Instacart Market Analysis

Group 10:

Tiantian Zhang, Shengshi Yuan, Fan Zhang, Xinyi Tan, Siyu Shen

Introduction

- Instacart is a growing e-commerce company that provides grocery delivery and pick-up services.
- With the massive amount of customer data, the goal of this project is to predict which products the users will reorder next, so that the company can further boost revenue.
- In this project, we examined different predictive models and optimize the performance of our final model.



Photo Source: https://www.supermarketnews.com/online-retail/instacart-sees-2020-year-grocery-pickup



Dataset

• The dataset from the Kaggle competition [1] is a relational set of files describing customers' orders over time.



Table 1. Dataset Descriptions



Dataset

- The anonymized dataset includes over 3 million grocery orders samples from more than 200,000 users. For each user, between 4 and 100 of their orders are given, with the sequence of products purchased in each order.
- Prior dataset gives the past behaviors of a user, while the train and test dataset give the future behaviors that we would like to predict.



Figure 1. Train / Test Split



Methods - Feature Engineering

User Features

- 1. # User orders in the prior dataset
- 2. Sum of days since prior order
- 3. Avg. days since prior order
- 4. # Reorders / # purchases after the first order
- 5. # Items that the user has purchased
- 6. # Distinct products that the user has purchased
- 7. Avg. number of items per order

Item Features

- 1. #Tmes the user purchased the item
- 2. #Times the item has been purchased
- 3. #Times the item has been reordered
- 4. # Unique users have purchased this item
- Proportion of users who have purchased this item have reordered this product
- 6. Percentage of all sold items that are reordered
- Avg. number of orders of users who have purchased this product

User x Item Features

- 1. # Times users purchased the item
- The order number at which the user first purchased the item
- The order number at which the user last purchased the item
- 4. Avg. position in the cart
- 5. # Purchases of this product / Total orders
- 6. User total order user last order number
- 7. # Times the product was purchased / # orders from the first purchase to the last purchase of the product

Method - Modeling

1. Baseline: Popularity-based bias model [4]

Basically we model each interaction R[u,i] as a combination of global, item, and user bias.

- Global bias

$$\mu = \frac{\sum_{u,i} R[u, i]}{|R| + \beta_q}$$

where |R| is the number of all purchasing counts records and β is the damping value

- Item bias

$$b[i] = \frac{\sum_{u} R[u, i] - \mu}{|R[:, i]| - \beta_i}$$

where |R[:, i]| is the number of purchasing counts records associated with item i

- User bias

$$b[u] = \frac{\sum_{i} R[u, i] - \mu - b[i]}{|R[u, :]| - \beta_{u}}$$

where |R[u, :]| is the number of purchasing counts records associated with user u

- R[u,i] is the probability that the (user_id, product_id) pair is reordered.
- F1-score: 0.1736



Method - Modeling

2. XGBoost

XGBoost is an optimized distributed gradient boosting library designed to be highly efficient,
 flexible and portable.

• Training:

- Prepare a train data frame that merge the future orders (train & test) with prior orders (prior).
- Generate a label "Reordered" for each (user_id, product_id) pairs to indicate whether the pair was reordered or not (1/0).
- Train xgboost for binary classification.
- Best hyperparameter combination:
 - \(\(\)\{\'\)eta': 0.1, ''\colsample_bytree': 1, 'gamma': 1, 'max_depth': 15, 'min_child_weight': 10, 'subsample': 0.76, 'alpha': 2e-05, 'scale_pos_weight': 10, 'lambda': 10\}
 - F1 score: 0.3778



Method - Modeling

3. LightGBM

- LightGBM is a gradient boosting framework that uses tree based learning algorithms.
 - Faster training speed and higher efficiency.
 - Lower memory usage.
 - Better accuracy.
 - Support of parallel, distributed, and GPU learning.
 - Capable of handling large-scale data.
- The training procedure is the same as XGBoost.
- Best hyperparameter combination:

 - F1 score: 0.2976



Method - Evaluation

 In this project, we adopted F1 Score (1), the harmonic mean of precision and recall, as our evaluation metric.

$$F_1 = 2 \cdot rac{1}{rac{1}{ ext{recall}} + rac{1}{ ext{precision}}} = 2 \cdot rac{ ext{precision} \cdot ext{recall}}{ ext{precision} + ext{recall}}$$

$$\frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{Actual Results}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Positive}}$$

(2)

Recall =
$$\frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{Predicted Results}}$$
 or $\frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Negative}}$

- To calculate F1 Score, we converted the predicted probabilities into binary labels using a threshold value.
- We used the grid search method to find the optimal threshold.



Runtime Before Optimization

Feature Engineering

- Data loading: 7.613 secs
- Product features engineering: 60.344 secs
- User features engineering: 37.403 secs
- User Product features engineering: 43.979 secs

Modeling - Baseline

1865 secs (~ 30 min)

Modeling - XGBoost

- Training: 26 secs
- Hyper param tuning: 10829.683 secs (~ 3 hrs)

Modeling - LightGBM

- Training: 5.19 secs
- Hyper param tuning: 4433.241 secs (~ 1.2 hrs)

Method - Optimization

In this project, we explored a range of performance optimization techniques covered in class.

Feature Engineering Optimization

- Multiprocessing Pool
- Reduce dataframe memory usage
- Vectorization
- Indexing before merging or joining dataframes

XGboost Optimization

Reduce dataframe memory usage

Memory usage of properties dataframe is: 1010.7310791015625 MB

___MEMORY USAGE AFTER COMPLETION:___ Memory usage is: 315.8535461425781 MB This is 31.25000830323135 % of the initial size

- *n_jobs*, *nthread* parameter
- Halving grid search
- MPI for hyperparameter tuning



Feature Engineering Optimization

Multiprocessing Pool

```
cpu_cnt = os.cpu_count()
with Pool(cpu_cnt) as p:
    pos = p.map(merge_mul_partial, np.array_split(df_right, cpu_cnt))
prior orders = pd.concat(list(pos))
prior_orders[po_col] = prior_orders.groupby(['user_id', 'product_id']).cumcount() + 1
```

Reduce dataframe memory usage:
 Converting columns to data types with smaller memory usage

```
prod[['product_id', col_2nd]] = prod[['product_id', col_2nd]].apply(np.uint16)
prod[[col_tot, col_1st]] = prod[[col_tot, col_1st]].apply(np.uint32)
prod[prod.select_dtypes(np.float64).columns] = prod.select_dtypes(np.float64).astype(np.float16)
```

Original memory usage of newly generated product features is 3.032 MBs. Optimized memory usage of newly generated product features is 0.948 MBs.

 Indexing before merging or joining dataframes

Vectorization

```
d, p = data_opt.set_index('product_id'), prod_opt.set_index('product_id')
u = users_opt.set_index('user_id')
data opt = d.join(p, how = 'inner').reset index().set index('user_id').join(u, how = 'inner').reset index()
```

Hyperparameter Tuning Optimization - (XGBoost)

Halving GridSearch

MPI

- **sklearn.model_selection**.HalvingGridSe archCV
- 1039.029 seconds
- $^{\sim}$ 17.31 minutes
- ~ 10x faster than GridSearchCV

Best parameters found: {'colsample bytree': 1, 'gamma': 1, 'max depth': 15, 'subsample': 0.76, 'n estimators': 9} Best f1: 0.29135886284374374

Hyperparamter tuning spent 1039.02920794487 seconds

- Itertools.product
- 1593.375 secs
- [~] 26 min
- ~ 7x faster than GridSearchCV
- CONS: require large RAM

```
comm = MPI.COMM WORLD
rank = comm.Get rank()
N = comm.Get size()
# Hyperparamter sets
colsample_bytree = [0.95, 1]
subsample = [0.76, 0.8]
\max depth = [10, 15]
gamma = [0.1, 1]
params = [colsample bytree, subsample, max depth, gamma]
params grids = list(itertools.product(*params))
n params = len(params grids)
n param rank = n params // N
```

Optimization Results

Before optimization ~ 4.8 hrs

- Feature engineering: 149.339 secs
 - Data loading: 7.613 secs, 1214.783 MBs
 - o Product feature engineering: 60.344 secs, 3.032 MBs
 - User feature engineering: 37.403 secs, 18.879 MBs
 - User Product feature engineering: 43.979 secs,
 1307.22 MBs
- **Modeling:** 17,158.431 secs
 - Baseline: 1865 secs (~ 30 mins)
 - XGBoost:
 - Training: 26 secs
 - Hyperparameter tuning: 10829.683 secs (~ 3 hrs)
 - LightGBM:
 - Training: 5.19 secs
 - Hyperparameter tuning: 4433.241 secs (~ 1.2 hrs)

After Optimization ~ 1 hr

- **Feature engineering**: 108.77 secs
 - Data loading: 6.45 secs, 303.696 MBs
 - o Product feature engineering: 46.905 secs, 0.948 MBs
 - O User feature engineering: 27.361 secs, 5.113 MBs
 - User Product feature engineering: 27.361 secs, 5.113
 MBs
- **Modeling:** 3,426.818 secs
 - Baseline: 1865 secs
 - XGBoost:
 - Training: 53 secs
 - Hyperparameter tuning: 1039.02 secs ($^{\circ}$ 17 mins)
 - LightGBM:
 - Training: 5.248 secs
 - Hyperparameter tuning: 464.55 secs (~ 7 mins)

Conclusion and Future Work

- Both XGBoost and LightGBM achieved significantly better result than the popularity baseline.
- XGBoost is the best model based on F1 score while LightGBM requires less training time at the cost of accuracy.
- Using optimization techniques, the total runtime has decreased by 3.8 hrs.
- The runtime could be further improved by having more computing resources

Q & A

References

- 1] <u>https://www.kaggle.com/c/instacart-market-basket-an</u> alysis/overview
- [2] https://towardsdatascience.com/10-tips-tricks-for-wor-king-with-large-datasets-in-machine-learning-706
 5f1d6a802
- [3] https://tech.instacart.com/3-million-instacart-orders-o
 pen-sourced-d40d29ead6f2
- [4] https://newclasses.nyu.edu/access/content/group/8b ce5f3c-c1f9-48a9-9f2a-7abb59e6b9a5/Slides/W eek%2010.1%20Recommender%20systems.pdf
- [5] https://arxiv.org/pdf/1301.3781.pdf
- [6] https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/dbm.2012.17
- [7] <u>https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/generated/skle</u> <u>arn.model_selection.HalvingGridSearchCV.html</u>

