

UBISOFT : "SI LES JOUEURS N'ACHETAIENT PAS LES LOOTBOXES, ELLES DISPARAÎTRAIENT"



Dernièrement, Ubisoft a fait savoir que son prochain Trials Rising, nouvel épisode de la célèbre et chouette licence de plateforme, embarquerait bien des mécaniques de loot boxes. Comme la mode le dicte depuis quelques temps déjà, il s'agira uniquement d'items cosmétiques et ceux-ci seront donc bien disponibles moyennant paiement : une information qui n'a pas été particulièrement bien reçue par la communauté qui s'est empressée de faire valoir son mécontentement sur les forums

Steam. Depuis un **topic dédié**, le représentant d'Ubisoft Ubi_Warlock s'est justement exprimé sur ce choix de game design décrié, tentant de justifier les actions de sa firme.

" Certains joueurs finissent par dépenser plus pour nos jeux que pour d'autres, ce qui se traduit par une augmentation de nos profits. Cela nous aide également à investir davantage dans de nouveaux titres et à comprendre ce que les joueurs recherchent dans leurs jeux. S'ils n'achetaient tout simplement pas ces loot boxes, elles ne seraient plus intégrées à l'avenir. "

Des mots crus qui ne tacheront pas de soulever certaines foules. L'homme continue :

" Dans l'ensemble, les loot boxes ont été un atout majeur pour l'industrie du jeu vidéo et un facteur déterminant dans sa popularité au cours des dix dernières années. Elles ne sont pas une mauvaise chose si elles sont bien faites. "

À l'heure où ces composantes deviennent de plus en plus contrôlées, notamment en Belgique où elles s'avèrent tout simplement interdites car venant briser **les lois de jeux d'argent établies**, que pensez-vous de ces déclarations ? Cédez-vous encore aux loot boxes de nos jours ?

ESRB Says It Doesn't See 'Loot Boxes' As Gambling

By Jason Schreier10/11/17 12:46PM | Comments (340)

Over the past few weeks, as randomized loot boxes have dominated the conversation surrounding this fall's video games, there have been calls for the Entertainment Software Rating Board (ESRB) to classify them as gambling in its back-of-the-box ratings. But the ESRB says that's not going to happen—because according to a spokesperson, loot boxes don't fit the bill.

“ESRB does not consider loot boxes to be gambling,” said an ESRB spokesperson in an e-mail to *Kotaku*. “While there's an element of chance in these mechanics, the player is *always* guaranteed to receive in-game content (even if the player unfortunately receives something they don't want). We think of it as a similar principle to collectible card games: Sometimes you'll open a pack and get a brand new holographic card you've had your eye on for a while. But other times you'll end up with a pack of cards you already have.”

The ESRB, which rates the majority of video games that are sold and published in North America with both letter grades (M for Mature, E for Everyone) and content descriptors (Blood and Gore, Nudity), has categories for both Real Gambling and Simulated Gambling. According to the ESRB's criteria, “Real Gambling” is any sort of wagering involving real cash, while “Simulated Gambling” means that the “player can gamble without betting or wagering real cash or currency.” The spokesperson added that any game with real gambling will always receive an “Adults Only” rating, which would be poisonous for big publishers, as most big-box retailers will not sell A-O games in their stores.

The ESRB's argument may not sit well with those who believe that loot boxes are designed in the same predatory fashion as slot machines or craps tables. Many of this fall's games, including *Shadow of War*, *Destiny 2*, and the upcoming *Star Wars Battlefront II*, feature systems in which you can spend real money to get randomized gear in the form of loot boxes. The addition of these microtransactions in \$60 games is always a sore subject, made far worse when they have an impact on your character's strength and abilities, as they do in these games. (*Battlefront II* is not out yet, but in our own extensive experience, loot boxes in both *Shadow of War* and *Destiny 2* are easy to ignore, although their existence lingers.)

The resulting outrage led pundits like John “Totalbiscuit” Bain to ask the ESRB to classify loot boxes as gambling, although that appears to be a non-starter.

The ESRB spokesperson also pointed out that the board does add the “Digital Purchases” category to any digital games in which you can buy additional content. [Correction (3:58pm): This post originally stated that “Digital Purchases” applied to every game, but it in fact will only appear on digital games.]