Some questions in the written assignment come from the textbook: Information Security Principles and Practice. This assignment counts 4% of your final grade.

Written Assignment

- 1. (10pt) Reverse engineering.
 - (a) (6pt) What are the two most typical disassembly techniques? Explain them briefly.
 - (b) (4pt) How can developers make software reverse engineering more difficult in practice?
- 2. (20pt) Recall that an opaque predicate is a "conditional" that is actually not a conditional. That is, the conditional always evaluates to the same result, although it is not obvious.
 - (a) (4pt) Why is an opaque predicate a useful defense against reverse engineering attacks? Please provide one condition of opaque predicate as example.
 - (b) (8pt) Suppose someone tries to use Large Language Models (LLMs) to construct opaque predicts. What would be the advantage of such a design? What would be the general concern for such a llm-based obfuscation? Or you think that's not even feasible? Explain your answer.
 - (c) (8pt) What are the potential side effects of inserting opaque predicates? How to alleviate them?
- 3. (30pt) The C function strcat appends a copy of the source string to the destination string, which has been shown unsafe. strncat is a safer version with similar functionality of strcat. strncat appends the first n characters of src to dest, plus a terminating null-character. If the length of the src is less than n, only the content up to the terminating null-character is copied and the length of the dest becomes strlen(src) + strlen(dest). dest is the return value. Its interface is

```
char *strncat(char *dest, const char *src, size_t n);
```

- (a) (5pt) Consider the interface of strcat as "char *strcat(char *dest, const char *src)", why is it unsafe?
- (b) (15pt) Give a concise implementation of strncat according to the given description of its functionality (note that this question is a "written component" in the sense that you need to embed your code within your submitted PDF file). C implementation is preferred, yet other languages (e.g., Python) is also fine.
- (c) (4pt) What problem of streat is solved by strncat?

- (d) (6pt) Can strncat still lead to buffer overflow? If so, what additional checks need to be done to avoid buffer overflow?
- 4. (18pt) In addition to stack-based buffer overflow attacks, heap overflows can also be exploited. Consider the following C code, which illustrates a heap overflow.

```
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>
#include <stdio.h>
int main()
  int diff, size = 16;
  char *buf1, *buf2, *buf3;
  buf1 = (char *) malloc(size);
  buf2 = (char *) malloc(size + 8);
  buf3 = (char *) malloc(size + 16);
  diff = buf3 - buf1;
  printf("DIFF: %d\n", diff);
  memset (buf2, '1', size +8);
  printf ("BEFORE: buf2 = \%s", buf2);
  memset (buf3, '2', size +16);
  printf("BEFORE: buf3 = \%s ", buf3);
  memset(buf1, 'a', diff);
  printf("AFTER: buf1 = \%s", buf1);
  return 0;
}
```

- (a) (6pt) Compile and execute this program. What is printed?
- (b) (6pt) Explain the results you obtained in part (a).
- (c) (6pt) In terms of C/C++ memory management, what is the difference between stack and heap? In particular, which one is allocated/deallocated automatically, and which one needs programmers to take care of (you can search materials online but shouldn't directly copy)?

5. (22pt) Considering the following C++ code. Function fuzzTest takes a string s as input. It returns the ratio of characters A-Z and a-z in s.

```
#include <string>
#include <iostream>

float fuzzTest(std::string s)
{
    int n = 0;
    for (int i = 0; i < s.size(); ++i)
        if (s[i] >= 'A' && s[i] <= 'z')
        ++n;
    return n / s.size();
}

int main(){
    std::string str;
    getline(std::cin, str);
    fuzzTest(str);
    return 0;
}</pre>
```

- (a) (2pt) Describe how to launch fuzz testing towards function fuzzTest.
- (b) (8pt) How many bugs are there in function fuzzTest? Explain them separately.
- (c) (6pt) Among these bugs, which one can be detected by fuzzing? Why? How to fix this bug?
- (d) (6pt) Why are the other bugs harder to detect by a fuzzer? And how to fix these bugs?

Programming Assignment – Buffer Overflow

For this assignment, we provide three programs named login1.cpp, login2.cpp and login3.cpp. These three programs check if the user provided username and password match the stored information in password.txt.

Your task is to perform buffer overflow attack towards these three test programs, by providing a username and password that is **different** from information in **password.txt** to bypass the identity check. On success of the attack, you should see message "Login successful!" (Please take a look at the code which is self explanatory on the output message). Also, you should NOT use any information in the **password.txt** file: it should be deemed as "secret". We will use a different **password.txt** when grading your solution.

login1.cpp, login2.cpp and login3.cpp check your username/password against the secret in password.txt. Note that login2.cpp uses a hard-coded canary to detect buffer overflows, just like stack canaries. login3.cpp mimics a "random" canary computed during runtime (but this one is still *less* challenging than the real-life scenarios).

Important Note: To avoid plagiarism, the provided username must start with your own student id. For example if your student ID is 20918289, then the username you provide to trigger buffer overflow have to be like 20918289abcdefg. Answers does not comply this rule receive no marks.

- (15 pt) Using a buffer overflow attack to successfully exploit login1.cpp or explain why that's not feasible. If feasible, submit your username and password in a file called login1.txt, with exactly two lines, the first line being the username, and the second line being the password.
- (25 pt) Using a buffer overflow attack to successfully exploit login2.cpp or explain why that's not feasible. If feasible, submit your username and password in a file called login2.txt, with exactly two lines, the first line being the username, and the second line being the password.
- (50 pt) Using a buffer overflow attack to successfully exploit login3.cpp or explain why that's not feasible. If feasible, submit your username and password in a file called login3.txt, with exactly two lines, the first line being the username, and the second line being the password.

When grading, we will 1) manually check login1.txt, login2.txt and login3.txt, and 2) try to reproduce the attack with your inputs on our end. On the other hand, if you believe certain attacks are not feasible, we will read your answers to grade accordingly.

Submission Instructions

All submissions should be done through the Canvas system. You should submit a pdf document with your answers for written component, and three files login1.txt, login2.txt and login3.txt for the programming component. Please do NOT zip your file, submit four individual files to Canvas, your solution to written component, login1.txt, login2.txt and login3.txt.