

Problem 1

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space, and let $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear map. Show that, for any n -linear antisymmetric form $\beta(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ on n , we have

$$\beta(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n)) = \det(\phi)\beta(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

(This formalizes the following idea: any "unit of volume" on V , given by β , gets scaled by $\det(\phi)$ when we apply ϕ . In fact, this can be used as a definition of $\det(\phi)$: this way, some of its properties, such as independence of basis and multiplicativity become clear.)

Proof. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis of V . Then we can write

$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} e_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

for some scalars a_{ij} . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n)) &= \beta\left(\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} e_j\right), \dots, \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} e_j\right)\right) \\ &= \beta\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j} \phi(e_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj} \phi(e_j)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n=1}^n a_{1j_1} a_{2j_2} \cdots a_{nj_n} \beta(\phi(e_{j_1}), \phi(e_{j_2}), \dots, \phi(e_{j_n})) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \beta(\phi(e_{\sigma(1)}), \phi(e_{\sigma(2)}), \dots, \phi(e_{\sigma(n)})) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)} a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \beta(\phi(e_1), \phi(e_2), \dots, \phi(e_n)) \\ &= \det(A) \beta(\phi(e_1), \phi(e_2), \dots, \phi(e_n)), \end{aligned}$$

where $A = (a_{ij})$ is the matrix whose columns are the coordinates of v_i in the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Now, note that

$$\beta(\phi(e_1), \phi(e_2), \dots, \phi(e_n))$$

is an n -linear antisymmetric form evaluated at the basis vectors $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ after applying ϕ . By the definition of determinant, we have

$$\beta(\phi(e_1), \phi(e_2), \dots, \phi(e_n)) = \det(\phi)\beta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\beta(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n)) = \det(A) \det(\phi)\beta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n).$$

Finally, since β is multilinear, we have

$$\beta(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \det(A)\beta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n).$$

Combining these results, we obtain

$$\beta(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n)) = \det(\phi)\beta(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

□

Problem 2

Let V be the space of polynomials of degree at most n (over some field K ; if you want, you can assume $K = \mathbb{R}$). Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and consider the linear map

$$\phi : V \rightarrow V, \quad p(t) \mapsto p(at + b).$$

Compute $\det(\phi)$.

Proof. Let $\{1, t, t^2, \dots, t^n\}$ be the standard basis of V . Then we have

$$\phi(t^k) = (at + b)^k = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} a^j b^{k-j} t^j.$$

Thus, the matrix representation of ϕ with respect to this basis is given by

$$[\phi] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b^2 & \cdots & b^n \\ 0 & a & 2ab & \cdots & nab^{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & a^2 & \cdots & \binom{n}{2} a^2 b^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is an upper triangular matrix, and the determinant of an upper triangular matrix is the product of its diagonal entries. Therefore, we have

$$\det(\phi) = 1 \cdot a \cdot a^2 \cdot a^3 \cdots a^n = a^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$

Hence, the determinant of the linear map ϕ is

$$\det(\phi) = a^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$

□

Problem 3

Let M be an $n \times n$ matrix (over some field). Let V be the space of $n \times m$ matrices. Consider the linear map $m_M : V \rightarrow V$ given by left multiplication by M :

$$A \mapsto MA.$$

Find $\det(m_M)$. (Of course, the answer depends on M .)

Proof. Let $\{E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ be the standard basis of V , where E_{ij} is the matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) -th position and 0 elsewhere. Then we have

$$m_M(E_{ij}) = ME_{ij} = \text{the } j\text{-th column of } M \text{ placed in the } i\text{-th column of a zero matrix.}$$

Thus, the matrix representation of m_M with respect to this basis is given by a block matrix where each block corresponds to the action of M on the respective basis element. Specifically, the matrix representation of m_M can be viewed as an $nm \times nm$ matrix composed of m blocks of size $n \times n$, each block being the matrix M . Therefore, the matrix representation of m_M is given by

$$[m_M] = \begin{pmatrix} M & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & M & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & M & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & M \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is a block diagonal matrix with m blocks of M . The determinant of a block diagonal matrix is the product of the determinants of its blocks. Therefore, we have

$$\det(m_M) = (\det(M))^m.$$

Hence, the determinant of the linear map m_M is $\det(m_M) = (\det(M))^m$.

□

Problem 4

Let K be a field and V be a finite-dimensional vector space. Let

$$\gamma : V \times V \rightarrow K$$

be an antisymmetric bilinear form. Show that there exists $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and a basis

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2k}\}$$

of V such that

$$\gamma(a_i, b_i) = 1, \quad \gamma(b_i, a_i) = -1, \quad (i = 1, \dots, k),$$

and γ vanishes on all other pairs of basis vectors. (When $n = 2k$, this is called a symplectic basis.)

Proof. We proceed by induction on the dimension n of the vector space V .

Base Case: If $n = 0$, the statement is trivially true with $k = 0$ and an empty basis. If $n = 1$, since γ is antisymmetric, we have $\gamma(v, v) = 0$ for any $v \in V$. Thus, we can take $k = 0$ and any basis $\{c_1\}$ of V .

Inductive Step: Assume the statement holds for all vector spaces of dimension less than n . We consider two cases:

- i.) If γ is the zero form, then we can take $k = 0$ and any basis $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$ of V .
- ii.) If γ is not the zero form, there exist vectors $u, v \in V$ such that $\gamma(u, v) \neq 0$. We can scale u and v such that $\gamma(u, v) = 1$. Since $\gamma(u, v) \neq 0$, we have that u, v are linearly independent so by the building-up lemma we can extend $\{u, v\}$ to a basis of V , say $\{u, v, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}\}$. Consider the subspace $W = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}\}$. The restriction of γ to W is still an antisymmetric bilinear form. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a basis of W of the desired form with some $k' \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$. Adding u and v to this basis, we obtain a basis of V of the desired form with $k = k' + 1 \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Thus, by induction, the statement holds for all finite-dimensional vector spaces V over the field K . □

Problem 5

Consider $\det(A)$ as a multivariable function of the entries of a real matrix A . Compute the directional derivative of this function at the point $A = I$ in the direction of some matrix B . (Equivalently, find the linear approximation for $f(t) = \det(I + tB)$ at $t = 0$.)

Proof. We want to compute the directional derivative of the determinant function at the identity matrix I in the direction of a matrix B . The directional derivative can be expressed as

$$D_B \det(I) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\det(I + tB) - \det(I)}{t}.$$

Since $\det(I) = 1$, we have

$$D_B \det(I) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\det(I + tB) - 1}{t}.$$

To evaluate this limit, we can use the fact that for small t , the determinant can be approximated using the first-order term in its Taylor expansion. Specifically, we have

$$\det(I + tB) = 1 + t \text{tr}(B) + O(t^2),$$

where $\text{tr}(B)$ is the trace of the matrix B . Therefore, we have

$$D_B \det(I) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1 + t \text{tr}(B) + O(t^2)) - 1}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t \text{tr}(B) + O(t^2)}{t}.$$

Simplifying this expression, we get

$$D_B \det(I) = \text{tr}(B).$$

Thus, the directional derivative of the determinant function at the identity matrix in the direction of the matrix B is given by the trace of B :

$$D_B \det(I) = \text{tr}(B). □$$

Problem 6

Let A be a square $n \times n$ matrix whose characteristic polynomial has n roots in K , counting with multiplicity. Consider the Jordan form of A : suppose that it consists of blocks J_{λ_i, n_i} , where λ_i is the eigenvalue and n_i is the size of the block.

Express the following invariants of A in terms of n_i and λ_i : its characteristic polynomial, its minimal polynomial, the dimension of eigenspace for each λ (this is called "the geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue") and rank. (No explanation is required.)

- (a) **Characteristic Polynomial:** The characteristic polynomial of A is given by

$$p_A(x) = \prod_i (x - \lambda_i)^{n_i}.$$

- (b) **Minimal Polynomial:** The minimal polynomial of A is given by

$$m_A(x) = \prod_i (x - \lambda_i)^{m_i},$$

where m_i is the size of the largest Jordan block corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_i .

- (c) **Geometric Multiplicity:** The dimension of the eigenspace for each eigenvalue λ_i is equal to the number of Jordan blocks associated with λ_i . If there are k_i blocks for eigenvalue λ_i , then the geometric multiplicity is

$$\text{geom. mult.}(\lambda_i) = k_i.$$

- (d) **Rank:** The rank of the matrix A can be computed as

$$\text{rk}(A) = n - \sum_i (n_i - 1) = n - \left(\sum_i n_i - \text{number of blocks} \right).$$

Problem 7

Following up on the previous problem, let us go in the opposite direction: explain how to find λ_i and n_i from the data of $\text{rk}(A - \lambda I)^k$ for all $\lambda \in K$ and $k > 0$. In particular, this implies that the Jordan form is unique.

To find the eigenvalues λ_i from the data of $\text{rk}(A - \lambda I)^k$, we can use the fact that the eigenvalues of A are precisely the values of λ for which the matrix $A - \lambda I$ is not invertible. This occurs when $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$. Thus, by examining the ranks of $(A - \lambda I)^k$ for various λ , we can identify the eigenvalues as those values of λ for which the rank drops below n .

To determine the sizes of the Jordan blocks n_i , we can analyze the ranks of the powers of $(A - \lambda I)$. Specifically, for each eigenvalue λ_i , we consider the sequence of ranks:

$$\text{rk}(A - \lambda_i I), \text{rk}((A - \lambda_i I)^2), \text{rk}((A - \lambda_i I)^3), \dots$$

The size of the largest Jordan block corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_i can be determined by finding the smallest integer k such that

$$\text{rk}((A - \lambda_i I)^k) = \text{rk}((A - \lambda_i I)^{k+1}).$$

This integer k gives us the size of the largest Jordan block n_i for the eigenvalue λ_i . By repeating this process for each eigenvalue, we can reconstruct the entire Jordan form of the matrix A . This method also shows that the Jordan form is unique, as the sizes of the Jordan blocks are determined solely by the ranks of the powers of $(A - \lambda I)$.

To find the other sizes of Jordan blocks corresponding to the same eigenvalue λ_i , we can use the differences in ranks:

$$\text{number of blocks of size } \geq k = \text{rk}((A - \lambda_i I)^{k-1}) - \text{rk}((A - \lambda_i I)^k).$$