NOTES ON LAGERBERG FORMS

MINGCHEN XIA

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Linear algebra	1
3.	Lagerberg forms on domains	3
Ref	ferences	8

1. Introduction

There are two distinct groups of mathematicians working on the theory of Lagerberg forms (also known as superforms): A group of complex/convex geometriers, and a group of non-Archimedean geometriers.

In convex geometry, they provide natural languages to study valuations of convex bodies, as in [Ber25]; while in non-Archimedean geometry, (pre-)forms on Berkovich spaces are the pulled back of Lagerberg forms through (smooth or harmonic) tropicalizations, see [CLD12] and the more recent [GJR21].

Very frequently, the basic notions in these languages differ from each other in subtle ways, making the comparisons difficult. Moreover, many results have been proved in both languages repeatedly. In this note, I will summarize and compare the results from the two groups.

2. Linear algebra

Let V be a real vector space of finite dimension n. The dual vector space of V will be denoted by V^{\vee} . We write

$$\wedge^{\bullet} V^{\vee} := \bigoplus_{n=0}^{n} \wedge^{p} V^{\vee}.$$

Note that $\wedge^{\bullet}V^{\vee}$ has the natural structure of a graded \mathbb{R} -algebra.

Definition 2.1. A linear Lagerberg (p,q)-form on V is an element in $\wedge^p V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^q V^{\vee}$.

Using the Koszul sign convention, we can make $\wedge^{\bullet}V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{\bullet}V^{\vee}$ a bi-graded \mathbb{R} -algebra. In other words, given $p, q, p', q' \in \mathbb{N}$, the multiplication \wedge is the composition of

$$(\wedge^{p}V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{q}V^{\vee}) \otimes (\wedge^{p'}V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{q'}V^{\vee}) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{qp'}} (\wedge^{p}V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{p'}V^{\vee}) \otimes (\wedge^{q}V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{q'}V^{\vee})$$

$$\rightarrow \wedge^{p+p'}V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^{q+q'}V^{\vee}.$$

where the latter arrow is induced by the usual wedge product.

Definition 2.2. Let J: $\wedge^p V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^p V^{\vee} \to \wedge^p V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^p V^{\vee}$ be the unique homomorphism of \mathbb{R} -linear spaces such that

{eq:Jdef} (2.1)
$$J(\alpha \otimes \beta) = (-1)^{p(q+1)}\beta \otimes \alpha$$

def:Lag_inv

for all $\alpha \in \wedge^p V^{\vee}$ and $\beta \in \wedge^q V^{\vee}$. The morphism J is called the *Lagerberg involution*.

We have to be extra careful. The morphism J is not an involution: We have

$$J^2 = (-1)^{p+q}$$
 on $\wedge^p V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^q V^{\vee}$.

Moreover, J is not a homomorphism of algebras. In fact, given linear Lagerberg (p,q)-form α and linear Lagerberg (p', q')-form β , we have

(2.2)
$$J(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (-1)^{pq'+p'q} J\alpha \wedge J\beta.$$

Remark 2.3. Here we followed the convention of [Ber25]. This convention has the advantage that symmetric forms look symmetric, as in Definition 2.4 Tag12 GJR21 There is a different convention in the literature, as in [Lag12; GJR21], where one takes

$$J(\alpha \otimes \beta) = \beta \otimes \alpha$$

instead of (2.1). This definition has the advantage that J is indeed an involution. However, J is not a morphism of algebras either. In fact, (2.2) continues to hold, contrary to the assertion in GJR21, Section 2.7].

def:sym

Definition 2.4. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. A linear Lagerberg (p,p)-form α on V is symmetric if

$$J\alpha = \alpha$$
.

def:fib_pos

Definition 2.5. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. An element $\alpha \in \wedge^p V^{\vee} \otimes \wedge^p V^{\vee}$ is

(1) elementary if there exist $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_p \in V^{\vee}$ such that

$$\alpha = (-1)^{p(p-1)/2} (\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_p) \otimes (\beta_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_p);$$

(2) strongly positive if there exists a sequence $(\alpha_i)_i$ of elementary linear Lagerberg (p, p)-forms on V and a sequence $(c_i)_i$ of non-negative constants such that

:stpos_dec}

(2.3)
$$\alpha = \sum_{i} c_i \alpha_i;$$

(3) weakly positive if for any elementary linear Lagerberg (n-p, n-p)-form β and any elementary linear Lagerberg (n, n)-form γ on V, we have

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = c\gamma$$

for some c > 0;

(4) positive if there are finitely many elements $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_N \in \wedge^p V^{\vee}$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_N \geq 0$ such that

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^{N} c_i (-1)^{p(p-1)/2} \beta_i \wedge \beta_i.$$

Note that by definition, positive forms are closed under products.

Remark 2.6. In complex geometry, weakly positive forms are usually called positive.

st_posforms

Remark 2.7. Our notion of strongly positive forms seems to be the most common one, as in the complex setting of Dem12, Section 3.1. It is also the definition used in Lagerberg's original paper [Lag12]. Note that Demailly claimed that the cone of strongly positive forms is closed, which does not seem to hold in general.

As in the complex setting, there are two other incompatible definitions of strongly positive

- (2') In (2.3), we require in addition that the sum is finite, or
- (2") defining the set of strongly positive forms as the closure of the cone of forms in (2).

In the complex setting (2') is introduced in Lelong's original exposé in [\$\frac{15L62}{5L62}\$]. Its Lagerberg form version is used in [BGGJK21].

Ber25
The notion (2") is considered in [Ber25].

By contrary, all definitions of positive forms in the literature agree.

The following proposition is proved exactly as in the complex setting.

inear_basic

Proposition 2.8. A strongly positive linear Lagerberg form is positive, and a positive linear Lagerberg form is weakly positive. Conversely, a weakly positive linear Lagerberg (p, p)-form on V is strongly positive if p = 0, 1, n - 1, n.

The wedge product of a strongly positive linear Lagerberg form and a weakly positive linear Lagerberg form is weakly positive.

A weakly positive Lagerberg form is symmetric.

See Lag12, Section 2].

Definition 2.9. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$. A symmetric linear Lagerberg (p,p)-form α on U is strong if there exist strongly positive Lagerberg (p, p)-forms β_1, β_2 on U such that $\alpha = \beta_1 - \beta_2$.

Unlike the complex setting, a symmetric Lagerberg form is not necessarily strong (see

Example 3.15). So the statement of CLD12 Lemme 5.2.3] is wrong.

A first counterexample is constructed [BGGJK21, Example 2.3.6]. We shall explain the more elegant way to understand this failure as studied in [Ber25] in the next section.

Recall that an orientation of V is an equivalence class of non-zero elements in det $V = \wedge^n V$. where two elements are considered equivalent if they differ by a positive multiple. The set of orientations of V is denoted by Or(V). It has the natural structure of a $\mathbb{Z}/(2)$ homogeneous space if n > 0.

3. Lagerberg forms on domains

Let V be a real vector space of finite dimension n and A^{\bullet} denote the sheaf of real smooth differential forms on V with the usual grading.

3.1. The definitions.

Definition 3.1. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sheaf of Lagerberg (p, q)-forms on V is the sheaf

{eq:defApq}

$$\mathcal{A}^{p,q} := \mathcal{A}^p \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}^q$$

of C^{∞} -modules.

Correspondingly, a local section of the sheaf $\mathcal{A}^{p,q}$ is called a Lagerberg (p,q)-form.

Given a Lagerberg (p,q)-form α on an open set $U\subseteq V$ and $x\in U$, the fiber α_x defines a linear Lagerberg (p,q)-form on V.

Remark 3.2. Similarly, a measurable Lagerberg (p,q)-form can be defined with the sheaves \mathcal{A}^p and \mathcal{A}^q in (3.1) replaced by the sheaves of forms with measurable coefficients.

The constructions below not involving differentiations also work for these forms.

ef:supp_Lag

Definition 3.3. Let $U \subseteq V$ be an open subset and α be a Lagerberg form on U. The support Supp α of α is defined as the smallest closed subset of U outside of which α restricts to 0.

Example 3.4. A Lagerberg (0,0)-form is just a smooth (real) function. More generally, for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we can identify usual p-forms with Lagerberg (p,0)-forms.

ex:loc_cor

Example 3.5. Choose two bases x^1, \ldots, x^n and ξ^1, \ldots, ξ^n of the dual space V^{\vee} . Let $U \subseteq V$ be an open set. Then a Lagerberg (p,q)-form on U can be written as

eq:Lag_loc}

(3.2)
$$\sum_{|I|=p, |J|=q} \alpha_{I,J} \mathrm{d} x^I \otimes \mathrm{d} \xi^J.$$

Here I, J run over subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with the assigned cardinalities, $\alpha_{I,J} \in C^{\infty}(U)$. Here we used the usual multi-index notation.

In the literature, it is very common to take $\xi^i = x^i$ for all i = 1, ..., n.

The change of variable formula for the coefficients $\alpha_{I,J}$ is obvious.

Note that we have two natural differential operators:

{eq:ddc}

(3.3)
$$d: \mathcal{A}^{p,q} \to \mathcal{A}^{p+1,q}, \quad d^{c}: \mathcal{A}^{p,q} \to \mathcal{A}^{p,q+1}.$$

Both are easy to define in terms of local coordinates with $\xi^i = x^i$ as in (3.5):

(3.4)
$$d\left(\sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q}\alpha_{I,J}\mathrm{d}x^{I}\otimes\mathrm{d}\xi^{J}\right) := \sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\alpha_{I,J}}{\partial x^{k}}\mathrm{d}x^{k}\wedge\mathrm{d}x^{I}\otimes\mathrm{d}\xi^{J},$$

$$d^{c}\left(\sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q}\alpha_{I,J}\mathrm{d}x^{I}\otimes\mathrm{d}\xi^{J}\right) := \sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q}\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{p}\frac{\partial\alpha_{I,J}}{\partial x^{k}}\mathrm{d}x^{I}\otimes\mathrm{d}\xi^{k}\wedge\mathrm{d}\xi^{J}.$$

Remark 3.6. It is more common to denote the two operators in (3.3) as d' and d". But due to the specific identification in Example 3.4, we prefer the current notations.

Definition 3.7. Given $p, q, p', q' \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define a morphism of C^{∞} -modules:

$$\mathcal{A}^{p,q} \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}^{p',q'} \to \mathcal{A}^{p+p',q+q'}$$

as the following composition:

$$\mathcal{A}^{p,q} \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}^{p',q'} = (\mathcal{A}^{p} \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}^{q}) \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \left(\mathcal{A}^{p'} \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}^{q'} \right) \xrightarrow{(-1)^{p'q}} \left(\mathcal{A}^{p} \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}^{p'} \right) \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \left(\mathcal{A}^{q} \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}^{q'} \right) \to \mathcal{A}^{p+p'} \otimes_{C^{\infty}} \mathcal{A}^{q+q'} = \mathcal{A}^{p+p',q+q'}.$$

Note that here we employed the Koszul sign convention.

oszul_sign

Remark 3.8. In terms of local coordinates (3.2), this means that we are treating \otimes formally as \wedge . Therefore, we will sometimes write (3.2) as

(3.5)
$$\sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q} \alpha_{I,J} \mathrm{d}x^I \wedge \mathrm{d}\xi^J.$$

More generally, an arbitrary wedge product of the dx^i 's and the $d\xi^j$'s in any order makes sense: We re-order the product so that the dx^i 's proceed the $d\xi^j$'s and then add an extra Koszul sign.

As a straightforward consequence of the Koszul sign convention,

$$\mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet} = \bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}^{p,q}$$

is a sheaf of doubly differential graded C^{∞} -algebras (with respect to d and d^c).

Definition 3.9. The Lagerberg involution J: $\mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet} \to \mathcal{A}^{\bullet,\bullet}$ is the unique morphism of the sheaf of C^{∞} -modules such that fiberwise J is given by the operation in Definition 2.2.

In local coordinates as in Example 3.5, if we take $\xi^i = x^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

:alpha_exp}

(3.6)
$$J\left(\sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q}\alpha_{I,J}\mathrm{d}x^I\wedge\mathrm{d}\xi^J\right) = \sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q}\alpha_{I,J}(-1)^{p(q+1)}\mathrm{d}x^J\wedge\mathrm{d}\xi^I.$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{Jd}x^i = -\mathrm{d}\xi^i, \quad \mathrm{Jd}\xi^i = \mathrm{d}x^i$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Observe that J restricts to an isomorphism of C^{∞} -modules $\mathcal{A}^{p,q} \to \mathcal{A}^{q,p}$ for all $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, $J^2 = (-1)^{p+q}$.

ef:sym_form

Definition 3.10. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \subseteq V$ be an open subset. A Lagerberg (p,p)-form α on U is symmetric if

symm_alpha}

$$(3.7) \alpha = J\alpha.$$

If we expand α using a coordinate with $\xi^i = x^i$ as in (3.6), then (3.7) means $\alpha_{I,J} = \alpha_{J,I}$ for all I,J.

Definition 3.11. Let $U \subseteq V$ be an open subset. Let $\mathcal{A}_c^{p,q}(U)$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{A}_c^{p,q}(U)$ consisting of Lagerberg (p,q)-forms with compact supports in U. We endow $\mathcal{A}_c^{p,q}(U)$ with the canonical LF topology.

A Lagerberg (n-p, n-q)-current on U is a continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{A}_c^{p,q}(U)$.

Definition 3.12. Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $U \subseteq V$ be an open subset and $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,p}(U)$. We say α is *strongly positive* (resp. *positive*, resp. *positive*, resp. *strong*) if each fiber of α is so in the sense of Definition 2.5.

As in Remark 2.7, the definitions of strongly positive Lagerberg forms in the literature do not agree.

Definition 3.13. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \geq 1$. The Berndtsson operator $T_B \colon \mathcal{A}^{p,q} \to \mathcal{A}^{p+1,q-1}$ is a C^{∞} -module homomorphism such that if locally we choose a coordinate as in Example 3.5 with $\xi^i = x^i$, then

{eq:TB}

$$(3.8) T_B \left(\sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q} \alpha_{I,J} dx^I \wedge d\xi^J \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{|I|=p,|J|=q} \alpha_{I,J} dx^i \wedge dx^I \wedge \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^i} \, \lrcorner \, d\xi^J \right).$$

It is clear that (3.8) is independent of the choice of the coordinates.

The following observation is due to Berndtsson.

Proposition 3.14. The operator T_B annihilates all strong forms.

For linear forms, the vanishing of T_B characterizes all strong forms, as proved in [Ber25, Theorem 3.1].

Proof. Due to the obvious C^{∞} -linearity of T_B , it suffices to show that T_B eliminates all elementary linear Lagerberg (p, p)-forms α . But T_B has the obvious derivative like property, which allows us to assume that p = 1. Take $\beta \in V^{\vee}$ so that

$$\alpha = \beta \otimes \beta$$
.

We want to show that $T_B(\alpha) = 0$. We use the local coordinates as in Example 3.5 with $\xi^i = x^i$. In particular, we can expand

$$\beta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \mathrm{d}x^i.$$

We compute

$$T_B(\alpha) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j T_B(\mathrm{d} x^i \wedge \mathrm{d} \xi^j) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n c_i c_j \mathrm{d} x^j \wedge \mathrm{d} x^i \wedge 1 = 0.$$

ex:Ber

Example 3.15. Now consider the following symmetric linear Lagerberg form on \mathbb{R}^4 :

$$\alpha = \mathrm{d} x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d} x^2 \wedge \mathrm{d} \xi^3 \wedge \mathrm{d} \xi^4 + \mathrm{d} x^3 \wedge \mathrm{d} x^4 \wedge \mathrm{d} \xi^1 \wedge \mathrm{d} \xi^2.$$

It is easy to see that $T_B(\alpha) \neq 0$, so α is not strong.

Note that we have the following replacement.

Proposition 3.16. Let $U \subseteq V$ be an open subset and $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that α is a symmetric Lagerberg (p,p)-form on U. Then there exist positive Lagerberg (p,p)-forms α_1,α_2 on U such that $\alpha = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$.

This result is stated in [GJR21, Section 2.7], while the proposed proof only works for linear forms.

Proof. We use the coordinates as in Example 3.5 with $\xi^i = x^i$. Then α can be expanded as

$$\alpha = \sum_{|I|=p, |J|=p} \alpha_{I,J} \mathrm{d} x^I \wedge \mathrm{d} \xi^J.$$

The fact that α is symmetric means that the matrix $(\alpha_{I,J})_{I,J}$ is symmetric. Now take a smooth function $f: U \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying the following condition:

(1) $f + \alpha_{I,I} \ge 0$ for each |I| = p;

(2)

$$f + \alpha_{I,I} \ge \sum_{|J| = p, J \ne I} \alpha_{J,I}.$$

Then we take $\alpha_2 = f \sum_{|I|=p} dx^I \wedge d\xi^I$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha + \alpha_2$. It follows from elementary linear algebra and [Lag12, Proposition 2.1] that both α_2 and α_1 are positive.

3.2. Berezin integrals. Let $W \subseteq V$ be a linear subspace of dimension m > 0. Let $U \subseteq V$ be an open subset.

Definition 3.17. Given $\lambda \in \det V$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and a Lagerberg (p, n)-form α on U, we define the contraction $\lambda \perp \alpha$ as a p-form with measurable coefficients on U as follows: Take a coordinate as in Example 3.5 with $\xi^i = x^i$, expand

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}, \quad \alpha = \sum_{|I|=p} \alpha_I dx^I \wedge d\xi^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi^n.$$

Then

$$\lambda \, \lrcorner \, \alpha \coloneqq \lambda_0 (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \sum_{|I|=p} \alpha_I \mathrm{d} x^I.$$

The extra coefficient $(-1)^{n(n-1)/2}$ is due to our Koszul sign convention.

Definition 3.18. Let α be a Lagerberg (m,n)-form with measurable coefficients on U and $\mu \in \operatorname{Or}(W) \times^{\mathbb{Z}/(2)} (\det V)$. We say α is integrable with respect to μ if the following holds: Choose a representative $(o,\lambda) \in \operatorname{Or}(W) \times \det V$ of μ , the restriction $(\lambda \, \lrcorner \, \alpha)|_{U \cap W}$ is integrable. In this case, we define the integral as

$$\int_{U\cap W}(\alpha,\mu)\coloneqq\int_{U\cap W}(\lambda\,\lrcorner\,\alpha)|_{U\cap W},$$

where the orientation of $U \cap W$ is induced by o.

Remark 3.19. More generally, suppose that W is an oriented submanifold of V of pure dimension m and α is a Lagerberg (m,n)-form with measurable coefficients on U, if we choose an element λ in $\det V$, then we can also define $\int_{U\cap W}(\alpha,\mu)$.

Example 3.20. We take the coordinate system as in Example 3.5 with $\xi^i = x^i$. We expand a Lagerberg (m, n)-form α with measurable coefficients on U as

$$\alpha = \sum_{|I|=m} \alpha_I dx^I \wedge d\xi^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\xi^n.$$

Fix an orientation o on W. Then we write μ as the equivalence class of

$$\left(o, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}\right).$$

Then

$$\int_{U\cap W} (\alpha,\mu) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \sum_{|I|=m} \int_{U\cap W} \left(\alpha_I \mathrm{d} x^I\right)|_{U\cap W},$$

where the orientation on $U \cap W$ is induced by o.

Example 3.21. As a particular case of Example 3.20, if W = V and the orientation o is the orientation given by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}$, then

(3.9)
$$\int_{U} (\alpha, \mu) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \sum_{|I|=n} \int_{U} \alpha_{I} dx^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{n}.$$

In particular, our sign convention agrees with $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Ber25} \\ \text{Ecr25} \end{bmatrix}$. The integral in (3.9) is denoted by $\int_U \alpha$ in $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Ber25} \\ \text{Ecr25} \end{bmatrix}$, we choose to follow the notations of $\begin{bmatrix} \text{CLD}12 \end{bmatrix}$ instead, which make the functoriality transparent.

ex:Ber_coor

{eq:int_nn}

Example 3.22. Next we consider the case where m = n - 1 > 0. Given $\mu \in \operatorname{Or}(V) \times^{\mathbb{Z}/(2)} \det V$, it induces a canonical element $\partial \mu \in \operatorname{Or}(W) \times^{\mathbb{Z}/(2)} \det V$. To see, take $\ell \in V^{\vee}$ so that $W = \{\ell = 0\}$. Fix an orientation o of W and an oriented basis w_2, \ldots, w_n of W. Choose a vector $v \in V$ not lying on W so that $\ell(v) < 0$. Then v, w_2, \ldots, w_n form a basis of V and hence determines an orientation o' of V. Then μ is represented by (o', λ) for some $\lambda \in \det V$. Then $\partial \mu$ is defined as the equivalence class of (o, λ) .

There is a Green formula in this case, see [CLD12, Lemme 1.3.8].

References

[Ber25] B. Berndtsson. Superforms, supercurrents and convex geometry. 2025. arXiv: 2501.02896 [math.MG]. [BGGJK21] J. I. Burgos Gil, W. Gubler, P. Jell, and K. Künnemann. A comparison of positivity in complex and tropical toric geometry. Math. Z. 299.3-4 (2021), pp. 1199–1255. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-021-02697-8. A. Chambert-Loir and A. Ducros. Formes différentielles réelles et courants sur les [CLD12] espaces de Berkovich. 2012. arXiv: 1204.6277. [Dem 12]J.-P. Demailly. Complex analytic and differential geometry. https://www-fourier. ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf. 2012. [GJR21] W. Gubler, P. Jell, and J. Rabinoff. Forms on Berkovich spaces based on harmonic tropicalizations. 2021. arXiv: 2111.05741 [math.AG]. A. Lagerberg. Super currents and tropical geometry. Math. Z. 270.3-4 (2012), [Lag12]Lag12 pp. 1011-1050. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-010-0837-8. Séminaire d'analyse dirigé par Pierre Lelong, 4ième année: 1962. Faculté des [SL62]Sciences de Paris. Secrétariat Mathématique, Paris, 1962, pp. iii+114.

Mingchen Xia, Chalmers Tekniska Högskola and Institute of Geometry and Physics, USTC

 $Email\ address, \verb|xiamingchen2008@gmail.com|$

Homepage, https://mingchenxia.github.io/home/.