

**CMPT 826  
2020  
Project Presentation Rubric**

**Name:** Amirabbas Jalali, Mina Mousavifar

**Motivation (Overview)**

**7.5/10**

This might have been weakest section, but some elements of it were well presented in the next section.

Background  
Methods

**Methods**

**28.5/30**

Text This was a really well done section. Sometimes, we assume our audience knows a lot before we start. In this class it is extra hard, because we all DO know what is going on. You took us through it clearly and at a good pace. There is no doubt that the audience knew some of it, but not all.

Format  
Visuals

**Presentation Quality**

**18/20**

Clarity Your slides were well done. The graphics were a mix. Your plots could be edited to have larger typeface for labels (legend and axes). But the trends were easy to interpret and you were telling us what we were seeing. The slides with tables are even better, very legible. You had space for the precision you presented, but that precision suggests mm accuracy. I would reduce location accuracy to just under 1 m, maybe decimeter or cm. The data is unlikely more accurate than 10m.

Timing Your model output was presented well too. You didn't get bogged down in the technology.

**Results**

**28/30**

Hypothesis

Methods (appropriate, complete, fit with question/hypothesis)

From the beginning, I've liked that you are trying multiple methods, that you aren't going right to the most complicated. You test and confirm less complex methods, so you have something to compare/build from.

Outcomes (fit with hypothesis)

This venue was perfect for your model output, you had to choose animations that fit the point you were making.

Next Steps (identify themes from results and/or identify necessary next steps)

**Questions**

**8.5/10**

**Total**

**90.5/100**