Asychronous Control for Markov Jump Lure's Systems With Control Saturation

Abstract—The abstract here.

I. INTRODUCTION

II. PRELIMINARIES

Consider a class of discrete-time MJLS on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$:

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = A_{r(k)}x_k + F_{r(k)}\varphi(y_k) + B_{r(k)}u_k \\ + E_{r(k)}^x w_k, \quad y_k = C_{r(k)}x_k, \\ z_k = C_{r(k)}^z x_k + G_{r(k)}^z \varphi(y_k) + D_{r(k)}^z u_k \\ + E_{r(k)}^z w_k \end{cases}$$
(1)

where $x_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}, u_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}, y_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}, z_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z}$, and $w_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_w}$ represent the state, the control input, the output related to the nonlinearity, the controlled output and the exogenous disturbance vector respectively. $A_{r(k)}, F_{r(k)}, B_{r(k)}, E^x_{r(k)}, C^z_{r(k)}, G^z_{r(k)}, D^z_{r(k)}$ and $E^z_{r(k)}$ represent the time-varying system matrices, all of which are preknown and real. $\{r(k), k \geq 0\}$ is a Markov chain taking values in a positive integer set $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with mode TPs:

$$\Pr\{r(k+1) = i | r(k) = i\} = \pi_{ii}$$
 (2)

Clearly, for all $i, j \in \mathcal{N}$, $\pi_{ij} \in [0, 1]$, and $\sum_{j=0}^{N} \pi_{ij} = 1$ for each mode i. The system matrices in (1) at instant k can be expressed as $A_i, F_i, B_i, E_i^x, C_i^z, G_i^z, D_i^z$ and E_i^z , which are real known constant matrices with appropriate dimensions, and the related transition probability matrix is described as $\Pi = \{\pi_{ij}\}.$

Assumption 1: The function $\varphi(\cdot): \mathbb{R}^{n_y} \to \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ satisfies:

- (1) $\varphi(0) = 0$ and
- (2) there exists a diagonal positive definite matrix $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ such that:

$$\varphi_{(\ell)}(y)[\varphi(y) - \Omega y]_{(\ell)} \le 0. \tag{3}$$

where for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\ell \in \{1, ..., p\}$. And in this case we say that the nonlinearity $\varphi(\cdot)$ satisfies it's own cone bounded sector conditions and to be decentralized. According to (3) we have:

$$SC(y, \Lambda) := \varphi'(y)\Lambda[\varphi(y) - \Omega y] \le 0,$$
 (4)

where Λ is any diagonal positive semidefinite matrix, and Ω is pre-given. From the Assumption 1, we get:

$$[\Omega y]_{(\ell)}[\varphi(y) - \Omega y]_{(\ell)} \le 0, \tag{5}$$

which implies:

$$0 \le \varphi'(y) \Lambda \varphi(y) \le \varphi'(y) \Lambda \Omega y \le y' \Omega \Lambda \Omega y \tag{6}$$

Definition 1: System (1) is said to be locally stochastically stable if for w(k) = 0 and any initial condition $x_0 \in \mathcal{D}_0$, $r_0 \in \mathcal{N}$, the following formulation holds:

$$||x||_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}[||x_k||^2] < \infty.$$
 (7)

Where in this case the set $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is said to be the domain of stochastic stability of the origin.

Define \mathcal{F}_k as the σ -field generated by the random variables x_k and r(k). We define next the class of exogenous disturbances with bounded energy and the finite ℓ_2 -induced norm.

Definition 2: For $\gamma > 0$, set W_{γ} is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{W}_{\gamma} := \{ w = \{ w_k \}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}; w_k \in \mathbb{R}^m, k \in \mathbb{N}, w_k \text{ is}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_k\text{-measureable, and } \|w\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(\|w_k\|)^2 < \frac{1}{\gamma} \}.$$
 (8)

The finite ℓ_2 -induced gain associated with the closed-loop system (1) with $x_0=0$ between the disturbance $w=\{w_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and controlled output $z=\{z_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is equal or less than $\sqrt{\varrho}$ if for every $w\in\mathcal{W}_\gamma$ then we have

$$\frac{1}{\varrho} \|z\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{\varrho} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|z_k\|^2 \right] \le \|w\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\|w_k\|^2 \right]. \tag{9}$$

In this paper, we consider the following controller:

$$u_k = K_{\sigma(k)} x_k + \Gamma_{\sigma(k)} \varphi(y_k) \tag{10}$$

where $K_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathbb{N}^{n_u \times n_x}$ is a time-varying controller gain matrix, and $\Gamma_{\sigma(k)} \in \mathbb{N}^{n_u \times n_y}$ is a time-varying nonlinear output feedback gain matrix. The parameter $\{\sigma(k), k \geq 0\}$ takes values in another pre-given positive integer set, which is marked as $\mathcal{M} = \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ subject to the pre-known conditional probability matrix $\Phi = \{\mu_{im}\}$, the probabilities of which are defined by

$$\Pr\{\sigma(k) = \phi | r(k) = i\} = \mu_{i\phi}. \tag{11}$$

Where for all $i \in \mathcal{N}$, $\phi \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mu_{i\phi} \in [0, 1]$, and $\sum_{\phi=1}^{M} \mu_{i\phi} = 1$ for each $i \in \mathcal{N}$.

Combing the asynchronous controller (10) and system (1) we have the following closed system:

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = \bar{A}_{i\theta}x_k + \bar{F}_{i\theta}\varphi_i(C_ix_k) + E_i^x w_k \\ z_k = \bar{C}_{i\theta}x_k + \bar{G}_{i\theta}\varphi_i(C_ix_k) + E_i^z w_k \end{cases}$$
(12)

Where, for $i \in \mathcal{N}, \phi \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\bar{A}_{i\phi} = A_i + B_i K_{\phi}, \qquad \bar{F}_{i\phi} = F_i + B_i \Gamma_{i\phi}$$
$$\bar{C}^z_{i\phi} = C^z_i + D^z_i K_{\phi}, \qquad \bar{G}^z_{i\phi} = G^z_i + D^z_i \Gamma_{\phi}$$

III. MAIN RESULT

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1} = \bar{A}_{i\theta}x_k + \bar{F}_{i\theta}\varphi_i(C_ix_k) + E_i^x w_k \\ z_k = \bar{C}_{i\theta}x_k + \bar{G}_{i\theta}\varphi_i(C_ix_k) + E_i^z w_k \end{cases}$$

System (12) is stochastically stable, if for all $i \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\theta \in$ \mathcal{M} , there exist positive definite matrices $\bar{P}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x}$, $R_{i\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n_x + n_y) \times (n_x + n_y)}$, matrices $K_{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u \times n_x}$, $\Gamma_{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u \times n_y}$ and positive semidefinite matrix $T_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ to ensure (13) and (14) hold.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -R_{i\theta} & \mathscr{H}_{i\phi} \\ * & \mathscr{P}_i \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_{i\phi} & \mathcal{N}_{i\phi} \\ * & \mathcal{L}_{i\phi} \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -R_{i\theta} & \sqrt{\pi_{i1}} \hat{A}'_{i\theta} & \cdots & \sqrt{\pi_{iN}} \hat{A}'_{i\theta} \\ \sqrt{\pi_{i1}} A_{i\theta} & -\bar{P}_1 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \sqrt{\pi_{iN}} A_{i\theta} & & -\bar{P}_N \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

$$(16) \text{ m}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \sqrt{\pi_{iN}}A_{i\theta} & \cdots \\ -\bar{P}_N \end{bmatrix} < 0 \qquad \underbrace{\begin{cases} -\bar{P}_{i} \\ * H_{i\theta} \end{cases}}_{i\theta} \begin{bmatrix} A_{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \\ (16) \text{ multiply } (x_k, x_k, \varphi_i(C_ix_k))' \text{ on left and it's tron the right. We get} \\ x_k \sum_{\theta=1}^M u_{i\theta}R_{i\theta}\hat{x}_k - x_k'P_ix_k - 2SC(i, x_k, T_i) < 0 \\ According (13), \text{ By Schur complements. We get:} \\ According (13), By Schur complements. We get: \\ According (13), By Schur complements. We get: \\ -R_{iM}\hat{A}_{i\theta} \sum_{j=1}^N \pi_{ij}P_j\hat{A}_{i\theta} < R_{i\theta} \\ -R_{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \\ \vdots \\ ACKNOWLEDGMENT \\ The authors would like to thank... \\ REFERENCES \\ Addison-Wesley, 1999. \end{aligned}$$
 where

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{i\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\pi_{i1}} A_{i\phi} \\ \sqrt{\pi_{i2}} \hat{A}_{i\phi} \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\pi_{i_N}} \hat{A}_{i\phi} \end{bmatrix}, \mathcal{P}_{i\phi} = \operatorname{diag} \{ -\bar{P}_1, -\bar{P}_2, \dots, -\bar{P}_N \}$$

$$\hat{A}_{i\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{A}_{i\theta} & \bar{F}_{i\theta} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{i\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} -P_i & 0 & 0 \\ * & -I & C_i' \Delta_i T_i \\ * & * & -2T_i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{i\phi} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{u_{i1}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{P}_i \\ R_{i1} \end{bmatrix} & \dots & \sqrt{u_{iM}} \begin{bmatrix} \bar{P}_i \\ R_{iM} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{i\phi} = \operatorname{diag} \{ \begin{bmatrix} -I \\ -R_{i1} \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} -I \\ -R_{iM} \end{bmatrix} \}$$

$$H_{i\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} -I & C_i' \Delta_i T_i \\ * & -2T_i \end{bmatrix}$$

Proof: Construct a Lyapunov function in the form of (15).

$$V(k, x_k, r(k)) = x_k' P_{r(k)} x_k$$
 (15)

Where $P_{r(k)} = \bar{P}_{r(k)}^{-1}$. Denoting $h_{i\phi}$ diag $\{P_i, I_{n_x+n_y}, \{\text{diag}(I_{n_x}, R_{i\phi}^{-1})_{\phi=1}^M\}\}$

(14) mulitply $diag(P_i, I_{nx+ny}, \{diag(I_{nx}, R_{i\theta}^{-1})\}_{\theta=1}^M))$ in the left and right with it's transpose, then we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -P_i & 0 \\ * & H_{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} & \sqrt{u_{i1}} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ & I \end{bmatrix} & \cdots & \sqrt{u_{iM}} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ & I \end{bmatrix} \\ \sqrt{u_{i1}} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ & I \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -I \\ & -R_{i1}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ \sqrt{u_{iM}} \begin{bmatrix} I \\ & I \end{bmatrix} & \begin{bmatrix} -I \\ & -R_{iM}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} < 0$$

According to Schur we get:

$$\sum_{\theta=1}^{M} u_{i\theta} \begin{bmatrix} I & \\ & R_{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -Pi & \\ & H_{i\theta} \end{bmatrix} < 0 \tag{16}$$

(16) multiply $(x_k, x_k, \varphi_i(C_i x_k))'$ on left and it's transpose

$$\hat{x}_k \sum_{\theta=1}^{M} u_{i\theta} R_{i\theta} \hat{x}_k - x_k^{'} P_i x_k - 2SC(i, x_k, T_i) < 0$$

According (13), By Schur complements. We get:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} -I \\ -R_{iM} \end{bmatrix}$ [1] H. Kopka and P. W. Daly, A Guide to ETEX, 3rd ed. Harlow, England: Addison-Wesley, 1999.