HAUSDORFF CONVERGENCE PROPERTY OF PARTIAL OKOUNKOV BODIES

MINGCHEN XIA

Contents

1.	Introduction]
2.	Hausdorff convergence property	1
Ref	erences	6

1. Introduction

This note is a refinement of [Xia21, Theorem A]. We prove the Hausdorff convergence property in full generality.

This note is motivated by a discussion with Sébastien Boucksom.

2. Hausdorff convergence property

Let X be a connected smooth projective variety of dimension n. Let (L, h) be a Hermitian pseudo-effective line bundle on X with $\int_X (\mathrm{dd}^c h)^n > 0$. Fix $\nu : \mathbb{C}(X)^\times \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ a valuation of rank n and rational rank n. Take a smooth Hermitian metric h_0 on L and set $\theta = c_1(L, h_0)$. We can then identify h with $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, \theta)$.

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we introduce

$$\Delta^k_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi) := \operatorname{Conv}\left\{k^{-1}\nu(f) : f \in H^0(X, L^k \otimes \mathcal{I}(h^k))\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here Conv denotes the convex hull. The convex hull is necessarily closed by [LM09, Lemma 1.4].

For later use, we introduce a twisted version as well. If T is a holomorphic line bundle on X, we introduce

$$\Delta^{k,T}_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi):=\operatorname{Conv}\left\{k^{-1}\nu(f):f\in H^0(X,T\otimes L^k\otimes\mathcal{I}(h^k))\right\}\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n.$$

We also write

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(L) := \operatorname{Conv}\left\{k^{-1}\nu(f) : f \in H^0(X, T \otimes L^k)\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{k}(L) := \operatorname{Conv}\left\{k^{-1}\nu(f) : f \in H^{0}(X, L^{k})\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

We write $\mathcal{I}_{\infty}(\varphi) = \mathcal{I}_{\infty}(h)$ for the ideal sheaf on X locally consisting of holomorphic functions f such that $|f|_h$ is locally bounded.

We endow the space \mathcal{K}^n of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n with the Hausdorff metric. We remind the readers that the Minkowski sum is continuous with respect

Date: January 13, 2023.

to the Hausdorff metric. We will always use this property without explicitly mentioning. For further backgrounds on convex bodies, we refer to [Sch14]. For notations related to Okounkov bodies and partial Okounkov bodies, we refer to [Xia21].

We first extend [Xia21, Theorem 3.13] to the twisted case.

Proposition 2.1. For any holomorphic line bundle T on X,

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(L) \to \Delta_{\nu}(L)$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. As L is big, we can take $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that

- (1) $T^{-1} \otimes L^{k_0}$ admits a non-zero global holomorphic section s_0 ;
- (2) $T \otimes L^{k_0}$ admits a non-zero global holomorphic section s_1 .

Then for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>k_0}$, we have injective linear maps

$$H^0(X, L^{k-k_0}) \xrightarrow{\times s_1} H^0(X, T \otimes L^k) \xrightarrow{\times s_0} H^0(X, L^{k+k_0}).$$

It follows that

$$(k-k_0)\Delta_{\nu}^{k-k_0}(L) + \nu(s_1) \subseteq k\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(L) \subseteq (k+k_0)\Delta_{\nu}^{k+k_0}(L) - \nu(s_0).$$

By [Xia21, Theorem 3.13], we conclude.

Lemma 2.2. Let T be a holomorphic line bundle on X. Assume that φ has analytic singularities and φ has positive mass, then

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(\theta,\varphi) \to \Delta_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi)$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. Up to replacing X by a birational model and twisting T accordingly, we may assume that φ has log singularities along a nc \mathbb{Q} -divisor D. Take $\epsilon \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. In this case, by Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ we have

$$H^{0}(X, T \otimes L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\infty}(k\varphi)) \subseteq H^{0}(X, T \otimes L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}(k\varphi))$$
$$\subseteq H^{0}(X, T \otimes L^{k} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\infty}(k(1 - \epsilon)\varphi)).$$

Take an integer $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that ND is a divisor and $N\epsilon$ is an integer.

Let Δ' be the limit of a subsequence of $(\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(\theta,\varphi))_k$, say the sequence defined by the indices k_1, k_2, \ldots We want to show that $\Delta' = \Delta_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi)$.

There exists $t \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$ such that $k_i \equiv t$ modulo N for infinitely many i, up to replacing k_i by a subsequence, we may assume that $k_i \equiv t$ modulo N for all i. Write $k_i = Ng_i + t$.

Now we have

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{g_i, T \otimes L^t} (NL - ND) + N\nu(D) \subseteq N\Delta_{\nu}^{k, T}(\theta, \varphi)$$

$$\subseteq \Delta_{\nu}^{g_i, T \otimes L^t} (NL - N(1 - \epsilon)D) + N(1 - \epsilon)\nu(D).$$

By Proposition 2.1,

$$\Delta_{\nu}(L-D) + \nu(D) \subset \Delta' \subset \Delta_{\nu}(L-(1-\epsilon)D) + (1-\epsilon)\nu(D).$$

Let $\epsilon \to 0+$, we find that

$$\Delta_{\nu}(L-D) + \nu(D) = \Delta'.$$

It follows from Blanschke selection theorem that

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(\theta,\varphi) \to \Delta_{\nu}(L-D) + \nu(D) = \Delta_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi)$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that θ_{φ} is a Kähler current, then as $\mathbb{Q} \ni \beta \to 0+$, we have

$$\Delta_{\nu}((1-\beta)\theta,\varphi) \to \Delta_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi).$$

Proof. By [Xia21, Proposition 5.15], we have

$$\Delta_{\nu}((1-\beta)\theta,\varphi) + \beta\Delta_{\nu}(L) \subseteq \Delta_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi).$$

In particular, if Δ' is a limit of a subsequence of $(\Delta_{\nu}((1-\beta)\theta,\varphi))_{\beta}$, then

$$\Delta' \subseteq \Delta_{\nu}(\theta, \varphi).$$

But

$$\operatorname{vol} \Delta' = \lim_{\beta \to 0+} \Delta_{\nu}((1-\beta)\theta, \varphi) = \lim_{\beta \to 0+} \int_{X} ((1-\beta)\theta + \operatorname{dd^{c}} P^{(1-\beta)\theta}[\varphi]_{\mathcal{I}})^{n}.$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{\beta \to 0+} \int_X ((1-\beta)\theta + \mathrm{dd^c} P^{(1-\beta)\theta}[\varphi]_{\mathcal{I}})^n = \int_X (\theta + \mathrm{dd^c} P^{\theta}[\varphi]_{\mathcal{I}})^n.$$

Note that this finishes the proof as $\operatorname{vol} \Delta_{\nu}(\theta, \varphi)$ is exactly equal to the right-hand side.

Next we prove our claim. We make use of the b-divisors introduced in [Xia22b; Xia22a]. By [Xia22a, Theorem 0.6], the claim is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\beta \to 0+} \operatorname{vol} \mathbb{D}((1-\beta)\theta, \varphi) = \operatorname{vol} \mathbb{D}(\theta, \varphi).$$

This is a special case of [Xia22a, Theorem 9.6]

Theorem 2.4. Let T be a holomorphic line bundle on X. As $k \to \infty$, $\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(\theta,\varphi) \to \Delta_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi)$.

Proof. Fix a Kähler form $\omega \geq \theta$ on X.

Step 1. We first handle the case where $dd^c h$ is a Kähler current, say $dd^c h \ge \beta_0 \omega$ for some $\beta_0 \in (0,1)$.

Take a decreasing quasi-equisingular approximation φ_j of φ . Up to replacing β_0 by $\beta_0/2$, we may assume that $\theta_{\varphi_j} \geq \beta_0 \omega$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Let Δ' be a limit of a subsequence of $(\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(\theta,\varphi))_k$. Let us say the indices of the subsequence are $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$. By Blaschke selection theorem, it suffices to show that $\Delta' = \Delta_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi)$.

As $\varphi \leq \varphi_j$ for each $j \geq 1$, we have

$$\Delta' \subseteq \Delta_{\nu}(\theta, \varphi_i)$$

by Lemma 2.2. Let $j \to \infty$, we find

$$\Delta' \subseteq \Delta_{\nu}(\theta, \varphi).$$

In particular, it suffices to prove that

$$\operatorname{vol} \Delta' > \operatorname{vol} \Delta_{\nu}(\theta, \varphi).$$

Take $\beta \in (0, \beta_0) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Write $\beta = p/q$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Observe that for any $j \geq 1$,

$$\theta_{\varphi_i} \geq \beta \omega \geq \beta \theta$$
.

Namely, $\varphi_j \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, (1-\beta)\theta)$. Similarly, $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X, (1-\beta)\theta)$. By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to argue that

(2.1)
$$\operatorname{vol} \Delta' \ge \operatorname{vol} \Delta_{\nu}((1-\beta)\theta, \varphi).$$

For this purpose, we are free to replace k_i 's by a subsequence, so we may assume that $k_i \equiv a \mod q$ for all $i \geq 1$, where $a \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$. We write $k_i = g_i q + a$. Observe that for each $i \geq 1$,

$$H^0(X, T \otimes L^{k_i} \otimes \mathcal{I}(k_i \varphi)) \supseteq H^0(X, T \otimes L^{-q+a} \otimes L^{g_i q+q} \otimes \mathcal{I}((g_i q+q) \varphi)).$$

Up to replacing T by $T \otimes L^{-q+a}$, we may therefore assume that a = 0.

By [DX21, Lemma 4.2], we can find $k' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for all $k \geq k'$, there is $v_{\beta,k} \in \mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$ satisfying

(1)

$$P[\varphi]_{\mathcal{I}} \ge (1-\beta)\varphi_k + \beta v_{\beta,k};$$

(2) $v_{\beta,k}$ has positive mass.

Fix $k \geq k'$. It suffices to show that

(2.2)
$$\Delta_{\nu}((1-\beta)\theta, \varphi_k) + v' \subseteq \Delta'$$

for some $v' \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$. In fact, if this is true, we have

$$\operatorname{vol} \Delta' \ge \operatorname{vol} \Delta_{\nu}((1-\beta)\theta, \varphi_k)$$

Let $k \to \infty$, by [Xia21, Theorem A], we conclude (2.1).

It remains to prove (2.2). Let $\pi: Y \to X$ be a log resolution of the singularities of φ_k . By the proof of [DX21, Proposition 4.3], there is $j_0 = j_0(\beta, k) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that for any $j \geq j_0$, we can find a non-zero section $s_j \in H^0(Y, \pi^* L^{pj} \otimes \mathcal{I}(jp\pi^* v_{\beta,k}))$ such that we get an injective linear map

$$H^0(Y, \pi^*T \otimes K_{Y/X} \otimes \pi^*L^{(q-p)j} \otimes \mathcal{I}(jq\pi^*\varphi_k)) \xrightarrow{\times s_j} H^0(X, T \otimes L^{jq} \otimes \mathcal{I}(jq\varphi)).$$

In particular, when $j = k_i$ for some i large enough, we then find

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{k_i,\pi^*T\otimes K_{Y/X}}((1-\beta)q\pi^*\theta,q\pi^*\varphi_k)+(k_i)^{-1}\nu(s_{k_i})\subseteq q\Delta_{\nu}^{k_i,T}(\theta,\varphi).$$

We observe that $(k_i)^{-1}\nu(s_{k_i})$ is bounded as the right-hand side is bounded when i varies. Then by Lemma 2.2, there is a vector $v' \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\Delta_{\nu}((1-\beta)\pi^*\theta,\pi^*\varphi_k)+v'\subseteq\Delta'.$$

By the birational invariance of the partial Okounkov bodies, we find (2.2). **Step 2**. Next we handle the general case.

Let Δ' be the limit of a subsequence of $(\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(\theta,\varphi))_k$, say the subsequence with indices $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$. By Blaschke selection theorem, it suffices to prove that $\Delta' = \Delta_{\nu}(\theta,\varphi)$.

Take $\psi \in \mathrm{PSH}(X,\theta)$ such that

- (1) θ_{ψ} is a Kähler current;
- (2) $\psi \leq \varphi$.

The existence of ψ is proved in [DX21, Proposition 3.6].

Then for any $\epsilon \in \mathbb{Q} \cap (0,1)$,

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(\theta,\varphi) \supseteq \Delta_{\nu}^{k,T}(\theta,(1-\epsilon)\varphi + \epsilon\psi)$$

for all k. It follows from Step 1 that

$$\Delta' \supseteq \Delta_{\nu}(\theta, (1 - \epsilon)\varphi + \epsilon \psi).$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$ and applying [Xia21, Theorem A], we have

$$\Delta' \supseteq \Delta_{\nu}(\theta, \varphi).$$

It remains to establish that

(2.3)
$$\operatorname{vol} \Delta' \leq \operatorname{vol} \Delta_{\nu}(\theta, \varphi).$$

For this purpose, we are free to replace $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$ by a subsequence. Fix q > 0, we may then assume that $k_i \equiv a \mod q$ for all $i \geq 1$ for some $a \in \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\}$. We write $k_i = g_i q + a$. Observe that

$$H^0(X, T \otimes L^{k_i} \otimes \mathcal{I}(k_i\varphi)) \subseteq H^0(X, T \otimes L^a \otimes L^{g_iq} \otimes \mathcal{I}(g_iq\varphi)).$$

Up to replacing T by $T \otimes L^a$, we may assume that a = 0.

Take a very ample line bundle H on X and fix a Kähler form $\omega \in c_1(H)$, take a non-zero section $s \in H^0(X, H)$.

We have an injective linear map

$$H^0(X, T \otimes L^{jq} \otimes \mathcal{I}(jq\varphi)) \xrightarrow{\times s^j} H^0(X, T \otimes H^j \otimes L^{jq} \otimes \mathcal{I}(jq\varphi))$$

for each $j \geq 1$. In particular, for each $i \geq 1$,

$$k_i \Delta_{\nu}^{k_i,T}(q\theta, q\varphi) + k_i \nu(s) \subseteq k_i \Delta_{\nu}^{k_i,T}(\omega + q\theta, q\varphi).$$

Let $i \to \infty$, by Step 1,

$$q\Delta' + \nu(s) \subseteq \Delta_{\nu}(\omega + q\theta, q\varphi).$$

So

$$\operatorname{vol} \Delta' \le \operatorname{vol} \Delta_{\nu}(q^{-1}\omega + \theta, \varphi) = \int_{X} (q^{-1}\omega + \theta + \operatorname{dd^{c}} P^{q^{-1}\omega + \theta}[\varphi]_{\mathcal{I}})^{n}.$$

By [Xia21, Corollary 4.4],

$$\operatorname{vol} \Delta' \le \int_X (q^{-1}\omega + \theta + \operatorname{dd}^{\operatorname{c}} P^{\theta}[\varphi]_{\mathcal{I}})^n.^*$$

Let $q \to \infty$, we conclude (2.3).

^{*}This can be argued more directly using b-divisors, as in the proof of Lemma 2.3.

References

- [DX21] T. Darvas and M. Xia. The volume of pseudoeffective line bundles and partial equilibrium. *Geometry & Topology* (2021). arXiv: 2112. 03827 [math.DG].
- [LM09] R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustaţă. Convex bodies associated to linear series. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 42.5 (2009), pp. 783–835. URL: https://doi.org/10.24033/asens.2109.
- [Sch14] R. Schneider. Convex bodies: the Brunn–Minkowski theory. 151. Cambridge university press, 2014.
- [Xia21] M. Xia. Partial Okounkov bodies and Duistermaat—Heckman measures of non-Archimedean metrics. 2021. arXiv: 2112.04290 [math.AG].
- [Xia22a] M. Xia. Non-pluripolar products on vector bundles and Chern-Weil formulae on mixed Shimura varieties. 2022. arXiv: 2210. 15342 [math.AG].
- [Xia22b] M. Xia. Pluripotential-Theoretic Stability Thresholds. *International Mathematics Research Notices* (2022). rnac186. URL: https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnac186.

Mingchen Xia, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU-PARIS RIVE GAUCHE

 $Email\ address, {\tt mingchen@imj-prg.fr}$

Homepage, https://mingchenxia.github.io/home/.