

# Project 1

Minh Le

April 12, 2018

## 1 Effective Sample Size

### 1.1 Computing $\theta$

In this section, we examine the effectiveness of picking different  $g(x)$  to compute  $\theta = \int \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \pi(x, y) dx dy$

- For  $\hat{\theta}_1$ , we will draw from  $\pi(x, y)$  directly.
- $\hat{\theta}_2$  will be drawn from  $g(x, y)$  a bivariate gaussian with parameters  $\mu = (0, 0)$  and  $\sigma_0 = 1$  We assume independence between  $x$  and  $y$ .
- $\hat{\theta}_3$  will be drawn from  $g(x, y)$  a bivariate gaussian with parameters  $\mu = (0, 0)$  and  $\sigma_0 = 1$  We assume independence between  $x$  and  $y$ .

Comparing between  $\hat{\theta}_2$  and  $\hat{\theta}_3$ , I believe that  $\hat{\theta}_3$  will converge more quickly to  $\theta$ . This is because both models are drawn from a distribution whose mean is not the true mean  $\mu = (2, 2)$  meaning the masses of  $g$  and  $\pi$  are not distributed in the same points. It is more likely for us to draw samples close to this the mass of  $\pi$  from  $g_3$  because of the larger standard deviation.

We clearly see this when plotting the estimated *theta* for different  $g$  distributions. We see that  $\hat{\theta}_3$  converges to  $\hat{\theta}_1$  baseline faster than  $\hat{\theta}_2$  as  $n$  increases.

### 1.2 effective samples size

ntes