DDBB

A distributed key-value store inspired by etcd.

Git Hub Link

Intro

Project group info

Name	Email
Xinlong Han	xinlong@kth.se
Mingxue Zhang	mingxue@kth.se
Zhengjin Wang	zhengjin@kth.se

System overview

Below are all the information that you need to overally evaluate this project:)

Functions


- Stable and high-performance connection layer implementation for OmniPaxos.
- Linearizable read and write, sequential read and write, delete operations on a KV store.
- Crash recovery when majority connected.
- Tolerates and recovers from partial connectivity.
- Snapshots and compacts.

Testing

- Completed unit testing for all the components.
- Parallel operation input testing and global trace analyses for linearizability.
- Randomly nodes down and recover testing for crash recovery.
- Randomly connection cut off and consistency analyses for partial connectivity tolerance.
- Benchmark testing and etcd comparation

Design and implementation

System architecture




Connection layer: OmniSIMO

Omni Simo: a single incoming and multiple outgoing connection module for OmniPaxos instances' communication.

Which is the most important part of our project.

Architecture



Data frame

Code: ddbb_libs/src/frame.rs

As we all know, network connections like TCP connection mostly are byte stream. The byte stream, in our system, should be converted into frames. Each frame is a data unit. The frame has no semantics except data. Command parsing and implementation will be performed at a higher level (compared with frame parsing level, thinking about HTTP).

The basic structure of Frame looks like this:

```
enum Frame {
    Simple(String),
    Error(String),
    Integer(u64),
    Bulk(Bytes),
    Null,
    Array(Vec<Frame>),
}
```

Bytes to Frame

ddbb use a series of encodeing tags to cast bytes into frame which are similar to the protocol used by redis, to see details: RESP protocol spec | Redis

APIs supplied by:

```
Frame::check()Frame::parse()Frame::deserialize(byets: Bytes) -> Frame
```

Frame to Bytes

APIs supplied by:

• Frame::serialize() -> Bytes

Connection

Code: ddbb_libs/src/frame.rs

The Connection model is to build an one2one network connection between two nodes. Based on tokio::net::TcpStream.

Interface

• Init

```
pub fn new(tcp_socket: TcpStream) -> Connection {
    Connection {
        stream: BufWriter::new(tcp_socket),
        buffer: BytesMut::with_capacity(4 * 1024),
    }
}
```

• Frame oriented write and read

The Connection is a higher abstraction of pure network bytes stream channel, only supply Frame oriented write and read.

```
fn read_frame() -> Frame {}
fn write_frame(frame: &Frame) -> Result<()> {}
```

Reconnect

One of the good aspects of Connection is that it supply failure recovery with reconnect function:

When reconnected, it will send a RECONNECT_MSG to the other side and to the application layer, which will be used to implement OmniPaxos failure recovery later.

```
const RECONNECT_MSG: &str = "##RECONNECT";
//code..
let reconn_msg = Frame::Error(RECONNECT_MSG);
```

Data structure of DDBB

Code: ddbb_libs/src/data_structure.rs

ddbb has different data structures for different view of the system.

```
/// For ddbb user.
pub enum DataEntry {}

/// For omni-paxos.
pub enum LogEntry {}

/// For ddbb_client and ddbb_sever.
pub enum CommandEntry {}

/// For ddbb_client and ddbb_server
pub enum MessageEntry {}
```

In order to transport data using network connection, such data structures should be casted to frame and then to bytes.

APIs supplied by:


```
pub trait FrameCast {
    fn to_frame(&self) -> Frame;

    fn from_frame(frame: &Frame) -> Result<Box<Self>, Error>;
}
impl FrameCast for MessageEntry{
    // more code...
}
```

Data transmission

ddbb uses tokio::net::TcpStream to build network connection, but can be replaced by any interface of bytes stream.

The structure of data transported by network looks like this:



OmniSimo

Code: ddbb_server/src/omni_paxos_server/op_connection.rs

The single incoming and multiple outgoing group communication connection model for OmniPaxos instances' communication.

Interface

OmniSimo expose two interface:

```
pub fn send_message(&self, omni_message: &OmniMessage) {}

pub async fn receive_message(simo: Arc<Mutex<OmniSIMO>>) -> Result<OmniMessage>
{}
```

The reason why send_message is a sync function but receive_message is not is that the send_message only writes msg into buffer, so there is no needs for it to be async.

Start up

When OmniSimo starts up, it will wait for a **quorum** to be connected to make sure of that OmniPaxos can start up safely.

```
if connected.len() >= (peers.len() + 1 ) / 2 + 1 {
    return Ok(());
}
```

Msg buffer

OmniSimo uses two buffer to caching incoming and outgoing messages.

```
type OmniMessageBuf = Arc<Mutex<VecDeque<OmniMessage>>>;
// code....
pub outgoing_buffer: OmniMessageBuf,
pub incoming_buffer: OmniMessageBuf,
```

The sender and receiver thread will periodically retrieve message from the buffer:

Group membership management

Infomation of the communication group membership is defined during the init phase of Omnisimo:

```
pub struct OmniSIMO {
    self_addr: String,
    /// #Example: nodeid: 6, addr: "127.0.0.1:25536"
    peers: <NodeId, String>,
    connected: <Vec<NodeId>>,
    // code..
}
```

The OmniSIMO::connected attribute is used to maintain the connected (or correct) peers, it will change when peers up and down. Besides, since we have multiple outging channels, which are sender s, but only one outging buffer, when each sender retrieve message from outgoing buffer and want to send it out, it need to filter such message that does not belong to itself, or even does not belong to any connected peer:

```
// can be sent by the current sender
let mut can_send = false;
// can discard current msg, it happens when the msg dose not belong to any
connected peer
let mut can_discard = false;
if let Some(msg) = buf.front() {
    if !connected.contains(&msg.get_receiver()) {
        can_discard = true;
    } else if msg.get_receiver() == reveiver_id_of_current_sender {
        can_send = true;
    }
}
// code..
```

Failure recovery

When connection lost or peer down, OmniSIMO will start corresponding reconnect process

```
// disconnnected
connected.retain(|&x| x != reveiver_id);
// try to reconnect
connection.reconnect(reveiver_addr).await;
// reconnected
connected.insert(0, reveiver_id);
```

OmniPaxos server

Similar structure with the OmniPaxos in omnipaxos/examples/kv_store:

```
pub struct OmniPaxosServer {
    pub omni_paxos_instance: OmniPaxosInstance,
    pub omni_simo: OmniSIMO,
}

impl OmniPaxosServer {
    async fn send_outgoing_msgs(&mut self) {
        // code..
    }
    pub(crate) async fn run(&mut self) {
        // code..
    }
}
```

DDBB core

Code: ddbb_server/src/ddbb_server.rs

Local storage

WAL Store

The WAL (write ahead log) store is to store all log entries decided by the OmniPaxos.

```
struct WALStore {
    dicided_len: u64,
    store: Vec<LogEntry>,
}
```

KVStore

The this is the storage of K-V entries, which is built by filtering the logs in the WALSORE.

```
struct KVStore {
    store: HashMap<String, Vec<u8>>,
}
```

Basic operation

Set and Get

Basic set and get operation supply **Sequential Consistency**. Which were implemented by WRITE MAJORITY READ LOCAL algorithm.

```
ddbb.set("key", Vec::from([1])).unwrap();
ddbb.get("key")
```

LinWrite and LinRead

These two operations supply **Linearizability**, which were implemented by WRITE MAJORITY READ MAJORITY algorithm. The DDBB uses (NodeIpAddr, Timestamp) to identify each operation:

```
pub enum LogEntry {
    LINRead {
        opid: (String, u64),
        // code ...
    },
    LINWrite {
        opid: (String, u64),
        // code ...
    },
}
```

The log of LinRead operation will also be stored in the WALStore with the value of this operation (same as LinWrite) to guarantee linearzability, like this:


```
LINWrite { opid: ("127.0.0.1:6550", 2), key: "key1", value: [1, 2] }
LINWrite { opid: ("127.0.0.1:6552", 1), key: "key2", value: [2, 2] }
```

The operation handler will periodically retrieve log from WALStore until got the value of operation times out:

```
async fn lin_read(key: String) -> Result<Vec<u8>>{
    // code...
loop {
        if let Some(log) = ddbb.find_log_by_opid(self_addr.clone(), ts) {
            return ok(value);
        };
    }
    // code...

// operation timesout
if times >= LIN_WRITE_TIMES_OUT {
        return Err("Lin read failed".into());
    }
    sleep(LOG_RETRIEVE_INTERVAL).await;
}
```

The whole workflow of LinRead looks like this:



DDBB Client

Basically, the client of ddbb continuously listens to input from user in a loop and parses that input into a command entry. And all transferring data are packed into the data structure Frame.

```
enum CommandEntry {
    SetValue {
        key: String,
        value: Bytes,
    },
    // code...
    Empty,
}
```

Message Listening

```
async fn message_receiver(receiver: mpsc::Receiver<>) ;
```

Here we use an asynchronized function <code>message_receiver</code> to listen to the response returned by server. The function receives messages through a Rust <code>mpsc</code> channel and processes the messages received by decoding them using <code>bincode</code>, then performs different actions depending on the type of the message. If the message is a "peers" message, the function updates a count of the number of active nodes. If the message is a "put" or "get" message, the function determines which node should handle the message based on the key in the message, connects to that node using a <code>Tcpstream</code>, and sends the message. If the message type is not recognized, the function prints an error message.

The function reads bytes stored in receiver buffer and checks which the message type is, which is asynchronized and guarantees ordered data transferring.

Benchmark

@temp

A Big Defect With tikio::select!

Description

Take a look at this case:

```
#[tokio::main]
async fn main() {
    let async_blocking = async { loop {}; };
    let async_task = async { println!("ss"); };
    tokio::select! {
        _ = async_task => {}
        _ = async_blocking => {}
}
```

The async_blocking is a blocking async task and the async_task is a non-blocking async task. With tokio::select! we would expect that one of the async tasks inside the select! will finish and the program will make progress (in this case, the async_task will always finish and program will return). But the answer is NO!

Analyses

As the description in the document of tokio::select:

By running all async expressions on the current task, the expressions are able to run **concurrently** but not in **parallel**. This means **all expressions are run on the same thread** and if one branch blocks the thread, all other expressions will be unable to continue. If parallelism is required, spawn each async expression using tokio::spawn and pass the join handle to select!.

Which means in this case, the answer about if the program can make progress depends on which task will be chosen firstly to be executed. So the program will have 50% chance to be blocking!

Solution

• Use biased

We can use biased key word, and put the task which is likely to be blocking to the last of the task list.

```
#[tokio::main]
async fn main() {
    let async_blocking = async { loop {}; };
    let async_task = async { println!("ss"); };
    tokio::select! {
        biased;
        _ = async_task => {}
        _ = async_blocking => {}
}
```

Make the execution parallel

```
#[tokio::main]
async fn main() {
    let async_blocking = async { loop {}; };
    let async_task = async { println!("ss"); };
    tokio::select! {
        biased;
        _ = tokio::spawn(async_blocking) => {}
        _ = async_task => {}
}
```

[Things even worse in #[tokio::test]

There must not be any blocking async task in <code>#[tokio::test]</code> function (like the <code>async_blocking</code> above), cause <code>tokio::test</code> is using a single thread model, which means the <code>tokio::test</code> program will always be blocking if there is a blocking operation in the code.