A2Q4

March 2, 2020

1 CMPT 423/820

1.1 Assignment 2 Question 4

- Seyedeh Mina Mousavifar
- 11279515
- sem311

1.1.1 Theoretically

$$P(w_j|\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{P(\mathbf{x}_i|w_j)P(w_j)}{P(\mathbf{x}_i)}$$

where

 \mathbf{x}_i is the feature vector of sample $i, i \in 1, 2, ..., n$,

 w_i is the notation of class $j, j \in 1, 2, ..., m$,

 $P(\mathbf{x}_i|w_i)$ is the probability of observing sample \mathbf{x}_i given that it belongs to class w_i .

So the decision rule is:

predicted class label
$$\leftarrow$$
 arg max $P(w_i|\mathbf{x}_i)$ for $j=1,...,m$

Further, the class condition probilities of individual features *d* are as follows because of *naive* conditional independence assumption.

$$P(\mathbf{x}|w_j) = P(x_1|w_j)...P(x_d|w_j) = \prod_{k=1}^d P(x_k|w_j)$$

Another assumption of *Naive Bayes* is that $P(x_i = b|w_j)$ is drawn from a particular distribution, which is the reason of calling *Naive Bayes* a *generative model*.

Bernoulli Model We use the *Bernoulli distribution* to compute the likelihood of a binary variable. For example, we could estimate $P(x_k = 1|w_j)$ via *MLE* as the frequency of occurences in the training set:

$$\theta = P(x_k = 1|w_j) = N_{x_k, w_j}/N_{w_j}$$

This means, number of training samples in class w_j that have the property $x_k = 1$ noted by N_{x_k,w_j} divided by by all training samples in j, noted by N_{w_j} .

$$P(\mathbf{x}|w_j) = \prod_{k=1}^d P(x_k|w_j) \quad (1.1)$$

$$P(\mathbf{x}|w_j) = \Pi_{k=1}^d(\theta^{x_k}(1-\theta)^{1-x_k})$$

Gaussian Model Typically, the *Gaussian Naive Bayes* model is used for variables on a continuous scale, assuming that the variables are normally distributed.

$$P(x_k|w_j) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{w_j}^2}} exp(-\frac{(x_k - \mu_{w_j})^2}{2\sigma_{w_j}^2})$$

Therefore, we need to estimate mean μ of the samples associated with class w_j and the variance σ^2 associated with class w_j .

$$P(\mathbf{x}|w_i) = \prod_{k=1}^d P(x_k|w_i) \quad (1.2)$$

Mixed Model Since *Naive Bayes* assumes conditional independence between features, it can be written as:

$$P(\mathbf{x}|w_i) = \prod_{k=1}^d P(x_k|w_i)$$

By assuming that features a, ..., b are from *Bernoulli* distribution and the rest are from *Guassian* distribution, we can write the above equation as:

$$P(\mathbf{x}|w_i) = \prod_{k=a}^{b} P(x_k|w_i) \prod_{k=b+1}^{d} P(x_k|w_i)$$

So considering equation (1.1), and (1.2) the equation would be:

$$P(\mathbf{x}|w_i) = P(\mathbf{x}_{bernoulli}|w_i)P(\mathbf{x}_{guassian}|w_i)$$

This can also be applied to Multinoulli instead of Bernoulli distribution.

1.1.2 Practically

For this part, I'll use Forest covertypes dataset.

In this dataset, there are seven covertypes, making this a multiclass classification problem. Each sample has 54 features, described here. Some of the features are boolean indicators, while others are discrete or continuous measurements.

It has 54 columns of data. 10 quantitative variables, 4 binary wilderness areas and 40 binary soil type variables.

```
The samples in this dataset correspond to 30E30m patches of forest in the US,
collected for the task of predicting each patch's cover type,
i.e. the dominant species of tree.
There are seven covertypes, making this a multiclass classification problem.
Each sample has 54 features, described on the
`dataset's homepage <a href="https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Covertype">\__.</a>
Some of the features are boolean indicators,
while others are discrete or continuous measurements.
**Data Set Characteristics:**
    Classes
                                  7
   Samples total
                             581012
   Dimensionality
                                54
   Features
                                int
:func:`sklearn.datasets.fetch_covtype` will load the covertype dataset;
it returns a dictionary-like object
with the feature matrix in the ``data`` member
and the target values in ``target``.
The dataset will be downloaded from the web if necessary.
In [157]: from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
          # Set aside data as a part of test set
         tpropn = 0.2
         X_train, X_test, Y_train, Y_test = train_test_split(data,
                                                             labels,
                                                             test_size=tpropn)
          # continues part of train data
         data_con = X_train.values[:, :10]
         test_con = X_test.values[:, :10]
          # discrete part of train data
         data_cat = X_train.values[:, 10:]
```

I independently fit a *Gaussian NB model* on the continuous part of the data and a *Multinomial NB model* on the categorical part. Then transform all the dataset by taking the class assignment probabilities, with *predict_proba* method. Then I multiply these probabilities and find the most probability for each record, as predicted label.

test_cat = X_test.values[:, 10:]

```
In [161]: from sklearn.naive_bayes import CategoricalNB, GaussianNB
          import numpy as np
          # fitting model for categorical part
          clf cat = CategoricalNB()
          clf_cat.fit(data_cat, Y_train)
          # fitting model for continuous part
          clf con = GaussianNB()
          clf_con.fit(data_con, Y_train)
Out[161]: GaussianNB(priors=None, var smoothing=1e-09)
In [162]: def mixed_predictor(model_cat,
                              data_in_cat,
                              data_in_con):
              :purpose: This function find class labels with
               Naive Bayes classifier on mixed class.
              :param model_cat: learned categorical NB
              :param model_cat: learned continuous NB
              :param data_in_cat: categorical part of dataset
              :param data in con: continues part of dataset
              :return: labels on the dataset
              prob_cat = model_cat.predict_proba(data_in_cat)
              prob_con = model_con.predict_proba(data_in_con)
              new_feature = prob_cat*prob_con
              # prediction based on probabilites
              new_feature = pd.DataFrame(new_feature,
                           columns=range(1,8))
              new_feature['pred_label'] = new_feature.idxmax(axis=1)
              return new_feature.pred_label.values
Evaluation For evaluation I compare this model with Guassian NB and Categorical NB classifier.
In [166]: from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score
          from sklearn.metrics import f1 score
          # on training set
          y_predicted = mixed_predictor(clf_cat,
                                         clf_con,
                                         data_cat,
                                         data_con)
```

```
f1 = f1_score(Y_train,
              y_predicted,
              average='macro')
acc = accuracy_score(Y_train,
              y_predicted)
# printing result in tabular format
print('\033[1m' + 'Multi-class NB classifier' + '\033[0m')
print('{:<15} {:<15} {:<15}'.format('Predictor',</pre>
                             'Accuracy',
                             'F1-score',
                              'type'))
print('{:<15} {:<15} {:<15}'.format('Mixed',</pre>
                             round(acc,5),
                             round(f1,5),
                              'training set'))
# on test set
y_predicted = mixed_predictor(clf_cat,
                              clf con,
                              test_cat,
                              test_con)
f1 = f1_score(Y_test,
              y_predicted,
              average='macro')
acc = accuracy_score(Y_test,
              y_predicted)
print('{:<15} {:<15} {:<15}'.format('Mixed',</pre>
                             round(acc,5),
                             round(f1,5),
                              'test set'))
# Guassian Naive Bayes Predictor
# on training set
y_predicted = clf_con.predict(data_con)
f1 = f1_score(Y_train,
              y_predicted,
              average='macro')
acc = accuracy_score(Y_train,
              y_predicted)
# printing result in tabular format
```

```
print('{:<15} {:<15} {:<15}'.format('Guassian',</pre>
                             round(acc,5),
                             round(f1,5),
                             'training set'))
y_predicted = clf_con.predict(test_con)
f1 = f1_score(Y_test,
              y_predicted,
              average='macro')
acc = accuracy_score(Y_test,
              y_predicted)
# printing result in tabular format
print('{:<15} {:<15} {:<15}'.format('Guassian',</pre>
                             round(acc,5),
                             round(f1,5),
                             'test set'))
# Categorical Naive Bayes Predictor
# on training set
y_predicted = clf_cat.predict(data_cat)
f1 = f1_score(Y_train,
              y_predicted,
              average='macro')
acc = accuracy_score(Y_train,
              y_predicted)
# printing result in tabular format
print('{:<15} {:<15} {:<15}'.format('Categorical',</pre>
                             round(acc,5),
                             round(f1,5),
                             'training set'))
y_predicted = clf_cat.predict(test_cat)
f1 = f1_score(Y_test,
              y_predicted,
              average='macro')
acc = accuracy_score(Y_test,
              y_predicted)
# printing result in tabular format
print('{:<15} {:<15} {:<15}'.format('Categorical',</pre>
```

```
round(acc,5),
round(f1,5),
'test set'))
```

Multi-class NF	3 classifier		
Predictor	Accuracy	F1-score	type
Mixed	0.68043	0.48956	training set
Mixed	0.67989	0.48803	test set
Guassian	0.63047	0.44924	training set
Guassian	0.62923	0.44694	test set
Categorical	0.63226	0.48263	training set
Categorical	0.63312	0.48605	test set

So we can see that we have better accuracy and f1-score for mixed classifier.