\mathbf{Ymir}

Contents

Analy	ytic sets	ţ
1.	Introduction	ţ
2.	Remmert–Stein theorem	
Biblio	ography	11

Analytic sets

1. Introduction

2. Remmert-Stein theorem

Lemma 2.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and U be a relatively compact open neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n . Let $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. We write L^k for the intersection of $z_1 = \cdots = z_{n-k} = 0$ with U, where z_1, \ldots, z_n are the coordinates on \mathbb{C}^n . Let A be an analytic set in $U \setminus L^k$ of dimension $\leq k$. Then for $i = 0, \ldots, k$, we can find a linear subspace L' of \mathbb{C}^n of dimension n - k + i such that

$$\dim L' \cap A \le i$$
, $\dim L' \cap L^k \le i$.

PROOF. We make an induction on n. When n = 0, 1, there is nothing to prove. Let n > 1. If i = k, we just take $L' = \mathbb{C}^n$. Assume $0 \le i < k$.

Let M_1, \ldots, M_N be the irreducible components of A. We may assume that no components are single points. Take a non-zero base point $p_j \in M_j$ for $j = 1, \ldots, N$. Let H be an (n-1)-dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{C}^n which does not contain L^k or any of the points p_1, \ldots, p_N . Without loss of generality, we may guarantee that H is given by $z_n = 0$.

Let k_j denote the dimension of M_j for $j=1,\ldots,N$. Let $M'_j=M_j\cap H$ for $j=1,\ldots,N$. Observe that the dimension of M'_j is either k_j or k_j-1 for $j=1,\ldots,N$. Let

$$M' := \bigcup_{i=1}^{N} M'_i.$$

Then dim $M' \leq k - 1$. By the inductive hypothesis, we can find a linear subspace L' of \mathbb{C}^n of dimension n - k + 1 with the desired properties.

Lemma 2.2. Let $k \leq n$ be two elements in \mathbb{N} and $D = \Delta^k \times \Delta^{n-k}$ be the product of two unit polycylinders. Write L for $\Delta^k \times \{0\}$. Consider a non-empty analytic subset M of $D \setminus L$ of dimension k everywhere. Assume that M does not intersect a neighbourhood of $\Delta^k \times \{y \in \mathbb{C}^{n-k} : ||y||_{L^{\infty}} = 1\}$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, M meets the polycylinder $\{(x,y) \in D : ||x||_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon, |y|_{L^{\infty}} \in (0,1)\}$.

PROOF. Step 1. We observe that for each $a \in \Delta^k$, the intersection

$$\{(x,y)\in D: x=a\}\cap M$$

is discrete. In fact, by our assumption, the absolute values the coordinate functions of Δ^{n-k} obtain their maxima on each irreducible component of the intersection. By Corollary 4.20 in Morphisms between complex analytic spaces, these coordinates are all constant.

Step 2. Let $(x^1, y^1) \in M$. Then $y^1 \neq 0$ by assumption. We may assume that $x^1 \neq 0$ as otherwise there is nothing to prove. Let us write $x^1 = (x_1^1, \ldots, x_k^1)$, $y^1 = (y_1^1, \ldots, y_{n-k}^1)$ with $x_1^1 \neq 0$ and $y_1^1 \neq 0$.

Let $b=(x_2^1,\ldots,x_k^1)$. Let N be the intersection of M with $\Delta\times\{b\}\times\Delta^{n-k}$. Then N is non-empty and has dimension 1 everywhere. In fact, by Krulls Hauptidealsatz, the dimension of N at each point is at least 1. By Step 1, the dimension is at most 1.

We argue that we can take $|z_1|$ on M as small as well wish. Suppose otherwise,

$$\sup_{z \in M} |z_1| > 0.$$

Tkae $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with

$$|x_1^1|^q < |y_1^1|.$$

Consider the function $f: N \to \mathbb{C}$ sending (x, y) to y_1/x_1^q . Then f is a morphism of complex analytic spaces and is bounded, say

$$\sup_{(x,y)\in N} |f(x,y)| = C_0.$$

Then $C_0 > 1$ by our choice of q. But at the boundary of D, $|z_1| = 1$, so we find that |f(x,y)| obtains its maximum on each irreducible component of N. So in particular, $|z_1|$ obtains its infimum on each irreducible component of N. This contradicts the fact that N has dimension 1 everywhere.

We can now assume that $|x_1^1| < \epsilon$. Now we can replace M by $\{x_1^1\} \times \Delta^{k-1} \times \Delta^{n-k}$ and reduce the value of k by 1. By induction, we conclude.

Lemma 2.3 (Fundamental lemma). Let X be a complex manifold and F be a nowhere dense analytic set of dimension $\leq k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let E be an analytic set in $X \setminus F$ such that for any $x \in E$,

$$\dim_x E = k$$
.

Then

$$\{x \in F : \bar{E} \text{ is analytic at } x\}$$

is clopen in X.

PROOF. The given set is clearly open. It suffices to show that it is closed.

Let $p \in F$ be a point in the closure of the given set. We need to show that E is analytic at p. The problem is local on X, we may assume that X is a complex model space. Then it is immediate that we can reduce to the case where X is a domain in \mathbb{C}^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By enlarging F, we may assume that F is defined by y = 0, where x, y denote the first k and the last n - k coordinates on $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$. Finally, we may assume that p = 0.

By Lemma 2.1, we can take a linear subspace L of \mathbb{C}^n which meets F and E only at discrete points. We may arrange that L is defined by the condition x = 0.

Take $\epsilon, \delta > 0$ so that

$$S := \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} : ||x||_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon, ||y||_{L^{\infty}} < \delta \right\} \subseteq D;$$

(2)
$$\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k} : ||x||_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon, ||y||_{L^{\infty}} = \delta\} \cap E = \emptyset.$$

Observe that for all $a \in \mathbb{C}^k$, $||a||_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon$, the intersection

$$({a} \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k}) \cap E \cap \operatorname{Int} S$$

is discrete. In fact, the intersection is an analytic set in $S \setminus F$ and the absolute values of y_1, \ldots, y_{n-k} take their maxima on each irreducible components by (2). So they are in fact constant.

By our assumption, there are points at which \bar{E} is analytic on $Z := \{|x| < \epsilon, y = 0\}$. Let B_0 be a connected component of the set of such points. We can equivalently view B_0 as an open subset of $\{|x| < \epsilon\}$. Then for any $a \in B_0$, the set

$$F_a := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^n : x = a\} \cap \bar{E} \cap \operatorname{Int} S$$

is discrete. Let (x^1, y^1) be a point in this set, then \bar{E} is equidimensional of dimension k at this point. Each irreducible component K_j at (x^1, y^1) is a ramified covering of order m_j . We define the order $m(x^1, y^1)$ as this sum.

For each $a \in B_0$, we define s(a) as the sum of multiplicities of points of F_a . Then s(a) is locally constant on B_0 and by (2), s(a) is actually constant. Let s be this common value.

Assume that \bar{E} is not analytic at 0. Then B_0 meets $|x| = \epsilon$, say at x'. Let s' be the number of intersection points of $\{x = x'\} \cap E$ counting mulitiplicity.

Observe that $s' \leq s$, as otherwise, there will be more than s points of E over points of B_0 close to x'. But $s' \neq s$ as otherwise, we contradict Lemma 2.2.

So s' < s. If $x \in B_0$ converges to x', then at lest one of the s points of \overline{E} over x converges to (x',0) and the coordinates y_1, \ldots, y_{n-k} of this point converge to 0. The same holds for all boundary points of B_0 in $\{\|x\|_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon\}$.

We introduce n-k unknowns X_1, \ldots, X_{n-k} and set

$$z = \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} y_j X_j.$$

If (x, y^i) (i = 1, ..., s) denotes the s-points of \bar{E} lying over $x \in B_0$, then we set

$$z^i := \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} y_j^i X_j$$

for i = 1, ..., s. Then $z^1 \cdots z^s$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree s. The coefficients are holomorphic on B_0 by Riemann extension theorem. As B_0 is not contained in \bar{E} , the coefficients are not all 0.

If $x \in B_0$ converges to a boundary point of B_0 in $\{||x||_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon\}$, then all coefficients converge to 0.

By Proposition 4.40 in Morphisms between complex analytic spaces, we conclude that the boundary points of B_0 in the interior of $\{\|x\|_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon\}$ lie in an analytic subset of codimension 1.

Let $Q(z)=(z-z^1)\cdots(z-z^s)$. Hen Q is a homogeneous polynomial of degree s with respect to the u_j 's. The coefficients are holomorphic on $x\in B_0$ and are polynomials in the y_i 's. The vanishing of the coordinates defines exactly the part of \bar{E} over B_0 in the interior of S. But the coefficients are bounded at the boundary, so they extend to holomorphic functions everywhere and in particular on $\{\|x\|_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon\}$. The vanishing of the coefficients define an analytic set E' in $B_0 \times \{\|y\|_{L^{\infty}} < \delta\}$. Each point of E' belongs to the part of \bar{E} lying over B_0 . So \bar{E} is analytic at each

point of $\{\{(x,0): ||x||_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon\} < \epsilon\}$. In particular, $B_0 = \{\{||x||_{L^{\infty}} < \epsilon\} < \epsilon\}$. This is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.4. Let X be a complex manifold and F be a nowhere-dense analytic set in X of dimension $\leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let E be an analytic set in $X \setminus F$ all of whose irreducible components are of dimension $\geq k$ on each point. Consider a point $x \in F$ with $\dim_x F < k$. Then \bar{E} is analytic at x.

PROOF. Let $r = \dim_x F$. The problem is local. By Theorem 2.4 in Local properties of complex analytic spaces, we may assume that F is of dimension $\leq r$ everywhere. We need to show that \bar{E} is an analytic set in X. By induction on r, we may clearly assume that F is a complex manifold of equidimension r with respect to the reduced induced structure.

Again, as the problem is local, we may reduce to the case where X is a complex model space and then to the case where X is an open neighbourhood of $0 \mathbb{C}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $p \in F$, we want to show that \bar{E} is analytic at p. We may then assume that p = 0. We can then rearrange F so that F is a linear subspace of dimension r_0 . We can take a closed subspace V of X such that $V \setminus F$ intersects E at an analytic subset of dimension < k. Let $E_1 = E \setminus V$. Then

$$\overline{E_1} = \bar{E}$$
.

As $\overline{E_1}$ is analytic at all points in $V \setminus F$, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $\overline{E_1}$ is analytic on all points of V. So \overline{E} is analytic at points in F.

Theorem 2.5 (Remmert–Stein). Let X be a complex analytic space and F be a nowhere-dense analytic set in X of dimension $\leq k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let E be an analytic set in $X \setminus F$ all of whose irreducible components are of dimension $\geq k$ on each point. Then

$$\{x \in F : \bar{E} \text{ is not analytic at } x\}$$

is an analytic set of dimension k at each point.

PROOF. The problem is local on X, so we may assume that X is a complex model space. Then we reduce immediately to the case where X is a domain in \mathbb{C}^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we may assume that X is a complex manifold.

Let F' be set of regular points of F of dimension k and $F'_0 \subseteq F$ be the set of points where \bar{E} is analytic. Then F'_0 is the union of some connected components of F_0 by Lemma 2.3.

Let F_1' be the union of the other connected components of F'. Observe that $G := \overline{F_1'}$ is an analytic subset of F. Observe that \bar{E} is analytic at no points of G. It suffices to show that \bar{E} is analytic at all points of $F \setminus G$.

Let $p \in F \setminus G$. We show that \bar{E} is If $\dim_p F < k$, we just apply Theorem 2.4. So we may assume that $\dim_p F = k$. By our choice, $p \in \overline{F_0'}$. In a neighbourhood of p, the subset of F consisting of points where \bar{E} is not analytic is contained in $\overline{F_0'} \setminus F_0'$, which is an analytic set of dimension < k. We conclude again by Theorem 2.4. \square

Corollary 2.6. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism of complex analytic spaces and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that X is a complex manifold. Then

$$\left\{x \in X : \dim_x f^{-1}(x) \ge n\right\}$$

is closed.

PROOF. Let $x \in X$, $\dim_x f^{-1}(x) = n$. We need to show that the fiber dimension in a neighbourhood of x is at most n.

The problem is local, so we may assume that Y is Hausdorff. Suppose our assertion is false, then we can find a sequence $x_i \in X$ convering to x such that $\dim_{x_i} f^{-1}(x_i) > d$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let E_i be the irreducible component of $f^{-1}(x_i)$ containing x_i such that $\dim_{x_i} E_i = \dim_{x_i} f^{-1}(x_i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

We may assume that E_i 's have the same dimension d > n and x_i and x are all different. Let M be the union of the E_i 's, then M is an analytic set in $X \setminus f^{-1}(x)$. By Theorem 2.5, \bar{M} is analytic near x. This is absurd.

Corollary 2.7 (Remmert). Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism of complex analytic spaces and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\left\{x \in X : \dim_x f^{-1}(x) \ge n\right\}$$

is an analytic set in X.

This result is not stated in the correct way in Remmert's paper. In most of Remmert's papers, the notion of codimension is misused.

PROOF. By Corollary 2.6, the given set is closed. It suffices to show that it is analytic along each point on X. In particular, we may assume that X is connected.

Step 1. We reduce to the case where Y is a complex manifold.

The problem is local on Y, so we may assume that Y is a complex model space. Then clearly, we can assume that Y is a domain in \mathbb{C}^n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, Y is a complex manifold.

Step 2. We first handle the case where X is a complex manifold and the rank of $\Omega_{X/Y}$ is constant.

In this case, we simply observe that $\dim_x f^{-1}(x) = \operatorname{rank}_x \Omega_{X/Y}$ and our assertion is obvious.

Step 3. The problem is local on X, so we may assume that dim $X < \infty$. Let

$$B = \left\{ x \in X^{\text{reg}} : \operatorname{rank}_x \Omega_{X/Y} > \tau \right\},\,$$

where

$$\tau := \min_{x' \in X} \operatorname{rank}_{x'} \Omega_{X/Y}.$$

Then B is an analytic set in X^{reg} by Step 2. The closure \bar{B} is an analytic set in X, as this can be characterized by the condition that $\operatorname{rank}_x \Omega_{X/Y} > \tau$. Moreover, $\dim \bar{B} < N$.

We may assume that $n > \tau$, as there is nothing to prove otherwise. In particular,

$$\{x \in X : \dim_x f^{-1}(x) \ge n\} \subseteq \bar{B} \cup X^{\operatorname{Sing}}.$$

We write $D = \bar{B} \cup X^{\text{Sing}}$ and endow it with the reduced induced structure.

We make induction on $N:=\dim X.$ The problem is trivial when N=0. Assume that $N\geq 1.$ Then

$$\left\{x \in D_0 : \dim_x f^{-1}(x) \ge n\right\}$$

is an analytic set in D for each connected component D_0 of D.

We observe that

$$\{x \in X : \dim_x f^{-1}(x) \ge n\} = \bigcup_{D_0} \{x \in D_0 : \dim_x f^{-1}(x) \ge n\},$$

where D_0 runs over all connected components of D and $N-s_0$ is the dimension of D_0 . From this it follows that $\{x \in X : \dim_x f^{-1}(x) \geq n\}$ is analytic, as the formula union on the right-hand side is locally finite.

Corollary 2.8. Let $f: X \to Y$ be a morphism of complex analytic spaces. Then $\{x \in X : f \text{ is quasi-finite at } x\}$

is co-analytic.

PROOF. This follows immediately from Corollary 2.7.

As an application of Remmert–Stein theorem, we prove Chow's theorem.

Theorem 2.9. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a closed analytic subspace of \mathbb{P}^n . Then X is the analytification of a closed subvariety of \mathbb{P}^n .

PROOF. We may assume that X is non-empty. Let $\pi: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^n$ be the projection and $Y = \pi^{-1}(X)$. Then X is analytic in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$. By Theorem 2.5, \bar{X} is an analytic set in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} .

Choose an open ball U in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} centered at 0 and finitely many holomorphic functions $f_1, \ldots, f_k \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}}(U)$ such that $\bar{X} \cap U = W(f_1, \ldots, f_k)$. Let \mathcal{P} be the collection of homogeneous components of the f_i 's. Then

$$X = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{P}} W(f).$$

In fact, let us denote the right-hand side by Y for the moment. It is clear that $\bar{X} \cap U$ contains $Y \cap U$ and hence $\bar{X} \supseteq Y$. Conversely, take $x \in \bar{X} \cap U$, from the fact that $\lambda_x \in \bar{X} \cap U$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| < 1$, we find easily that all homogeneous components of the f_i 's vanishes at x. So $x \in Y$. We conclude that $\bar{X} \subseteq Y$.

Now as $\mathbb{C}[X_0,\ldots,X_n]$ is noetherian, we may take a finite subcollection \mathcal{P}' of \mathcal{P} such that

$$X = \bigcap_{f \in \mathcal{P}'} W(f).$$

[Stacks]

Bibliography

[Stacks] T. Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu. 2020.