

## Affinoid algebras



## Contents

1. Introduction	4
2. Tate algebras	4
3. Affinoid algebras	4
4. Properties of affinoid algebras	8
Bibliography	9

## 1. Introduction

Our references for this chapter include [BGR84], [Ber12].

## 2. Tate algebras

Let  $(k, |\bullet|)$  be a complete non-Archimedean valued-field.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ . We set

$$k\{r^{-1}T\} = k\{r_1^{-1}T_1, \dots, r_n T_n^{-1}\} \\ := \left\{ f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in k[[T_1, \dots, T_n]] : a_\alpha \in k, |a_\alpha| r^\alpha \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |\alpha| \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

For any  $f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha T^\alpha \in k\{r^{-1}T\}$ , we set

$$\|f\|_r = \max_{\alpha} |a_\alpha| r^\alpha.$$

We call  $(k\{r^{-1}T\}, \|\bullet\|_r)$  the *Tate algebra* in  $n$ -variables with radii  $r$ . The norm  $\|\bullet\|_r$  is called the *Gauss norm*.

We omit  $r$  from the notation if  $r = (1, \dots, 1)$ .

This is a special case of [Example 4.11](#) in the chapter Banach Rings.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ . Then the Tate algebra  $(k\{r^{-1}T\}, \|\bullet\|_r)$  is a Banach  $k$ -algebra and  $\|\bullet\|_r$  is a valuation.

PROOF. This is a special case of [Proposition 4.12](#) in the chapter Banach Rings.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3.** One should think of  $k\{r^{-1}T\}$  as analogues of  $\mathbb{C}\langle r^{-1}T \rangle$  in the theory of complex analytic spaces. We could have studied complex analytic spaces directly from the Banach rings  $\mathbb{C}\langle r^{-1}T \rangle$ , as we will do in the rigid world. But in the complex world, the miracle is that we have *a priori* a good theory of functions on all open subsets of the unit polydisk, so things are greatly simplified. The unit polydisk is a ringed space for free.

As we will see, constructing a good function theory, or more precisely, enhancing the unit disk to a ringed site is the main difficulty in the theory of rigid spaces. And Tate's innovation comes in at this point.

**Example 2.4.** Assume that the valuation on  $k$  is trivial.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ . Then  $k\{r^{-1}T\} \cong k[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]$  if  $r_i \geq 1$  for all  $i$  and  $k\{r^{-1}T\} \cong k[[T_1, \dots, T_n]]$  otherwise.

## 3. Affinoid algebras

Let  $(k, |\bullet|)$  be a complete non-Archimedean valued-field.

**Definition 3.1.** A Banach  $k$ -algebra  $A$  is  *$k$ -affinoid* (resp. *strictly  $k$ -affinoid*) if there are  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$  and an admissible epimorphism  $k\{r^{-1}T\} \rightarrow A$  (resp. an admissible epimorphism  $k\{T\} \rightarrow A$ ).

An affinoid  $k$ -algebra is a  $K$ -affinoid algebra for some complete non-Archimedean field extension  $K/k$ .

For the notion of admissible morphisms, we refer to [Definition 2.5](#) in the chapter Banach rings.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . We let  $K_r$  denote the subring of  $k[[T]]$  consisting of  $f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i T^i$  satisfying  $|a_i| r^i \rightarrow 0$  for  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $i \rightarrow -\infty$ . We define a norm  $\|\bullet\|_r$  on  $K_r$  as follows:

$$\|f\|_r := \max_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_i| r^i.$$

We will show in [Proposition 3.3](#) that  $K_r$  is  $k$ -affinoid.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , then  $(K_r, \|\bullet\|_r)$  defined in [Example 3.2](#) is a  $k$ -affinoid algebra. Moreover,  $\|\bullet\|_r$  is a valuation.

PROOF. Observe that we have an admissible epimorphism

$$\iota : k\{r^{-1}T_1, rT_2\} \rightarrow K_r, \quad T_1 \mapsto T, T_2 \mapsto T^{-1}.$$

As we do not have the universal property at our disposal yet, let us verify by hand that this defines a ring homomorphism: consider a series

$$f = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{i,j} T_1^i T_2^j \in k\{r^{-1}T_1, rT_2\},$$

namely,

$$(3.1) \quad |a_{i,j}| r^{i-j} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $i + j \rightarrow \infty$ . Observe that for each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the series

$$c_k := \sum_{i-j=k, i,j \in \mathbb{N}} a_{i,j}$$

is convergent.

Then by definition, the image  $\iota(f)$  is given by

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k T^k.$$

We need to verify that  $\iota(f) \in K_r$ . That is

$$|c_k| r^k \rightarrow 0$$

as  $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . When  $k \geq 0$ , we have  $|c_k| \leq |a_{k0}|$  by definition of  $c_k$ . So  $|c_k| r^k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by [\(3.1\)](#). The case  $k \rightarrow -\infty$  is similar.

We conclude that we have a well-defined map of sets  $\iota$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $\iota$  is a ring homomorphism. Next we show that  $\iota$  is surjective. Take  $g = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} c_i T^i \in K_r$ . We want to show that  $g$  lies in the image of  $\iota$ . As  $\iota$  is a ring homomorphism, it suffices to treat two cases separately:  $g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i T^i$  and  $g = \sum_{i=-\infty}^0 c_i T^i$ . We handle the first case only, as the second case is similar. In this case, it suffices to consider  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i T_1^i \in k\{r^{-1}T_1, rT_2\}$ . It is immediate that  $\iota(f) = g$ .

Next we show that  $\iota$  is admissible. We first identify the kernel of  $\iota$ . We claim that the kernel is the ideal  $I$  generated by  $T_1 T_2 - 1$ . It is obvious that  $I \subseteq \ker \iota$ . Conversely, consider an element

$$f = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{i,j} T_1^i T_2^j \in k\{r^{-1}T_1, rT_2\}$$

lying in the kernel of  $\iota$ . Observe that

$$f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f_k, \quad f_k = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2, i-j=k} a_{i,j} T_1^i T_2^j.$$

If  $f \in \ker \iota$ , then so is each  $f_k$  by our construction.

We first show that each  $f_k$  lies in the ideal generated by  $T_1 T_2 - 1$ . The condition that  $f_k \in \ker \iota$  means

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2, i-j=k} a_{i,j} = 0.$$

It is elementary to find  $b_{i,j} \in k$  for  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $i - j = k$  such that

$$a_{i,j} = b_{i-1,j-1} - b_{i,j}.$$

Then

$$f_k = (T_1 T_2 - 1) \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, i-j=k} b_{i,j} T_1^i T_2^j.$$

Observe that we can make sure that  $|b_{i,j}| \leq \max\{|a_{i',j'}| : i-j = i'-j'\}$ . In particular, the sum of  $\sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}, i-j=k} b_{i,j} T_1^i T_2^j$  for various  $k$  converges to some  $g \in k\{r^{-1}T_1, rT_2\}$  and hence  $f_k = (T_1 T_2 - 1)g$ . Therefore, we have proved that  $\ker \iota$  is generated by  $T_1 T_2 - 1$ .

It remains to show that  $\iota$  is admissible. In fact, we will prove a stronger result:  $\iota$  induces an isometric isomorphism

$$k\{r^{-1}T_1, rT_2\}/I \rightarrow K_r.$$

To see this, take  $f = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k T^k \in K_r$  and we need to show that

$$\|f\|_r = \inf\{\|g\|_{(r,r^{-1})} : \iota(g) = f\}.$$

Observe that if we set  $g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k T_1^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{-k} T_2^k$ , then  $\iota(g) = f$  and  $\|g\|_{(r,r^{-1})} = \|f\|$ . So it suffices to show that for any  $h = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N}^2} d_{i,j} T_1^i T_2^j \in k\{r^{-1}T_1, rT_2\}$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|f\|_r \leq \|g + h(T_1 T_2 - 1)\|_{r,r^{-1}}.$$

We compute

$$g + h(T_1 T_2 - 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_k - d_{k,0}) T_1^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (c_{-k} - d_{0,k}) T_2^k + (c_0 - d_0) + \sum_{i,j \geq 1} (d_{i-1,j-1} - d_{i,j}) T_1^i T_2^j.$$

So

$$\|g + h(T_1 T_2 - 1)\|_{r,r^{-1}} = \max \left\{ \max_{k \geq 0} C_{1,k}, \max_{k \geq 1} C_{2,k} \right\},$$

where

$$C_{1,k} = \max \left\{ |c_k - d_{k,0}|, \left| \sum_{i-j=k, i,j \geq 1} d_{i-1,j-1} - d_{i,j} \right| \right\}$$

for  $k \geq 0$  and

$$C_{2,k} = \max \left\{ |c_{-k} - d_{0,k}|, \left| \sum_{i-j=-k, i,j \geq 1} d_{i-1,j-1} - d_{i,j} \right| \right\}$$

for  $k \geq 1$ . It follows from the strong triangle inequality that  $|c_k| \leq C_{1,k}$  for  $k \geq 0$  and  $c_{-k} \leq C_{2,k}$  for  $k \geq 1$ . So (3.2) follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \sqrt{|k^\times|}$ , then  $\|\bullet\|_r$  defined in [Example 3.2](#) is a valuation on  $K_r$ .

PROOF. Take  $f, g \in K_r$ , we need to show that

$$\|fg\|_r \geq \|f\|_r \|g\|_r.$$

Let us expand

$$f = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i T^i, \quad g = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} b_i T^i.$$

Take  $i$  and  $j$  so that

$$(3.3) \quad |a_i| r^i = \|f\|_r, \quad |b_j| r^j = \|g\|_r.$$

By our assumption on  $r$ ,  $i, j$  are unique. Then

$$\|fg\|_r = \max_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \{c_k r^k\},$$

where

$$c_k := \sum_{u, v \in \mathbb{Z}, u+v=k} a_u b_v.$$

It suffices to show that

$$(3.4) \quad |c_k| r^k = \|f\|_r \|g\|_r.$$

for  $k = i + j$ . Of course, we may assume that  $a_i \neq 0$  and  $b_j \neq 0$  as otherwise there is nothing to prove. For  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $u + v = i + j$  while  $(u, v) \neq (i, j)$ , we may assume that  $u \neq i$ . Then  $|a_u| r^u < |a_i| r^i$  and  $|b_v| r^v \leq |b_j| r^j$ . So  $|a_u b_v| < |a_i b_j|$  and we conclude [\(3.4\)](#).  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** The argument of [Proposition 4.12](#) in the chapter Banach Rings does not work here if  $r \in \sqrt{|k^\times|}$ , as in general one can not take minimal  $i, j$  so that [\(3.3\)](#) is satisfied.

**Proposition 3.6.** Assume that  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \sqrt{|k^\times|}$ . Then  $K_r$  is a valuation field and  $\|\bullet\|_r$  is non-trivial.

PROOF. We first show that  $\text{Sp } K_r$  consists of a single point:  $\|\bullet\|_r$ . Assume that  $|\bullet| \in \text{Sp } K_r$ . As  $\|\bullet\|_r$  is a valuation, we find

$$(3.5) \quad |\bullet| \leq \|\bullet\|_r.$$

In particular,  $|\bullet|$  restricted to  $k$  is the given valuation on  $k$ . It suffices to show that  $|T| = r$ . This follows from [\(3.5\)](#) applied to  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$ .

It follows that  $K_r$  does not have any non-zero proper closed ideals: if  $I$  is such an ideal,  $K_r/I$  is a Banach  $k$ -algebra. By [Proposition 6.2](#) in the chapter Banach rings,  $\text{Sp } K_r$  is non-empty. So  $K_r$  has to admit bounded semi-valuation with non-trivial kernel.

In particular, by [Corollary 4.5](#) in the chapter Banach rings, the only maximal ideal of  $K_r$  is 0. It follows that  $K_r$  is a field.

The valuation  $\|\bullet\|_r$  is non-trivial as  $\|T\|_r = r$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.7.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ . Assume that  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  are linearly independent in the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear space  $\mathbb{R}_{>0}/\sqrt{|k^\times|}$ . We define

$$K_r = K_{r_1} \hat{\otimes}_k \cdots \hat{\otimes}_k K_{r_n}.$$

By an iterated application of [Proposition 3.6](#),  $K_r$  is a complete valuation field.

**4. Properties of affinoid algebras**



## Bibliography

- [Ber12] V. G. Berkovich. Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields. 33. American Mathematical Soc., 2012.
- [BGR84] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. Non-Archimedean analysis. Vol. 261. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. xii+436. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-52229-1>.