

**LAB REPORT: CODING AES WITHOUT OTHER CRYPTOGRAPHIC EXTERNAL LIBRARIES**

<b>Instructor</b>	<b>Đỗ Thị Phương Uyên</b>	
<b>Student 1:</b>	Tào Minh Đức	23520315
<b>Student 2:</b>	Mai Nguyễn Phúc Minh	23520930

**LAB 2 REPORT'S TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>1. Hardware resource.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2. Input testcase .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3. AES (Windows System) .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>4. AES (Linux System).....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>4</b>

## 1. Hardware resource

<b>Device:</b>	Lenovo Gaming Legion 5 15IAH7H
<b>Chip:</b>	Intel Core i5 12500H <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Cores: 12</li><li>- P-core: 4</li><li>- E-core: 8</li><li>- Logical processor: 16</li></ul>
<b>Ram &amp; Memory:</b>	DDR5-4800 – 16GB (RAM) 512 GB SSD x2
<b>Operating Systems:</b>	Window 11 Ubuntu

## 2. Input testcase

- Making a executed program to automatically generate a random input with 6 different testcase:
  - 1 KB input
  - 7 KB input
  - 10 KB input
  - 17 KB input
  - 100 KB input
  - 1 MB input
- **Note:** These testcase are generated randomly based on the program **makingtextcase.exe**

## 3. AES (Windows System)

- **Key using throughout all files:** 2352031523520930
- **IV (Initialized Vector) using throughout all files:** MinhDucPhucMinh
- **Mode:** CBC
- **Abbreviations:** TT (Total Time), AT (Average Time)
- **Time counter:** Mili second (ms)
- **Execution Time (average of 10000 times execution):**

-

	1KB	7KB	10KB	17KB	100KB	1MB
<b>Encrytion</b>	TT: 12924 AT: 1.2924	TT: 117564 AT: 11.7564	TT: 166372 AT: 16.6372	TT: 314123 AT: 31.4123	TT: 1552400 AT: 155.24	TT: 14349968 AT: 1434.9968
<b>Decryption</b>	TT: 30818 AT: 1.0818	TT: 125313 AT: 12.5313	TT: 196376 AT: 19.6376	TT: 286180 AT: 28.618	TT: 1522924 AT: 152.2924	TT: 16326545 AT: 1632.6545

#### 4. AES (Linux System)

- **Key using throughout all files:** 2352031523520930
- **IV (Initialized Vector) using throughout all files:** MinhDucPhucMinh
- **Mode:** CBC
- **Abbreviations:** TT (Total Time), AT (Average Time)
- **Time counter:** Mili second (ms)
- **Execution Time (average of 10000 times execution):**

	1KB	7KB	10KB	17KB	100KB	1MB
<b>Encrytion</b>	TT: 102463 AT: 10.2463	TT: 28.8974 AT: 28.8974	TT: 389977 AT: 38.9977	TT: 665899 AT: 66.5899	TT: 3486754 AT: 348.6754	TT: 35588678 AT: 3558.8678
<b>Decryption</b>	TT: 39984 AT: 3.9984	TT: 240084 AT: 24.0084	TT: 346474 AT: 34.6474	TT: 605112 AT: 60.5112	TT: 3448779 AT: 344.8779	TT: 35333679 AT: 3533.3679

## 5. Conclusion

- We observed that the execution time on both Linux and Windows is significantly higher compared to Lab 1, where external libraries were allowed to optimize AES algorithm efficiency.
  - Although Linux is theoretically expected to have better execution times than Windows, our implementation showed slower performance on Linux, as reflected in the code and results.
  - A factor contributing to the slower performance of our code is vector initialization. Since the size is fixed in the algorithm, using an array instead of a vector would be more efficient.
- ⇒ In conclusion, this lab report summarizes the work we have done and demonstrates that our code is not the most efficient implementation of the AES algorithm, due to the use of inappropriate data structures and nested loops that unintentionally increased execution time.