NOTE ON PARTIAL OKOUNKOV BODIES — THE POINT OF VIEW OF B-DIVISORS

MINGCHEN XIA

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	
2.	Some preliminaries	
3.	The proof	2
References		2

1. Introduction

In this note, I will explain a more algebraic point view to the partial Okounkov bodies introduced in [Xia21].

The main theorem is the following:

thm:main

Theorem 1.1. Let X be an irreducible complex projective manifold of dimension n and (L, ϕ) be a Hermitian pseudo-effective line bundle on X with positive volume. Fix a valuation $v \colon \mathbb{C}(X)^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}^n$ of rank n^* .

Then the partial Okounkov body $\Delta_{\nu}(L, \phi)$ *admits the following expression:*

{eq:main}

(1.1)
$$\Delta_{\nu}(L,\phi) = \nu(\phi) + \lim_{\pi \colon Z \to X} \Delta_{\nu} \left(c_1(\pi^*L) - \left\{ \operatorname{Sing}_Z(\phi) \right\} \right),$$

where π runs over all smooth birational modifications of X.

This theorem needs some explanations. Here $\operatorname{Sing}_Z(\phi)$ denotes the divisorial part of the Siu decomposition of $\operatorname{dd^c}\pi^*\phi$. The notation $\{\bullet\}$ means the associated numerical class. The limit is the Hausdorff limit. The valuation $\nu(\phi)$ is defined in [DX24] using the trace operator.

This theorem shows that the partial Okounkov bodies admit a natural interpretation in terms of the associated b-divisors.

One could easily generalize the argument below to the transcendental case, but I find no applications of such generalizations, so I will content myself to the algebraic setting.

2. Some preliminaries

ationincseq

Lemma 2.1. Let E_j be a countable family of distinct prime divisors on X. Consider $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for all i, j > 0. We assume that the sequence (a_{ij}) for fixed j is increasing in i. Moreover, assume that $a_j := \lim_{i \to \infty} a_{ij} < \infty$. Assume that the series $\sum_j a_j[E_j]$ converges, then

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\nu\left(\sum_j a_{ij}[E_j]\right) = \nu\left(\sum_j a_j[E_j]\right).$$

^{*}Here implicitly, we assume that ν is surjective.

Proof. We argue by induction on the dimension n. When n = 1, there is nothing to argue. Assume that n > 1 and the case n - 1 is known. We may assume that Y_1 is not among the E_j 's. Write μ for the valuation on Y_1 induced by the truncated flag. Then we need to prove the following:

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\mu\left(\sum_j a_{ij}[E_j]|_{Y_1}\right)=\mu\left(\sum_j a_j[E_j]|_{Y_1}\right).$$

Note that $[E_j]|_{Y_1}$ is again the current of integration of an effective divisor on Y_1 (this can be seen using the Lelong–Poincaré formula for example), so the desired convergence follows by induction.

valuationT

Lemma 2.2. Let T be a closed positive (1,1)-current on X. Then we have

nuTaslimit}

(2.1)
$$\lim_{\pi \colon Z \to X} \nu(\operatorname{Sing}_{Z}(T)) = \nu(T).$$

Proof. We first observe that $\nu(\operatorname{Sing}_Z(T))$ is an increasing net in Z, so we may freely replace the directed set of π by a cofinal subnet. As we show in [DX24], the class of π where the flag admits a smooth lifting is cofinal, so in (1.1), it suffices to consider these π . We will always make this assumption and write the lifting as W_{\bullet} . We will write the induced birational morphism on W_1 as $\pi_1 \colon W_1 \to Y_1$.

We will argue by induction. The case n = 1 is trivial. Assume that n > 1 and the case n - 1 is known.

We may clearly assume that $\nu(T, Y_1) = 0$. By definition, we have

$$\nu(T) = (0, \mu(\operatorname{Tr}_{Y_1}(T))),$$

where μ denotes the valuation induced by the flag on Y_1 induced by Y_{\bullet} .

Observe that modifications of the form $\pi_1 \colon W_1 \to Y_1$ is cofinal in the directed set of modifications of Y_1 . This is obvious since the modifications given by compositions of blow-ups with smooth centers on Y_1 are cofinal.

Therefore, by induction, it suffices to argue that for any $\pi: Z \to X$, we have

eq:indstep}

(2.2)
$$\nu(\operatorname{Sing}_{Z}(T)) = \left(0, \mu(\operatorname{Sing}_{W_{1}}(\operatorname{Tr}_{Y_{1}}(T)))\right).$$

In order to prove (2.2), we may assume that π is the identity map. This follows from the birational behaviour of the trace operator established in [DX24]. So we reduce to show the following:

$$\nu(\operatorname{Sing}_X(T)) = \left(0, \mu(\operatorname{Sing}_{Y_1}(\operatorname{Tr}_{Y_1}(T)))\right).$$

Adding a Kähler form to T, we may assume that T is a Kähler current. Take a quasi-equisingular approximation T_j of T. By the decreasing continuity of the trace operator proved in [DX24], the d_S -continuity of Lelong numbers proved in [Xia22] and Lemma 2.1, both sides are continuous along quasi-equisingular approximations, we reduce to the case where T has analytic singularities. In this case, argue as before, we may assume that T = [D] for a snc \mathbb{Q} -divisor D. By additivity, we finally reduce to the case where D is a prime divisor on X different from Y_1 . The problem is reduced to

$$\nu([D]) = (0, \mu(D|_{Y_1})),$$

which is clear.

3. The proof

Now let us begin the argument of Theorem 1.1. We argue by induction on n. The case n = 1 is of course trivial. Let us assume that n > 1 and the result is known in dimension n - 1.

We first make a few simplifications. Observe that (1.1) is birationally invariant, so we may assume that ν is equivalent the valuation induced by a smooth flag. Furthermore, we reduce to the case that ν is the valuation induced by a smooth flag Y_{\bullet} .

It would be more convenient to use the language of currents. We shall write $T = dd^c \phi$. Then one needs to prove two things: first of all, the limit in (1.1) exists; secondly,

(3.1)
$$\Delta_{\nu}(T) = \nu(T) + \lim_{\pi \colon Z \to X} \Delta_{\nu}(c_1(\pi^*L) - \{\operatorname{Sing}_Z(T)\}).$$

We may replace T by $T - \nu(T, Y_1)[Y_1]$ and L by the numerical class $L - \nu(T, Y_1)[Y_1]$, so that we may reduce to the case where $\nu(T, Y_1) = 0$. But now L is replaced by a big numerical class α on X in the real Néron–Severi group of X. By perturbation, we may assume α lies in the rational Néron–Severi group. After a rescaling, we reduce back to the case where α is represented by a line bundle L.

Eventually we want to show (3.1) assuming that $\nu(T, Y_1) = 0$. Let us prove (3.1). As shown in [DX24], we have

$$\Delta_{\nu} (c_1(\pi^*L) - \{\operatorname{Sing}_{\mathbf{Z}}(T)\}) = \overline{\{\nu(S) : S \in c_1(\pi^*L) - \{\operatorname{Sing}_{\mathbf{Z}}(T)\}\}}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_{\nu}\left(c_{1}(\pi^{*}L) - \{\operatorname{Sing}_{Z}(T)\}\right) + \nu(\operatorname{Sing}_{Z}(T)) \subseteq \overline{\{\nu(S) : S \in c_{1}(L), \pi^{*}S \geq \operatorname{Sing}_{Z}(T)\}}.$$

We observe that the right-hand side is decreasing with respect to π , which together with Lemma 2.2 implies that the net of convex bodies $\Delta_{\nu}(c_1(\pi^*L) - \{\operatorname{Sing}_Z(T)\})$ for various Z is uniformly bounded. Suppose that Δ is the limit of a subnet. Then we have

$$\Delta + \nu(T) \subseteq \overline{\{\nu(S) : S \in c_1(L), S \preceq_{\mathcal{I}} T\}}.$$

As shown in [DX24], the right-hand side is exactly $\Delta_{\nu}(T)$. So

$$\Delta + \nu(T) \subseteq \Delta_{\nu}(T)$$
.

But observe that both sides have the same volume, as computed in [DX24] and [X1a22]. So equality holds.

It follows from the Blaschke selection theorem that the limit in (3.1) exists and (3.1) holds.

eq:mainvar}

4 MINGCHEN XIA

REFERENCES

T. Darvas and M. Xia. The trace operator of quasi-plurisubharmonic functions on compact Kähler manifolds (to appear). 2024.

[Xia21] M. Xia. Partial Okounkov bodies and Duistermaat–Heckman measures of non-Archimedean metrics. 2021. arXiv: 2112.04290 [math.AG].

[Xia22] M. Xia. Non-pluripolar products on vector bundles and Chern–Weil formulae on mixed Shimura varieties. 2022. arXiv: 2210.15342 [math.AG].

 $\label{lem:mingchen} \begin{tabular}{ll} Mingchen Xia, Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche, Paris \\ \it Email address, mingchen@imj-prg.fr \end{tabular}$

Homepage, http://mingchenxia.github.io/home/.