

Asynchronous Control for Markov Jump Lure's Systems With Control Saturation

Abstract—The abstract here.

I. INTRODUCTION

II. PRELIMINARIES

Consider a class of discrete-time MJLS with control saturation on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$:

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = A_{r(k)}x_k + F_{r(k)}\varphi(y_k) + B_{r(k)}\text{sat}(u_k) \\ \quad + E_{r(k)}^x w_k, \quad y_k = C_{r(k)}x_k, \\ z_k = C_{r(k)}^z x_k + G_{r(k)}^z \varphi(y_k) + D_{r(k)}^z \text{sat}(u_k) \\ \quad + E_{r(k)}^z w_k \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $A_{r(k)}, F_{r(k)}, B_{r(k)}, E_{r(k)}^x, C_{r(k)}^z, G_{r(k)}^z, D_{r(k)}^z$ and $E_{r(k)}^z$ represent the time-varying system matrices, all of which are pre-known and real, $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m, y_k \in \mathbb{R}^p, z_k \in \mathbb{R}^q$, and $w_k \in \mathbb{R}^r$ are respectively the state, the control input, the output related to the nonlinearity, the controlled output and the exogenous disturbance vector of system (1) at the instant k . The saturation function $\text{sat}(\cdot)$ is defined as follows:

$$\text{sat}(u)_{(\ell)} = \text{sign}(u_{(\ell)}) \min(\rho_{(\ell)}, |u_{(\ell)}|), \quad (2)$$

where $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ represent the ℓ th element of the vector and the vector $0 < \rho \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is assumed to be given. $\{r(k), k \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain taking values in a positive integer set $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with mode TPs:

$$\Pr\{r(k+1) = j | r(k) = i\} = \pi_{ij} \quad (3)$$

where for all $i, j \in \mathcal{N}$, $\pi_{ij} \in [0, 1]$, and $\sum_{j=0}^N \pi_{ij} = 1$ for each mode i . The system matrices in (1) at instant k can be expressed as $A_i, F_i, B_i, E_i^x, C_i^z, G_i^z, D_i^z$ and E_i^z , which are real known constant matrices with appropriate dimensions, and the related transition probability matrix is marked as $\Pi = \{\pi_{ij}\}$.

Assumption 1: $\varphi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$ satisfy:

- (1) $\varphi(0) = 0$ and,
- (2) there exist a diagonal positive definite matrix $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ such that $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\forall \ell \in \{1, \dots, p\}$,

$$\varphi_{(\ell)}(y)[\varphi(y) - \Omega y]_{(\ell)} \leq 0. \quad (4)$$

And we say in this case that the nonlinearity $\varphi(\cdot)$ satisfy its own cone bounded sector conditions and to be decentralized. From (4) we have $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^p$:

$$SC(y, \Lambda) := \varphi'(y) \Lambda [\varphi(y) - \Omega y] \leq 0, \quad (5)$$

where Λ is any diagonal positive semidefinite matrix, and Ω is consider to be given. From the Assumption 1, we get that:

$$[\Omega y]_{(\ell)} [\varphi(y) - \Omega y]_{(\ell)} \leq 0, \quad (6)$$

which implies:

$$0 \leq \varphi'(y) \Lambda \varphi(y) \leq \varphi'(y) \Lambda \varphi(y) \leq y' \Omega \Lambda \Omega y \quad (7)$$

Definition 1: System (1) is said to be locally stochastically stable if for $w(k) = 0$ and any initial condition $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0$, $r(0) \in \mathcal{N}$, the following holds:

$$\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\|x_k\|^2] < \infty. \quad (8)$$

In this case the set $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is said to be the domain of stochastic stability of the origin.

Set \mathcal{F}_k as the σ -field generated by the random variables x_k and $r(k)$. We define next the class of exogenous disturbances with bounded energy and the finite ℓ_2 -induced norm.

Definition 2: For $\gamma > 0$, set \mathcal{W}_γ is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{W}_\gamma := \{w = \{w_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}; w_k \in \mathbb{R}^m, k \in \mathbb{N}, w_k \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_k\text{-measurable, and } \|w\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(\|w_k\|)^2 < \frac{1}{\gamma}\}. \quad (9)$$

The finite ℓ_2 -induced gain associated with the closed loop system (1) with $x_0 = 0$ between the disturbance $w = \{w_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and controlled output $z = \{z_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equal or less than $\sqrt{\varrho}$ if for every $w \in \mathcal{W}_\gamma$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} \|z\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\|z_k\|^2] \leq \|w\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[\|w_k\|^2]. \quad (10)$$

In this paper, we consider the following controller:

$$u_k = K_{\sigma(k)} x_k + \Gamma_{\sigma(k)} \varphi(y_k) \quad (11)$$

where $K_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathbb{N}^{m \times n}$ is a time-varying matrix standing for the controller gain matrix, and the $\Gamma_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathbb{N}^{n_u \times n_y}$ is a time-varying matrix standing for nonlinear output feedback gains, and the parameter $\{\sigma(k), k \geq 0\}$ takes values in another pre-given positive integer set, which is marked as $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ subject to the pre-known conditional probability matrix $\Phi = \{\mu_{im}\}$, the probabilities of which are defined by

$$\Pr\{\sigma(k) = m | r(k) = i\} = \mu_{im}. \quad (12)$$

Where for all $i \in \mathcal{N}, m \in \mathcal{M}, \mu_{im} \in [0, 1]$, and $\sum_{m=1}^M \mu_{im} = 1$ for each $i \in \mathcal{N}$.

Remark 1: In practice, the information of the system modes can not be fully accessed to controller or not fully accurate, that is, the actual modes of system are hidden to the controller, which leads to the controller don't synchronize with system modes. Thus, we introduce $\sigma(k)$ to present the relationship between system modes and controller modes, and the set $(r(k), \sigma(k), \Pi, \Phi)$ constructs a hidden Markov model.

In this paper, we define the dead-zone nonlinearity $\delta(u)$ as follows: $\delta(u) = u - \text{sat}(u)$, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Combing the asynchronous controller (11) and system (1) we have the following closed system.

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = (A_i + B_i K_m) x_k + (F_i + B_i \Gamma_m) \varphi(y_k) \\ \quad - B \delta(u_k) + E_i^x w_k \\ z_k = (C_i^z + D_i^z K_m) x_k + (G_i^z + D_i^z \Gamma_m) \varphi(y_k) \\ \quad - D_i^z \delta(u_k) + E_i^z w_k \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

We consider a generalized local sector condition $\delta(u) = u - \text{sat}(u)$, and use ρ to denotes the bounds of the saturation function $\text{sat}(u)$, for given matrices $H_m \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$, we define the set $\mathcal{S}(H_m, \rho) := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x+n_y}; -\rho \leq H_m \xi \leq \rho, \forall m \in \mathcal{M}\}$.

Lemma 3. Consider $m \times (n+p)$ -matrices $\widehat{K}_m = [\widehat{K}_m \ \widehat{\Gamma}_m]$ and $\widehat{J}_m = [\widehat{J}_{1,m} \ \widehat{J}_{2,m}]$. If $\widehat{x} = [x' \ \varphi'(C_i x)]' \in \mathcal{S}(\widehat{K}_m - \widehat{J}_m, \rho)$, then for $u = K_m x + \Gamma_m \varphi(C_i x)$ we have that the nonlinearity $\delta(u)$ satisfies the following sector condition for any diagonal positive definite matrix $T_m \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$:

$$SC_u(i, m, u, x, T_m) := \delta'(u) T_m^{-1} [\delta(u) - \widehat{J}_m \widehat{x}] \leq 0. \quad (14)$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Kopka and P. W. Daly, *A Guide to L^AT_EX*, 3rd ed. Harlow, England: Addison-Wesley, 1999.