

# KUHN – TUCKER CONDITIONS

NLPP with inequality Constraint

# OPTIMIZATION WITH INEQUALITY CONSTRAINTS

Consider the following NLPP with  $n$  –variables and one inequality constraint.

Maximize  $z = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$

Subject to  $g(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \leq b$  and

$x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n \geq 0$

where  $b$  is a constant

These problems can be solved by the **Kuhn-Tucker method**

We will not get into the proof of this method as it is not required

# OPTIMIZATION WITH INEQUALITY CONSTRAINTS

The necessary conditions for maximization are:

- (1)  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0,$
- (2)  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0, \dots \dots \dots,$
- (3)  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_n} = 0,$
- (4)  $\lambda h(x_1, x_2, \dots \dots, x_n) = 0,$
- (5)  $h(x_1, x_2, \dots \dots, x_n) \leq 0,$
- (6)  $\lambda \geq 0$

These are the Kuhn-Tucker conditions

# EXAMPLE-1

Solve the following NLPP

Maximize  $z = 4x_1 - x_1^3 + 2x_2$

Subject to  $x_1 + x_2 \leq 1, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0$

**Solution:** We have

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 4x_1 - x_1^3 + 2x_2, \quad h(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2 - 1$$

Now, the Kuhn-Tucker conditions for maximization are:

- (a)  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0 = 4 - 3x_1^2 - \lambda \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$
- (b)  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0 = 2 - \lambda \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$
- (c)  $\lambda \geq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$
- (d)  $h(x_1, x_2) \leq 0 \text{ i.e. } x_1 + x_2 - 1 \leq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$
- (e)  $\lambda h(x_1, x_2) = 0 = \lambda(x_1 + x_2 - 1) \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$

From the equation (2), we get  $\lambda = 2$

From the equation (5), we get  $x_1 + x_2 = 1$

From the equation (1), we get  $x_1 = \sqrt{2/3}$

From the equation (5), we get  $x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{2/3}$

These values satisfy all the necessary conditions

$\therefore$  the optimum solution is  $x_1 = \sqrt{2/3}$  and

$x_2 = 1 - \sqrt{2/3}$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore Z_{max} &= 4 \left( \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right) - \left( \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right)^3 + 2 \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \right) \\ &= 3.0887\end{aligned}$$

## EXAMPLE-2

Solve the following NLPP

$$\text{Maximize } z = 10x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

$$\text{Subject to } 2x_1 + x_2 \leq 5, x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

**Solution:** We have

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 10x_1 + 4x_2 - 2x_1^2 - x_2^2 \text{ and}$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 + x_2 - 5$$

Now, the Kuhn-Tucker conditions for maximization are:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0, h(x_1, x_2) \leq 0, \lambda h(x_1, x_2) = 0, \lambda \geq 0$$

$$\therefore \text{ We get } 10 - 4x_1 - 2\lambda = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$4 - 2x_2 - \lambda = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$$\lambda(2x_1 + x_2 - 5) = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

$$\lambda \geq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

From equation (4) we can either have  $\lambda = 0$  or  $2x_1 + x_2 - 5 = 0$

**Case 1:** If  $\lambda = 0$ ,

then we get from (1) and (2)  $x_1 = \frac{5}{2}$  and  $x_2 = 2$

Putting these values in (3) to check whether the condition is satisfied or not, we get

$$2 \times \frac{5}{2} + 2 - 5 = 2 \neq 0$$

Thus, these values do not satisfy (3).

Hence,  $\lambda = 0$  does not yield a feasible solution and we reject these values

**Case 2:** If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $2x_1 + x_2 - 5 = 0$  ..... (6)

We now solve equations (1), (2) and (6) to get values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$

After solving, we get  $x_1 = \frac{11}{6}$  and  $x_2 = \frac{4}{3}$

From the equation (2),

we get  $\lambda = 4 - 2x_2 = 4 - \frac{8}{3} = \frac{4}{3}$

These values satisfy all the conditions.

$2 \times \frac{11}{6} + \frac{4}{3} - 5 = 0$  which satisfy the condition

$$2x_1 + x_2 - 5 \leq 0$$

$\therefore$  Optimal solution is  $x_1 = \frac{11}{6}$  and  $x_2 = \frac{4}{3}$

$$\therefore Z_{max} = 10 \left( \frac{11}{6} \right) + 4 \left( \frac{4}{3} \right) - 2 \left( \frac{11}{6} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{4}{3} \right)^2 = \frac{91}{6}$$



## EXAMPLE-3

Use the Kuhn-Tucker conditions to solve the following NLPP

$$\text{Maximize } z = 8x_1 + 10x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2$$

$$\text{Subject to } 3x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 6, x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

**Solution:** We rewrite the problem as

$$f(x_1, x_2) = 8x_1 + 10x_2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 \text{ and}$$

$$h(x_1, x_2) = 3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6$$

Now, the Kuhn-Tucker conditions are:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_1} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} - \lambda \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_2} = 0, h(x_1, x_2) \leq 0, \lambda h(x_1, x_2) = 0, \lambda \geq 0$$

$$\therefore \text{ We get } 8 - 2x_1 - 3\lambda = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$10 - 2x_2 - 2\lambda = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6 \leq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$$\lambda(3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6) = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

$$x_1, x_2, \lambda \geq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

From equation (4) we can either have  $\lambda = 0$  or  $3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6 = 0$

**Case 1:** If  $\lambda = 0$ ,

then from equations (1) and (2), we get

$$8 - 2x_1 = 0 \text{ and } 10 - 2x_2 = 0$$

$$\therefore x_1 = 4 \text{ and } x_2 = 5$$

But then for  $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 5$ , the condition in equation (3) is not satisfied

Hence,  $\lambda = 0$  does not yield a feasible solution and we reject these values

**Case 2:** If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $3x_1 + 2x_2 - 6 = 0$ ..... (6)

To find  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  we obtain one more relation between  $x_1, x_2$  by eliminating  $\lambda$  from (1) and (2)

Now, multiplying equation (1) by 2, (2) by 3 and subtracting

$$\therefore 16 - 4x_1 - 30 + 6x_2 = 0 \quad \therefore -2x_1 + 3x_2 - 7 = 0 \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

Multiplying equation (6) by 3, (7) by 2 and subtracting, we get

$$\therefore 9x_1 - 18 + 4x_1 + 14 = 0 \quad \therefore 13x_1 = 4 \quad \therefore x_1 = \frac{4}{13}$$

$\therefore$  From the equation (7), we get,

$$\therefore -\frac{8}{13} + 3x_2 - 7 = 0 \quad \therefore 3x_2 = \frac{99}{13} \quad \therefore x_2 = \frac{33}{13}$$

Now, from (1),  $8 - 2 \times \frac{4}{13} = 3\lambda$

$$\therefore 3\lambda = \frac{96}{33} \quad \therefore \lambda > 0$$

These values satisfy all the necessary conditions

$\therefore$  Optimal solution is  $x_1 = \frac{4}{13}$  and  $x_2 = \frac{33}{13}$

$$\therefore z_{max} = 8\left(\frac{4}{13}\right) + 10\left(\frac{33}{13}\right) - \frac{16}{169} - \frac{1089}{169} = \frac{3601}{169} = \frac{277}{13} = 21.3$$