

## Problem 1

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space, and let  $\phi : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear map. Show that, for any  $n$ -linear antisymmetric form  $\beta(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  on  $n$ , we have

$$\beta(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n)) = \det(\phi)\beta(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

(This formalizes the following idea: any "unit of volume" on  $V$ , given by  $\beta$ , gets scaled by  $\det(\phi)$  when we apply  $\phi$ . In fact, this can be used as a definition of  $\det(\phi)$ : this way, some of its properties, such as independence of basis and multiplicativity become clear.)

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  be a basis of  $V$ . Then we can write

$$v_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}e_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

for some scalars  $a_{ij}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n)) &= \beta\left(\phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j}e_j\right), \dots, \phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj}e_j\right)\right) \\ &= \beta\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{1j}\phi(e_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{nj}\phi(e_j)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n=1}^n a_{1j_1}a_{2j_2} \cdots a_{nj_n} \beta(\phi(e_{j_1}), \phi(e_{j_2}), \dots, \phi(e_{j_n})) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)}a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \beta(\phi(e_{\sigma(1)}), \phi(e_{\sigma(2)}), \dots, \phi(e_{\sigma(n)})) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{1\sigma(1)}a_{2\sigma(2)} \cdots a_{n\sigma(n)} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \beta(\phi(e_1), \phi(e_2), \dots, \phi(e_n)) \\ &= \det(A) \beta(\phi(e_1), \phi(e_2), \dots, \phi(e_n)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = (a_{ij})$  is the matrix whose columns are the coordinates of  $v_i$  in the basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ . Now, note that  $\beta(\phi(e_1), \phi(e_2), \dots, \phi(e_n))$  is an  $n$ -linear antisymmetric form evaluated at the basis vectors  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  after applying  $\phi$ . By the definition of determinant, we have

$$\beta(\phi(e_1), \phi(e_2), \dots, \phi(e_n)) = \det(\phi)\beta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n).$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\beta(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n)) = \det(A) \det(\phi) \beta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n).$$

Finally, since  $\beta$  is multilinear, we have

$$\beta(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \det(A) \beta(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n).$$

Combining these results, we obtain

$$\beta(\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_n)) = \det(\phi) \beta(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

□

### Problem 2

Let  $V$  be the space of polynomials of degree at most  $n$  (over some field  $K$ ; if you want, you can assume  $K = \mathbb{R}$ ). Fix  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and consider the linear map

$$\phi : V \rightarrow V, \quad p(t) \mapsto p(at + b).$$

Compute  $\det(\phi)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{1, t, t^2, \dots, t^n\}$  be the standard basis of  $V$ . Then we have

$$\phi(t^k) = (at + b)^k = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} a^j b^{k-j} t^j.$$

Thus, the matrix representation of  $\phi$  with respect to this basis is given by

$$[\phi] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b & b^2 & \cdots & b^n \\ 0 & a & 2ab & \cdots & nab^{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & a^2 & \cdots & \binom{n}{2}a^2b^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & a^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is an upper triangular matrix, and the determinant of an upper triangular matrix is the product of its diagonal entries. Therefore, we have

$$\det(\phi) = 1 \cdot a \cdot a^2 \cdot a^3 \cdots a^n = a^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$

Hence, the determinant of the linear map  $\phi$  is

$$\det(\phi) = a^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}}.$$

□

### Problem 3

Let  $M$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix (over some field). Let  $V$  be the space of  $n \times n$  matrices. Consider the linear map  $m_M : V \rightarrow V$  given by left multiplication by  $M$ :

$$A \mapsto MA.$$

Find  $\det(m_M)$ . (Of course, the answer depends on  $M$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $\{E_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  be the standard basis of  $V$ , where  $E_{ij}$  is the matrix with a 1 in the  $(i, j)$ -th position and 0 elsewhere. Then we have

$$m_M(E_{ij}) = ME_{ij} = \text{the } j\text{-th column of } M \text{ placed in the } i\text{-th column of a zero matrix.}$$

Thus, the action of  $m_M$  on the basis elements can be described as follows:

$$m_M(E_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_{ki} E_{kj}.$$

Therefore, the matrix representation of  $m_M$  with respect to this basis is given by a block matrix where each block corresponds to the multiplication by the columns of  $M$ . Specifically, the matrix representation can be viewed as an  $n^2 \times n^2$  matrix where each block is an  $n \times n$  matrix formed by the columns of  $M$ .

The determinant of this block matrix can be computed as follows:

$$\det(m_M) = (\det(M))^n,$$

since each of the  $n$  blocks contributes a factor of  $\det(M)$  to the overall determinant.

Hence, we conclude that

$$\det(m_M) = (\det(M))^n.$$

□

#### Problem 4

Let  $K$  be a field and  $V$  be a finite-dimensional vector space. Let

$$\gamma : V \times V \rightarrow K$$

be an antisymmetric bilinear form. Show that there exists  $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$  and a basis

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2k}\}$$

of  $V$  such that

$$\gamma(a_i, b_i) = 1, \quad \gamma(b_i, a_i) = -1, \quad (i = 1, \dots, k),$$

and  $\gamma$  vanishes on all other pairs of basis vectors. (When  $n = 2k$ , this is called a symplectic basis.)

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the dimension  $n$  of the vector space  $V$ .

**Base Case:** If  $n = 0$ , the statement is trivially true with  $k = 0$  and an empty basis. If  $n = 1$ , since  $\gamma$  is antisymmetric, we have  $\gamma(v, v) = 0$  for any  $v \in V$ . Thus, we can take  $k = 0$  and any basis  $\{c_1\}$  of  $V$ .

**Inductive Step:** Assume the statement holds for all vector spaces of dimension less than  $n$ . We consider two cases:

- i.) If  $\gamma$  is the zero form, then we can take  $k = 0$  and any basis  $\{c_1, \dots, c_n\}$  of  $V$ .
- ii.) If  $\gamma$  is not the zero form, there exist vectors  $u, v \in V$  such that  $\gamma(u, v) \neq 0$ . We can scale  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $\gamma(u, v) = 1$ . Now, we can extend  $\{u, v\}$  to a basis of  $V$ , say  $\{u, v, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}\}$ . Consider the subspace  $W = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}\}$ . The restriction of  $\gamma$  to  $W$  is still an antisymmetric bilinear form. By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a basis of  $W$  of the desired form with some  $k' \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$ . Adding  $u$  and  $v$  to this basis, we obtain a basis of  $V$  of the desired form with  $k = k' + 1 \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

Thus, by induction, the statement holds for all finite-dimensional vector spaces  $V$  over the field  $K$ . □

#### Problem 5

Consider  $\det(A)$  as a multivariable function of the entries of a real matrix  $A$ . Compute the directional derivative of this function at the point  $A = I$  in the direction of some matrix  $B$ . (Equivalently, find the linear approximation for  $f(t) = \det(I + tB)$  at  $t = 0$ .)

*Proof.* □

#### Problem 6

Let  $A$  be a square  $n \times n$  matrix whose characteristic polynomial has  $n$  roots in  $K$ , counting with multiplicity. Consider the Jordan form of  $A$ : suppose that it consists of blocks  $J_{\lambda_i, n_i}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the eigenvalue and  $n_i$  is the size of the block.

Express the following invariants of  $A$  in terms of  $n_i$  and  $\lambda_i$ : its characteristic polynomial, its minimal polynomial, the dimension of eigenspace for each  $\lambda$  (this is called "the geometric multiplicity of an eigenvalue") and rank. (No explanation is required.)

#### Problem 7

Following up on the previous problem, let us go in the opposite direction: explain how to find  $\lambda_i$  and  $n_i$  from the data of  $\text{rk}(A - \lambda I)^k$  for all  $\lambda \in K$  and  $k > 0$ . In particular, this implies that the Jordan form is unique.