

Category	Description	Reviewers Comment	Action taken by reviewed group
Build	Could you clone from Git and build using the README file?	Not Applicable.	Nothing could be done. Other groups were able to.
Legibility	Was the flow sane and were variable names and methods easy to follow? Does the code adhere to general guidelines and code style?	The new flow seemed sane, will be fine once old and old code sections ' are all properly integrated.  Would rename variables and function to better allow readers to follow the code	Renamed functions (.py)
Implementation	is it shorter/easier/faster/cleaner/ safer to write functionally equivalent code? Do you see useful abstractions?	Clear out the redundancy and streamline.  Will recommend future teams remove redundant Serial port acquisition.	Renamed functions (.py)
Maintainability	Are there unit tests? Should there be? Are the test covering interesting cases? Are they readable?	More comments. and some greater descriptors.  Renamed some variable to better be understandable.	Added comments. (.py)
Requirements	Does the code fulfill the requirements?	Kind of, the requirements have changed.  Code froze early due to covid-19 cancellation of competition .	Requirements changed due to COVID-19
Other	Are there other things that stand out that can be improved?	I though the UI was could be moved around but overall its simplicity is a strength.  Resized and moved fields to hide where map would be.	Built in a rush due to it being a last minute addition (.py)

Score: 3/4

