Asychronous Control for Markov Jump Lure's Systems With Control Saturation

Abstract—The abstract here.

I. Introduction

II. PRELIMINARIES

Consider a class of discrete-time MJLS with control saturation on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$:

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = A_{r(k)}x_k + F_{r(k)}\varphi(y_k) + B_{r(k)}\operatorname{sat}(u_k) \\ + E_{r(k)}^x w_k, \quad y_k = C_{r(k)}x_k, \\ z_k = C_{r(k)}^z x_k + G_{r(k)}^z \varphi(y_k) + D_{r(k)}^z \operatorname{sat}(u_k) \\ + E_{r(k)}^z w_k \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^m, y_k \in \mathbb{R}^p, z_k \in \mathbb{R}^q$, and $w_k \in \mathbb{R}^r$ represent the state, the control input, the output related to the nonlinearity, the controlled output and the exogenous disturbance vector respectively. $A_{r(k)}, F_{r(k)}, B_{r(k)}, E^x_{r(k)}, C^z_{r(k)}, G^z_{r(k)}, D^z_{r(k)}$ and $E^z_{r(k)}$ represent the time-varying system matrices, all of which are preknown and real. The saturation function $\operatorname{sat}(\cdot)$ is defined as follows:

$$sat(u)_{(\ell)} = sign(u_{(\ell)}) \min(\rho_{(\ell)}, |u_{(\ell)}|),$$
 (2)

where $\ell \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$ represent the ℓ th element of the vector and the pre-given vector $\rho > 0$. $\{r(k), k \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain taking values in a positive integer set $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with mode TPs:

$$\Pr\{r(k+1) = j | r(k) = i\} = \pi_{ij} \tag{3}$$

Clearly, for all $i, j \in \mathcal{N}$, $\pi_{ij} \in [0, 1]$, and $\sum_{j=0}^{N} \pi_{ij} = 1$ for each mode i. The system matrices in (1) at instant k can be expressed as $A_i, F_i, B_i, E_i^x, C_i^z, G_i^z, D_i^z$ and E_i^z , which are real known constant matrices with appropriate dimensions, and the related transition probability matrix is described as $\Pi = \{\pi_{ij}\}$.

Assumption 1: The function $\varphi(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^p \to \mathbb{R}^p$ satisfies:

- (1) $\varphi(0) = 0$ and
- (2) there exists a diagonal positive definite matrix $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ such that:

$$\varphi_{(\ell)}(y)[\varphi(y) - \Omega y]_{(\ell)} \le 0. \tag{4}$$

where for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\ell \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. And in this case we say that the nonlinearity $\varphi(\cdot)$ satisfies it's own cone bounded sector conditions and to be decentralized. According to (4) we have:

$$SC(y, \Lambda) := \varphi'(y)\Lambda[\varphi(y) - \Omega y] \le 0,$$
 (5)

where \varLambda is any diagonal positive semidefinite matrix, and \varOmega is pre-given. From the Assumption 1, we get:

$$[\Omega y]_{(\ell)}[\varphi(y) - \Omega y]_{(\ell)} \le 0, \tag{6}$$

which implies:

$$0 \le \varphi'(y) \Lambda \varphi(y) \le \varphi'(y) \Lambda \varphi(y) \le y' \Omega \Lambda \Omega y \tag{7}$$

Definition 1: System (1) is said to be locally stochastically stable if for $w_(k) = 0$ and any initial condition $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0$, $r(0) \in \mathcal{N}$, the following formulation holds:

$$||x||_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[||x_k||^2] < \infty.$$
 (8)

Where in this case the set $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is said to be the domain of stochastic stability of the origin.

Define \mathcal{F}_k as the σ -field generated by the random variables x_k and r(k). We define next the class of exogenous disturbances with bounded energy and the finite ℓ_2 -induced norm.

Definition 2: For $\gamma > 0$, set W_{γ} is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\gamma} := \{w = \{w_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}; w_k \in \mathbb{R}^m, k \in \mathbb{N}, w_k \text{ is}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_k\text{-measureable, and } \|w\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(\|w_k\|)^2 < \frac{1}{\gamma}\}. \tag{9}$$

The finite ℓ_2 -induced gain associated with the closed-loop system (1) with $x_0=0$ between the disturbance $w=\{w_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and controlled output $z=\{z_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is equal or less than $\sqrt{\varrho}$ if for every $w\in\mathcal{W}_\gamma$ then we have

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} \|z\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|z_k\|^2 \right] \le \|w\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|w_k\|^2 \right]. \quad (10)$$

In this paper, we consider the following controller:

$$u_k = K_{\sigma(k)} x_k + \Gamma_{\sigma(k)} \varphi(y_k) \tag{11}$$

where $K_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathbb{N}^{m \times n}$ is a time-varying controller gain matrix, and $\Gamma_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathbb{N}^{m \times p}$ is a time-varying nonlinear output feedback gain matrix. The parameter $\{\sigma(k), k \geq 0\}$ takes values in another pre-given positive integer set, which is marked as $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ subject to the pre-known conditional probability matrix $\Phi = \{\mu_{im}\}$, the probabilities of which are defined by

$$\Pr\{\sigma(k) = m | r(k) = i\} = \mu_{im}.$$
 (12)

Where for all $i \in \mathcal{N}, m \in \mathcal{M}, \mu_{im} \in [0,1]$, and $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_{im} = 1$ for each $i \in \mathcal{N}$.

In this paper, we define the dead-zone nonlinearity $\delta(u)$ as follows: $\delta(u) = u - \operatorname{sat}(u)$, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Combing the asynchronous controller (11) and system (1) we have the following closed system:

$$\begin{cases}
 x_{k+1} = (A_i + B_i K_m) x_k + (F_i + B_i \Gamma_m) \varphi(y_k) \\
 - B \delta(u_k) + E_i^x w_k \\
 z_k = (C_i^z + D_i^z K_m) x_k + (G_i^z + D_i^z \Gamma_m) \varphi(y_k) \\
 - D_i^z \delta(u_k) + E_i^z w_k
\end{cases}$$
(13)

We consider a generalized local sector condition $\delta(u)=u-\mathrm{sat}(u)$, and use ρ to denote the bounds of saturation function $\mathrm{sat}(u)$. For given matrices $H_m \in \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$, we define the set $\mathcal{S}(H_m,\rho):=\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}^{n_x+n_y}; -\rho\leq H_m\xi\leq\rho, \forall m\in\mathcal{M}\}.$ Lemma 3. Consider matrices $\widehat{K_m}=[K_m\ \Gamma_m]\in\mathbb{N}^{m\times(n+p)}$ and $\widehat{J_m}=[J_{1,m}\ J_{2,m}]\in\mathbb{N}^{m\times(n+p)}.$ If $\widehat{x}=[x'\ \varphi'(C_ix)]'\in\mathcal{S}(\widehat{K_m}-\widehat{J_m},\rho),$ for $u=K_mx+\Gamma_m\varphi(C_ix)$ we have that the nonlinearity $\delta(u)$ satisfies the following sector condition for any diagonal positive definite matrix $T_m\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times m}$:

$$SC_u(i, m, u, x, T_m) := \delta'(u)T_m^{-1}[\delta(u) - \widehat{J_m}\widehat{x}] \le 0.$$
 (14)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

REFERENCES

 H. Kopka and P. W. Daly, A Guide to LTEX, 3rd ed. Harlow, England: Addison-Wesley, 1999.