Data Mining in Transportation Networks with Graph Neural Networks: A Review and Outlook

Jiawei Xue^a, Ruichen Tan^a, Jianzhu Ma^b, Satish V. Ukkusuri^{a,c}

^aLyles School of Civil and Construction Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, USA.

^bInstitute for AI Industry Research, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.

^cCorresponding Author: sukkusur@purdue.edu

Abstract

Data mining in transportation networks (DMTNs) refers to using diverse types of spatio-temporal data for various transportation tasks, including pattern analysis, traffic prediction, and traffic controls. Graph neural networks (GNNs) are essential in many DMTN problems due to their capability to represent spatial correlations between entities. Between 2016 and 2024, the notable applications of GNNs in DMTNs have extended to multiple fields such as traffic prediction and operation. However, existing reviews have primarily focused on traffic prediction tasks. To fill this gap, this study provides a timely and insightful summary of GNNs in DMTNs, highlighting new progress in prediction and operation from academic and industry perspectives since 2023. First, we present and analyze various DMTN problems, followed by classical and recent GNN models. Second, we delve into key works in three areas: (1) traffic prediction, (2) traffic operation, and (3) industry involvement, such as Google Maps, Amap, and Baidu Maps. Along these directions, we discuss new research opportunities based on the significance of transportation problems and data availability. Finally, we compile resources such as data, code, and other learning materials to foster interdisciplinary communication. This review, driven by recent trends in GNNs in DMTN studies since 2023, could democratize abundant datasets and efficient GNN methods for various transportation problems including prediction and operation.

Keywords: Data mining; Transportation networks; Graph neural networks; Traffic prediction; Traffic operation.

1. Introduction

In 2021, Google developed and globally deployed a graph neural network (GNN) model to predict estimated time of arrival (ETA) in transportation networks via Google Maps¹. Here, the ETA prediction

¹https://deepmind.google/discover/blog/traffic-prediction-with-advanced-graph-neural-networks/

denotes predicting ongoing duration of a trip along a specified route based on current road traffic conditions. This model demonstrated superior prediction performance compared to baseline models, with case studies in Los Angeles, New York, Singapore, and Tokyo. Furthermore, it achieved a relative reduction of negative ETA predictions by 16% to 51% across 19 cities in America, Europe, and Asia (Derrow-Pinion et al., 2021). This project overcame research and production challenges, benefiting worldwide users in trip and route planning. As one of the most influential intelligent transportation applications in recent years, this project prompts three key questions that this review seeks to answer:

- RQ1: What are GNNs and what advantages do they offer in modeling graph-structured data?
- RQ2: What practical applications could GNNs provide in transportation networks?
- RQ3: What are the promising research directions for GNNs in transportation networks?

The ETA prediction is an instance of data mining in transportation networks (DMTNs), which involves extracting and harnessing valuable information from massive amounts of data within transportation networks. DMTNs contain the collection, processing, fusion, prediction, and operation using various types of traffic data. Specific examples include congestion propagation characterization (Luan et al., 2022), standard traffic prediction tasks like traffic congestion prediction (Rahman and Hasan, 2023b, Feng et al., 2023b, Bogaerts et al., 2020, Cui et al., 2020), prediction of ride-hailing (Ke et al., 2021a) and E-scooters demand (Song et al., 2023). Besides, DMTNs encompass traffic data imputation (Chen et al., 2020, Nie et al., 2024, 2025), crash risk analysis (Zhao et al., 2024), system resilience evaluation (Wang et al., 2020), and vehicle route optimization (Liu and Jiang, 2022), etc. The DMTN tasks are essential for integrating the sensing and operation of transportation systems to enhance their utilities. For instance, through applications of traffic imputation and prediction techniques, online map navigation platforms can construct temporal traffic profiles, facilitating efficient traffic guidance for both private vehicles and public transit users. Despite its significance, many DMTN tasks can be difficult due to three primary factors: (1) the complexity of spatial traffic state relationships across distinct locations (Wu et al., 2020a, 2021, Lan et al., 2022), (2) the dependency of transportation networks on human activities such as sport events (Yao and Qian, 2021), and (3) the large number of nodes and edges in transportation networks in metropolitan areas (Boeing, 2020).

To address the above barriers, existing studies have utilized GNNs in various DMTN problems. Here, GNNs are advanced machine learning methods specifically designed for graph-structured data (Manessi et al., 2020, Veličković, 2023, Corso et al., 2024). These models integrate graph convolution operations with

neural architectures, capturing internodal relationships along graph edges (Scarselli et al., 2008, Kipf and Welling, 2016, Veličković et al., 2017, Abu-El-Haija et al., 2019). This property aligns seamlessly with the need to describe numerous entity-entity relationships, such as user-item interactions in recommendation systems (Ying et al., 2018a, Chen et al., 2024b), protein-protein interactions in drug discovery (Jiménez-Luna et al., 2020), and atom-atom proximity in material exploration (Merchant et al., 2023). In transportation networks, GNNs have driven innovations in modeling complicated interconnections between various types of spatial entities in DMTN problems (Rahmani et al., 2023). These include vehicles for intelligent drivings (Chen et al., 2021), sensors for traffic speed prediction (Feng et al., 2023b), users for mobility action prediction (Xue et al., 2024b), road segments for travel time estimation (Fang et al., 2020), origin-destination pairs for ride-sourcing services (Ke et al., 2021b), and airspace sites for air traffic density prediction (Xu et al., 2023). The proliferation of seminal work on GNNs' applications in DMTNs calls for a systematic review and outlook in this domain.

Table 1: Existing GNN reviews on general applications and specific areas.

Review	Scope
Zhang et al. (2020), Zhou et al. (2020), Wu et al. (2020b) Keramatfar et al. (2022), Corso et al. (2024)	General
Wu et al. (2022) , Gao et al. (2023)	Recommendation systems
Jin et al. $(2023b)$	Time series mining
Zhang et al. (2024a)	Expressive power
Lu et al. (2024)	Biology, finance, etc.

Several reviews have summarized fundamental GNN architectures and their variations across various fields (Table 1). For example, Zhou et al. (2020) examined general GNN components, including graph convolutional networks (Kipf and Welling, 2016) and gated GNNs (Li et al., 2015), as well as their variants. They also enumerated applications of these models in natural science, computer vision, and natural language processing up to 2020. Subsequent reviews on GNNs focused on specific domains, such as recommendation systems (Wu et al., 2022) and time series analysis (Jin et al., 2023b). Additionally, Zhang et al. (2024a) examined the expressive power of GNNs, focusing on the influence of node indices on GNN outcomes. Focusing on industrial applications, Lu et al. (2024) concluded the utilization of GNNs across diverse industrial domains, including biology and finance. Note that these reviews did not specifically focus on transportation networks.

Regarding GNNs in transportation networks, we listed existing literature reviews in Table 2. Specifically, Shaygan et al. (2022) discussed GNN methods for predicting traffic speed (Li et al., 2017, Guo et al.,

Table 2: Comparison between this review and existing reviews about GNNs in transportation networks.

Review	Review of traffic prediction	Review of traffic operation	Details in industry practices	Outlook after 2023	Data and code
Ye et al. (2020)	√				
Jiang and Luo (2022)	\checkmark				\checkmark
Shaygan et al. (2022)	\checkmark				\checkmark
Jin et al. (2023a)	\checkmark				\checkmark
Rahmani et al. (2023)	\checkmark	\checkmark			\checkmark
Wei et al. (2023)	\checkmark	\checkmark			
This review	√	$\sqrt{}$	\checkmark	\checkmark	

2021), traffic flow (Song et al., 2020), and both (Zheng et al., 2020). Jiang and Luo (2022) enumerated studies on predicting traffic flow and demand for various transportation modes such as railway, taxi, and bicycle. Nevertheless, the two reviews have not included studies on traffic operations like traffic signal control (Devailly et al., 2021). Subsequent reviews filled this gap by expanding GNN applications to traffic operations in intelligent transportation tasks (Rahmani et al., 2023, Wei et al., 2023). However, they did not incorporate large-scale industry deployments of GNNs in transportation networks by digital services such as Google Maps (Derrow-Pinion et al., 2021), Amap (Dai et al., 2020), and Baidu Maps (Fang et al., 2020).




Figure 1: The framework of this survey. The survey begins by presenting data mining problems in transportation and various GNN models (Sections 2 and 3). Subsequently, we delve into current and prospective research on traffic prediction, operations, and industry-driven applications (Sections 4 and 5). Finally, we discuss data and code collections, followed by a conclusion (Sections 6 and 7).

A thorough review addressing these drawbacks enables us to identify new research directions in mining and managing transportation systems. This review presents a comprehensive, up-to-date summary of GNN approaches used in DMTN problems, relevant to both academia and industry (Fig. 1). First, we summarize critical DMTN problems including traffic prediction and operation. Second, we outline vanilla GNN models and their variants over time (You et al., 2020). Next, we analyze current applications and future opportunities of GNNs in DMTN problems. Finally, we highlight related online datasets, codes, and learning materials to support future endeavors for academic and industry readers. Together, our contributions are as follows.

- We comprehensively analyze existing GNN studies in a wide spectrum of directions of traffic prediction and traffic operation across various transportation network components, including vehicles, sensor locations, road segments, and airspaces, from academic perspectives. Furthermore, we provide a detailed review of research progress and industry deployments by transportation services like Google Maps, highlighting their proprietary nature compared to academic approaches in problem definition and methodology development (Section 4).
- We discuss future GNN research directions, such as interval prediction, model simplifications, combinatorial problems, and traffic safety management, considering data availability and the suitability of methods (Section 5).
- We categorize resources such as open datasets, codes, and tutorials for GNN methods and their applications in transportation networks, with an emphasis on the years 2023 and 2024 (Section 6).

The following sections are scheduled as follows (Fig. 1). Section 2 outlines various DMTN problems, including traffic prediction and operation. Next, Section 3 discusses fundamental GNN models and their evolutionary variants. Section 4 reviews current academic and industrial advances of GNNs in transportation networks. Section 5 outlines future directions on new applications. Section 6 summarizes data, codes, and alternative resources to facilitate future study for readers. Finally, we conclude the review in Section 7.

2. Prediction and Operation Problems in Transportation Networks

This section provides an overview of key DMTN problems amenable to GNNs. In particular, we review general formulations of traffic prediction, traffic operation in academic research, as well as travel time estimation in industrial applications.

2.1. Traffic prediction

Traffic prediction refers to forecasting traffic variable values within transportation networks (Wei et al., 2023, Fafoutellis and Vlahogianni, 2023, Yan et al., 2024b). These networks can be modeled as graphs G = (V, E), where V and E denote the sets of nodes and edges, respectively. In various transportation contexts, nodes and edges can represent different elements. In roadway networks with detected sensors, nodes represent sensor locations, while edges denote their proximities (Mallick et al., 2020, Ke et al., 2021a, Chen et al., 2024d). In taxi and aircraft traffic networks, nodes typically represent regions and edges indicate traffic flows between these regions (Yao et al., 2018, Xu et al., 2023). In bike-sharing networks, nodes represent the locations of bike-sharing stations (Cho et al., 2021). Together, graphs provide a simple data structure for spatially representing the states and interactions of transportation entities, thereby bringing a wide range of applications in transportation.

The general traffic prediction problem can be defined as follows: given a transportation system with multiple entities (e.g., traffic stations, sensor locations, urban regions) and their traffic states by time t, predict these states after t. This formulation covers a wide variety of traffic prediction studies (Li et al., 2017, Yao et al., 2018, Choi et al., 2022, Rahman and Hasan, 2023b). This task is crucial for providing future traffic conditions and demand estimations, which inform various traffic management policies. The key to an accurate traffic prediction model is to capture both the spatial and temporal dependencies inherent in traffic systems. Here, the temporal relationships can be appropriately modeled by time series methods, such as Gated recurrent units (GRUs) (Chung et al., 2014) and Transformers (Wen et al., 2022). The spatial connections between traffic states at distinct locations can be effectively represented using GNNs. This is because GNNs can adaptively propagate information between nodes, which enables them to capture the complicated spatial traffic dependencies arising from traffic dynamics.

2.2. Traffic operation

Traffic operation includes a diverse range of management policies within transportation networks, like signal timing, vehicle operations, and transit management. In particular, we focus on two tasks where GNNs have been applied: vehicle routing (Zhang et al., 2023a) and vehicle relocation (Chang et al., 2022, Lei et al., 2020). Vehicle routing is defined as follows: given origins, destinations, and operational constraints, determine optimal routes with specific goals such as cost minimization. Analogously, vehicle relocation involves the strategic redistribution of vehicles within urban road networks by selecting suitable destinations. Both vehicle routing and relocation are fundamental problems faced by individual drivers, transportation

network companies like Uber (Bertsimas et al., 2019), and logistics companies like FedEx (Kitjacharoenchai and Lee, 2019).

2.3. Industry practice

A notable industrial application of GNNs is travel time estimation (Derrow-Pinion et al., 2021, Dai et al., 2020, Fang et al., 2020). The goal is to predict the future vehicle travel time along a route between a specified origin and destination. Practically, the total travel time for a route can be calculated by aggregating the travel times of road segments that constitute the route. Hence, the estimation of route travel time can be converted to the calculation of travel times for individual road segments. Travel time estimation holds immense value for individual travelers in their daily commutes and public agencies in their operational management. Individual travelers can decide the trip departure time based on expected arrival time and estimated travel time outcomes. Concurrently, road segment travel time serves as crucial input for public agencies to provide advance notifications of vehicle arrival time to passengers. This can significantly reduce passenger waiting time and enhance the user experience for public transit (Li et al., 2024c).

3. Graph Neural Networks

3.1. Basic ideas

Graph-structured data, comprising entities and their interconnections, are prevalent across various disciplines (Newman, 2018, Hu et al., 2020). Mining patterns in such data can be challenging due to their complexity and the permutation-invariant property, meaning that the graph does not change after node reordering (Keriven and Peyré, 2019). GNNs are specialized neural networks (NNs) designed to perform data mining by leveraging graph structure information (Kipf and Welling, 2016, You et al., 2020). While classical NNs are composed of linear transformations and nonlinear activations (e.g., the sigmoid function, ReLU), GNNs employ a neighborhood aggregation mechanism to update node embeddings based on their neighborhoods (Fig. 2). This capability enables multiple tasks such as node classification, link prediction, and graph summarization (Zhou et al., 2020).

Consider a graph with the node set V (|V|=n) and the adjacency matrix A. Each node is represented by a d-dimensional embedding, forming the embedding matrix $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$. A k-layer GNN can be expressed as a sequence of transformations between node embeddings:

$$H^{l+1} = f(H^l, A, W), 0 \le l \le k - 1.$$
(1)

Here, H^l and H^{l+1} denote node embeddings at layers l and (l+1). W is the learnable weight matrix, and $f(\cdot)$ represents the mapping function. Specifically, W, $f(\cdot)$, and A correspond to the linear transformation, nonlinear activation, and neighborhood aggregation modules, respectively. Note that Eq. 1 allows the final node embedding H^k to capture the graph structure through neighborhood-based information fusion over k iterations.




Figure 2: Three mechanisms in GNN models: neighborhood aggregation, linear transformation, and nonlinear activation. These mechanisms collectively transform node embeddings, which are numerical vectors associated with the nodes, from layer l to layer (l+1). Such information passing allows node embeddings to capture the graph's topology.

3.2. Generic GNN models

As a specialized approach for processing graph-structured data, GNNs have undergone significant evolution, marked by numerous innovative architectures. This subsection provides a concise summary of the development of GNNs, highlighting key landmarks. The concept of GNNs was initially proposed by Scarselli et al. (2008). This groundbreaking work enabled the application of NNs to graph-structured data. The model achieved this by iteratively propagating information across nodes to reach a stable state.

Bruna et al. (2014) proposed the spectral approach, utilizing the spectral decomposition of the graph Laplacian to learn about graph data. This work represented a giant advance in the field of GNNs. Following this, Kipf and Welling (2016) introduced graph convolutional networks (GCNs), which further streamlined the implementation of spectral graph convolution. This improvement enhanced the applicability of GNNs to large-scale graph data, particularly in node and graph classification tasks.

While GCNs require complete graph information simultaneously, graph attention networks (GATs) and GraphSAGE can aggregate neighborhood information locally. Here, GATs build attention mechanisms to dynamically determine the importance of information transfer between nodes, which is particularly effective in handling heterogeneous graph data (Veličković et al., 2017). GraphSAGE first fuses neighborhood representations and then concatenates them with the ego node's representation (Hamilton et al., 2017). In

2018, graph isomorphism networks (GINs) were proposed in the paper *How Powerful are GNNs* by Xu et al. (2018a). This work theoretically demonstrated the strong representation power of GNNs from the Weisfeiler-Lehman isomorphism test (Huang and Villar, 2021), showing their ability to identify diverse graph structures.

Additionally, relational-GCN (R-GCN) accounts for the heterogeneity of edge types, supporting the modeling of graphs with diverse types of interactions (Schlichtkrull et al., 2018). Furthermore, DiffPool aggregates node representations for each node cluster in a hierarchical manner, which contributes to the learning of complicated hierarchical graph structures (Ying et al., 2018b). More recently, Kreuzer et al. (2021) introduced graph constraints into Transformer models (Vaswani et al., 2017), making another stride in the evolution of GNNs. This research employed learned positional encodings to capture node positional information and fed them into the Transformer structure.

3.3. GNN variants




Figure 3: Prominent GNN models from 2016 to 2024. For models in the same year, the vertical positionings do not adhere to explicit criteria. Readers can navigate research articles for each model by referring to their model abbreviations.

Following generic GNN models, the development of GNNs was driven by the universal properties of graph learning and a broad spectrum of applications (Fig. 3). To overcome the universal limitations of generic GNNs, researchers have developed the following models.

- Node positions. Position-aware GNN (P-GNN) captures relative positions between an ego node and its neighborhood, thereby providing more rich node interconnection information (You et al., 2019).
- Large graphs. To mitigate the computational complexity in large-scale graphs (e.g., those containing over one million nodes), researchers created either macro nodes by grouping original nodes (Chen et al., 2024b) or a series of multi-mesh nodes by summarizing multiple original nodes in local regions (Lam

et al., 2023). In graph generation tasks, computation graph transformer (CGT) can capture the distribution of large-scale graphs while protecting user privacy (Yoon et al., 2022).

- Robust learning. Given that GNNs are threatened by adversarial attacks like node perturbations, Property GNN was proposed to learn clear graph representations from noisy observations (Jin et al., 2020), by exploiting the low rank and sparsity characteristics.
- Out-of-distribution issues. Out-of-distribution issues arise when a model deals with unobserved data that differs significantly from training data. In graph classification tasks, graph information bottleneck with explainability (GIBE) was developed to study the effect of regularization and its relationship with the out-of-distribution issues in GNNs (Fang et al., 2024).

Furthermore, the widespread deployments of GNNs led to the development of domain-specific models, facilitating both the prediction and generation capabilities across various domains.

- Recommendation systems. Recommendation systems provide suggestions of products, locations, and services to users based on behavioral data (Kang and McAuley, 2018, Yan et al., 2024a). In user-item bipartite graphs, neural graph collaborative filtering (NGCF) propagates information between users and items along user-item edges (Wang et al., 2019). Later, researchers demonstrated the redundancy of linear transformations and nonlinear activation operations in NGCF (He et al., 2020). They introduced LightGCN, a streamlined yet effective GNN model, to predict user-item interactions in user check-in and review data.
- Social networks. Researchers from Twitter developed temporal graph networks (TGNs), which learn node representations from dynamic networks, to perform link prediction tasks in social networks from the Twitter platform (Rossi et al., 2020). Another impactful deployment of GNNs in social networks was made by LinkedIn researchers (Borisyuk et al., 2024). They integrated multiple entities (e.g., users, companies) and relations (e.g., posts, notifications) into a LinkedIn Graph with a hundred billion nodes. GNNs on the graph resulted in a 2% online improvement in advertisement clicks and a 1% increase in job applications.
- Protein-protein interactions. DeepRank-GNN can effectively capture protein-protein interactions to learn task-specific protein structure patterns relevant to drug design (Réau et al., 2023). Here, GNNs are invariant to node ordering, making them well-suited for modeling diverse interactions between proteins.

• Integrated circuits. In integrated circuit designs, a tree-based GNN model called SyncTREE facilitates timing prediction to support efficient timing updates throughout circuit design processes (Hu et al., 2024).

Readers can refer to alternative GNN models in reviews on recommendation systems (Wu et al., 2022), natural language processing (Wu et al., 2023a), electrical engineering (Chien et al., 2024), and materials science (Reiser et al., 2022).

3.4. Integration with large language models




Figure 4: Two approaches to integrate GNNs and LLMs. The first approach employs GNNs to produce network topology-aware node embeddings and feeds them into afterward LLMs (Perozzi et al., 2024). In contrast, the second approach leverages knowledge from pre-trained LLMs to enhance downstream GNNs (Wei et al., 2024), mitigating information deficiency during GNN training.

GNNs are powerful for modeling graph-structured data but are vulnerable when node and edge information is incomplete. The recent proliferation of large language models (LLMs), including LLama2 (Touvron et al., 2023), LLama3 (Dubey et al., 2024), and GPT-4 (Achiam et al., 2023), offers immense potential for addressing this issue. In 2024, researchers have devised two primary approaches for integrating GNNs and LLMs (Fig. 4). The first involves using GNNs to generate adjacency-aware node representations and tokens as inputs for downstream LLMs (Ren et al., 2024, Tsitsulin et al., 2024, Perozzi et al., 2024). This structure leverages GNNs' strength in encoding non-Euclidean graph data, as well as LLMs' rich semantic knowledge. The second approach utilizes LLMs to generate node representations in graphs with incomplete information, providing more comprehensive features for both nodes and edges to support aftermath graph

learning process (Chen et al., 2024f). One typical application involves enhancing sparse user-item graphs in recommendation systems with LLMs (Wei et al., 2024). These combinations of GNNs can simultaneously preserve the advantages of GNNs in representing relational structures within data and LLMs in extracting semantic information from sequential data.

3.5. Interpretability of GNNs

The interpretability of GNNs focuses on understanding the reasoning behind prediction outcomes, which is essential for real-world decision-making processes (Ranu, 2024, Chen et al., 2024a). Three key questions exist: given a trained GNN model, what features, subgraphs, and training samples significantly influence the prediction outcomes? These are categorized as feature-level, graph-level, and training data-level interpretation for GNNs, respectively.

First, multiple feature-level interpretation approaches have been introduced. For general NNs, SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) assign each feature an importance value that satisfies desirable criteria (Lundberg, 2017). For GNNs, GNNExplainer achieves feature-level interpretability by identifying a subset of node features that yield prediction results comparable to those obtained using all node features (Ying et al., 2019). To address the subset identification problem (equivalently, an integer program), the authors perform the relaxation using masked matrices with continuous values and optimize matrix values through backpropagation. Second, for graph-level interpretability, GNNExplainer identifies subgraphs that bring similar predictions for a given node to those of the complete graph. This is formulated as the maximization of mutual information which measures the predictive contribution of subgraphs. This technique has been employed to detect canner gene modules within biology research (Li et al., 2024b). Another graph-level interpretation method XGNN focuses on generating subgraphs via reinforcement learning (Yuan et al., 2020). Third, there is limited research focused on training data-level interpretation (Yuan et al., 2022), primarily due to the extensive search space of training data subsets with diverse graph structures. Note that training data-level interpretability is critical as it enables navigating crucial training samples and reducing overall training costs (Xie et al., 2023).

4. Current Applications of GNNs in Transportation Problems

4.1. Traffic prediction

As mentioned in Section 2.1, traffic prediction refers to forecasting traffic conditions (e.g., flow rate, traffic density, and average speed) within transportation networks. This process utilizes past traffic data

and external factors, including weather (Shaygan et al., 2022) and special events (Yao and Qian, 2021), as inputs and generates future traffic states as outputs. Initially, researchers applied standard feedforward NNs to traffic prediction tasks (Ma et al., 2015). Subsequently, seminal GNN models, like GCNs, GraphSAGE, and GATs (discussed in Section 3) catalyzed the development of various graph-based learning methods in traffic prediction, thanks to their advantages in capturing node relationships in networks (Chen et al., 2023b, Liang et al., 2023a). Notable models include DCRNN (Li et al., 2017), TGC-LSTM (Cui et al., 2019), and AGC-Seq2Seq (Zhang et al., 2019). The model proliferation led to comprehensive reviews by Jiang and Luo (2022) and Rahmani et al. (2023). While these studies and reviews predominantly focused on vehicular traffic speed and flow rate, recent research has expanded to cover other transportation modes, including bicycles (Liang et al., 2023b) and E-scooters (Song et al., 2023). The latest methodologies also advance to novel model architectures, such as the macro-micro module, which unravels traffic patterns across multiple resolutions (Feng et al., 2023b). This trend has been particularly apparent since 2023. This subsection reviews novel studies to elucidate these emerging directions.

4.1.1. Prediction targets

As listed in Table 3, GNNs have been continuously applied to predict traffic speed (Ouyang et al., 2024, Feng et al., 2023b) and flow (Zou et al., 2024, Lv et al., 2023). Recent studies have also employed GNNs for aviation traffic prediction. For instance, Xu et al. (2024b) modeled specific airspace sectors as nodes, used the numbers of planes flying between two nodes to define adjacency matrices, and finally utilized GNNs to predict future aircraft density near airports. Similarly, Li et al. (2024a) predicted airspace complexity, categorizing it into three levels (low, normal, and high) within different sector regions, to aid air traffic management. Here, airspace complexity was determined by factors such as the sector volume, ground speed, and the number of planes. The applications of GNNs have expanded to forecasting traffic demand, including taxi traffic (Tygesen et al., 2023), metro passenger flow (Li et al., 2023b), and bike sharing demand (Liang et al., 2023b). These studies primarily focus on forecasting demand for existing infrastructure during its operation phase. In contrast, Metro-MGAT addresses the station ridership prediction problem for new metro stations during the planning phase (Ding et al., 2024).

Besides, Cai et al. (2023) constructed causal graphs for pavement systems, and applied GNNs to these causal graphs to forecast pavement performance across the city. Together, the spatial modeling capability of GNNs facilitates the understanding of relationships between entities within networks, enabling a wide variety of traffic prediction tasks.

Table 3: Applications of GNNs in traffic prediction in 2023 and 2024. MPNN: Message Passing Neural Network. NYC: New York City. EV: Electric vehicle. For studies conducted before 2023, readers can consult existing reviews, including Shaygan et al. (2022) and Rahmani et al. (2023).

Target	Study	Model	Base module	Other modules	Data	Area	Contribution	Code
Traffic speed, travel time	Ouyang et al. (2024)	TPGraph	GCN	Transformer	Highway speed, travel time	California, Guizhou	Spatio-temporal	>
Traffic speed	Jiang et al. (2023b)	MegaCRN	GCRN	Meta-graph learner	Highway speed	California, Tokyo	Spatio-temporal hetergeneity	>
Traffic speed	Feng et al. (2023b)	MMSTNet	GCN	TCN, attention	Highway speed	California	Macro-micro	>
Traffic speed	Wang et al. (2023a)	GSTAE	GCN	GRU	Highway speed	California	Handling missing values	
Traffic speed	Zhang et al. (2024c)	AIMST	GCN	TCN	Traffic speed	Xi'an, Jinan	Spatio-temporal, clustering	
Traffic speed	Zhang et al. (2024b)	CKG-GNN	GNN	Knowledge graphs	Traffic speed	Singapore	Contextual information	>
Traffic speed and flow	Ju et al. (2024)	COOL	MPNN	Attention	Highway speed and flow	California	High-order relationships	
Traffic speed and flow	Rahman and Hasan (2023b)	DGCN-LSTM	CCN	LSTM	Highway speed and flow	Florida	Traffic during hurricane	
Traffic speed and flow	Ouyang et al. (2023)	DAGN	GCN	GRU	Traffic speed and flow	California, Shenzhen	Cross-city	
Transit flow	Zou et al. (2024)	OD-PF	GCN	Attention	Subway flow	Beijing	OD during incidents	
Traffic flow	Kong et al. (2024b)	STPGNN	Pivotal GCN	Node identification	Taxi GPS, highway flow	California, Bejing, England	Pivotal nodes	
Traffic flow	Chen et al. (2024c)	TFM-GCAM	GCN	Attention	Highway flow	California	Traffic flow matrix, Transformer	
Traffic flow	Li et al. (2023c)	STTGCN	GCN	Tensor, dilated conv	Highway flow	California	Binary adjacency matrix	
Traffic flow	Lv et al. (2023)	TS-STNN	Tree GCN	GRU	Highway flow	California	Hierarchical and directional	
Congestion level	Feng et al. (2023a)	F-GCN	GCN	Attention, LSTM	Taxi GPS	Beijing	Congestion between segments	
Multi-traffic mode	Yang et al. (2024)	M2-former	GCN	Attention	Subway, taxi, bus	Beijing	Multi-traffic	
Metro demand	Ding et al. (2024)	Metro-MGAT	GAT	Age-weighted loss	Metro ridership	Shanghai	Expanded demand	
Metro demand	Li et al. (2023a)	IG-Net	ChebNet	Multi-task learning	Metro ridership	Suzhou	Three interactions	
Traffic speed, taxi demand	Tygesen et al. (2023)	NRI-X	Graph Network	VAE	Highway speed, yellow taxi	NYC, California	Optimal graphs	>
Charging demand	Wang et al. (2023b)	H-STGCN	GCN	GRU, clustering	EV trajectories	Beijing	Heterogeneous region scales	
Bike sharing demand	Liang et al. (2023b)	DA-MRGNN	GGCN	TCN	Bike, subway, ride-hailing	NYC	Interactions between modes	
E-scooter demand	Song et al. (2023)	$_{\mathrm{SpDCGRU}}$	Diffusion Conv	GRU	E-scooter OD trips, etc.	Louisville	Spatio-temporal	
Taxi and bike demand	Chen et al. (2024d)	SFMGTL	ST-GNN	Clustering, etc.	Taxi, bike	NYC, Chicago, Washington	Knowledge transfer	>
Train delay	Huang et al. (2024)	GAT	GAT	Attention	Train, weather data	The Netherlands	Interpretability and accuracy	
Ship trajectory	Zhang et al. (2023b)	G-STGAN	GCN	Transformer, etc.	Ship	Hong Kong, Weihai, Zhoushan	Integrates GCN and Transformer	
Air traffic	Xu et al. (2024b)	BEGAN	GAT	LSTM, Bayesian	Flights, etc.	Georgia, Flordia	Knowledge-based	
Airspace complexity	Li et al. (2024a)	MAST-GNN	GCN	TCN-Att	Air traffic	China	Spatio-temporal	>
Accident risk	Trirat et al. (2023)	MG-TAR	GCN	Correlations	Accident risks, etc.	South Korea	Spatio-temporal	
Traffic incident	Tran et al. (2023)	MSGNN	ChebyGIN	K-POD clustering	Vehicle crashes, etc.	Australia	Subarea level incident	
Pavement performance	Cai et al. (2023)	CTGCN	GCN	LSTM	Pavement images, etc.	Shanghai	Causal graphs	
Truck loan	Chen et al. (2024e)	SGTD	Gated GNN	LSTM	Truck GPS, loan records	China	Spatio-temporal	

4.1.2. Spatial modules: GNNs

We now summarize GNN variants used in traffic prediction in Table 3. Over half of the listed studies (16 out of 31) developed their models using GCNs as foundation architectures. Recall from Section 3 that GCNs employ matrix convolutional operations for information propagation, generally providing higher computational efficiency than the neighborhood aggregation in GraphSAGE (Liu et al., 2020) and the edge-by-edge attention computation in GATs. By combining graph convolution operations in GCNs and recurrent units, MegaCRN captures traffic variability across sensors and periods, as well as irregular disruptions caused by accidents (Jiang et al., 2023b).

Alternatively, the NRI local, NRI unif, and NRI DTW models, designed for traffic speed and taxi demand prediction (Tygesen et al., 2023), were built on the Graph Network (Battaglia et al., 2018). Note that the Graph Network updates information directly utilizing nodes, edges, and global graph characteristics, thereby constituting a generalized extension of GCNs. Furthermore, ChebyGIN (Knyazev et al., 2019), which extends GCNs by considering multi-hop neighborhoods within one iteration, acts as the base in MSGNN for traffic incident prediction (Tran et al., 2023).

4.1.3. Temporal modules

GNNs can effectively capture spatial dependencies in traffic prediction tasks. However, additional components are still necessary to model temporal relationships. Table 3 indicates that temporal modeling techniques, including the Long short-term memory (LSTM) (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997), GRUs, and Transformers with attention mechanisms (Vaswani et al., 2017) were frequently integrated with GNNs. These temporal modules maintain strong capabilities to propagate information along the temporal axis.

4.1.4. Datasets

Many GNN-based traffic prediction studies predominantly used traffic speed and flow rate data from highway traffic datasets from California, such as PEMS-BAY, METR-LA (Li et al., 2017), PeMSD4, and PeMSD8 (Guo et al., 2019). These datasets consist of real-time traffic variable measurements collected from detector sensors, typically recorded at five-minute intervals. Recent research, however, has started to include various new data sources like taxis, trucks, accidents, electric vehicles, E-scooters, and flights (Table 3). Besides, case studies have broadened to countries such as China (Feng et al., 2023a), Australia (Tran et al., 2023), and South Korea (Trirat et al., 2023). These studies demonstrate GNNs' effectiveness in spatial modeling for diverse DMTN problems globally. Despite these advancements, the direct application in many developing countries remains limited. Their traffic data might have high variations due to mixed vehicle

and pedestrian environments, which poses challenges for diverse traffic prediction tasks.

4.1.5. Interpretability

Existing interpretation techniques for GNNs in traffic prediction originate from general approaches summarized in Section 3.5. In particular, Huang et al. (2024) built GNN models that account for delay propagation patterns in railway systems. This study, which falls within the feature-level category, identifies train headway as the critical factor for train delay propagation. Furthermore, Tygesen et al. (2023) conducted interoperability analysis by examining learnable adjacency matrices that reflect internodal interdependencies. Similarly, the collaborative prediction unit methods proposed by Li et al. (2022) explained GNNs from an edge perspective. Accordingly, the above two studies are graph-level. Inspired by the GNNExplainer (Ying et al., 2019), Traffexplainer unveils critical spatial and temporal elements in traffic prediction through iterative updates of masked spatial and temporal matrices. Consequently, Traffexplainer belongs to both the feature-level and graph-level interpretation methods (Kong et al., 2024a). Note that the interpretation approaches for GNNs are closely associated with adversarial attacks on GNNs, which involve modifying a subset of nodes within transportation networks to harm traffic prediction performance (Zhu et al., 2023). Such analyses can contribute to developing robust GNN prediction models that maintain high performance across diverse contexts.

In summary, the applications of GNNs in traffic prediction exhibit three key trends: (1) from roadway traffic to multiple transportation modalities, (2) from standard GNN architectures to customized modules designed to capture stochastic, human-centric, and multi-resolution facets of traffic dynamics, and (3) from limited data sources to diverse, multinational datasets.

4.2. Traffic operation

GNNs are capable of learning informative representations for nodes and edges that encode graph structures. These learned representations can be utilized to derive solutions for graph-based operation problems. For instance, computational scientists have utilized GNNs to address combinatorial optimization problems such as general mixed-integer linear programs (Lee and Kim, 2024), the Maximum Cut (Heydaribeni et al., 2024), the Maximum Independent Set (Schuetz et al., 2022), the Traveling Salesperson Problem (TSP) (Min et al., 2024) (Fig. 5a). In particular, they first employed GNNs to obtain continuous node representations based on the operation problem. After that, they either mapped continuous node variable values to integers (Schuetz et al., 2022) or used tree search methods to derive discrete solutions (Min et al., 2024). Overall, GNNs can assist in addressing optimization problems by providing superior feasible solutions or evaluating

the optimality of solutions (Cappart et al., 2023). Alternatively, the spatial learning capabilities of GNNs enable their potential for addressing resource allocation problems, which determines the optimal distribution of limited resources within interconnected systems. For instance, Wang et al. (2022b) proposed Aggregation GNNs to utilize asynchronous and delayed signal information to optimize decentralized resource allocation in wireless networks.




Figure 5: Applications of GNNs in traffic operation. (a) GNNs can generate route solutions by incorporating road network topology with well-designed loss functions (Min et al., 2024). (b) GNNs act as reward function generators for route optimization (Liu and Jiang, 2022).

In transportation networks, researchers have applied GNNs to address various traffic operation problems including vehicle repositioning (Chang et al., 2022), vehicle routing (Liu and Jiang, 2022), railcar itinerary optimization (Zhang et al., 2025), and the control of connected autonomous traffic (Zhou et al., 2024). For example, an attention-enhanced GCN was developed to predict spatial travel demand for carsharing systems (Chang et al., 2022). The prediction outcomes acted as the input for downstream vehicle location optimization for profit maximization in carsharing companies. Besides, GNNs have been used in route recommendations (Liu and Jiang, 2022) (Fig. 5b) and the routing of unmanned aerial vehicles (Fang et al., 2023). In these applications, GNNs provide reward function values or new information during the interactive optimization process, leveraging their capability to capture the spatial-temporal correlations of traffic features within networks. For delivery service route planning, researchers used GNNs and Transformers to generate waypoints along the route and employed a divide-and-conquer method to determine detailed routes (Zhang et al., 2023a).

Notably, GNNs have been leveraged to address the traffic assignment problem (TAP): given traffic demand in a road network, determine how traffic flows through the network (Nguyen, 1974, Daganzo and Sheffi, 1977). In particular, Rahman and Hasan (2023a) solved the TAP by learning a mapping from the demand matrix and the network structure to the link flow matrix using GNNs. They employed synthetic traffic data in the Sioux Falls network and a road network in Massachusetts from user equilibrium to train the GNN model, demonstrating that the GNN model was able to generate link flows with less than 2% errors. Besides, Liu et al. (2023b) created both real links and virtual links (which connect origin and destination nodes) in GNNs for solving the TAP. For model training, the authors customized a total loss function (i.e., L) as the weighted sum of three components: the link-level flow-capacity ratio error (i.e., L_{f-c}), the link flow error (i.e., L_f), and the node-based flow conservation error (i.e., L_c):

$$L = \alpha L_{f-c} + \beta L_f + \gamma L_c. \tag{2}$$

It is worth mentioning that the applications of GNNs in traffic operation problems are still in their early stages. The circumstances under which GNN solutions surpass existing methods in terms of running time and solution quality, for both large and small-scale networks, remain an open question (Boettcher, 2023). Current experiments of GNNs on the TAP are limited by networks with at most hundreds of nodes (Rahman and Hasan, 2023a, Liu et al., 2023b). It is unclear whether the successful online deployments of GNNs in large social networks, such as LinkedIn Graph with billion-level nodes (Borisyuk et al., 2024) (Section 3.3) can be effectively transferred to road traffic networks. Future research can explore this area further.

4.3. Accident prediction

GNNs serve as novel approaches for traffic accident prediction, which plays a central role in traffic safety management (Mannering et al., 2020). Two primary factors contribute to these applications. First, the robust learning capabilities of GNN neurons guarantee the extraction of complex relationships between traffic accident occurrences, road network profiles, and external factors from large-scale datasets, surpassing the limitations of traditional statistical methods and causal inference models in prediction accuracy. Second, the internode message-passing mechanism inherent in GNNs seamlessly fits the spatial interconnectedness of traffic accident events, which arise from traffic dynamics and naturalistic driving behaviors (Sae-ngow and Kulpanich, 2023).

In particular, Yu et al. (2021) developed a deep spatio-temporal GCN using diverse data sources (including traffic speed data, road network data, and weather data) for accident prediction, and obtained

superior prediction performance over previous methods. Recently, transportation researchers applied GCNs and Latent Dirichlet Allocation (Porteous et al., 2008) to examine traffic accident-related factors, such as daily vehicle kilometers traveled and residential activities using floating vehicle trajectory data in San Francisco (Zhao et al., 2024). Crucially, traffic accidents display zero-inflated properties, meaning that many road segments report no accidents (Dong et al., 2014). To address this, researchers developed probabilistic GNN models to forecast accident risk distributions in London, which is particularly useful for road segments with varying risk levels (Gao et al., 2024).

4.4. Industry practice

Many technology companies have created specialized GNN models in online transportation services. A key application is the travel time estimation on road networks, which predicts arrival time based on departure time and routes (Table 4). This serves as a fundamental functionality form in digital maps like Google Maps. We now compare industry approaches with academic GNN research, looking at how they build graphs, design model architectures, and apply the technology.

Graph construction differs between academic research and industry applications. Influential academic studies such as DCRNN (Li et al., 2017) and Graph WaveNet (Wu et al., 2019) define nodes as stationary traffic sensors. In contrast, technology companies represent road segments as nodes (Fang et al., 2020, Derrow-Pinion et al., 2021). Here, a road segment is a contiguous section of roadway, typically ranging from tens to hundreds of meters in length (Dai et al., 2020). This distinction leads to divergent prediction targets: academic studies focus on predicting speed and volume on sensor locations, while industry models aim to predict road segment-level travel time. Two major factors drive this distinction:

- Industry goals prioritize user-centric travel time estimation, which is more directly derived from road segment-based other than sensor-based predictions.
- Road segment-level travel time prediction requires traffic data on every road within road networks,
 which is generally accessible to technology companies, not academic researchers.

Industrial GNN applications in transportation were generally built upon established GNN architectures (Table 4). For instance, Google's ETA prediction utilized the Graph Network (Battaglia et al., 2018), which incorporated convolutions on nodes, edges, and the entire graph. Alternatively, Alibaba resorted to Chebyshev polynomials of graph Laplacian for graph convolution operations (Defferrard et al., 2016). Notably, Baidu's approach, while not explicitly using adjacency matrices for representation updates, integrated network structure information via tensors and applied three-dimensional attention mechanisms from

Table 4: GNNs in travel time estimation from industry. Target: the entities for which travel time predictions are being performed. APE: absolute percentage error. MAE: mean absolute error. MAPE: mean absolute percentage error. RMSE: root mean squared error.

Study	Derrow-Pinion et al. (2021)	Dai et al. (2020)	Fang et al. (2020)
Model	GN	H-STGCN	ConSTGAT
Company	Google	Amap	Baidu
Target	Supersegment	Road segment	Road segment
Node	Road segment	Road segment	Road segment
Edge	Adjacent	Any two	Adjacent
Base module	Graph Network (Battaglia et al., 2018)	GCN (Defferrard et al., 2016)	3D-attention on graphs
Other modules	MetaGradient (Xu et al., 2018b)	Temporal gated convolution (Yu et al., 2017)	Segment-based methods
Loss function	Huber loss	L1 loss	Huber loss, APE
Area	New York, Los Angeles, Tokyo, Singapore	Beijing	Taiyuan, Hefei, Huizhou
Performance	RMSE	MAE, RMSE, MAPE	MAE, RMSE, MAPE
Deployment	Yes	Not mentioned	Yes

Transformer architectures. To meet low-latency requirements in service, they created look-up tables for swift prediction outcome retrieval.

GNN models for travel time estimation from industry have been applied to diverse cities globally (Table 4). Google conducted offline case studies using traffic data from New York, Los Angeles, Tokyo, and Singapore, and online evaluations in cities from North America, Europe, Asia, and the Pacific region (Derrow-Pinion et al., 2021). Besides, Amap and Baidu implemented their methods using crowdsourcing data from their applications in various Chinese cities (Dai et al., 2020, Fang et al., 2020). While academic research employed MAE, RMSE, and MAPE to evaluate model prediction accuracy, industry practitioners have also incorporated negative ETA outcomes, which measure the events when the ETA errors exceed specified thresholds (Derrow-Pinion et al., 2021). It prioritizes large prediction errors and ignores minor gaps, potentially contributing to improved user satisfaction ratings for their services.

Together, the implementations of GNNs in transportation networks by technology companies are sometimes grounded in academic research and address various deployment challenges. Many industrial travel time estimation products are fundamentally based on GCNs from academic studies. While academic experiments are constrained by limited open datasets and less practical sensor-level predictions, industry applications have expanded to road segment-level prediction across global cities. This process involves advanced training approaches such as MetaGradient (Xu et al., 2018b) and computational methods such as parallel computing to enhance user experience. However, due to privacy concerns, industry studies have not disclosed their codes and data, creating obstacles for academic researchers to further refine their methodologies.

5. Future Opportunities of GNNs in Transportation Problems

This section provides an overview of future directions of GNNs in various transportation problems (Fig. 6). The deployment of GNNs in transportation can become more robust, interpretable, and efficient across a wider range of transportation problems. This trend will be propelled by key factors such as (1) increasingly accessible traffic datasets, (2) advancements in fundamental neural network architectures, and (3) extensive interactions between GNNs and related fields such as operation research.

5.1. Traffic prediction

5.1.1. Moving from single-point prediction to interval prediction

Despite significant progress in traffic prediction methodologies, there has been limited research on traffic uncertainty. Traffic uncertainty refers to the variability in traffic conditions affected by multiple factors such as special events and abrupt weather changes. Under uncertain circumstances, actual traffic demand and travel time might exceed anticipated values, leading to inefficiencies in traffic management such as navigation for emergency vehicles like ambulances. To account for this uncertainty, a viable approach is to provide prediction intervals or distributions (Chen et al., 2023a, Xu et al., 2024a), as opposed to single-point estimates. A recent study has developed a probabilistic GNN to forecast travel demand intervals in rail systems and the ridesharing market (Wang et al., 2024). It utilized calibration errors, prediction interval width, and interval coverage probability as metrics for model evaluation. Analogously, a probabilistic GNN model was proposed to forecast sparse origin-destination flows in New York City (Liang et al., 2024). A promising direction is extending these models from travel demand forecasting to roadway traffic condition prediction.

Moreover, the determinants affecting the length of predicted confidence intervals for traffic values, which measure prediction uncertainty, remain unexplored. Given the capability of GNNs to learn general patterns in training datasets, we posit that the statistical dispersion of traffic variables within training sets may be a significant contributing factor to interval lengths.

5.1.2. Investigating the effects of road types, traffic patterns, sensor placements, special scenarios

Multiple critical factors of GNN-based traffic prediction models require systematic investigations. These include the effects of road types, traffic patterns, sensor placements, as well as special regions and time periods on prediction accuracy. These factors are critical for the successful transition of laboratory-developed GNN models to practical applications across diverse real-world urban, suburban, and rural areas.




Figure 6: Prospective directions of GNNs in transportation. These include GNNs for traffic prediction (from Section 5.1.1 to Section 5.1.3), traffic operation (Section 5.2), and accident mining (Section 5.3). Background map © OpenStreetMap.

First, the extensively used datasets METR-LA and PEMS-BAY (Li et al., 2017) focus on highways in California, with relatively homogeneous traffic conditions. It is insightful to extend the scope of their studies to cover urban streets, such as downtown streets in over 30 European cities from the UTD19 dataset (Loder et al., 2019). We hypothesize that GNN-based traffic prediction models applied to urban streets may exhibit higher relative error rates than highway applications, assuming consistent external conditions. This is because urban streets have more complex and heterogeneous traffic patterns including interactions between pedestrians, cyclists, and dense traffic control signals.

Second, the relationships between traffic patterns and prediction accuracy remain inadequately understood. There is little evidence to test the hypothesis that increased traffic volumes consistently result in larger prediction errors. The recently introduced LargeST dataset (Liu et al., 2024), which includes traffic data from thousands of sensors with diverse traffic profiles, presents a versatile opportunity to solve this problem. Additionally, many prediction approaches performed the Z-score normalization (Wu et al., 2019),

which standardizes data values to a mean of zero and a standard deviation of one. This data preprocessing method might mitigate the influence of traffic volumes on prediction outcomes.

Third, there is no consensus on the influence of sensor placements on GNN-based traffic prediction outcomes. Sensor locations directly influence adjacency matrices in graph convolution operations, affecting the learning process and prediction results. A study demonstrated that the traffic flow prediction errors under the coarse scale were lower than those under the finer scale in Chicago (Wang et al., 2022a). Alternatively, the relative errors for GNN-based traffic imputation were lower with the compact sensor sampling than the coarse sensor sampling in London (Xue et al., 2024a). Despite these observations, standardized criteria for characterizing sensor locations and assessing prediction accuracy are necessary before conducting systematic investigations of their effects.

Fourth, it is unclear whether current GNN-based traffic prediction approaches perform well in addressing special locations and temporal periods, which are crucial for dynamic traffic management. In particular, traffic patterns in road networks around large public venues (e.g., sports arenas and convention centers) exhibit large uncertainty due to complex traffic dynamics. Similarly, both intra-urban and inter-urban traffic conditions during special circumstances (e.g., city marathons and heavy rains) are also likely to diverge from regular traffic patterns because of factors like road closures². To achieve accurate predictions in these exceptional scenarios, GNN models need to learn from sparse historical data while adapting to real-world traffic fluctuations.

5.1.3. Simplifying GNNs

Section 3 illustrates that vanilla GNN models (like GCNs and GATs) were initially developed for general data mining tasks in networks including social and biological networks. However, their graph convolution operations may be redundant for traffic prediction applications, which necessitate low latency. Hence, a promising research direction is to simplify existing GNN methods to enhance traffic prediction efficiency. To achieve this, it is essential to identify key information extracted by GNNs that contributes to their superior performance over multilayer perceptions (MLPs) in prediction tasks. Recent research has found three key factors: sensor locations, time of day, and day of the week (Shao et al., 2022). Following this, they came up with a simple but efficient MLP model that beats various GNN models in traffic prediction tasks. Besides, graph-less neural networks (GLNNs) have been proposed as mixed models, combining GNNs with high accuracy and MLPs with fast inference capabilities (Zhang et al., 2022). GLNNs distill knowledge

²https://www.cbsnews.com/newyork/news/nyc-marathon-closures-road-bridge-street-2024/

from GNNs and use the knowledge to train MLPs in an offline manner. The trained MLPs are subsequently exploited in online inference. Both studies provide a foundation for further simplification of existing GNN with increased traffic prediction efficiency.

5.2. Traffic operation

As discussed in Section 4.2, researchers have utilized GNNs to predict carsharing demand and optimize profits (Chang et al., 2022). This framework is called *Predict-then-Optimize* in operation research (Elmachtoub and Grigas, 2022). An alternative approach is the *End-to-End* (E2E) method, which directly derives optimization solutions from input features (Qi et al., 2023, Liu et al., 2023b). While GNNs have demonstrated efficacy in specific *Predict-then-Optimize* frameworks (Chang et al., 2022), their effects on E2E frameworks are still unexplored. Further research in this direction may enhance existing operation problems with spatial components.

For classical NP-hard graph problems (like the TSP problem), there are several benchmarking datasets (like National TSP instances³). These datasets can strongly facilitate the development and evaluation of GNN-based operation methods on graphs. However, there is a shortage of standardized datasets and scenarios for diverse traffic control and management problems. Researchers have developed GNN-based control methods for connected autonomous vehicles (Chen et al., 2021), using customized scenarios generated by the traffic simulator SUMO (Krajzewicz et al., 2012). The creation of standardized testbeds for traffic operation problems would significantly promote GNN-based methods in this field.

5.3. Accident prediction

This subsection delineates two future directions for GNN-based traffic accident prediction. First, while existing GNN-based traffic accident predictions have primarily concentrated on overall crash occurrence (Wu et al., 2023b) and risk scores (Gao et al., 2024), significant research opportunities persist in the exploration of accident types. These include the diversity in collision categories (e.g., rear-end collisions and single-vehicle accidents) and locations (e.g., urban streets, highways, and intersections). The extent to which spatial accident relationships, revealed by GNN models, vary across these accident categories remains an open question. Second, given the intrinsic interdependence between traffic flow dynamics and accident occurrence, the integration of traffic flow features (e.g., flow rate and traffic density) as side information within GNN-based accident prediction frameworks presents a promising research direction. Note that it is

 $^{^3}$ https://www.math.uwaterloo.ca/tsp/world/countries.html

symmetrical to accident-aware traffic prediction, where accident occurrence is used as supplementary information for predicting traffic (Ye et al., 2023). The direct benefit is to enhance current accident forecasting accuracy. Besides, this discovery has the potential to further unveil complicated interconnections and causal mechanisms within traffic systems and accident landscapes (Wang et al., 2013, Retallack and Ostendorf, 2019).

5.4. Integration with traffic theories

As a drawback, the inference process of GNNs in transportation networks may not adhere to established traffic theories, resulting in limited trust from transportation engineers in GNN inference outcomes. A potential solution is the physics-informed GNN (PIGNN) (Dalton et al., 2023, Niresi et al., 2024, Mo et al., 2024), which fuses physics laws with GNNs to achieve both model interpretation and accuracy. PIGNN represents a subset of physics-informed machine learning (PINN) (Karniadakis et al., 2021), which embeds physics theories into machine learning to efficiently extract patterns from noisy data. The advantage of PINN has inspired many PINN transportation studies, including traffic flow (Yuan et al., 2021, Shi et al., 2021) and car-following modeling (Mo et al., 2021). However, despite the emergence of PIGNN studies in transportation (Zhu et al., 2022, Xue et al., 2024a), the optimal balance between physics-based and GNN components in PIGNN across various transportation contexts remains unclear.

5.5. Industry practice

A potential direction for technology companies is the refinement of existing GNN-based travel time prediction methodologies. This process necessitates the incorporation of exterior factors, including weather records, traffic propagation patterns, and special event occurrences. For example, Baidu Maps has integrated congestion propagation patterns with graph learning techniques to improve travel time estimation (Huang et al., 2022). The developed DuETA model, utilizing congestion-sensitive graphs and route-aware Transformers, has achieved superior prediction performance in major Chinese cities like Beijing, Shanghai, and Tianjin than the baseline model ConSTGAT (Table 4). Besides, transportation researchers have revealed a correlation between evening sporting events and reduced morning traffic congestion the next morning (Yao and Qian, 2021). Technology companies can leverage diverse data sources, including event and foot traffic information, to enhance their intelligent transportation services.

Industry participants can also play a role in enhancing traffic safety management by running roadway crash risk assessment systems. The risk evaluation outcomes generated from GNN models, when communicated to drivers, may potentially reduce crash incidents in high-risk scenarios. The viability of this idea has

been supported by a recent study that developed spatiotemporal GNN models for crash risk evaluation using multi-sourced data (Liu et al., 2023a). The model addresses the inherent imbalance in traffic crash data (where non-crash data significantly outnumbers crash data) by utilizing focal loss (Lin et al., 2017) during model training. While GNN-based crash risk evaluation remains a new field, it presents a promising chance for technology companies to develop robust and applicable risk-alert systems to secure roadway users.

Open data and code access is a significant future direction. While academic researchers like DCRNN (Li et al., 2017) often make their data and code in GNN studies publicly available, many technology companies (like the three studies in Table 4) have not published their data or code. This creates giant barriers for researchers to address current limitations in GNN deployment within transportation systems. Although data privacy concerns may be major constraints for these companies, they could disseminate aggregated and non-sensitive data and code. Such effort would both satisfy the data-sharing needs of GNN communities and follow data confidentiality regulations.

6. Collection of Data and Code

This section provides a summary of publicly available datasets, codes, and alternative learning resources tailored for GNNs and transportation networks. Given the existing reviews such as Shaygan et al. (2022), we primarily focus on recent advances since late 2022.

6.1. Datasets

For traffic prediction, several high-quality transportation network datasets can be potentially utilized in future GNN studies (Table 5). Notably, the U.S. 20 dataset (Xu et al., 2024b) and LargeST (Liu et al., 2024) include significantly more extensive areas compared to the PEMS-BAY and METR-LA (Li et al., 2017), which were confined to the Bay Area and Los Angeles. Here, the U.S. 20 dataset includes traffic flow, traffic density, and average speed data, while the LargeST contains traffic flow records. The U.S. 20 and LargeST datasets can facilitate the analysis of GNN-based traffic prediction across diverse road types and urban-rural settings within the United States. Beyond vehicle traffic, significant chances exist for traffic prediction in other transportation modes. Platforms like Flightradar24⁴ and MarineTraffic⁵ offer real-time global movement data for commercial flights and marine vessels. These raw datasets enable us to develop customized GNN models to predict the dynamics of global traffic systems at various resolutions across routine operations and special events.

⁴www.flightradar24.com/

⁵www.marinetraffic.com/

For traffic operation, the growing penetration rate of sensing infrastructures has yielded substantial vehicle trajectory data from cities in the U.S. (Xu et al., 2022), China (Yu et al., 2023, Wang et al., 2023c), and Europe (Neun et al., 2022). Such datasets present opportunities for developing GNN-based vehicle routing algorithms.

Table 5: Open traffic datasets from late 2022.

Data	Type	Area	Temporal range	Spatial range	Release date
U.S. 20 dataset (Xu et al., 2024b)	Flow, density, speed	U.S.	2019	20 cities	Mar. 2024
LargeST (Liu et al., 2024)	Flow	California	2017-2021	8600 sensors	Dec. 2023
Camera data (Yu et al., 2023)	Vehicle trajectories	Shenzhen, Jinan	2020-2022	1460 and 1838 sensors	Oct. 2023
Vehicle identification (Wang et al., 2023c)	Vehicle trajectories, flow	Xuancheng	2020	80000 vehicles	Jan. 2023
Traffic4cast (Neun et al., 2022)	Vehicle counts	London, Madrid, Melbourne	2019-2021	3751,3840,2589 detectors	Dec. 2022
Fire evacuation (Xu et al., 2022)	Vehicle trajectories	California	2019	$21160 \ {\rm records}$	Oct. 2022

6.2. Codes

In contrast to the early period of GNN research, there is currently an abundance of code resources. For example, the PyTorch Geometric (PyG)⁶ serves as a user-friendly Python library on PyTorch for GNNs. In this context, PyG provides essential functionalities for GNN research, including graph construction, the design of convolutional mechanisms, and distributed training. Similarly, the Deep Graph Library (DGL)⁷ enables users to run GNN models on various machine learning frameworks like PyTorch and TensorFlow. DGL incorporates a customized data structure, DGLGraph, to store and process graph information, which can be particularly beneficial for large-scale transportation network modeling. Additionally, certain open courses, such as CS224W⁸, offer fundamental GNN code resources for learners. Furthermore, several GNN researchers have made their model codes publicly available, as outlined in Table 3.

6.3. Others

The GNN research community has been actively compiling recent publications in the field. Their collections can inspire further applications of GNNs in transportation network analysis. For instance, the repository *Spatio-Temporal Prediction Papers*⁹ includes collections of GNN papers in diverse domains such as traffic forecasting (Kong et al., 2024b), human mobility analysis (Jiang et al., 2023a), pandemic predcition (Xue et al., 2022), and road safety analysis (Nippani et al., 2024). Besides, a separate repository¹⁰

 $^{^6 \}mathrm{https://pytorch\text{-}geometric.readthedocs.io/en/latest/}$

⁷https://docs.dgl.ai/index.html

⁸https://web.stanford.edu/class/cs224w/

⁹https://github.com/uctb/ST-Paper

¹⁰https://github.com/jwwthu/GNN4Traffic

summarizes GNN studies on traffic forecasting spanning 2018 to 2024. Except for the above application studies, theoretical GNN papers have been categorized in another repository¹¹. It includes GNN applications to NP-hard problems (Toenshoff et al., 2021), the expressive power of GNNs (Balcilar et al., 2021), and the combination of GNNs and differential equations (Poli et al., 2019), which has been successfully applied to traffic prediction (Choi et al., 2022). Transportation researchers may find valuable insights from tutorials including current advancements and challenges in large-scale GNNs presented at KDD 2023¹², and the properties and practice of GNNs delivered at AAAI 2025¹³. These resources can provide valuable insights into learning methods applicable to transportation networks.

7. Conclusion

Deriving meaningful patterns and insights from diverse transportation network data supports a wide range of intelligent transportation tasks. The spatial correlations within transportation network data can be effectively captured by GNNs, enabling numerous GNN-based DMTN studies. In this paper, we provide a broad and timely overview of these applications, integrating perspectives from academic research and industry practice. Specifically, we begin by discussing fundamental GNN models (e.g., GCNs, GraphSAGE) and their subsequent variants (e.g., LightGCN). In the context of transportation networks, we review traffic prediction studies on traffic speed, flow, demand, and traffic operation topics such as vehicle routing. Expanding upon these studies, we identify emerging directions in traffic prediction, including probabilistic traffic prediction, factor impact analysis, and streamlined model architecture. Besides, the potential applications of GNNs in end-to-end learning for operation problems, accident risk evaluation, and the integration of machine learning and physics-based models are extensively examined. Furthermore, we delve into the involvement of technology companies in GNN-based travel time estimation, with particular attention to differences in network construction compared to academic research. To foster further academic engagement, we enumerate up-to-date learning resources, including datasets, code repositories, and various learning materials. Our review could promote the application of GNNs in addressing transportation network challenges in the context of emerging technologies.

¹¹https://github.com/jiaqingxie/Theories-of-Graph-Neural-Networks

 $^{^{12}} https://sites.google.com/ncsu.edu/gnnkdd2023tutorial?pli{=}1$

¹³https://gnn.seas.upenn.edu/aaai-2025/

8. Author Contributions

Jiawei Xue: Conceptualization, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft. Ruichen Tan: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – original draft. Jianzhu Ma: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. Satish V. Ukkusuri: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

9. Declarations

Conflict of interest. All authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Sami Abu-El-Haija, Bryan Perozzi, Amol Kapoor, Nazanin Alipourfard, Kristina Lerman, Hrayr Harutyunyan, Greg Ver Steeg, and Aram Galstyan. MixHop: Higher-order graph convolutional architectures via sparsified neighborhood mixing. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 21–29. PMLR, 2019.
- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. GPT-4 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774, 2023.
- Muhammet Balcilar, Guillaume Renton, Pierre Héroux, Benoit Gaüzère, Sébastien Adam, and Paul Honeine. Analyzing the expressive power of graph neural networks in a spectral perspective. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- Peter W Battaglia, Jessica B Hamrick, Victor Bapst, Alvaro Sanchez-Gonzalez, Vinicius Zambaldi, Mateusz Malinowski, Andrea Tacchetti, David Raposo, Adam Santoro, Ryan Faulkner, et al. Relational inductive biases, deep learning, and graph networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.01261, 2018.
- Dimitris Bertsimas, Patrick Jaillet, and Sébastien Martin. Online vehicle routing: The edge of optimization in large-scale applications. *Operations Research*, 67(1):143–162, 2019.
- Geoff Boeing. A multi-scale analysis of 27,000 urban street networks: Every us city, town, urbanized area, and zillow neighborhood. Environment and Planning B: Urban Analytics and City Science, 47(4):590–608, 2020.
- Stefan Boettcher. Inability of a graph neural network heuristic to outperform greedy algorithms in solving combinatorial optimization problems. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(1):24–25, 2023.
- Toon Bogaerts, Antonio D Masegosa, Juan S Angarita-Zapata, Enrique Onieva, and Peter Hellinckx. A graph CNN-LSTM neural network for short and long-term traffic forecasting based on trajectory data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 112:62–77, 2020.
- Fedor Borisyuk, Shihai He, Yunbo Ouyang, Morteza Ramezani, Peng Du, Xiaochen Hou, Chengming Jiang, Nitin Pasumarthy, Priya Bannur, Birjodh Tiwana, et al. LiGNN: Graph neural networks at linkedin. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 4793–4803, 2024.
- Joan Bruna, Wojciech Zaremba, Arthur Szlam, and Yann LeCun. Spectral networks and deep locally connected networks on graphs. In 2nd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2014, 2014.
- Wenyuan Cai, Andi Song, Yuchuan Du, Chenglong Liu, Difei Wu, and Feng Li. Fine-grained pavement performance prediction based on causal-temporal graph convolution networks. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2023.

- Quentin Cappart, Didier Chételat, Elias B Khalil, Andrea Lodi, Christopher Morris, and Petar Veličković. Combinatorial optimization and reasoning with graph neural networks. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(130):1–61, 2023.
- Ximing Chang, Jianjun Wu, Gonçalo Homem de Almeida Correia, Huijun Sun, and Ziyan Feng. A cooperative strategy for optimizing vehicle relocations and staff movements in cities where several carsharing companies operate simultaneously. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 161:102711, 2022.
- Dayou Chen, Sibo Cheng, Jinwei Hu, Matthew Kasoar, and Rossella Arcucci. Explainable global wildfire prediction models using graph neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.07152, 2024a.
- Hao Chen, Yuanchen Bei, Qijie Shen, Yue Xu, Sheng Zhou, Wenbing Huang, Feiran Huang, Senzhang Wang, and Xiao Huang. Macro graph neural networks for online billion-scale recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference* 2024, pages 3598–3608, 2024b.
- Jian Chen, Li Zheng, Yuzhu Hu, Wei Wang, Hongxing Zhang, and Xiping Hu. Traffic flow matrix-based graph neural network with attention mechanism for traffic flow prediction. *Information Fusion*, 104:102146, 2024c.
- Kehua Chen, Yuxuan Liang, Jindong Han, Siyuan Feng, Meixin Zhu, and Hai Yang. Semantic-fused multi-granularity cross-city traffic prediction. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 162:104604, 2024d.
- Liao Chen, Shoufeng Ma, Changlin Li, Yuance Yang, Wei Wei, and Runbang Cui. A spatial-temporal graph-based AI model for truck loan default prediction using large-scale GPS trajectory data. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 183:103445, 2024e.
- Sikai Chen, Jiqian Dong, Paul Ha, Yujie Li, and Samuel Labi. Graph neural network and reinforcement learning for multi-agent cooperative control of connected autonomous vehicles. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 36(7):838–857, 2021.
- Xiaoxu Chen, Zhanhong Cheng, Jian Gang Jin, Martin Trépanier, and Lijun Sun. Probabilistic forecasting of bus travel time with a bayesian gaussian mixture model. *Transportation Science*, 57(6):1516–1535, 2023a.
- Xinyu Chen, Jinming Yang, and Lijun Sun. A nonconvex low-rank tensor completion model for spatiotemporal traffic data imputation. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 117:102673, 2020.
- Yuguang Chen, Jintao Huang, Hongbin Xu, Jincheng Guo, and Linyong Su. Road traffic flow prediction based on dynamic spatiotemporal graph attention network. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1):14729, 2023b.
- Zhikai Chen, Haitao Mao, Hang Li, Wei Jin, Hongzhi Wen, Xiaochi Wei, Shuaiqiang Wang, Dawei Yin, Wenqi Fan, Hui Liu, et al. Exploring the potential of large language models (LLMs) in learning on graphs. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 25(2):42–61, 2024f.
- Eli Chien, Mufei Li, Anthony Aportela, Kerr Ding, Shuyi Jia, Supriyo Maji, Zhongyuan Zhao, Javier Duarte, Victor Fung, Cong Hao, et al. Opportunities and challenges of graph neural networks in electrical engineering. *Nature Reviews Electrical Engineering*, pages 1–18, 2024.
- Jung-Hoon Cho, Seung Woo Ham, and Dong-Kyu Kim. Enhancing the accuracy of peak hourly demand in bike-sharing systems using a graph convolutional network with public transit usage data. *Transportation Research Record*, 2675(10): 554–565, 2021.
- Jeongwhan Choi, Hwangyong Choi, Jeehyun Hwang, and Noseong Park. Graph neural controlled differential equations for traffic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 36, pages 6367–6374, 2022.
- Junyoung Chung, Caglar Gulcehre, KyungHyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.3555, 2014.
- Gabriele Corso, Hannes Stark, Stefanie Jegelka, Tommi Jaakkola, and Regina Barzilay. Graph neural networks. *Nature Reviews Methods Primers*, 4(1):17, 2024.

- Zhiyong Cui, Kristian Henrickson, Ruimin Ke, and Yinhai Wang. Traffic graph convolutional recurrent neural network: A deep learning framework for network-scale traffic learning and forecasting. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 21(11):4883–4894, 2019.
- Zhiyong Cui, Ruimin Ke, Ziyuan Pu, Xiaolei Ma, and Yinhai Wang. Learning traffic as a graph: A gated graph wavelet recurrent neural network for network-scale traffic prediction. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 115: 102620, 2020.
- Carlos F Daganzo and Yosef Sheffi. On stochastic models of traffic assignment. Transportation Science, 11(3):253-274, 1977.
- Rui Dai, Shenkun Xu, Qian Gu, Chenguang Ji, and Kaikui Liu. Hybrid spatio-temporal graph convolutional network: Improving traffic prediction with navigation data. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 3074–3082, 2020.
- David Dalton, Dirk Husmeier, and Hao Gao. Physics-informed graph neural network emulation of soft-tissue mechanics.

 Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering, 417:116351, 2023.
- Michaël Defferrard, Xavier Bresson, and Pierre Vandergheynst. Convolutional neural networks on graphs with fast localized spectral filtering. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 29, 2016.
- Austin Derrow-Pinion, Jennifer She, David Wong, Oliver Lange, Todd Hester, Luis Perez, Marc Nunkesser, Seongjae Lee, Xueying Guo, Brett Wiltshire, et al. ETA prediction with graph neural networks in Google Maps. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, pages 3767–3776, 2021.
- François-Xavier Devailly, Denis Larocque, and Laurent Charlin. IG-RL: Inductive graph reinforcement learning for massive-scale traffic signal control. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(7):7496–7507, 2021.
- Fangyi Ding, Yuebing Liang, Yamin Wang, Yan Tang, Yang Zhou, and Zhan Zhao. A graph deep learning model for station ridership prediction in expanding metro networks. In *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on Advances in Urban-AI*, pages 6–14, 2024.
- Chunjiao Dong, David B Clarke, Xuedong Yan, Asad Khattak, and Baoshan Huang. Multivariate random-parameters zero-inflated negative binomial regression model: An application to estimate crash frequencies at intersections. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 70:320–329, 2014.
- Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, et al. The Llama 3 herd of models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783, 2024.
- Adam N Elmachtoub and Paul Grigas. Smart "predict, then optimize". Management Science, 68(1):9-26, 2022.
- Panagiotis Fafoutellis and Eleni I Vlahogianni. Unlocking the full potential of deep learning in traffic forecasting through road network representations: A critical review. Data Science for Transportation, 5(3):23, 2023.
- Chao Fang, Zonglei Han, Wei Wang, and Enrico Zio. Routing UAVs in landslides monitoring: A neural network heuristic for team orienteering with mandatory visits. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 175: 103172, 2023.
- Junfeng Fang, Guibin Zhang, Kun Wang, Wenjie Du, Yifan Duan, Yuankai Wu, Roger Zimmermann, Xiaowen Chu, and Yuxuan Liang. On regularization for explaining graph neural networks: An information theory perspective. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2024.
- Xiaomin Fang, Jizhou Huang, Fan Wang, Lingke Zeng, Haijin Liang, and Haifeng Wang. ConSTGAT: Contextual spatial-temporal graph attention network for travel time estimation at Baidu Maps. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 2697–2705, 2020.
- Rui Feng, Heqi Cui, Qiang Feng, Sixuan Chen, Xiaoning Gu, and Baozhen Yao. Urban traffic congestion level prediction using a fusion-based graph convolutional network. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2023a.

- Siyuan Feng, Shuqing Wei, Junbo Zhang, Yexin Li, Jintao Ke, Gaode Chen, Yu Zheng, and Hai Yang. A macro-micro spatio-temporal neural network for traffic prediction. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 156:104331, 2023b.
- Chen Gao, Yu Zheng, Nian Li, Yinfeng Li, Yingrong Qin, Jinghua Piao, Yuhan Quan, Jianxin Chang, Depeng Jin, Xiangnan He, et al. A survey of graph neural networks for recommender systems: Challenges, methods, and directions. *ACM Transactions on Recommender Systems*, 1(1):1–51, 2023.
- Xiaowei Gao, Xinke Jiang, James Haworth, Dingyi Zhuang, Shenhao Wang, Huanfa Chen, and Stephen Law. Uncertainty-aware probabilistic graph neural networks for road-level traffic crash prediction. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 208:107801, 2024.
- Kan Guo, Yongli Hu, Yanfeng Sun, Sean Qian, Junbin Gao, and Baocai Yin. Hierarchical graph convolution network for traffic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 151–159, 2021.
- Shengnan Guo, Youfang Lin, Ning Feng, Chao Song, and Huaiyu Wan. Attention based spatial-temporal graph convolutional networks for traffic flow forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 922–929, 2019.
- Will Hamilton, Zhitao Ying, and Jure Leskovec. Inductive representation learning on large graphs. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 30, 2017.
- Xiangnan He, Kuan Deng, Xiang Wang, Yan Li, Yongdong Zhang, and Meng Wang. LightGCN: Simplifying and powering graph convolution network for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 43rd International ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval*, pages 639–648, 2020.
- Nasimeh Heydaribeni, Xinrui Zhan, Ruisi Zhang, Tina Eliassi-Rad, and Farinaz Koushanfar. Distributed constrained combinatorial optimization leveraging hypergraph neural networks. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, pages 1–9, 2024.
- Sepp Hochreiter and Jürgen Schmidhuber. Long short-term memory. Neural Computation, 9(8):1735–1780, 1997.
- Weihua Hu, Matthias Fey, Marinka Zitnik, Yuxiao Dong, Hongyu Ren, Bowen Liu, Michele Catasta, and Jure Leskovec. Open graph benchmark: Datasets for machine learning on graphs. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33: 22118–22133, 2020.
- Yuting Hu, Jiajie Li, Florian Klemme, Gi-Joon Nam, Tengfei Ma, Hussam Amrouch, and Jinjun Xiong. SyncTREE: fast timing analysis for integrated circuit design through a physics-informed tree-based graph neural network. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- Jizhou Huang, Zhengjie Huang, Xiaomin Fang, Shikun Feng, Xuyi Chen, Jiaxiang Liu, Haitao Yuan, and Haifeng Wang.

 DuETA: Traffic congestion propagation pattern modeling via efficient graph learning for eta prediction at Baidu Maps. In

 Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management, pages 3172–3181, 2022.
- Ningyuan Teresa Huang and Soledad Villar. A short tutorial on the Weisfeiler-Lehman test and its variants. In *ICASSP* 2021-2021 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 8533–8537. IEEE, 2021.
- Ping Huang, Jingwei Guo, Shu Liu, and Francesco Corman. Explainable train delay propagation: A graph attention network approach. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 184:103457, 2024.
- Renhe Jiang, Zhaonan Wang, Yudong Tao, Chuang Yang, Xuan Song, Ryosuke Shibasaki, Shu-Ching Chen, and Mei-Ling Shyu. Learning social meta-knowledge for nowcasting human mobility in disaster. In *Proceedings of the ACM Web Conference* 2023, pages 2655–2665, 2023a.
- Renhe Jiang, Zhaonan Wang, Jiawei Yong, Puneet Jeph, Quanjun Chen, Yasumasa Kobayashi, Xuan Song, Shintaro Fukushima, and Toyotaro Suzumura. Spatio-temporal meta-graph learning for traffic forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 37, pages 8078–8086, 2023b.

- Weiwei Jiang and Jiayun Luo. Graph neural network for traffic forecasting: A survey. Expert Systems with Applications, 207: 117921, 2022.
- José Jiménez-Luna, Francesca Grisoni, and Gisbert Schneider. Drug discovery with explainable artificial intelligence. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 2(10):573–584, 2020.
- Guangyin Jin, Yuxuan Liang, Yuchen Fang, Zezhi Shao, Jincai Huang, Junbo Zhang, and Yu Zheng. Spatio-temporal graph neural networks for predictive learning in urban computing: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2023a.
- Ming Jin, Huan Yee Koh, Qingsong Wen, Daniele Zambon, Cesare Alippi, Geoffrey I Webb, Irwin King, and Shirui Pan.

 A survey on graph neural networks for time series: Forecasting, classification, imputation, and anomaly detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.03759, 2023b.
- Wei Jin, Yao Ma, Xiaorui Liu, Xianfeng Tang, Suhang Wang, and Jiliang Tang. Graph structure learning for robust graph neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 66–74, 2020.
- Wei Ju, Yusheng Zhao, Yifang Qin, Siyu Yi, Jingyang Yuan, Zhiping Xiao, Xiao Luo, Xiting Yan, and Ming Zhang. COOL: a conjoint perspective on spatio-temporal graph neural network for traffic forecasting. *Information Fusion*, 107:102341, 2024.
- Wang-Cheng Kang and Julian McAuley. Self-attentive sequential recommendation. In 2018 IEEE international conference on data mining (ICDM), pages 197–206. IEEE, 2018.
- George Em Karniadakis, Ioannis G Kevrekidis, Lu Lu, Paris Perdikaris, Sifan Wang, and Liu Yang. Physics-informed machine learning. *Nature Reviews Physics*, 3(6):422–440, 2021.
- Jintao Ke, Siyuan Feng, Zheng Zhu, Hai Yang, and Jieping Ye. Joint predictions of multi-modal ride-hailing demands: A deep multi-task multi-graph learning-based approach. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 127:103063, 2021a.
- Jintao Ke, Xiaoran Qin, Hai Yang, Zhengfei Zheng, Zheng Zhu, and Jieping Ye. Predicting origin-destination ride-sourcing demand with a spatio-temporal encoder-decoder residual multi-graph convolutional network. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 122:102858, 2021b.
- Abdalsamad Keramatfar, Mohadeseh Rafiee, and Hossein Amirkhani. Graph neural networks: a bibliometrics overview. Machine Learning with Applications, 10:100401, 2022.
- Nicolas Keriven and Gabriel Peyré. Universal invariant and equivariant graph neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 32, 2019.
- Thomas N Kipf and Max Welling. Semi-supervised classification with graph convolutional networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.02907, 2016.
- Patchara Kitjacharoenchai and Seokcheon Lee. Vehicle routing problem with drones for last mile delivery. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 39:314–324, 2019.
- Boris Knyazev, Graham W Taylor, and Mohamed Amer. Understanding attention and generalization in graph neural networks.

 Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 32, 2019.
- Lingbai Kong, Hanchen Yang, Wengen Li, Jihong Guan, and Shuigeng Zhou. Traffexplainer: A framework towards GNN-based interpretable traffic prediction. *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*, 2024a.
- Weiyang Kong, Ziyu Guo, and Yubao Liu. Spatio-temporal pivotal graph neural networks for traffic flow forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 8627–8635, 2024b.
- Daniel Krajzewicz, Jakob Erdmann, Michael Behrisch, and Laura Bieker. Recent development and applications of SUMOsimulation of urban mobility. 2012.

- Devin Kreuzer, Dominique Beaini, Will Hamilton, Vincent Létourneau, and Prudencio Tossou. Rethinking graph transformers with spectral attention. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 34:21618–21629, 2021.
- Remi Lam, Alvaro Sanchez-Gonzalez, Matthew Willson, Peter Wirnsberger, Meire Fortunato, Ferran Alet, Suman Ravuri, Timo Ewalds, Zach Eaton-Rosen, Weihua Hu, et al. Learning skillful medium-range global weather forecasting. *Science*, 382(6677):1416–1421, 2023.
- Shiyong Lan, Yitong Ma, Weikang Huang, Wenwu Wang, Hongyu Yang, and Pyang Li. DSTAGNN: Dynamic spatial-temporal aware graph neural network for traffic flow forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 11906–11917, 2022.
- Tae-Hoon Lee and Min-Soo Kim. RL-MILP Solver: A reinforcement learning approach for solving mixed-integer linear programs with graph neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.19517, 2024.
- Zengxiang Lei, Xinwu Qian, and Satish V Ukkusuri. Efficient proactive vehicle relocation for on-demand mobility service with recurrent neural networks. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 117:102678, 2020.
- Biyue Li, Zhishuai Li, Jun Chen, Yongjie Yan, Yisheng Lv, and Wenbo Du. MAST-GNN: A multimodal adaptive spatiotemporal graph neural network for airspace complexity prediction. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 160:104521, 2024a.
- Fuxian Li, Jie Feng, Huan Yan, Guangyin Jin, Fan Yang, Funing Sun, Depeng Jin, and Yong Li. Dynamic graph convolutional recurrent network for traffic prediction: Benchmark and solution. *ACM Transactions on Knowledge Discovery from Data*, 17(1):1–21, 2023a.
- Hao Li, Zebei Han, Yu Sun, Fu Wang, Pengzhen Hu, Yuang Gao, Xuemei Bai, Shiyu Peng, Chao Ren, Xiang Xu, et al. CGMega: explainable graph neural network framework with attention mechanisms for cancer gene module dissection. *Nature Communications*, 15(1):5997, 2024b.
- Manzi Li, Enoch Lee, and Hong K Lo. Offline planning and online operation of zonal-based flexible transit service under demand uncertainties and dynamic cancellations. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 168:104715, 2024c.
- Maosen Li, Siheng Chen, Yanning Shen, Genjia Liu, Ivor W Tsang, and Ya Zhang. Online multi-agent forecasting with interpretable collaborative graph neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 35(4): 4768–4782, 2022.
- Pei Li, Sheng Wang, Hantao Zhao, Jia Yu, Liyang Hu, Haodong Yin, and Zhiyuan Liu. IG-Net: An interaction graph network model for metro passenger flow forecasting. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(4):4147–4157, 2023b.
- Qin Li, Xuan Yang, Yong Wang, Yuankai Wu, and Deqiang He. Spatial–temporal traffic modeling with a fusion graph reconstructed by tensor decomposition. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2023c.
- Yaguang Li, Rose Yu, Cyrus Shahabi, and Yan Liu. Diffusion convolutional recurrent neural network: Data-driven traffic forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.01926, 2017.
- Yujia Li, Daniel Tarlow, Marc Brockschmidt, and Richard Zemel. Gated graph sequence neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1511.05493, 2015.
- Yuebing Liang, Fangyi Ding, Guan Huang, and Zhan Zhao. Deep trip generation with graph neural networks for bike sharing system expansion. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 154:104241, 2023a.
- Yuebing Liang, Guan Huang, and Zhan Zhao. Cross-mode knowledge adaptation for bike sharing demand prediction using domain-adversarial graph neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2023b.
- Yuebing Liang, Zhan Zhao, and Chris Webster. Generating sparse origin—destination flows on shared mobility networks using probabilistic graph neural networks. Sustainable Cities and Society, 114:105777, 2024.

- Tsung-Yi Lin, Priya Goyal, Ross Girshick, Kaiming He, and Piotr Dollár. Focal loss for dense object detection. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision, pages 2980–2988, 2017.
- Jielun Liu, Ghim Ping Ong, and Xiqun Chen. GraphSAGE-based traffic speed forecasting for segment network with sparse data. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(3):1755–1766, 2020.
- Shan Liu and Hai Jiang. Personalized route recommendation for ride-hailing with deep inverse reinforcement learning and real-time traffic conditions. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 164:102780, 2022.
- Xian Liu, Jian Lu, Xiang Chen, Yeuk Hang Charmaine Fong, Xiaochi Ma, and Fang Zhang. Attention based spatio-temporal graph convolutional network with focal loss for crash risk evaluation on urban road traffic network based on multi-source risks. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 192:107262, 2023a.
- Xu Liu, Yutong Xia, Yuxuan Liang, Junfeng Hu, Yiwei Wang, Lei Bai, Chao Huang, Zhenguang Liu, Bryan Hooi, and Roger Zimmermann. LargeST: A benchmark dataset for large-scale traffic forecasting. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024.
- Zhichen Liu, Yafeng Yin, Fan Bai, and Donald K Grimm. End-to-end learning of user equilibrium with implicit neural networks.

 Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 150:104085, 2023b.
- Allister Loder, Lukas Ambühl, Monica Menendez, and Kay W Axhausen. Understanding traffic capacity of urban networks. Scientific Reports, 9(1):16283, 2019.
- Haoran Lu, Lei Wang, Xiaoliang Ma, Jun Cheng, and Mengchu Zhou. A survey of graph neural networks and their industrial applications. *Neurocomputing*, page 128761, 2024.
- Sen Luan, Ruimin Ke, Zhou Huang, and Xiaolei Ma. Traffic congestion propagation inference using dynamic Bayesian graph convolution network. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 135:103526, 2022.
- Scott Lundberg. A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.07874, 2017.
- Yang Lv, Zhiqiang Lv, Zesheng Cheng, Zhanqi Zhu, and Taha Hossein Rashidi. TS-STNN: Spatial-temporal neural network based on tree structure for traffic flow prediction. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 177:103251, 2023.
- Xiaolei Ma, Zhimin Tao, Yinhai Wang, Haiyang Yu, and Yunpeng Wang. Long short-term memory neural network for traffic speed prediction using remote microwave sensor data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 54:187–197, 2015.
- Tanwi Mallick, Prasanna Balaprakash, Eric Rask, and Jane Macfarlane. Graph-partitioning-based diffusion convolutional recurrent neural network for large-scale traffic forecasting. *Transportation Research Record*, 2674(9):473–488, 2020.
- Franco Manessi, Alessandro Rozza, and Mario Manzo. Dynamic graph convolutional networks. *Pattern Recognition*, 97:107000, 2020.
- Fred Mannering, Chandra R Bhat, Venky Shankar, and Mohamed Abdel-Aty. Big data, traditional data and the tradeoffs between prediction and causality in highway-safety analysis. *Analytic Methods in Accident Research*, 25:100113, 2020.
- Amil Merchant, Simon Batzner, Samuel S Schoenholz, Muratahan Aykol, Gowoon Cheon, and Ekin Dogus Cubuk. Scaling deep learning for materials discovery. *Nature*, 624(7990):80–85, 2023.
- Yimeng Min, Yiwei Bai, and Carla P Gomes. Unsupervised learning for solving the travelling salesman problem. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36, 2024.
- Zhaobin Mo, Rongye Shi, and Xuan Di. A physics-informed deep learning paradigm for car-following models. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 130:103240, 2021.
- Zhaobin Mo, Yongjie Fu, and Xuan Di. PI-NeuGODE: Physics-informed graph neural ordinary differential equations for spatiotemporal trajectory prediction. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Autonomous Agents and*

- Multiagent Systems, pages 1418–1426, 2024.
- Moritz Neun, Christian Eichenberger, Henry Martin, Markus Spanring, Rahul Siripurapu, Daniel Springer, Leyan Deng, Chenwang Wu, Defu Lian, Min Zhou, et al. Traffic4cast at neurips 2022–predict dynamics along graph edges from sparse node data: Whole city traffic and eta from stationary vehicle detectors. In *NeurIPS 2022 Competition Track*, pages 251–278. PMLR, 2022.
- Mark Newman. Networks. Oxford University Press, 2018.
- Sang Nguyen. An algorithm for the traffic assignment problem. Transportation Science, 8(3):203-216, 1974.
- Tong Nie, Guoyang Qin, Wei Ma, Yuewen Mei, and Jian Sun. Imputeformer: Low rankness-induced transformers for generalizable spatiotemporal imputation. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 2260–2271, 2024.
- Tong Nie, Wei Ma, Jian Sun, Yu Yang, and Jiannong Cao. Collaborative imputation of urban time series through cross-city meta-learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.11306, 2025.
- Abhinav Nippani, Dongyue Li, Haotian Ju, Haris Koutsopoulos, and Hongyang Zhang. Graph neural networks for road safety modeling: datasets and evaluations for accident analysis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- Keivan Faghih Niresi, Hugo Bissig, Henri Baumann, and Olga Fink. Physics-enhanced graph neural networks for soft sensing in industrial internet of things. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.08061, 2024.
- Jinhui Ouyang, Mingxia Yu, Weiren Yu, Zheng Qin, Amelia C Regan, and Di Wu. TPGraph: A spatial-temporal graph learning framework for accurate traffic prediction on arterial roads. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2024.
- Xiaocao Ouyang, Yan Yang, Yiling Zhang, Wei Zhou, Jihong Wan, and Shengdong Du. Domain adversarial graph neural network with cross-city graph structure learning for traffic prediction. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 278:110885, 2023.
- Bryan Perozzi, Bahare Fatemi, Dustin Zelle, Anton Tsitsulin, Mehran Kazemi, Rami Al-Rfou, and Jonathan Halcrow. Let your graph do the talking: Encoding structured data for LLMs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.05862, 2024.
- Michael Poli, Stefano Massaroli, Junyoung Park, Atsushi Yamashita, Hajime Asama, and Jinkyoo Park. Graph neural ordinary differential equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.07532, 2019.
- Ian Porteous, David Newman, Alexander Ihler, Arthur Asuncion, Padhraic Smyth, and Max Welling. Fast collapsed gibbs sampling for latent dirichlet allocation. In Proceedings of the 14th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pages 569–577, 2008.
- Meng Qi, Yuanyuan Shi, Yongzhi Qi, Chenxin Ma, Rong Yuan, Di Wu, and Zuo-Jun Shen. A practical end-to-end inventory management model with deep learning. *Management Science*, 69(2):759–773, 2023.
- Rezaur Rahman and Samiul Hasan. Data-driven traffic assignment: A novel approach for learning traffic flow patterns using graph convolutional neural network. Data Science for Transportation, 5(2):11, 2023a.
- Rezaur Rahman and Samiul Hasan. A deep learning approach for network-wide dynamic traffic prediction during hurricane evacuation. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 152:104126, 2023b.
- Saeed Rahmani, Asiye Baghbani, Nizar Bouguila, and Zachary Patterson. Graph neural networks for intelligent transportation systems: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2023.
- Sayan Ranu. The road to explainable graph neural networks. ACM SIGMOD Record, 53(3):32-34, 2024.
- Manon Réau, Nicolas Renaud, Li C Xue, and Alexandre MJJ Bonvin. DeepRank-GNN: a graph neural network framework to learn patterns in protein–protein interfaces. *Bioinformatics*, 39(1):btac759, 2023.
- Patrick Reiser, Marlen Neubert, André Eberhard, Luca Torresi, Chen Zhou, Chen Shao, Houssam Metni, Clint van Hoesel, Henrik Schopmans, Timo Sommer, et al. Graph neural networks for materials science and chemistry. *Communications Materials*, 3(1):93, 2022.

- Xubin Ren, Jiabin Tang, Dawei Yin, Nitesh Chawla, and Chao Huang. A survey of large language models for graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.08011, 2024.
- Angus Eugene Retallack and Bertram Ostendorf. Current understanding of the effects of congestion on traffic accidents.

 International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16(18):3400, 2019.
- Emanuele Rossi, Ben Chamberlain, Fabrizio Frasca, Davide Eynard, Federico Monti, and Michael Bronstein. Temporal graph networks for deep learning on dynamic graphs. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.10637, 2020.
- P Sae-ngow and N Kulpanich. Spatial statistics and severity of highway accidents in nakhon pathom, thailand. *International Journal of Geoinformatics*, 19(4), 2023.
- Franco Scarselli, Marco Gori, Ah Chung Tsoi, Markus Hagenbuchner, and Gabriele Monfardini. The graph neural network model. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 20(1):61–80, 2008.
- Michael Schlichtkrull, Thomas N Kipf, Peter Bloem, Rianne Van Den Berg, Ivan Titov, and Max Welling. Modeling relational data with graph convolutional networks. In *The semantic web: 15th international conference, ESWC 2018, Heraklion, Crete, Greece, June 3–7, 2018, proceedings 15*, pages 593–607. Springer, 2018.
- Martin JA Schuetz, J Kyle Brubaker, and Helmut G Katzgraber. Combinatorial optimization with physics-inspired graph neural networks. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 4(4):367–377, 2022.
- Zezhi Shao, Zhao Zhang, Fei Wang, Wei Wei, and Yongjun Xu. Spatial-temporal identity: A simple yet effective baseline for multivariate time series forecasting. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*, pages 4454–4458, 2022.
- Maryam Shaygan, Collin Meese, Wanxin Li, Xiaoliang George Zhao, and Mark Nejad. Traffic prediction using artificial intelligence: review of recent advances and emerging opportunities. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 145:103921, 2022.
- Rongye Shi, Zhaobin Mo, Kuang Huang, Xuan Di, and Qiang Du. A physics-informed deep learning paradigm for traffic state and fundamental diagram estimation. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(8):11688–11698, 2021.
- Chao Song, Youfang Lin, Shengnan Guo, and Huaiyu Wan. Spatial-temporal synchronous graph convolutional networks: A new framework for spatial-temporal network data forecasting. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 914–921, 2020.
- Jia-Cherng Song, I-Yun Lisa Hsieh, and Chuin-Shan Chen. Sparse trip demand prediction for shared E-scooter using spatiotemporal graph neural networks. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 125:103962, 2023.
- Jan Toenshoff, Martin Ritzert, Hinrikus Wolf, and Martin Grohe. Graph neural networks for maximum constraint satisfaction.

 Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 3:580607, 2021.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288, 2023.
- Thanh Tran, Dan He, Jiwon Kim, and Mark Hickman. MSGNN: A multi-structured graph neural network model for real-time incident prediction in large traffic networks. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 156:104354, 2023.
- Patara Trirat, Susik Yoon, and Jae-Gil Lee. MG-TAR: multi-view graph convolutional networks for traffic accident risk prediction. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(4):3779–3794, 2023.
- Anton Tsitsulin, Bryan Perozzi, Bahare Fatemi, and Jonathan J Halcrow. Graph reasoning with LLMs (GReaL). In *Proceedings* of the 30th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, pages 6424–6425, 2024.
- Mathias Niemann Tygesen, Francisco Camara Pereira, and Filipe Rodrigues. Unboxing the graph: Towards interpretable graph neural networks for transport prediction through neural relational inference. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging

- Technologies, 146:103946, 2023.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 30, 2017.
- Petar Veličković. Everything is connected: Graph neural networks. Current Opinion in Structural Biology, 79:102538, 2023.
- Petar Veličković, Guillem Cucurull, Arantxa Casanova, Adriana Romero, Pietro Lio, and Yoshua Bengio. Graph attention networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10903, 2017.
- Ao Wang, Yongchao Ye, Xiaozhuang Song, Shiyao Zhang, and JQ James. Traffic prediction with missing data: A multi-task learning approach. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(4):4189–4202, 2023a.
- Chao Wang, Mohammed Quddus, and Stephen Ison. A spatio-temporal analysis of the impact of congestion on traffic safety on major roads in the uk. *Transportmetrica A: Transport Science*, 9(2):124–148, 2013.
- Hong-Wei Wang, Zhong-Ren Peng, Dongsheng Wang, Yuan Meng, Tianlong Wu, Weili Sun, and Qing-Chang Lu. Evaluation and prediction of transportation resilience under extreme weather events: A diffusion graph convolutional approach.

 *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 115:102619, 2020.
- Qingyi Wang, Shenhao Wang, Dingyi Zhuang, Haris Koutsopoulos, and Jinhua Zhao. Uncertainty quantification of spatiotemporal travel demand with probabilistic graph neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2024.
- Senzhang Wang, Meiyue Zhang, Hao Miao, Zhaohui Peng, and Philip S Yu. Multivariate correlation-aware spatio-temporal graph convolutional networks for multi-scale traffic prediction. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology* (TIST), 13(3):1–22, 2022a.
- Shengyou Wang, Anthony Chen, Pinxi Wang, and Chengxiang Zhuge. Predicting electric vehicle charging demand using a heterogeneous spatio-temporal graph convolutional network. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 153: 104205, 2023b.
- Xiang Wang, Xiangnan He, Meng Wang, Fuli Feng, and Tat-Seng Chua. Neural graph collaborative filtering. In *Proceedings* of the 42nd international ACM SIGIR Conference on Research and Development in Information Retrieval, pages 165–174, 2019.
- Yimin Wang, Yixian Chen, Guilong Li, Yuhuan Lu, Zhaocheng He, Zhi Yu, and Weiwei Sun. City-scale holographic traffic flow data based on vehicular trajectory resampling. *Scientific Data*, 10(1):57, 2023c.
- Zhiyang Wang, Mark Eisen, and Alejandro Ribeiro. Learning decentralized wireless resource allocations with graph neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 70:1850–1863, 2022b.
- Wei Wei, Kwang-Cheng Chen, Ammar Rayes, and Rafał Scherer. Guest editorial introduction to the special issue on graph-based machine learning for intelligent transportation systems. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 24(8):8393–8398, 2023.
- Wei Wei, Xubin Ren, Jiabin Tang, Qinyong Wang, Lixin Su, Suqi Cheng, Junfeng Wang, Dawei Yin, and Chao Huang. LLMRec: Large language models with graph augmentation for recommendation. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 806–815, 2024.
- Qingsong Wen, Tian Zhou, Chaoli Zhang, Weiqi Chen, Ziqing Ma, Junchi Yan, and Liang Sun. Transformers in time series: A survey. arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.07125, 2022.
- Lingfei Wu, Yu Chen, Kai Shen, Xiaojie Guo, Hanning Gao, Shucheng Li, Jian Pei, Bo Long, et al. Graph neural networks for natural language processing: A survey. Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning, 16(2):119–328, 2023a.
- Mingyao Wu, Hongwei Jia, Dan Luo, Haiyong Luo, Fang Zhao, and Ge Li. A multi-attention dynamic graph convolution network with cost-sensitive learning approach to road-level and minute-level traffic accident prediction. *IET Intelligent*

- Transport Systems, 17(2):270-284, 2023b.
- Ning Wu, Xin Wayne Zhao, Jingyuan Wang, and Dayan Pan. Learning effective road network representation with hierarchical graph neural networks. In *Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & data mining*, pages 6–14, 2020a.
- Shiwen Wu, Fei Sun, Wentao Zhang, Xu Xie, and Bin Cui. Graph neural networks in recommender systems: A survey. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(5):1–37, 2022.
- Yuankai Wu, Dingyi Zhuang, Aurelie Labbe, and Lijun Sun. Inductive graph neural networks for spatiotemporal kriging. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 4478–4485, 2021.
- Zonghan Wu, Shirui Pan, Guodong Long, Jing Jiang, and Chengqi Zhang. Graph WaveNet for deep spatial-temporal graph modeling. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 1907–1913, 2019.
- Zonghan Wu, Shirui Pan, Fengwen Chen, Guodong Long, Chengqi Zhang, and S Yu Philip. A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 32(1):4–24, 2020b.
- Sang Michael Xie, Shibani Santurkar, Tengyu Ma, and Percy S Liang. Data selection for language models via importance resampling. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 36:34201–34227, 2023.
- Chen Xu, Qiang Wang, and Lijun Sun. Link representation learning for probabilistic travel time estimation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.05895, 2024a.
- Keyulu Xu, Weihua Hu, Jure Leskovec, and Stefanie Jegelka. How powerful are graph neural networks? arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.00826, 2018a.
- Qihang Xu, Yutian Pang, and Yongming Liu. Air traffic density prediction using Bayesian ensemble graph attention network (BEGAN). Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 153:104225, 2023.
- Xiaotong Xu, Zhenjie Zheng, Zijian Hu, Kairui Feng, and Wei Ma. A unified dataset for the city-scale traffic assignment model in 20 US cities. *Scientific Data*, 11(1):325, 2024b.
- Yiming Xu, Xilei Zhao, Ruggiero Lovreglio, Erica Kuligowski, Daniel Nilsson, Thomas J Cova, and Xiang Yan. A highway vehicle routing dataset during the 2019 Kincade fire evacuation. *Scientific Data*, 9(1):608, 2022.
- Zhongwen Xu, Hado P van Hasselt, and David Silver. Meta-gradient reinforcement learning. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 31, 2018b.
- Jiawei Xue, Takahiro Yabe, Kota Tsubouchi, Jianzhu Ma, and Satish Ukkusuri. Multiwave COVID-19 prediction from social awareness using web search and mobility data. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 4279–4289, 2022.
- Jiawei Xue, Eunhan Ka, Yiheng Feng, and Satish V Ukkusuri. Network macroscopic fundamental diagram-informed graph learning for traffic state imputation. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, page 102996, 2024a.
- Jiawei Xue, Takahiro Yabe, Kota Tsubouchi, Jianzhu Ma, and Satish V Ukkusuri. Predicting individual irregular mobility via web search-driven bipartite graph neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2024b.
- Haoyang Yan, Yuan Liao, Zhenliang Ma, and Xiaolei Ma. Improving multi-modal transportation recommendation systems through contrastive de-biased heterogenous graph neural networks. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 164:104689, 2024a.
- Peng Yan, Zirui Li, Jyotirmaya Ijaradar, Sebastian Pape, Matthias Körner, and Meng Wang. An imputation-enhanced hybrid deep learning approach for traffic volume prediction in urban networks: A case study in Dresden. *Data Science for Transportation*, 6(3):1–16, 2024b.
- Yongjie Yang, Jinlei Zhang, Lixing Yang, and Ziyou Gao. Network-wide short-term inflow prediction of the multi-traffic modes system: An adaptive multi-graph convolution and attention mechanism based multitask-learning model. *Transportation*

- Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 158:104428, 2024.
- Huaxiu Yao, Fei Wu, Jintao Ke, Xianfeng Tang, Yitian Jia, Siyu Lu, Pinghua Gong, Jieping Ye, and Zhenhui Li. Deep multi-view spatial-temporal network for taxi demand prediction. In Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, volume 32, 2018.
- Weiran Yao and Sean Qian. From Twitter to traffic predictor: Next-day morning traffic prediction using social media data.

 Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 124:102938, 2021.
- Jiexia Ye, Juanjuan Zhao, Kejiang Ye, and Chengzhong Xu. How to build a graph-based deep learning architecture in traffic domain: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(5):3904–3924, 2020.
- Yaqin Ye, Yue Xiao, Yuxuan Zhou, Shengwen Li, Yuanfei Zang, and Yixuan Zhang. Dynamic multi-graph neural network for traffic flow prediction incorporating traffic accidents. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 234:121101, 2023.
- Rex Ying, Ruining He, Kaifeng Chen, Pong Eksombatchai, William L Hamilton, and Jure Leskovec. Graph convolutional neural networks for web-scale recommender systems. In *Proceedings of the 24th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining*, pages 974–983, 2018a.
- Zhitao Ying, Jiaxuan You, Christopher Morris, Xiang Ren, Will Hamilton, and Jure Leskovec. Hierarchical graph representation learning with differentiable pooling. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 31, 2018b.
- Zhitao Ying, Dylan Bourgeois, Jiaxuan You, Marinka Zitnik, and Jure Leskovec. GNNExplainer: Generating explanations for graph neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 32, 2019.
- Minji Yoon, Yue Wu, John Palowitch, Bryan Perozzi, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Graph generative model for benchmarking graph neural networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.04396, 2022.
- Jiaxuan You, Rex Ying, and Jure Leskovec. Position-aware graph neural networks. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 7134–7143. PMLR, 2019.
- Jiaxuan You, Zhitao Ying, and Jure Leskovec. Design space for graph neural networks. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 33:17009–17021, 2020.
- Bing Yu, Haoteng Yin, and Zhanxing Zhu. Spatio-temporal graph convolutional networks: A deep learning framework for traffic forecasting. arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.04875, 2017.
- Fudan Yu, Huan Yan, Rui Chen, Guozhen Zhang, Yu Liu, Meng Chen, and Yong Li. City-scale vehicle trajectory data from traffic camera videos. *Scientific Data*, 10(1):711, 2023.
- Le Yu, Bowen Du, Xiao Hu, Leilei Sun, Liangzhe Han, and Weifeng Lv. Deep spatio-temporal graph convolutional network for traffic accident prediction. *Neurocomputing*, 423:135–147, 2021.
- Hao Yuan, Jiliang Tang, Xia Hu, and Shuiwang Ji. XGNN: Towards model-level explanations of graph neural networks. In Proceedings of the 26th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery & Data Mining, pages 430–438, 2020.
- Hao Yuan, Haiyang Yu, Shurui Gui, and Shuiwang Ji. Explainability in graph neural networks: A taxonomic survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 45(5):5782–5799, 2022.
- Yun Yuan, Zhao Zhang, Xianfeng Terry Yang, and Shandian Zhe. Macroscopic traffic flow modeling with physics regularized gaussian process: A new insight into machine learning applications in transportation. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological*, 146:88–110, 2021.
- Bingxu Zhang, Changjun Fan, Shixuan Liu, Kuihua Huang, Xiang Zhao, Jincai Huang, and Zhong Liu. The expressive power of graph neural networks: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 2024a.
- Hongxiang Zhang, Gongyuan Lu, Yingqian Zhang, Andrea D'Ariano, and Yaoxin Wu. Railcar itinerary optimization in railway marshalling yards: A graph neural network based deep reinforcement learning method. Transportation Research Part C:

- Emerging Technologies, 171:104970, 2025.
- Pujun Zhang, Shan Liu, Jia Shi, Liying Chen, Shuiping Chen, Jiuchong Gao, and Hai Jiang. Route planning using divide-and-conquer: A GAT enhanced insertion transformer approach. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 176:103176, 2023a.
- Shichang Zhang, Yozen Liu, Yizhou Sun, and Neil Shah. Graph-less neural networks: Teaching old mlps new tricks via distillation. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022.
- Xiliang Zhang, Jin Liu, Peizhu Gong, Chengcheng Chen, Bing Han, and Zhongdai Wu. Trajectory prediction of seagoing ships in dynamic traffic scenes via a gated spatio-temporal graph aggregation network. *Ocean Engineering*, 287:115886, 2023b.
- Yatao Zhang, Yi Wang, Song Gao, and Martin Raubal. Context-aware knowledge graph framework for traffic speed forecasting using graph neural network. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2024b.
- Yudong Zhang, Pengkun Wang, Binwu Wang, Xu Wang, Zhe Zhao, Zhengyang Zhou, Lei Bai, and Yang Wang. Adaptive and interactive multi-level spatio-temporal network for traffic forecasting. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2024c.
- Zhengchao Zhang, Meng Li, Xi Lin, Yinhai Wang, and Fang He. Multistep speed prediction on traffic networks: A deep learning approach considering spatio-temporal dependencies. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 105: 297–322, 2019.
- Ziwei Zhang, Peng Cui, and Wenwu Zhu. Deep learning on graphs: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 34(1):249–270, 2020.
- Jiahui Zhao, Pan Liu, and Zhibin Li. Exploring the impact of trip patterns on spatially aggregated crashes using floating vehicle trajectory data and graph convolutional networks. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 194:107340, 2024.
- Chuanpan Zheng, Xiaoliang Fan, Cheng Wang, and Jianzhong Qi. GMAN: A graph multi-attention network for traffic prediction. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 1234–1241, 2020.
- Donghao Zhou, Peng Hang, and Jian Sun. Reasoning graph-based reinforcement learning to cooperate mixed connected and autonomous traffic at unsignalized intersections. Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 167:104807, 2024.
- Jie Zhou, Ganqu Cui, Shengding Hu, Zhengyan Zhang, Cheng Yang, Zhiyuan Liu, Lifeng Wang, Changcheng Li, and Maosong Sun. Graph neural networks: A review of methods and applications. *AI Open*, 1:57–81, 2020.
- Jiawei Zhu, Xing Han, Hanhan Deng, Chao Tao, Ling Zhao, Pu Wang, Tao Lin, and Haifeng Li. KST-GCN: A knowledge-driven spatial-temporal graph convolutional network for traffic forecasting. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 23(9):15055-15065, 2022.
- Lyuyi Zhu, Kairui Feng, Ziyuan Pu, and Wei Ma. Adversarial diffusion attacks on graph-based traffic prediction models. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 2023.
- Linmu Zou, Zijia Wang, and Rui Guo. Real-time prediction of transit origin—destination flows during underground incidents.

 Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies, 163:104622, 2024.