

Problem 1

Cayley-Hamilton Theorem: Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation on a finite-dimensional vector space V over a field \mathbb{F} , with the characteristic polynomial $p_T(x)$. Then, $p_T(T) = 0$.

- (a) Show that the theorem is true for $T_U : \mathbb{F}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ where

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hint: Apply $p_{T_U}(U)$ on the standard basis vectors e_1, \dots, e_n .

- (b) Show that the theorem is true if there exists a "Flag" in V invariant under T , i.e., a sequence of subspaces

$$\{0_V\} \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V,$$

such that $\dim(V_i) = i$ and $T(V_i) \subset V_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. *Hint:* Take a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of V with $\mathcal{B}_i = \{v_1, \dots, v_i\}$ a basis of V_i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and consider $[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = U$.

- (c) Show by induction on $\dim V = n$ that such a flag exists for any linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. Now assume the theorem holds for any linear transformation on a vector space of dimension less than n . Complete the induction step in the following way:

1. Show that $p_T(x)$ has a root λ_1 and an associated eigenvector v_1 . Construct the subspace $V_1 = \text{span}\{v_1\}$ and note that it is T -invariant.
2. consider the induced linear transformation $\bar{T} : V/V_1 \rightarrow V/V_1$ and note that $\dim(V/V_1) = n - 1$. By the induction assumption, there is a flag of \bar{T} -invariant subspaces

$$\{0_{V/V_1}\} \subset \bar{V}_2 \subset \bar{V}_3 \subset \dots \subset \bar{V}_n = V/V_1.$$

Recall, there is a canonical projection $\pi : V \rightarrow V/V_1$ and for any subset $S \subset V/V_1$, we have $\pi^{-1}(S) = \{v \in V : \pi(v) \in S\}$. Show that the sequence

$$\{0_V\} \subset V_1 \subset \pi^{-1}(\bar{V}_2) \subset \pi^{-1}(\bar{V}_3) \subset \dots \subset \pi^{-1}(\bar{V}_n) = V$$

is a T -invariant flag in V .

- (a) *Proof.* Let $p_{T_U}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \lambda_i)$. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n be the standard basis vectors of \mathbb{F}^n . We will show that $p_{T_U}(U)(e_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. We proceed by induction on i .

Base Case: For $i = 1$, we have

$$p_{T_U}(U)(e_1) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (U - \lambda_j I) \right) (e_1).$$

Note that $(U - \lambda_1 I)(e_1) = 0$ since $U(e_1) = \lambda_1 e_1$. Therefore, $p_{T_U}(U)(e_1) = 0$.

Inductive Step: Assume that $p_{T_U}(U)(e_k) = 0$ for all $1 \leq k < i$. We need to show that $p_{T_U}(U)(e_i) = 0$. We have

$$p_{T_U}(U)(e_i) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^n (U - \lambda_j I) \right) (e_i).$$

Note that $(U - \lambda_i I)(e_i) = 0$ since $U(e_i) = \lambda_i e_i + (\text{linear combination of } e_1, \dots, e_{i-1})$. By the inductive hypothesis, applying the remaining factors $(U - \lambda_j I)$ for $j < i$ to this linear combination will yield zero. Thus, $p_{T_U}(U)(e_i) = 0$.

Therefore by induction, $p_{T_U}(U)(e_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since the e_i form a basis of \mathbb{F}^n , it follows that $p_{T_U}(U) = 0$. \square

- (b) *Proof.* Let $\mathcal{B} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis of V such that $\mathcal{B}_i = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i\}$ is a basis of V_i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since

$T(V_i) \subset V_i$, the matrix representation of T with respect to the basis \mathcal{B} is upper triangular:

$$[T]_{\mathcal{B}} = U = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

By part (a), we know that $p_T(T) = p_{T_U}(U) = 0$. Therefore, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem holds for T . \square

(c) *Proof.* We proceed by induction on $n = \dim V$.

Base Case: For $n = 1$, any linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$ trivially has a flag:

$$\{0_V\} \subset V.$$

Inductive Step: Assume that for any vector space W with $\dim W < n$, any linear transformation $S : W \rightarrow W$ has a S -invariant flag. We need to show that any linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V$ with $\dim V = n$ also has a T -invariant flag.

1. Since $p_T(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n over the field \mathbb{F} , it has at least one root λ_1 . Let v_1 be an associated eigenvector, i.e., $T(v_1) = \lambda_1 v_1$. Define the subspace $V_1 = \text{span}\{v_1\}$. Note that V_1 is T -invariant since for any $c \in \mathbb{F}$,

$$T(cv_1) = cT(v_1) = c\lambda_1 v_1 \in V_1.$$

2. Consider the induced linear transformation $\bar{T} : V/V_1 \rightarrow V/V_1$ defined by

$$\bar{T}(v + V_1) = T(v) + V_1.$$

Note that $\dim(V/V_1) = n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a flag of \bar{T} -invariant subspaces:

$$\{0_{V/V_1}\} \subset \bar{V}_2 \subset \bar{V}_3 \subset \dots \subset \bar{V}_n = V/V_1.$$

Let $\pi : V \rightarrow V/V_1$ be the canonical projection. For each $2 \leq i \leq n$, define

$$V_i = \pi^{-1}(\bar{V}_i) = \{v \in V : \pi(v) \in \bar{V}_i\}.$$

We claim that the sequence

$$\{0_V\} \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V$$

is a T -invariant flag in V .

To see that each V_i is T -invariant, let $v \in V_i$. Then $\pi(v) \in \bar{V}_i$. Since \bar{V}_i is \bar{T} -invariant, we have

$$\bar{T}(\pi(v)) = T(v) + V_1 \in \bar{V}_i.$$

Thus, $T(v) \in V_i$, showing that V_i is T -invariant.

Therefore, we have constructed a T -invariant flag in V . By induction, the result holds for all finite-dimensional vector spaces V . \square