

Assignment 3 Problem 2

In this question, we want to add support for an operation `ithSuccessor` on AVL trees (in addition to the standard operations `insert`, `delete`, `find`). The operation `ithSuccessor` has two parameters, x and $i \geq 0$, and returns the i th inorder successor of the node x . If $i = 0$, then the node x itself is returned. You may assume that all input is valid; i.e. the successor exists (but may not be in the subtree rooted at x).

We assume that the nodes have the following fields:

- `key` – the key of the node;
- `left` – pointer to the left child;
- `right` – pointer to the right child;
- `balance` – balance factor of the node;
- `parent` – pointer to the parent of the node;
- `isLeft` – is true if the node is a left child of its parent;
- `isRight` – is true if the node is a right child of its parent;
- `numLeft` – holds the number of nodes in the left subtree of the node;
- `numRight` – holds the number of nodes in the right subtree of the node.

- a) Give an algorithm `ithNode(x, i)` which returns the i th inorder node in the subtree rooted at x . For example, suppose the subtree contains m nodes, when $i = 1$, the minimum element in the subtree is returned and when $i = m$ the maximum element in the subtree is returned. You may assume that the subtree has at least i elements. Your algorithm should take worst-case $O(\log(m))$ time. Briefly justify that your algorithm achieves this runtime.

The algorithm of `ithNode(x, i)` should do the following:

if $i = \text{numLeft} + 1$, then return x

else if the number of nodes in the left subtree of x is less than i , then recursively call `ithNode()` but with x 's right child and $i - \text{numLeft} - 1$ else if the number of nodes in the left subtree of x is greater than or equal to i , then recursively call `ithNode()` but with x 's left child and same i .

The pseudo-code should be the following:

`ithNode(x, i)`

 if ($i = \text{numLeft} + 1$) then return x

 else if ($i > \text{numLeft}$) then

```

        ithNode(x.right, i-x.numLeft-1)
    else if(i ≤ x.numLeft) then
        ithNode(x.left, i)

```

The recursion will terminate on the leaves, although sometimes it will terminate earlier, we consider the worst-case which means that `ithNode()` terminate on a leaf. Since the function runs on a AVL-tree, and from lecture, we know that the height h of a m -node AVL-tree is $\Theta(\log m)$, for each recursion, what we do is judge the i th inorder node is in the left or right subtree and then we move to the corresponding subtree which has a height 1 less. And the other operations are in the constant time, therefore, the algorithm has runtime in the worst-case $\Theta(\text{height}) = \Theta(\log m)$

- b) Give the algorithm for `ithSuccessor(x, i)` for an AVL tree with n nodes. Your algorithm should take worst-case $O(\log(n))$ time and must use `ithNode(x, i)` from above. Briefly justify that your algorithm achieves this runtime.

The `ithSuccessor(x,i)` should do the following:

We firstly judge whether i is less or equal to the number of nodes in the right subtree of x , if so, we directly call `ithNode(x.right, i)`, if not, we move upwards(towards the root) to the ancestors of x , until we find a node (say y) that x is in the left subtree of y , then we do the exactly same thing as we did for x , but this time we consider if $i = x.\text{right} + 1$, then return y , else if $i \leq x.\text{right} + 1 + y.\text{right}$, and call `ithNode(y.right, i-1-x.right)`.

The pseudo-code should be the following:

```

ithSuccessor(x, i)
    if(i ≤ x.numRight) then
        return ithNode(x.right, i)
    temp ← x.numRight
    y ← x
    while(true)
        if(y.isLeft)
            y = y.parent
            if(i ≤ temp + 1 + y.numRight) break
            temp = temp + 1 + y.numRight
        else
            y = y.parent
    if(i = temp + 1) return y
    else return ithNode(y.right, i - 1 - temp)

```

This algorithm is in $O(\log n)$, because what we do is find the ancestors of a node and calling `ithNode()` only once. And other statements in the algorithm are in constant

time, therefore, in the worst-case, if we starting from a deepest leaf, and find the ancestors to the root, then call `ithNode()` at root, we have the running time $\Theta(\text{height}) + \Theta(\log m)$ where m is the number of node in the right subtree of root. We know that height h is $\Theta(\log n)$ and m is less than n which implies $m \in O(n)$. Therefore, the running time for the algorithm should be $\Theta(\log n) + \Theta(\log O(n)) = O(\log n)$