

Feedback for Code Reviews

CS Group 78

Category	Description	Reviewer's Comment
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	We were told that the project was not going to build, this was no big deal since we didn't have access to their hardware. They communicated this to us in time for it to not be an issue.
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	I had a hard time here, perhaps using android studio would have been better. I struggled to find out where the code that you wrote was located, and when I did I frequently found myself lost. I would suggest adding more comments and information to the readme about how the code base is organized.
Implementation	Is it shorter or easier or faster or cleaner or safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	I can't speak to this as I have zero experience writing java based or android applications. There were no simple/obvious refactorings that I could find. Is thisfile.h and thisfile.cpp necessary?
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	I think that this software is probably really hard to test due to its complexity. Module tests may help in the future, but that could be a whole other project!
Requirements	Does the code fulfil the requirements?	I think that the code achieves what the requirements lay out. Be sure when writing requirements that they can be tested and are concrete.
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	FIXME's and comments in Japanese are still present in the project. Consider adding subfolder readme's for better code base navigation.

Overall score: 3.5/4

Reviewer made mistake. When looking at comments, looks like they assigned us as CS Group 79.

Comments were all positive and did not find anything to fix.

CS Group 79

Category	Description	Reviewer's Comment
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Was not able to build the project as I do not have visual studio. This was addressed earlier and not a problem.
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	The code base was really easy to navigate and the comments provided were stellar. I didn't know anything about C# but your code was still easy to understand due to the good comments and file headers.
Implementation	Is it shorter or easier or faster or cleaner or safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	I did not see anywhere there needed to be improvements. There were some values hardcoded that I thought were weird, but that was addressed as a part of the flow of the team. Comment refers to a section that will be removed by future users.
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	No tests as a part of the project was discontinued, I see no issue for code that may not hit production.
Requirements	Does the code fulfil the requirements?	I thought that the requirements lacked specificity and testability, but that is to be expected based on where we were when the requirements were being written.
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	Nope! Great code base

Overall 4/4