Social and intal Standards.
establishes requirements to participants to establish supply-chain control systems, and documentation to avoid sourcing materials from controversial sources, including: a. Illegally harvested wood, including wood that is harvested without legal authorization, from protected areas, without payment of appropriate taxes and fees, using fraudulent papers and	ty or environmental management ms (ISO 9001:2008 or ISO 1:2004 respectively) may be used to ment the minimum requirements for of-custody management systems red by PEFC. accredited certification bodies can take certification. requirements include specifications sysical separation of wood and entage-based methods for products mixed content. COC standard includes specifications acking and collecting and taining documentation about the specifications entered the materials. COC standard includes specifications are physical separation of certified and tertified wood. COC standard includes specifications are physical separation of certified and tertified wood. COC standard includes specifications are procedures for dealing with blains related to participant's chain of idy.
CITES requirements, and others, b. Wood harvested in violation of traditional and civil rights, c. Wood harvested in forests where high conservation values are threatened by management activities,	C's Due Diligence System requires is to establish systems to minimize sourcing raw materials from: forest management activities that do not comply with local, national or international laws related to: operations and harvesting, including land use conversion, management of areas with designated high environmental and cultural values, protected and endangered species, including CITES species, health and labor issues, indigenous peoples' property, tenure and use rights, payment of royalties and taxes.

⁴⁰ ISO, ISO/IEC Guide 59:2019: https://www.iso.org/standard/23390.html



	and other wooded ecosystems to plantations or non-forest uses, e. Wood from management units in which genetically modified trees are planted.	c. forest conversion, including conversion of primary forests to forest plantations.
Accreditation/verification	FSC-accredited Certification Bodies (CB) conduct an initial assessment, upon successful completion companies are granted a 5-year certificate. Companies must undergo an annual audit every year and a reassessment audit every 5 years. Certification Bodies undergo annual audits from Accreditation Services International (ASI) to ensure conformance with ISO standard requirements.	Accreditation is carried out by an accreditation body (AB). Like a certification body checks a company meets the PEFC standard, the accreditation body checks that a certification body meets specific PEFC and ISO requirements. Through the accreditation process PEFC has assurance that certification bodies are independent and impartial, that they follow PEFC certification procedures. PEFC does not have their own accreditation body. Like with the majority of ISO based certifications, PEFC relies on national ABs under the umbrella of the International Accreditation Forum (IAF). National ABs need to be a member of the IAF, which means they must follow IAF's rules and regulations.
Conclusion	Sustainalytics views both FSC and PEFC as being robust, credible standards that are based on comprehensive principles and criteria that are aligned with ISO. Both schemes have received praise for their contribution to sustainable forest management practices ⁴¹ and both have also faced criticism from civil society actors. ^{42,43} In certain instances, these standards go above and beyond national regulation and are capable of providing a high level of assurance that sustainable forest management practices are in place. However, in other cases, the standards are similar or equal to national legislation and provide little additional assurance. Ultimately, the level of assurance that can be provided by either scheme is contingent upon several factors including the certification bodies conducting audits, national regulations and local context.	

⁴¹ FESPA, FSC, PEFC and ISO 38200: https://www.fespa.com/en/news-media/blog/fsc-pefc-and-iso-38200

⁴² Yale Environment 360, Greenwashed Timber: How Sustainable Forest Certification Has Failed: https://e360.yale.edu/features/greenwashed-timber-how-sustainable-forest-certification-has-failed

⁴³ EIA, PEFC: A Fig Leaf for Stolen Timber: https://eia-global.org/blog-posts/PEFC-fig-leaf-for-stolen-timber



Appendix 3: Overview and Assessment of Agricultural Certifications

	EU Organic ⁴⁴		
Background	The EU Organic Farming is a European wide label organised under the European Commission's Council Regulation (EC) no 834/2007. The regulation covers the organic production and labelling of organic products including live or unprocessed agricultural projects, processed agricultural products for use of food, feed, and vegetative propagating material and seeds for cultivation.		
Clear positive impact	Promotion of a sustainable management system that respects nature's systems, contributes to biological diversity, uses energy responsibly, respects high animal welfare standards.		
Minimum standards	The EU Organic Farming system prohibits the use of GMOs (minimum 95% GMO free), the use of ionising radiation and sets core requirements for plant production, production rules for seaweed, livestock production rules, production rules for aquaculture animals.		
Scope of certification or programme	The EU Organic Farming system addresses key risks such as substance use (e.g. pesticides, soluble fertilisers, soil conditioners or plant protection products), the maintenance and enhancement of soil life, natural soil fertility, soil stability and biodiversity, preventing and combating soil damage (compaction, erosion).		
Verification of standards and risk mitigation	Certified entities undergo audits to ensure compliance with criteria and continuous improvement at least once a year, or more often based on a risk assessment.		
Third party expertise and multi-stakeholder process	The EU Organic Farming is a government-based standard resulting from public consultations and third-party deliberations in line with the European Commission's typical legislative approach.		
Performance Display	****		

⁴⁴ European Commission, Organics at a glance: https://ec.europa.eu/info/food-farming-fisheries/farming/organic-farming/organics-glance_en



Appendix 4: Green Bond / Green Bond Programme - External Review Form

Section 1. Basic Information

Issue	er name:	Raiffei	isen Bank Romania
	en Bond ISIN or Issuer Green Bond Framewo ne, if applicable:	rk Raiffei	isen Bank Romania Green Bond Framework
Revie	ew provider's name:	Sustai	inalytics
Com	pletion date of this form:	March	2, 2021
Publi	lication date of review publication:		
Sect	ion 2. Review overview		
SCOPI	E OF REVIEW		
The fo	ollowing may be used or adapted, where appro	opriate, to s	summarise the scope of the review.
The re	eview assessed the following elements and co	onfirmed th	eir alignment with the GBP:
\boxtimes	Use of Proceeds	\boxtimes	Process for Project Evaluation and Selection
\boxtimes	Management of Proceeds		Reporting
ROLE((S) OF REVIEW PROVIDER		
\boxtimes	Consultancy (incl. 2 nd opinion)		Certification
	Verification		Rating
	Other (please specify):		
	Note: In case of multiple reviews / differen	it providers,	please provide separate forms for each reviev
EXECL	UTIVE SUMMARY OF REVIEW and/or LINK TO) FULL REV	IEW (if applicable)
	e refer to Evaluation Summary above.		

Section 3. Detailed review

Reviewers are encouraged to provide the information below to the extent possible and use the comment section to explain the scope of their review.

1. USE OF PROCEEDS

Overall comment on section (if applicable):



The eligible categories for the use of proceeds –Green Buildings, Renewable Energy, Energy Efficiency, Clean Transportation, Agriculture and Forestry – are aligned with those recognized by the Green Bond Principles 2018. Sustainalytics considers that providing financing in relation to the eligible categories will lead to positive environmental impacts and advance the UN Sustainable Development Goals ("SDGs"), specifically SDGs 2, 7, 11, and 15.

Use	e of proceeds categories as per GBP:		
\boxtimes	Renewable energy	\boxtimes	Energy efficiency
	Pollution prevention and control		Environmentally sustainable management of living natural resources and land use
	Terrestrial and aquatic biodiversity conservation	\boxtimes	Clean transportation
	Sustainable water and wastewater management		Climate change adaptation
	Eco-efficient and/or circular economy adapted products, production technologies and processes		Green buildings
	Unknown at issuance but currently expected to conform with GBP categories, or other eligible areas not yet stated in GBP	\boxtimes	Other (please specify): Agriculture and Forestry
If a	pplicable please specify the environmental taxor	nomy	, if other than GBP:
	PROCESS FOR PROJECT EVALUATION AND SELI	ECTIC	DN
	erall comment on section (if applicable):		
of 0		multi-	be responsible for the ultimate review and selection step assessment process. Sustainalytics considers actice.
Eva	luation and selection		
	Credentials on the issuer's environmental sustainability objectives		Documented process to determine that projects fit within defined categories
	Defined and transparent criteria for projects eligible for Green Bond proceeds		Documented process to identify and manage potential ESG risks associated with the project
	Summary criteria for project evaluation and selection publicly available		Other (please specify):
	Selection publicly available		
Into	ormation on Responsibilities and Accountability		



	☐ Other (please specify):												
	3. MANAGEMENT OF PROCEEDS												
	Overall comment on section (if applicable):												
	Raiffeisen Bank Romania's Group Risk Control and Portfolio Management department will be in charge of managing the net proceeds through the Loan Portfolio that will be tracked by an internal register. Raiffeiser Bank Romania will invest unallocated proceeds within the treasury, in money market instruments, cash of cash equivalents instruments. This is in line with market practice.												
	Trac	king of proceeds:											
	\boxtimes	☑ Green Bond proceeds segregated or tracked by the issuer in an appropriate manner											
	\boxtimes	Disclosure of intended types of temporary investment instruments for unallocated proceeds											
		Other (please sp	ecify).										
Additional disclosure:													
		Allocations to fut	ture investments only		Allocation investm	ons to both existing and future ents							
		Allocation to indi	vidual disbursements	\boxtimes	Allocation disburse	on to a portfolio of ements							
	\boxtimes	Disclosure of por unallocated proc			Other (p	lease specify):							
	4. RI	EPORTING											
	Over	all comment on se	ection (if applicable):										
	Raiffeisen Bank Romania intends to provide allocation reporting on its website on an annual basis until ful allocation. The allocation reporting is expected to include category-level details on the Loan Portfolio and the balance of unallocated proceeds. In addition, Raiffeisen Bank Romania is committed to reporting on relevan quantitative impact where feasible, and has provided indicative metrics within the Framework. Sustainalytics views Raiffeisen Bank Romania's allocation and impact reporting as aligned with market practice.												
	Use	of proceeds repor	ting:										
		Project-by-projec	et	\boxtimes	On a pro	iect portfolio basis							
	☐ Linkage to individual bond(s)				Other (pa	lease specify):							
	Information reported:												
			Allocated amounts			Green Bond financed share of total investment							



			Other (please specify):										
		quency:		_		0							
			Annual				Semi-annual						
			Other (please specify):										
Impact reporting:													
□ Project-by-project				\boxtimes	On a pr	oj	ect portfolio basis						
	☐ Linkage to individual bond(s)				Other (please specify):								
	Information reported (expected or ex-post):												
		\boxtimes	GHG Emissions / Savings	OI CX	⊳		Energy Savings						
			Decrease in water use				Other ESG indicators (please specify): Total land area certified by FSC and PEFC, expected annual renewable energy generation (MWh)						
	Frequency												
		\boxtimes	Annual				Semi-annual						
			Other (please specify):										
Mea	ans of Disclos	sure											
					Information published in sustainability report								
☐ Information published in ad hoc documents				\boxtimes	Other (please specify): RBRO's website								
	Reporting reviewed (if yes, please specify which parts of the reporting are subject to external review):												
Where appropriate, please specify name and date of publication in the useful links section.													
USE	FUL LINKS (e.g. to	o review provider methodolo	gy or	credentia	als	, to issuer's documentation, etc.)						
https://www.raiffeisen.ro													
SPE	CIFY OTHER	EXT	ERNAL REVIEWS AVAILABLE	E, IF A	PPROPRI	ΙA	TE						
Type(s) of Review provided:													
☐ Consultancy (incl. 2 nd opinion)				Certification									
	Verification	/ Au	dit		Rating								
П	Other (pleas	se sp	ecify):										



Review provider(s): Date of publication:

ABOUT ROLE(S) OF INDEPENDENT REVIEW PROVIDERS AS DEFINED BY THE GBP

- i. Second-Party Opinion: An institution with environmental expertise, that is independent from the issuer may issue a Second-Party Opinion. The institution should be independent from the issuer's adviser for its Green Bond framework, or appropriate procedures, such as information barriers, will have been implemented within the institution to ensure the independence of the Second-Party Opinion. It normally entails an assessment of the alignment with the Green Bond Principles. In particular, it can include an assessment of the issuer's overarching objectives, strategy, policy and/or processes relating to environmental sustainability, and an evaluation of the environmental features of the type of projects intended for the Use of Proceeds.
- ii. Verification: An issuer can obtain independent verification against a designated set of criteria, typically pertaining to business processes and/or environmental criteria. Verification may focus on alignment with internal or external standards or claims made by the issuer. Also, evaluation of the environmentally sustainable features of underlying assets may be termed verification and may reference external criteria. Assurance or attestation regarding an issuer's internal tracking method for use of proceeds, allocation of funds from Green Bond proceeds, statement of environmental impact or alignment of reporting with the GBP, may also be termed verification.
- iii. Certification: An issuer can have its Green Bond or associated Green Bond framework or Use of Proceeds certified against a recognised external green standard or label. A standard or label defines specific criteria, and alignment with such criteria is normally tested by qualified, accredited third parties, which may verify consistency with the certification criteria.
- iv. Green Bond Scoring/Rating: An issuer can have its Green Bond, associated Green Bond framework or a key feature such as Use of Proceeds evaluated or assessed by qualified third parties, such as specialised research providers or rating agencies, according to an established scoring/rating methodology. The output may include a focus on environmental performance data, the process relative to the GBP, or another benchmark, such as a 2-degree climate change scenario. Such scoring/rating is distinct from credit ratings, which may nonetheless reflect material environmental risks.



Disclaimer

Copyright ©2021 Sustainalytics. All rights reserved.

The information, methodologies and opinions contained or reflected herein are proprietary of Sustainalytics and/or its third party suppliers (Third Party Data), and may be made available to third parties only in the form and format disclosed by Sustainalytics, or provided that appropriate citation and acknowledgement is ensured. They are provided for informational purposes only and (1) do not constitute an endorsement of any product or project; (2) do not constitute investment advice, financial advice or a prospectus; (3) cannot be interpreted as an offer or indication to buy or sell securities, to select a project or make any kind of business transactions; (4) do not represent an assessment of the issuer's economic performance, financial obligations nor of its creditworthiness; and/or (5) have not and cannot be incorporated into any offering disclosure.

These are based on information made available by the issuer and therefore are not warranted as to their merchantability, completeness, accuracy, up-to-dateness or fitness for a particular purpose. The information and data are provided "as is" and reflect Sustainalytics` opinion at the date of their elaboration and publication. Sustainalytics accepts no liability for damage arising from the use of the information, data or opinions contained herein, in any manner whatsoever, except where explicitly required by law. Any reference to third party names or Third Party Data is for appropriate acknowledgement of their ownership and does not constitute a sponsorship or endorsement by such owner. A list of our third-party data providers and their of respective terms use is available on our website. For more visit http://www.sustainalytics.com/legal-disclaimers.

The issuer is fully responsible for certifying and ensuring the compliance with its commitments, for their implementation and monitoring.

In case of discrepancies between the English language and translated versions, the English language version shall prevail.



About Sustainalytics, a Morningstar Company

Sustainalytics, a Morningstar Company, is a leading ESG research, ratings and data firm that supports investors around the world with the development and implementation of responsible investment strategies. The firm works with hundreds of the world's leading asset managers and pension funds who incorporate ESG and corporate governance information and assessments into their investment processes. The world's foremost issuers, from multinational corporations to financial institutions to governments, also rely on Sustainalytics for credible second-party opinions on green, social and sustainable bond frameworks. In 2020, Climate Bonds Initiative named Sustainalytics the "Largest Approved Verifier for Certified Climate Bonds" for the third consecutive year. The firm was also recognized by Environmental Finance as the "Largest External Reviewer" in 2020 for the second consecutive year. For more information, visit www.sustainalytics.com.







Named

2015: Best SRI or Green Bond Research or Rating Firm 2017, 2018, 2019: Most Impressive Second Opinion Provider

