## NOTE ON DUCROS' BOOK — CHAPTER 4

#### MINGCHEN XIA

#### Contents

| 1.  | Introduction | 1 |
|-----|--------------|---|
| 2.  | Notes        | 1 |
| Ref | ferences     | 3 |

#### 1. Introduction

These are a series of notes on the book Duc24.

### 2. Notes

Let k be a non-Archimedean analytic field. Consider a k-analytic curve X. Unlike Ducros' book, we assume that X is good.<sup>1</sup>

- **4.1.1.** Line 17,  $\mathbb{P}_k^{1,\text{an}}$  should be  $\mathbb{P}_k^1$ .
- **4.2.1.** Line 4,  $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi((x)))$  should be  $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$ .
- **4.2.3.** Line 5,  $\varphi$  should be f.
- **4.2.4.1.** Line 6, = 0 should be removed. Line 8, X' should be  $X_0$ .
- **4.2.5.1.** The existence of function mentioned in the first paragraph is constructed in 3.5.9.
- **4.2.9.** Line 3,  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{H}(x)}$  should be  $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{H}(x)/k}$ .
- **4.2.16.** The reduction in the first paragraph of the proof is not quite correct, and is not what we need in the sequel. The correct version is the following:

Notons pour commencer que l'on peut, pour montrer 1), 2) i) et 2) ii), remplacer X par  $X_{\text{red}}$  et Y par  $Y \times_X X_{\text{red}}$ ; cela permet de se ramener, pour montrer toutes les assertions, au cas où la courbe X est génériquement réduite, et l'on distingue alors deux cas selon la nature du point x.

**4.2.16.1.** Line 5, the second y should be x.

Line 5, U est une composante connexe de  $\varphi^{-1}(x)$  should be V est une composante connexe de  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$ .

- **4.2.16.2.** Line 26,  $\varphi^{-1}U$  should be  $\varphi^{-1}(U)$ .
- **4.3.4.1.** Line 4,  $x_i$  should be  $x_i'$ .
- **4.3.5.2.** Line 1, 3) should be 1).
- **4.3.6.4.** Line 8,  $|\mathcal{O}_X(Z)^{\times}|$  should be  $|\mathcal{O}_X(Z)^{\times}|_b$ .
- **4.3.9.1.** Line 18,  $Y^{\text{an}}$  should be  $S^{\text{an}}(Y)$ . Line 19,  $X^{\text{an}}$  should be  $S^{\text{an}}(X)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is proved in Ducros' book based on Temkin's goodness criterion. I cannot understand the proof of the latter as explained in my note on graded reductions.

```
4.3.11.1. Line 7, b should be y.
```

Line 8, a should be x.

Line 8, le lemma should be la proposition.

# **4.4.3.1.** Line 8, *U* should be $X \setminus \{x\}$ .

Line 9, U should be Z.

- **4.4.5.** Line 4,  $H^1(\kappa(x), \mu_{\ell})$  should be  $H^1(\kappa(x), \mu_{\ell})$ .
- **4.4.5.3.** Line 2,  $H^1(X, x)_{\text{\'et}}, \mu_{\ell}$ ) should be  $H^1((X, x)_{\text{\'et}}, \mu_{\ell})$ .
- **4.4.8.3.** Line 10,  $H^1$  should be  $H^1$ .

In the displayed formula,  $T^{\ell} - f(x)$  should be  $(T^{\ell} - f(x))$ .

- **4.4.10.4.** Line 5, remove the first sentence.
- **4.4.14.** Line 3, Y should be X.

Line 9, the formula should be  $H^1((X,x)_{\text{\'et}},\mu_{\ell}) \sim H^1(\mathcal{H}(x),\mu_{\ell})$ .

- **4.4.23.** Line 6, t should be T.
- **4.5.4.** Line 6, coronaire should be une couronne virtuelle.

**4.5.12.** Line 1,  $p: X \to X_{\widehat{k}^a}$  should be  $p: X_{\widehat{k}^a} \to X$ . The finiteness of the fiber over  $x \in X_{[0,2,3]}$  is due to the fact that x is Abhyankar. See 3.2.15.4.

REFERENCES 3

## References

DucCurve

[Duc24] A. Ducros. La structure des courbes analytiques. 2024. arXiv: 2405.10619 [math.AG]. Mingchen Xia, Chalmers Tekniska Högskola and Institute of Geometry and Physics, USTC

 $Email\ address, \verb|xiamingchen2008@gmail.com|\\$ 

Homepage, https://mingchenxia.github.io/home/.