

# Asynchronous Control for Markov Jump Lure's Systems With Control Saturation

**Abstract**—The abstract here.

## I. INTRODUCTION

This demo file is intended to serve as a “starter file” for IEEE conference papers produced under L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X using IEEE-tran.cls version 1.8b and later. I wish you the best of success.

mds

August 26, 2015

### A. Subsection Heading Here

Subsection text here.

1) *Subsubsection Heading Here:* Subsubsection text here.

## II. PRELIMINARIES

Consider a discrete-time MJLS with both actuator and sensor saturations on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$ :

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = A_{r(k)}x_k + F_{r(k)}\varphi(y_k) + B_{r(k)}\text{sat}(u_k) \\ \quad + E_{r(k)}^x w_k, \quad y_k = C_{r(k)}x_k, \\ z_k = C_{r(k)}^z x_k + G_{r(k)}^z \varphi(y_k) + D_{r(k)}^z \text{sat}(u_k) + E_{r(k)}^z w_k \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ ,  $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ ,  $y_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ ,  $z_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$ , and  $w_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$  are respectively the state, the control input, the output related to the nonlinearity, the controlled output and the exogenous disturbance vector of the system (1) at the instant  $k$ . The saturation function  $\text{sat}(\cdot)$  is defined as follows: for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}_u^n$ ,  $\text{sat}(u)_{(\ell)} = \text{sign}(u)_{(\ell)} \min(\rho_{(\ell)}, |(u)_{(\ell)}|)$ ,  $\forall \ell = 1, \dots, n_u$ , where the vector  $0 < \rho < \mathbb{R}_u^n$  is assumed to be given.  $\{r(k), k \geq 0\}$  is a Markov chain taking values in a finite set  $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  with mode TPs:

$$\Pr\{r(k+1) = j | r(k) = i\} = \pi_{ij} \quad (2)$$

where  $\pi_{ij} \geq 0$ ,  $\forall i, j \in \mathcal{N}$ , and related transition probability matrix is given by  $\Pi = \{\pi_{ij}\}$ , the system matrices in (1) can be expressed as  $A_i, F_i, B_i, E_i^x, C_i^z, G_i^z, D_i^z$  and  $E_i^z$ , which are real known constant matrices with appropriate dimensions.

In this paper, we consider the following controller:

$$u_k = K_{\sigma(k)}x_k + \Gamma_{\sigma(k)}\varphi(y_k) \quad (3)$$

where  $K_{\sigma(k)}$  is a time-varying matrix standing for the controller gain matrix, and the parameter  $\{\sigma(k), k \geq 0\}$  takes values in another pre-given positive integer set, which is marked as  $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$  subject to the pre-known conditional probability matrix  $\Omega = \{\mu_{im}\}$ , the probabilities of which are defined by

$$\Pr\{\sigma(k) = m | r(k) = i\} = \mu_{im}. \quad (4)$$

Where the conditional probability  $\mu_{im} \geq 0$ ,  $\forall i \in \mathcal{N}, m \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\sum_{m=1}^M \mu_{im} = 1$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{N}$ .

**Assumption 1:** For each mode  $i \in \mathcal{N}$ ,  $\varphi_i(\cdot) : \mathbb{R}^{n_y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\varphi_i(0) = 0$  and
- (2) exist  $N$  diagonal positive definite matrices  $\Omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y \times n_y}$  such that  $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$  and  $\forall \ell = 1, \dots, n_y$ ,

$$\varphi_{i,(\ell)}(y)[\varphi_i(y) - \Omega_i y]_{(\ell)} \leq 0. \quad (5)$$

We say in this case that the  $N$  nonlinearities  $\phi_i(\cdot)$  satisfy their own cone bounded sector conditions and to be decentralized. From (5) we have  $\forall i \in \mathcal{N}, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ :

$$SC(i, x, \Lambda_i) = \varphi_i^T(C_i x) \Lambda_i [\varphi_i(C_i x) - \Omega_i C_i x] \leq 0, \quad (6)$$

where  $\Lambda_i$  are any diagonal positive semidefinite matrices. Note that matrices  $\Omega_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y \times n_y}$  are defined by the designer and, thus, they are considered to be given. From the II, we have

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank...

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Kopka and P. W. Daly, *A Guide to L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X*, 3rd ed. Harlow, England: Addison-Wesley, 1999.