NOTE ON DUCROS' BOOK — CHAPTER 4

MINGCHEN XIA

Contents

1.	Introduction]
2.	Notes]
Ref	ferences	4

1. Introduction

These are a series of notes on the book [Duc24].

2. Notes

Let k be a non-Archimedean analytic field. Consider a k-analytic curve X. Unlike Ducros' book, we assume that X is good.

- **4.1.1.** Line 17, $\mathbb{P}_k^{1,\text{an}}$ should be \mathbb{P}_k^1 .
- **4.2.1.** Line 4, $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi((x)))$ should be $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi(x))$.
- **4.2.3.** Line 5, φ should be f.
- **4.2.4.1.** Line 6, = 0 should be removed. Line 8, X' should be X_0 .
- **4.2.5.1.** The existence of function mentioned in the first paragraph is constructed in 3.5.9.
- **4.2.9.** Line 3, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathscr{H}(x)}$ should be $\mathbb{P}_{\mathscr{H}(x)/k}$.
- **4.2.16.** The reduction in the first paragraph of the proof is not quite correct, and is not what we need in the sequel. The correct version is the following:

Notons pour commencer que l'on peut, pour montrer 1), 2) i) et 2) ii), remplacer X par X_{red} et Y par $Y \times_X X_{\text{red}}$; cela permet de se ramener, pour montrer toutes les assertions, au cas où la courbe X est génériquement réduite, et l'on distingue alors deux cas selon la nature du point x.

- **4.2.16.1.** Line 5, the second y should be x.
- Line 5, U est une composante connexe de $\varphi^{-1}(x)$ should be V est une composante connexe de $\varphi^{-1}(U)$.
- **4.2.16.2.** Line 26, $\varphi^{-1}U$ should be $\varphi^{-1}(U)$.
- **4.2.19.** In iii), $X_{[23]}$ should be $X_{[2,3]}$.

The second part of iii) follows from the fact that $(\kappa(x), | \bullet |)$ is Henselian, a very general fact proved by Berkovich [Ber93, Theorem 2.3.3].

Line 8 in the proof, remove est fini et.

Line 17 in the proof, the left parenthesis should be larger.

Line -4 in the proof follows from 2.3.12.

¹This is proved in Ducros' book based on Temkin's goodness criterion. I cannot understand the proof of the latter as explained in my note on graded reductions.

4.2.19.2. Line 5, 4.2.9 should be 4.2.3. Line 6, $\frac{b}{a}$ should be $\frac{a}{a}$.

2.20 There is a serious issue have The whole proposition only we

4.2.20. There is a serious issue here. The whole proposition only works if both germs (Y, y) and (X, x) are boundaryless.

The proof below implicitly assumed that y is of type 2. If y is of type 3, there is really nothing to prove in view of 4.2.19 iii).

The germ (X', x') is normal thanks to Stacks, Tag 034F]. In particular, the reduction at the end of this part makes sense.

- **4.2.20.1.** The second displayed formula follows from 2.3.14 ii).
- **4.3.3.** In the statement of the theorem, p is the exponential characteristic of k. In the third paragraph of the proof, add si $p \neq 1$ after par p.
- **4.3.4.1.** Line 4, x_i should be x_i' .
- **4.3.5.1.** In the third paragraph, the claim

$$\widetilde{\kappa_{\widehat{k^a},r}} = \widetilde{k^a}$$

is obviously wrong.

The corrected version: comme $|\widehat{k^a}^{\times}|$ est divisible et comme $\widetilde{\kappa_{\widehat{k^a},r_1}}$ est algébriquement clos (il est égal à $\widetilde{k^a}_1$),.

By la théorie de la ramification modérée, Ducros meant 2.3.39.

- **4.3.5.2.** Line 1, 3) should be 1). Line 7, $S(Z)\{x\}$ should be $S(Z)\setminus\{x\}$.
- 4.3.6. Line 1, en en should be en.
- **4.3.6.4.** Line 1, b) should be b.
- **4.3.6.4.** Line 8, $|\mathcal{O}_X(Z)^{\times}|$ should be $|\mathcal{O}_X(Z)^{\times}|_b$.
- **4.3.7.** Line 2 of the proof, add et que X soit connexe after $\mathcal{O}_X(X)$.

Line 7 of the proof, $\operatorname{br}(X_{\widehat{ka}}y,)$ should be $\operatorname{br}(X_{\widehat{ka}},y)$.

Line -1 of the proof, ∞ should be $\mathfrak{s}(Z)$.

In the proof, G is the absolute Galois group of k.

- **4.3.8.** Line 5, $\widehat{k^a}$ should be k.
- **4.3.9.** Line 1, there is a serious issue, $x \in X$ should be $x \in X_{[2,3]}$.
- **4.3.9.1.** Line 18, Y^{an} should be $\partial^{\text{an}}(Y)$. Line 19, X^{an} should be $\partial^{\text{an}}(X)$.
- **4.3.9.2.** Line 7, (X, x) should be $(X_{\widehat{ka}}, y)$.
- 4.3.10. Line 1, en en should be en.
- **4.3.10.4.** Line -2, $|\mathcal{O}_X(b)_{\text{sep}}^{\times}|$ should be $|\mathcal{O}_X(b)_{\text{sep}}^{\times}|_b$.
- **4.3.11.1.** Line 7, b should be y.

Line 8, a should be x; le lemme should be la proposition; S(V) should be $S^{an}(V)$.

Line 9, S(V) should be $S^{an}(V)$.

Line -1, the first Z should be Z'.

4.4.3.1. Line 8, U should be $X \setminus \{x\}$.

Line 9, U should be Z.

- **4.4.5.** Line 4, $H^1(\kappa(x), \mu_{\ell})$) should be $H^1(\kappa(x), \mu_{\ell})$.
- **4.4.5.3.** Line 2, $H^1(X, x)_{\text{\'et}}, \mu_{\ell}$) should be $H^1((X, x)_{\text{\'et}}, \mu_{\ell})$.

- **4.4.8.3.** Line 10, H^1 should be H^1 . In the displayed formula, $T^{\ell} f(x)$ should be $(T^{\ell} f(x))$.
- **4.4.10.4.** Line 5, remove the first sentence.
- **4.4.14.** Line 3, Y should be X. Line 9, the formula should be $\mathrm{H}^1((X,x)_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}},\mu_\ell)\sim\mathrm{H}^1(\mathscr{H}(x),\mu_\ell)$.
- **4.4.23.** Line 6, t should be T.
- **4.5.12.** Line 1, $p: X \to X_{\widehat{k^a}}$ should be $p: X_{\widehat{k^a}} \to X$. The finiteness of the fiber over $x \in X_{[0,2,3]}$ is due to the fact that x is Abhyankar. See 3.2.15.4.

References

Berk93

[Ber93] V. G. Berkovich. Étale cohomology for non-Archimedean analytic spaces. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 78 (1993), 5–161 (1994). URL: http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PMIHES_1993__78__5_0.

DucCurve cks-project

[Duc24] A. Ducros. La structure des courbes analytiques. 2024. arXiv: 2405.10619 [math.AG].
 [Stacks] T. Stacks Project Authors. Stacks Project. http://stacks.math.columbia.edu. 2020.

Mingchen Xia, Chalmers Tekniska Högskola and Institute of Geometry and Physics, USTC

Email address, xiamingchen2008@gmail.com
Homepage, https://mingchenxia.github.io/home/.