

Econ 210C
Spring 2015
Midterm
5/4/2014

Name (Print): _____

This exam contains 12 pages (including this cover page) and 4 problems. Check to see if any pages are missing. Enter all requested information on the top of this page.

You may *not* use your books, notes, or any calculator on this exam.

You are required to show your work on each problem on this exam.

Problem	Points	Score
1	12	
2	24	
3	46	
4	18	
Total:	100	

Readings (12 points)

1. Briefly (in approx. two sentences) comment on the following statements/questions.
 - (a) (4 points) Cogley and Nason (1995) argue that the canonical RBC model cannot match two empirical facts. Name **one** of these facts.
 - (b) (4 points) What are the shocks that Greenwood, Hercowitz and Huffman (1988) emphasize in their paper?
 - (c) (4 points) What level of returns to scale do Basu and Fernald (1997) estimate at the 2-digit industry level: strongly increasing, slightly increasing, constant, slightly decreasing or strongly decreasing?

Can Tax Shocks Drive the Business Cycle? (88 points)

2. Consider the canonical RBC model with labor taxes τ_t (notation is as in our class): A consumer maximizes

$$\begin{aligned} \max \sum_t \beta^t \left(\ln C_t - \frac{(L_t^s)^{1+1/\eta}}{1+1/\eta} \right) \\ \text{s.t. } A_t + C_t = (1 + R_t)A_{t-1} + W_t L_t^s + \pi_t + T_t \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\prod_{s=1}^t (1 + R_s) \right)^{-1} A_t = 0 \end{aligned}$$

taking prices as given.

A representative firm maximizes profits **given labor tax** $\tau_t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \max \sum_t \left(\prod_{s=1}^t (1 + R_s) \right)^{-1} [Y_t - (1 + \tau_t)W_t L_t^d - I_t] \\ \text{s.t. } Y_t = K_{t-1}^\alpha (L_t^d)^{1-\alpha} \\ K_t = (1 - \delta)K_{t-1} + I_t. \end{aligned}$$

taking prices as given.

The market clearing conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} L_t^s &= L_t^d = L_t \\ K_t &= A_t \\ Y_t &= C_t + I_t \\ T_t &= \tau_t W_t L_t \end{aligned}$$

So tax revenues are rebated lump-sum to households.

Standard parameter values apply:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \alpha < 1 \\ 0 &< \beta < 1 \\ 0 &< \delta < 1 \\ \eta &> 0 \\ \bar{\tau} &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\tau}$ is the steady-state level of taxes.

Question continues on next page.

- (a) (12 points) Set up the Lagrangian and derive the first order conditions for the household w.r.t. C_t, L_t^s, A_t . Use λ_t as the Lagrange multiplier on the budget constraint. Briefly interpret each equation (one sentence each).

- (b) (12 points) Set up the Lagrangian and derive the first order conditions for the firm w.r.t I_t, L_t^d, K_t . (Substitute for Y_t first.) Use q_t as the Lagrange multiplier on capital accumulation. Briefly interpret each equation (one sentence each).

3. The log-linearized equations of the RBC model in question 2 give rise to the following dynamic equations:

$$\Delta \check{K}_t = -\frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{K}} \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha+1/\eta} \right) \frac{\bar{\tau}}{1+\bar{\tau}} \check{\tau}_t + \left(\frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{\alpha+1/\eta} \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{K}} + \beta^{-1} - 1 \right) \check{K}_{t-1} + \left(\frac{\bar{C}}{\bar{K}} + \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{K}} \frac{(1-\alpha)}{\alpha+1/\eta} \right) \check{\lambda}_t$$

$$\Delta \check{\lambda}_{t+1} = -\frac{\alpha \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{K}}}{\alpha \frac{\bar{Y}}{\bar{K}} + 1 - \delta} \left[-\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1/\eta + \alpha} \right) \frac{\bar{\tau}}{1+\bar{\tau}} \check{\tau}_{t+1} + (1-\alpha) \left(\frac{\alpha}{1/\eta + \alpha} - 1 \right) \check{K}_t + \frac{1-\alpha}{1/\eta + \alpha} \check{\lambda}_{t+1} \right]$$

- (a) (10 points) Plot the dynamics of this system in a phase diagram as we did in class. I.e., plot the $\Delta K = 0$ and $\Delta \lambda = 0$ locus (K_t on x-axis, λ_t on y-axis). **Include all of the following:** axis labels, curve labels, steady-state (labeled), stable arm (labeled), and arrows showing the dynamics in each quadrant.

- (b) (21 points) Suppose that labor taxes τ_t rise permanently to a higher level.

Using the phase diagram in the (λ, K) space as well as the labor market diagram, plot the response of the economy to the shock. **Label all curves, axis and points.** Summarize the impact, transition, and steady-state responses for all variables in the table below (like we did in class) **and explain the intuition behind the response.**

Make the following assumptions when shifting the $\Delta K = 0$ and $\Delta \lambda = 0$ locus:

1. The new steady-state lies **above and to the left** of the old steady-state.
2. The new stable arm (saddle path) lies **above** the old stable arm (saddle path).

Table 1: RBC model response to a permanent labor tax shock.

	Impact $t = t_0$	Transition $t \in (t_0, \infty)$	Steady State $t \rightarrow \infty$
λ			
K			
C			
L			
Y			
I			
W			
R			

- (c) (5 points) Are the impact responses ($t = t_0$) you derived consistent with the co-movement of output, labor, consumption and investment over the business cycle? **Explain your answer.** What does that tell you about the plausibility of attributing the business cycle to tax shocks?

- (d) (10 points) List **two** dimensions on which the standard RBC model with technology shocks did not perform well quantitatively. **Briefly**, discuss whether the RBC model with tax shocks would perform better on these dimensions. (\approx 1 paragraph per dimension.)

4. Some economists attribute the 2007-2009 recession at least in part to an *anticipation* of higher labor taxes following the election of President Obama.
- (a) (10 points) Redraw your phase diagram from part 3(a). Now suppose that economic agents expect a permanently higher level of labor taxes τ_t after t_1 periods from now ($t_0 = 0$). At t_1 these expectations turn out to be correct.

Using the phase diagram in the (λ, K) space (**no need for labor market diagrams here!**), plot the response of the economy to the shock. **Label all curves, axis and points.** Summarize the impact, transition (before and after t_1), inflection, and steady-state responses for all variables in the table below (like we did in class).

Make the following assumptions when shifting the $\Delta K = 0$ and $\Delta \lambda = 0$ locus for $t \geq t_1$:

1. The new steady-state lies **above and to the left** of the old steady-state.
2. The new stable arm (saddle path) lies **above** the old stable arm (saddle path).

Table 2: RBC model response to news of higher labor taxes.

	Impact $t = t_0$	Transition I $t \in (t_0, t_1)$	Inflection $t = t_1$	Transition II $t \in (t_1, \infty)$	Steady State $t \rightarrow \infty$
λ					
K					

- (b) (4 points) Draw the labor market diagram for t_0 (i.e., when news of higher taxes arrives). Explain **intuitively** why it is different to your answer in part 3(b).

- (c) (4 points) Based on part 4(b) determine the output response on impact. According to this model, are *news* of higher future labor taxes a plausible source of recessions?