

Functional programming

Part two!

Higher-order function basics (1)

- Functions with multiple arguments are usually defined using the notion of currying
- That is, arguments are taken one at a time by exploiting the fact that functions can return functions
- Example:

```
add :: Int -> Int -> Int  
add x y = x + y
```

Actually means

```
add :: Int -> (Int -> Int)  
add = \x -> (\y -> x + y)
```

Higher-order function basics (2)

- In Haskell, you can also define functions that take functions as arguments.
- For example a function that applies a function twice to an argument:

```
twice :: (a -> a) -> a -> a  
twice f x = f (f x)
```

- Considering that this is a multi-argument function (and therefore curried), it can be used to define other functions. For example:

```
quadruple :: Num a => a -> a  
quadruple x = twice (*2)
```

Higher-order function basics (3)

- Formally, functions that either take or return other functions are called *higher-order functions*.
- Usually we use the term *curried* for functions that return functions
- In practice, with *higher-order* we refer to functions that take functions
- Higher-order functions allow common programming patterns to be encapsulated as functions within the language itself
- Higher-order functions can be used to define 'domain-specific' languages

Processing lists (1) - map

- There are a number of useful higher-order functions in the prelude
- The function map applies a function to each element of a list

`map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]`

- map is polymorphic, so it applies to any list
- map can be applied in a nested manner, for example:
`map (map (+1)) [[1,2,3],[4,5]]`

Processing lists (2) - filter

- The function filter selects all elements from a list that satisfy the provided predicate.

Filter :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]

- Again, this is a polymorphic function

filter even [1..10]

- Often, map and filter are used in a combination, for example:

sumSqrEven :: [Int] -> Int

sumSqrEven ns = sum (map (^2)(filter even ns))

Processing lists (3) – more handy functions

`all :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Bool`

`any :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Bool`

`takeWhile :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]`

`dropWhile :: (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> [a]`

The *foldr* function (1)

- Many functions on lists can be defined using the following simple pattern:

$$f [] = v$$
$$f (x:xs) = x \oplus f xs$$

- Examples:

$$\text{sum } [] = 0$$
$$\text{sum } (x:xs) = x + \text{sum } xs$$
$$\text{or } [] = \text{False}$$
$$\text{or } (x:xs) = x \mid \mid \text{ or } xs$$

The *foldr* function (2)

- The library function *foldr* makes it very convenient to express such functions
- *Foldr* is an abbreviation for ‘fold right’
- The previous definitions can be rewritten using *foldr* as follows:

`sum = foldr (+) 0`

`or = foldr (||) False`

- The arguments are implicit in the above definitions, but can be made explicit:

`sum xs = foldr (+) 0 xs`

The *foldr* function (3)

- The *foldr* function is defined as follows:

$\text{foldr} :: (a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow b$

$\text{foldr } f \ v \ [] = v$

$\text{foldr } f \ v \ (x:xs) = f \ x \ (\text{foldr } f \ v \ xs)$

- This recursive definition can be slightly mind-bending
- What is a simpler way to think of the behavior of *foldr*?

The *foldr* function (4)

- The foldr function defines a rather simple pattern of recursion
- However, it can define a larger amount of functions than expected
- Consider length:
length :: [a] -> Int
length [] = 0
length (_:xs) = 1 + length xs
- Can be defined as:
length = foldr (_ n -> 1 + n) 0

The *foldr* function (5)

- Now let's try reverse, which is slightly more complex:
reverse :: [a] -> [a]
reverse [] = []
reverse (x:xs) = reverse xs ++ [x]
- Applying reverse to [1,2,3] basically gives
(([] ++ [3]) ++ [2]) ++ [1]
- How do we foldr this?
- Basically we perform an 'inversed cons' operation for each element, adding to the end of the list instead of to the start

The *foldr* function (6)

- Let's define this reversed cons:
 $\text{snoc} :: a \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]$
 $\text{snoc } x \text{ } xs = xs ++ [x]$
- Now we can define reverse as:
 $\text{reverse } [] = []$
 $\text{reverse } (x:xs) = \text{snoc } x (\text{reverse } xs)$
- And this can be changed to foldr trivially
 $\text{reverse} = \text{foldr } \text{snoc } []$

The *foldl* function (1)

- The *foldr* function *folds right*. The right refers to right-associative
- There is also a left-associative variant, called *foldl* (*fold left*).
- This one can be slightly harder to get your head around
- When used with associative functions, *foldr* and *foldl* produce the same result
- Evaluation order might result in different performance

The *fold* function (2)

- Consider a slightly more complex implementation of *sum*, which uses a helper *sum'* with an *accumulator value* to accumulate the final result (note that we again use implicit argument passing)

sum *xs* = *sum'* 0 *xs*

where

sum' *v* [] = *v*

sum' *v* (*x:xs*) = *sum'* (*v* + *x*) *xs*

The *fold* function (3)

- How does this work?

sum [1,2,3]
= { apply sum }
sum' 0 [1,2,3]
= { apply sum' }
sum' (0+1) [2,3]
= { apply sum' }
sum' ((0+1) + 2) [3]
= { apply sum' }
sum' ((0+1) + 2) + 3
= { apply + }
6

The *fold* function (4)

- Again, we can generalize and define many functions on list using the pattern:

$$f\ v\ [] = v$$

$$f\ v\ (x:xs) = f\ (v \oplus x)\ xs$$

- Map the empty list to the accumulator value v
- Recursively create a new accumulator value by adding the current accumulator value to the head of the list, and recursively processing the tail with the new accumulator

The *foldl* function (5)

- There's a function for that!
- *foldl* applies this pattern
- Again, we can redefine sum and or:

sum = foldl (+) 0

or = foldl (| |) False

- And length and reverse:

length = foldl (\n _ -> n + 1) 0

reverse = foldl (\xs x -> x:xs) []

Function composition (1)

- The higher-order library function `.` returns the composition of two functions

$(.) :: (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)$

$f . g = \lambda x \rightarrow f (g x)$

- Read $f . g$ as ‘ f composed with g ’
- $f . g$ is the function that takes an argument x , applies g to it, and then applies f to the result of that
- Function composition can be used to simplify nested function applications, by reducing parenthesis and avoiding references to arguments

Function composition (2)

- Examples

`odd n = not (even n)`

`odd = not . even`

`twice f x = f (f x)`

`twice f = f . f`

`sumSqrEven ns = sum (map (^2) (filter even ns))`

`sumSqrEven = sum . map (^2) . filter even`

- The last definition uses the fact that composition is associative

Function composition (3)

- Composition has an identity, given by the *identity function*

$id :: a \rightarrow a$

$id = \backslash x \rightarrow x$

- The identify function has the property that $id . f = f$ and $f . id = f$ for any f
- What can we do with this?
- Use foldr to compose lists of functions

$compose :: [a \rightarrow a] \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a)$

$compose = foldr (.) id$

Type declarations (1)

- Haskell allows you to define new types
- The simplest way of declaring a new type is to introduce a new name for an existing type
- Type declarations use the `type` keyword
- Example from the prelude:

```
type String = [Char]
```

Type declarations (2)

- Type declarations can be nested, in the sense that one type can be declared in terms of another
- Consider a game board (e.g. Go)
- A type for such a board can be defined as follows:

```
type Pos = (Int, Int)
```

```
type Board = [Pos]
```

Type declarations (3)

- How would you declare a Tree type?

```
type Tree = (Int, [Tree])
```

- This isn't allowed in Haskell, simple type declarations cannot be recursive

Type declarations (4)

- Type declarations can be parametrized
- Consider our lookup table, we can define a parametrized type for it

type Assoc k v = [(k, v)]

- And we can use this in our definition of find:

```
find    :: Eq k => k -> Assoc k v -> v
find k t = head [v | (k', v) <- t, k == k']
```

Data declarations (1)

- Type declarations basically create ‘type aliases’
- It is possible to define entirely new types using *data declarations*
- Data declarations use the data keyword
- For example, consider the definition of Bool from the prelude:

```
data Bool = True | False
```

- The | is read as *or*
 - True and False are *constructors*
 - Note that both the type and the constructors have no meaning yet
- data A = B | C would be exactly the same

Data declarations (2)

- Values of new types can be used exactly as those of built-in types

```
data Move = Left | Right | Up | Down
```

```
move :: Move -> Pos -> Pos  
move Left (x, y) = (x - 1, y)  
move Right (x, y) = (x + 1, y)  
move Up (x, y) = (x, y - 1)  
move Down (x, y) = (x, y + 1)
```

Data declarations (3)

`moves :: [Move] -> Pos -> Pos`

`moves [] p = p`

`moves (m:ms) p = moves ms (move m p)`

`flip :: Move -> Move`

`flip Left = Right`

`flip Right = Left`

`flip Up = Down`

`flip Down = Up`

Data declarations (3)

- Constructors in data declarations can have arguments

- Consider shapes:

data Shape = Circle Float | Rect Float Float

- Shape has values of the forms:
 - Circle r, where r is a floating point number
 - Rect x y, where x and y are floating point numbers

Data declarations (4)

- What is the use?
- These constructors can be used to define functions on shapes
- Examples:

```
square :: Float -> Shape  
square n = Rect n n
```

```
area :: Shape -> Float  
area (Circle r) = pi * r ^2  
area (Rect x y) = x * y
```

Data declarations (5)

- Data declarations can be parameterized as well
- The Prelude declares the following type
`data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a`
- A value of type `Maybe a` is either `Nothing`, or of the form `Just a`
- `Nothing` represents 'failure'
- `Just` represents 'success'

Data declarations (6)

- Maybe can be used to define safe versions of div and head

```
safediv :: Int -> Int -> Maybe Int
```

```
safediv _ 0 = Nothing
```

```
safediv m n = Just (m 'div' n)
```

```
safehead :: [a] -> Maybe a
```

```
safehead [] = Nothing
```

```
safehead xs = Just (head xs)
```


Recursive types (1)

- Types declared using the data mechanism can be recursive
- Consider the following type

`data Nat = Zero | Succ Nat`

- Nat is either Zero or Succ n for some n of type Nat

Zero

Succ Zero

Succ (Succ Zero)

Succ (Succ (Succ Zero))

- Natural numbers with $\text{Zero} = 0$ and $\text{Succ} = 1 + \text{Nat}$
 $\text{Succ (Succ (Succ Zero))} = 1 + (1 + (1 + 0)) = 3$

Recursive types (2)

- We can define the following conversions to/from integer for this

`nat2int :: Nat -> Int`

`nat2int Zero = 0`

`nat2int (Succ n) = 1 + nat2int n`

`int2nat :: Int -> Nat`

`int2nat 0 = Zero`

`int2nat n = Succ (int2nat (n-1))`

Recursive types (3)

- We can define add on this type as well
- Obviously by conversion

```
add :: Nat -> Nat -> Nat  
add m n = int2nat (nat2int m + nat2int n)
```

- But more efficiently by recursion

```
add Zero n = n  
add (Succ m) n = Succ (add m n)
```

Recursive types (4)

- How does it work?

- $2 + 1 = 3$

add (Succ (Succ Zero)) (Succ Zero)

= {applying add}

Succ (add (Succ Zero) (Succ Zero))

= {applying add}

Succ (Succ (add Zero (Succ Zero)))

= {applying add}

Succ (Succ (Succ Zero))

Recursive types (5)

- Let's define our own List

data List a = Nil | Cons a (List a)

- A List is either Nil (empty)
- Or of the form Cons x xs for some values $x :: a$ and $xs :: \text{List } a$ (non-empty)
- Now we can also calculate the length of such a list:

len :: List -> Int

len Nil = 0

len (Cons _ xs) = 1 + len xs

Recursive types (6)

- And finally, let's define a tree (for Ints this time)
- Our tree contains values in both the nodes and leaves

```
data Tree = Leaf Int | Node Tree Int Tree
```

- How do we use it?

```
t :: Tree
```

```
t = Node (Node (Leaf 1) 3 (Leaf 4)) 5 (Node (Leaf 6) 7 (Leaf 9))
```

Recursive types (7)

- Some convenient functions
- Does a certain value occur in our tree?

`occurs :: Int -> Tree -> Bool`

`occurs m (Leaf n) = m == n`

`occurs m (Node l n r) = m == n || occurs m l || occurs m r`

- Convert (flatten) a tree to a list?

`flatten :: Tree -> Int`

`flatten (Leaf n) = [n]`

`flatten (Node l n r) = flatten l ++ [n] ++ flatten r`

Recursive types (8)

- If our tree is *sorted* we can do occurs more efficiently

occurs m (Leaf n) = m == n

occurs m (Node l m r)

| m == n = True

| m < n = occurs m l

| otherwise = occurs m r

- And we have a binary search tree

Recursive types (9)

- To really conclude it all, some alternative trees

```
data Tree a = Leaf a | Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
```

```
data Tree a = Leaf | Node (Tree a) a (Tree a)
```

```
data Tree a b = Leaf a | Node (Tree a b) b (Tree a b)
```

```
data Tree a = Node a [Tree a]
```

```
data Tree a b = Leaf a | Node b [Tree a b]
```