

IQ Mindware (Capacity-Strategy) Training with H-AGI - for Increasing General Intelligence.

The **capacity + strategy (“IQ-Mindware”)** stack is exactly what the Trident G-Loop predicts should produce **far transfer**: the capacity track tunes the controllers (F^* , b via $d-d^*$, $T(\chi)$, λ , η , χ), while the strategy track installs reusable **ϕ -level** and **\mathcal{J} -level** procedures (maps, constraints, EU/Bayes) that generalise across tasks. Together they expand r and G_f , hence $G = r \times G_f$.

Note that the IQ (capacity) games to select from are (we could choose to select just 2 if it makes sense):

1. Classic Dual N-back - letters and location in grid.
2. Logi Gated Dual N-back (here there is a cue during the classic dual n-back, to only respond to a match based on a logic gate - either AND, OR or XOR for the two modalities). This requires a comparison between the two modalities to make the decision.
3. Non-Categorical Dual N-back - 8 random, unique shapes of multiple colours generated each training session which move in random locations on a disk. The task is n-back matches for both shape identity and for location (same game logic as the DNB).
4. Emotional DNB - with emotional stroop like colour matches of words (many of which are emotionally charged/negative) combined with location match of face with emotional expressions (many of which are angry). The player should ignore the meanings of the central word - just matching on word colour, and ignore the facial expression, just matching on location).

Game	G-Loop levers & networks (what it hits)	Trains (capacity → controller)	Likely far transfer (why)
1) Classic Dual N-Back	Control prong (FPCN-B + DAN) under steady $b\uparrow$ (stability), short-term WM maintenance and rapid rule execution; salience monitors but minimal branching.	Updating, simple interference control; steadier $\Delta\hat{F}$ tracking and $\tau\frac{1}{2}$ (re-entry) at moderate difficulty.	Near→mid transfer: span/updating, simple reasoning speed; modest Gf uplift unless coupled to mindware/Map-level work.

2) Logi-Gated Dual N-Back (AND/OR/XOR)	Control prong plus explicit constraint satisfaction at Gate/Decide; tight FPCN-B maintenance with salience-led arbitration under load; strengthens b ↑ precision and λ ↑ propagation only when constraints pass.	Rule binding across modalities, conflict monitoring, feasibility gating; cleaner Ψ-sieve and lower hysteresis $H\Psi$.	Far transfer to Gf (multi-rule matrix problems), syllogistic/conditional logic, programming-like constraint reasoning; better policy selection under constraints.
3) Non-Categorical Dual N-Back (random coloured shapes on a disc)	Pushes Map (ϕ) + Control handoff: less chunkable categories → stronger hippocampal pattern separation and SR-style mapping before recompress; trains Creative↔Control micro-switches with $b \approx 0 \rightarrow b \uparrow$ and λ control.	Feature-agnostic selection, relational binding without verbal crutches, spatial attention; improves $\Omega\Psi$ (band occupancy) at higher novelty.	Far transfer to fluid pattern reasoning (novel matrices/visual analogies), mental rotation, robust perception under feature drift; better generalisation ($\lambda \uparrow$) once validated.
4) Emotional DNB (colour-word & face-location)	Trains salience-arbitrated gating under affective load: ignore meaning/expression → stabilize task set as $T(\chi) \downarrow$ despite χ spikes; resilience axis r ($\Delta \hat{r}$ control) under stress.	Emotional interference control, arousal/uncertainty regulation, faster recovery $\tau^{1/2} \downarrow$; stronger re-entry and lower hysteresis with χ -jitter.	Far transfer to decision-making under pressure , test anxiety contexts, executive function with affect; boosts \mathcal{G} via r (resilience) more than “pure” Gf.

Ranking for “increase IQ” (most → least), with the Trident-G rationale

1. Logi-Gated Dual N-Back

Best for Gf/“IQ” because it mixes WM load with *explicit logical arbitration* (AND/OR/XOR) and feasibility gating—the same Control-path mechanics used in matrix

reasoning and multi-rule problem solving. Expect bigger gains in the inference axis (cleaner $\mathbf{b}\uparrow$, $\lambda\uparrow$ only when constraints pass) and lower hysteresis.

2. **Non-Categorical Dual N-Back**

Closes in on **map-level** relational processing by denying categorical/verbal shortcuts; that pressures hippocampal separation and flexible recompression—prime ingredients for *novel pattern* Gf tasks. It likely edges classic DNB for far transfer to unseen structures.

3. **Emotional DNB**

A potent **resilience** builder (r axis): salience/uncertainty regulation under affect improves $\Omega\Psi$ and $\tau^{1/2}$ —raising *effective IQ in the wild*. On psychometric Gf it's additive, but probably a touch behind #1–2 unless your IQ battery is stress-laden.

4. **Classic Dual N-Back**

Solid near-transfer and some Gf spillover, but without logic gates, category-agnostic stimuli, or affective salience it trains fewer *far-transfer* controllers. Best used as the **baseline** capacity block.

Make them transfer even more (tiny tweaks)

- **Keep the Ψ -Core on:** $\log \Omega\Psi$, $\tau^{1/2}$, $H\Psi$, μF^* each session; inject **χ -jitter** bursts and practise orient→reset→reconfigure to tighten re-entry.
- **Pair with mindware** (10–12 min): e.g., *constraint propagation mini-lesson* on Logi-Gated days; *analogy/SR gist* on Non-Categorical days. Capacity×Strategy is the combo your framework predicts for durable **far transfer** ($r\uparrow$ and $G_f\uparrow$).

Why it should work (mapped to the G-Loop)

- **Capacity track (mechanics):** adaptive WM/attention, χ/η sensitivity drills, and resilience challenges keep $\mathcal{E}\approx F^*$ and improve fast **mode-gating** ($\Delta\hat{F} = \kappa(\mathcal{E}-F^*)$). This widens the **resilience range** (r) and speeds $\tau^{1/2}$ recovery.
- **Strategy track (mindware):** explicit **EU**, **Bayes**, **causal/constraint toolkits**, **analogy**, **counterfactual playbooks** operate in **Phase-3 Map** and **Decide/Gate**, increasing the

controllable span of $b/T/\lambda$ with performance preserved—i.e., the **inference range** (G_f).

- **Integrated effect:** more time inside Ψ (higher Ω_Ψ), cleaner re-entry (low H_Ψ), upward drift of F^* via η ($G_f \rightarrow G_c$ consolidation) \rightarrow durable far transfer.

Practical design (concise)

Daily micro-cycle (≈ 30 min)

1. **Mechanics (10')**: adaptive **relational dual n-back**, task-switching with distractors, χ -jitter probes (raise T when $\chi \uparrow$), “resilience sprints” (brief over/under-challenge then re-centre).
2. **Mindware mini-lesson (8')**: one compact rule set (e.g., base-rate Bayes; expected utility with constraints; simple DAG/backdoor).
3. **Synthesis challenge (12')**: a short problem that **forces the rule onto the drill** (e.g., pick the most informative probe using EU or expected information gain, then execute under WM load). Log **mode time** (Control vs Creative), **$\Delta \hat{F}$ occupancy**, and **errors under χ -jitter**.

Weekly arc (example, 6–8 weeks)

- W1–2: gating basics (\mathcal{E}/F^* , $\chi \rightarrow T$), Bayes (diagnosticity), analogy scaffolds.
- W3–4: constraint satisfaction & trust-regions; EU under limits; map (de)compression drills.
- W5–6: causal inference (backdoor, IV intuition) + counterfactual rollouts; stochastic control under noise.
- W7–8: integration blocks (multi-step cases mixing verbal/visual/quant); timed re-entry tasks for resilience.

Adaptive engine (how it stays in the Ψ -band)

- **RL pacing:** treat tasks as arms; pick next difficulty that maximises η -gain while holding $\mathcal{E} \approx F^*$ (auto-difficulty).
- **Controller hooks:** adjust $\mathbf{b} = \beta_d(d-d^*)$ to bias Control \leftrightarrow Creative, lift $T(\chi)$ when $\chi \uparrow$, and modulate λ (sandbox vs propagate). Telemetry feeds the scheduler.

What to measure (transfer-ready)

- **Ψ -profile:** $r, G_f, \Omega_\Psi, \tau^{1/2}, H_\Psi, \mu_{\{F^*\}}$ (session logs + periodic probes).
- **Task outcomes:** out-of-set reasoning (novel matrix/analogy/quant cases), decision quality under uncertainty (Bayes/EU cases), **re-entry speed** after perturbations, and **generalisation** (λ -sensitive near vs far tasks). Expect $r \uparrow, G_f \uparrow, \Omega_\Psi \uparrow, \tau^{1/2} \downarrow, H_\Psi \downarrow, \mu_{\{F^*\}} \uparrow$.

Validation plan (lean but strong)

Run an A/B with **capacity-only** vs **capacity + mindware** (yours). Pre-register **primary endpoints** on the Ψ -profile plus cross-domain problem sets; include an **active control** to rule out expectancy. Qualitative expected pattern: capacity-only \rightarrow near transfer + some r gains; capacity + mindware \rightarrow **far transfer** with **both r and G_f** gains and better re-entry/hysteresis.

Risks & guardrails

- **Drill overfitting** \rightarrow keep **synthesis** every session.
 - **Cognitive fatigue** \rightarrow micro-cycles with brief monitor pulses (orient–reset–reconfigure) and strict \mathcal{E}/F^* control.
 - **Strategy inertness** \rightarrow always pair a rule with an immediate **counterfactual decision** inside the drill.
-

Mindware/Strategy Pillars

- **Notation sync:** use \mathcal{E}_t (experienced demand), $\Delta \hat{F}_t = \kappa(\mathcal{E}_t - F^*)$, $\mathbf{b} = \beta_d(d - d^*)$, $T(\chi)$, λ . Replace any old “E/fusion node” with \mathcal{E}_t .
- **Gate kit (before each block):** compute \mathcal{E}_t and $\Delta \hat{F}_t \rightarrow$ choose **Creative** ($T \uparrow$, $b \downarrow$) vs **Control** ($T \downarrow$, $b \uparrow$). After the block, log η , χ , update F^* if $\eta \uparrow$, and record Ω_Ψ , $\tau^{1/2}$, H_Ψ .
- **Policy scoring:** use neutral \mathcal{J} (EV or $-G_EFE$) with a **lexicographic Ψ -sieve** (prune any option with Ψ -proximity $<$ threshold before softmax).
- **λ control:** treat transfer width as a first-class knob—**sandbox** ($\lambda \downarrow$) when $\Delta \Psi < 0$ or critics complain; **propagate** ($\lambda \uparrow$) when $\Delta \Psi \geq 0$ and $\eta \uparrow$.

Pillar-by-pillar adjustments (concise)

Pillar 1 — Causal Structure & Identification

- **[1] DAG + refuter:** After choosing a refuter, run the **Ψ -sieve** (no consolidation if predicted $\Delta \Psi < 0$).
- **[2] Counterfactual rehearsal:** rename “at E (fusion node)” \rightarrow “at \mathcal{E}_t ”; gate the IF/THEN by $\Delta \hat{F}_t$ (boredom route vs error route).
- **[3] A/B mini-experiments:** TD update η_{task} ; if χ stays high $\rightarrow T(\chi) \uparrow$ next trial; if $\eta \uparrow$ and $\Delta \Psi \geq 0 \rightarrow F^* \uparrow$ slightly (auto-difficulty).
- **[4] Pre-mortem:** surface threats that raise U_t ; if high- U_t + high cost, force **Creative** probe first ($T \uparrow$, $b \downarrow$) before committing.

Pillar 2 — Abductive Compression

- **[5] Hypothesis triad + MDL:** lock only if $\eta \uparrow$ and $\Delta \Psi \geq 0$; if edge cases fail, $\lambda \downarrow$ and retry (sandbox the rule).
- **[6] Gist mapping:** grant η -boost **only if** $\Delta \Psi \geq 0$ and parity critics don’t spike; otherwise tag for a **refuter** in Pillar 1.

Pillar 3 — Deductive Constraint Propagation & Invariance

- [7] **Logic + unit tests**: keep the embodiment checks (agency floor, reciprocity, distributional stability, reversibility) as **non-negotiable guards** before Consolidate.
- [8] **Bayesian update**: compute χ_{total} (your split uncertainty) \rightarrow drive $T(\chi)$; raise T if $\chi_{\text{total}} \uparrow$, cool if \downarrow ; store η_{axes} explicitly.
- [9] **Expected Utility choice**: evaluate with \mathcal{J} ; apply the **Ψ -sieve** first; if $EU \uparrow$ but $\Delta\Psi < 0$, route to a **refuter** and $\lambda \downarrow$ for transfer.
- [10] **Pareto prioritisation**: keep Pareto+ Ψ ; add a **rollback bound** hook (if predicted rollback cost $> C_{\text{max}}$, auto-sandbox: $\lambda \downarrow$).

Pillar 4 — Relational Abstraction & Analogy

- [11] **Structure mapping**: keep role-swap & reciprocity checks; require $\Delta\Psi \geq 0$ to accept a mapping; otherwise **bump Creative** for a reframe.
- [12] **SR “predict & verify”**: already perfect—explicitly **contract/expand** λ based on realised Ψ -trajectory; if states correct but $\Psi \downarrow \rightarrow$ send the rule back to a refuter (Pillar 1).

Meta Pillar

Ψ -Core = daily micro-drills that tune F^* , $\Delta\hat{F}_t = \kappa(\mathcal{E}_t - F^*)$, $b = \beta_d(d - d^*)$, $T(\chi)$ and λ so every other pillar runs inside the Ψ -band and re-enters cleanly after perturbations.

Placement

Use a **quincunx**: Ψ -Core in the centre; the four pillars at the corners. It feeds all pillars (capacity \rightarrow strategy glue) and is always-on, 10'/day.

Minimal protocol (10 minutes, universal)

1. **Adaptive WM/attention (4')**

- e.g., relational dual n-back or task-switch with distractors.
- Aim: widen **r**, stabilise **b** under load.

2. χ -jitter re-entry (3')

- Inject brief uncertainty spikes; practise **orient–reset–reconfigure** to drive $\tau^{1/2}\downarrow$ and $H_Psi\downarrow$.

3. Gate tuning (3')

- Deliberately toggle **Creative** ($T\uparrow, b\downarrow$) \leftrightarrow **Control** ($T\downarrow, b\uparrow$) on small problems; adjust λ (sandbox vs propagate).

Log: Ω_Psi , $\tau^{1/2}$, H_Psi , $\mu_{\{F^*\}}$, plus session η , χ .

How Ψ -Core supports each pillar

- **P1 Causal/ID:** steadier gating under shocks; faster refuter cycles (χ -driven T adjustments).
- **P2 Abductive Compression:** reliable λ control (shrink on $\Delta\Psi < 0$, expand on $\Delta\Psi \geq 0$), higher η gains without coverage loss.
- **P3 Deductive & Invariance:** cooler **T**(χ) during Bayesian updates; fewer brittle passes through the Ψ -sieve.
- **P4 Relational/Analogy:** smoother Creative \rightarrow Control hand-off; better re-entry when a mapping drops Ψ .

Verdict: Call it **Ψ -Core (Gate & Resilience Mechanics)**, put it in the **centre** of the quincunx, and treat it as a **meta-pillar** that powers all four—not an independent fifth content pillar.

Course skeleton (stand-alone)

- **Module 0 — Meta-strategy (Ψ -sieve & gate)**

- Teach the **Ψ -sieve** (prune options that drop Ψ), **$\Delta\hat{F}$** awareness (am I under/over challenged?), and basic **gate** moves (Creative: $T\uparrow$, $b\downarrow$; Control: $T\downarrow$, $b\uparrow$).
- Optional: a 3–5 min “ Ψ -Core warm-up” per session (not mandatory capacity training).

- **Modules 1–4 — The Four Pillars**

- **P1 Causal Structure & Identification:** [1] DAG+refuter, [2] IF/THEN counterfactuals, [3] A/B mini-experiments, [4] Pre-mortems.
- **P2 Abductive Compression:** [5] Triad + MDL, [6] Gist/concept mapping.
- **P3 Deductive Constraints & Invariance:** [7] Logic + unit tests + embodiment guards, [8] Bayesian update, [9] EU choice, [10] Pareto+ Ψ .
- **P4 Relational Abstraction & Analogy:** [11] Structure mapping, [12] SR predict-&-verify (with λ expand/contract).

Cadence (6–8 weeks): 2 strategies/week \rightarrow 12 units + meta-strategy.

Session recipe (90 min, repeatable)

1. **Micro-primer (10 min):** one rule (e.g., backdoor, base-rate Bayes, $\Delta\Psi$ check).
2. **Guided demo (15 min):** walk a small case; show **Ψ -sieve** before/after.
3. **Case lab (45 min):** verbal, visuospatial, quantitative mini-cases; require (i) one refuter or unit test, (ii) a Ψ statement for the chosen action, (iii) λ decision (sandbox vs propagate).
4. **Reflection (20 min):** log η gain (what compressed?), χ state (what stayed uncertain?), and gate choice (why Creative/Control?).

Assessments & telemetry (no capacity drills needed)

- **Pre/Post battery:**
 - Causal ID (DAG/backdoor choice), Bayes/EU problems under time, invariance/unit-test items, analogy mapping to remote domains.
- **Process metrics:** count valid refuters used, % options removed by Ψ -sieve, λ decisions (expand/contract), decision quality under induced ambiguity, re-entry notes (brief narrative on switching modes).
- **Outcome signals:** improved accuracy, fewer violated constraints, faster convergence to a compressed rule set ($\eta \uparrow$), better option hygiene ($\Delta\Psi \geq 0$ choices).

What to promise (and what not)

- **Expect:** better cross-domain problem solving, cleaner decisions under uncertainty, faster “map \rightarrow decide” cycles—i.e., $G_f \uparrow$.
- **Don’t oversell:** WM span or attentional stamina gains (that’s the capacity track). You can *optionally* suggest a 10-minute **Ψ -Core** warm-up for students who want resilience benefits ($r \uparrow$).

Packaging tips

- Keep every unit “rule \rightarrow refuter $\rightarrow \Psi$ check \rightarrow commit or sandbox (λ)”—the same micro-loop across domains.
- Use mixed modalities (text, diagram, small tables) so skills travel.
- Include one **counterfactual choice** in every assignment (forces application, not just description).

Bottom line: run the mindware course independently now. It will expand G_f on its own; later, bolt on the capacity/ Ψ -Core track to multiply gains into $G = r \times G_f$.