

# Module Guide for IP simulator

Mina Mahdipour

March 21, 2023

# 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
March 1, 2023	1.0	Created the document and fill initial data
March 9, 2023	1.1	Updated modules and added module hierarchy diagram
March 17, 2023	1.2	Merged two ODE modules and updated the document based on that.

## 2 Reference Material

This section records information for easy reference.

### 2.1 Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
AC	Anticipated Change
IP	Inverted Pendulum
M	Module
MG	Module Guide
ODE	Ordinary Differential Equation
OS	Operating System
R	Requirement
SC	Scientific Computing
SRS	Software Requirements Specification
UC	Unlikely Change

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Revision History</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Reference Material</b>	<b>ii</b>
2.1	Abbreviations and Acronyms . . . . .	ii
<b>3</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Anticipated and Unlikely Changes</b>	<b>2</b>
4.1	Anticipated Changes . . . . .	2
4.2	Unlikely Changes . . . . .	2
<b>5</b>	<b>Module Hierarchy</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Connection Between Requirements and Design</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Module Decomposition</b>	<b>4</b>
7.1	Hardware Hiding Modules (M1) . . . . .	4
7.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module . . . . .	5
7.2.1	Input Parameters Module (M2) . . . . .	5
7.2.2	Constant Parameter Module (M3) . . . . .	5
7.2.3	Output Parameters Module (M4) . . . . .	5
7.2.4	Motion ODE Module (M5) . . . . .	6
7.2.5	IP Control Module(M6) . . . . .	6
7.3	Software Decision Module . . . . .	6
7.3.1	ODE Solver Module (M7) . . . . .	6
7.3.2	Plotting Module (M8) . . . . .	7
7.3.3	Data Structure Module (M9) . . . . .	7
<b>8</b>	<b>Traceability Matrix</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Use Hierarchy Between Modules</b>	<b>8</b>

## List of Tables

1	Module Hierarchy . . . . .	4
2	Trace Between Requirements and Modules . . . . .	7
3	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules . . . . .	8

## List of Figures

1	Use hierarchy among modules . . . . .	8
---	---------------------------------------	---

### 3 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team [3]. We advocate a decomposition based on the principle of information hiding [1]. This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes. Design for change is valuable in SC, where modifications are frequent, especially during initial development as the solution space is explored.

Our design follows the rules layed out by [3], as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is implemented in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

After completing the first stage of the design, the Software Requirements Specification (SRS), the Module Guide (MG) is developed [3]. The MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility, and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

The author uses the [4] as a reference. The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 4 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 5 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 6 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 7 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 8 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 9 describes the use relation between modules.

## 4 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 4.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 4.2.

### 4.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes are the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the one module that hides the associated decision. The approach adapted here is called design for change. Anticipated changes are numbered by **AC** followed by a number.

**AC1:** The specific hardware on which the software is running.

**AC2:** The format of the initial input data.

**AC3:** The format of the input parameters.

**AC4:** The constraints on the input parameters.

**AC5:** The format of the final output data.

**AC6:** The choice of data structures used for different operations on the data.

**AC7:** The constraints on the output results.

**AC8:** How the governing Ordinary Differential Equation (ODE) for the cart is defined using the input parameters.

**AC9:** How the governing ODE for the pendulum is defined using the input parameters.

**AC10:** The algorithm used for solving the equations of motions ODEs.

**AC11:** How the plotting of the output is implemented.

**AC12:** The overall control of the calculation.

### 4.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, a general system is more complex. Sometimes this complexity is not necessary. Fixing some design decisions at the system architecture stage can simplify the software design. If these decision should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. Hence, it is not intended that these decisions will be changed.

**UC1:** Input/Output devices (Input: File and/or Keyboard, Output: File, Memory, and/or Screen).

**UC2:** There will always be a source of input data external to the software.

**UC3:** Output data are displayed to the output device.

**UC4:** The goal of the system is to calculate the position of the cart and the angle of pendulum.

**UC5:** The equation of motion of the cart and pendulum can be defined using parameters defined in the input parameters module.

**UC6:** How the equations of motions of the cart and the pendulum are defined using the specification of the system due to laws of Physics.

## 5 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 1. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented. Modules are numbered by **M** followed by a number.

**M1:** Hardware-Hiding Module

**M2:** Input Parameters Module

**M3:** Constant Parameter Module

**M4:** Output Parameters Module

**M5:** Motion ODE Module

**M6:** IP Control Module

**M7:** ODE Solver Module

**M8:** Plotting Module

**M9:** Data Structure Module

Note that **M1** is a commonly used module and is already implemented by the operating system. It will not be reimplemented. Similarly, **M7**, **M8** and **M9** are already available in Python and will not be reimplemented.

Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding Module	
	Input Parameters Module
	Output Parameters Module
Behaviour-Hiding Module	Constant Parameter Module
	Motion ODE Module
	IP Control Module
	ODE Solver Module
Software Decision Module	Data Structure Module
	Plotting Module

Table 1: Module Hierarchy

## 6 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements described in the [SRS](#). In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in [Table 2](#).

## 7 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by Parnas et al. [3]. The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. *IP Simulator* means the module will be implemented by the IP Simulator software.

Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (–) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented.

### 7.1 Hardware Hiding Modules (M1)

**Secrets:** The data structure and algorithm used to implement the virtual hardware.

**Services:** Serves as a virtual hardware used by the rest of the system. This module provides the interface between the hardware and the software. So, the system can use it to display outputs or to accept inputs.

**Implemented By:** OS



## 7.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

**Secrets:** The contents of the required behaviours.

**Services:** Includes programs that provide externally visible behaviour of the system as specified in the [SRS](#) documents. This module serves as a communication layer between the hardware-hiding module and the software decision module. The programs in this module will need to change if there are changes in the SRS.

**Implemented By:** –

### 7.2.1 Input Parameters Module (M2)

**Secrets:** The input data required for IP Simulator to run the simulation.

**Services:** This module reads input data from input file (including the mass of the pendulum, the mass of the cart, the length of the pendulum, the friction of the cart, and the external force as a function of time) and stores them in the data structures. Then checks if the physical and software constraints are met. It throws a related error if inputs are not valid.

**Implemented By:** IP Simulator

**Type of Module:** Abstract Object

### 7.2.2 Constant Parameter Module (M3)

**Secrets:** The constant values used in the code.

**Services:** Stores all the constant values, including constraints and conditions on the input/output values that are mentioned in the table of specification parameters in the SRS document.

**Implemented By:** IP Simulator

**Type of Module:** Record

### 7.2.3 Output Parameters Module (M4)

**Secrets:** The format and structure of the output data.

**Services:** Outputs the results of the calculations, including the position of the cart and the angle of the pendulum over time, stores them and verifies that the output parameters comply with physical and software constraints.

**Implemented By:** IP Simulator

**Type of Module:** Abstract Object

#### 7.2.4 Motion ODE Module (M5)

**Secrets:** The ODEs for finding the position of the cart and the angle of the pendulum, using the input parameters. The equations are coupled to each other, so this module is responsible for defining both.

**Services:** Defines the ODE using the parameters in the input parameters module.

**Implemented By:** IP Simulator

**Type of Module:** Abstract Object

#### 7.2.5 IP Control Module(M6)

**Secrets:** Execution flow of the IP Simulator.

**Services:** Provides the main program and calls the different modules in the appropriate order.

**Implemented By:** IP Simulator

**Type of Module:** Abstract Object

### 7.3 Software Decision Module

**Secrets:** The design decision based on mathematical theorems, physical facts, or programming considerations. The secrets of this module are *not* described in the SRS.

**Services:** Includes data structure and algorithms used in the system that do not provide direct interaction with the user.

**Implemented By:** –

#### 7.3.1 ODE Solver Module (M7)

**Secrets:** The algorithm to solve a system of first or higher orders ODEs with initial values.

**Services:** Solves an ODE using the governing equation, initial conditions, and numerical parameters.

**Implemented By:** Python

**Type of Module:** Library

### 7.3.2 Plotting Module (M8)

**Secrets:** The data structures and algorithms for plotting the output data.

**Services:** Provides a plot function.

**Implemented By:** Python

**Type of Module:** Library

### 7.3.3 Data Structure Module (M9)

**Secrets:** The data structure for storing data types.

**Services:** Provides creating an array, reading a specific entry, manipulating, including building an array, and storing the data.

**Implemented By:** Python

**Type of Module:** Library

## 8 Traceability Matrix

Table 3 shows the traceability matrix between the modules and the anticipated changes. The traceability matrix between the modules the requirements has been shown table 2.

Req.	Modules
R1	M1, M2, M6
R2	M2, M3, M6
R3	M3, M5, M6, M7, M9
R4	M1, M4, M6, M8

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

AC	Modules
AC1	M1
AC2	M2
AC3	M2
AC4	M2, M3
AC5	M3, M4
AC6	M9
AC7	M4
AC8	M3, M7
AC9	M3, M7
AC10	M7
AC11	M8
AC12	M6

Table 3: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

## 9 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. [2] said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a tree. Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.




Figure 1: Use hierarchy among modules

## References

- [1] David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, 15(2):1053–1058, December 1972.
- [2] David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.
- [3] D.L. Parnas, P.C. Clement, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 408–419, 1984.
- [4] W. Spencer Smith. A rational document driven design process for scientific computing software. In Jeffrey C. Carver, editor, *Software Engineering for Science*, chapter Section I – Examples of the Application of Traditional Software Engineering Practices to Science. Submitted 2016. 30 pp.