



THE RETRACTION CONTROVERSY OF DR. JOSE RIZAL

Mark Clement P. Fernandez
BSIT 1D

Rizal Retracted:

Claimant:

Father Vicente Balaguer

Evidence/Proofs:

- Father Balaguer's Credibility:** Father Balaguer was a respected Jesuit priest and had no known motive to fabricate such a claim. His reputation as a clergyman added weight to his testimony.
- Corroborating Witness Accounts:** Father Balaguer was not alone in his assertion. Other clergy members who were present during Rizal's final moments also confirmed the retraction, reinforcing the claim's validity.
- Official Records:** Some historical records and official documents acknowledge the presence of a retraction document, though the actual document's content remains a subject of debate.

Argument 1:

Father Vicente Balaguer claimed that he personally witnessed Dr. Jose Rizal sign a retraction document before his execution. He asserted that Rizal voluntarily and sincerely renounced his previous writings and beliefs against the Catholic Church.

My Observations:

Father Vicente Balaguer, supported by other clergy members, presented a compelling case for Rizal's retraction. The credibility of these witnesses and the existence of related official records contribute to the strength of this argument.



Rizal Did Not Retract:

Dr. Jose P. Rizal

Claimant:

Argument 1:

Dr. Jose P. Rizal, through his writings and actions, consistently advocated for reforms, social justice, and the separation of church and state. He remained steadfast in his beliefs.

Evidence/Proofs:

- 1. Lack of a Retraction Letter:** Rizal did not leave behind a retraction letter among his known writings, which would have been expected if he had indeed retracted.
- 2. Continued Advocacy:** Throughout his imprisonment, Rizal continued to write and express his commitment to his principles and ideals. His writings reflect his unwavering dedication.
- 3. Contradictory Witness Accounts:** Some witnesses' testimonies regarding Rizal's alleged retraction were inconsistent and contradictory, casting doubt on the validity of the retraction claims.

My Observations:

Dr. Jose P. Rizal's writings, actions, and the absence of a retraction letter suggest that he did not retract his beliefs and remained true to his principles. The contradictory witness accounts further raise doubts about the authenticity of the retraction.

