

Tut09

Q1b

$A \rightarrow B$ could hold

$B \rightarrow A$ does not hold

$A \rightarrow C$ does not hold

$C \rightarrow B$ does not hold

Q2

a. $A^+ = \{A, B\}$

b. $ACEG^+ = \{A, B, C, E, F, G\}$

c. $BD^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$

Q3

a. $ACD^+ = \{A, B, C, D, E\}$

BCD

CDE

b. in 3NF

c. not in BCNF

Q4a

i. $B^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}$

.i. BD

.i. ABC, BCD

.v. $A^+ = \{A, B, C, D\}$

v. AB, CD, BC, AD

/i. A

Q4b

i. not BCNF
.i. not BCNF
.i. not BCNF
.v. not BCNF
v. not BCNF
/i. BCNF

Q4c

i. not 3NF
.i. not 3NF
.i. 3NF
.v. not 3NF
v. 3NF
/i. 3NF

Q5

Team(name, captain)
candidate key: name
fd: {name → captain}
in BCNF

Player(name, teamPlayedFor)
candidate key: name
fd: {name → teamPlayedFor}
in BCNF

TeamColours(teamName, colour): no non-trivial fds
in BCNF

Account Table Example

Acc(AccNo, balance, customer, branch, address, assets)

candidate key: AccNo

fd: {

AccNo \rightarrow balance, customer, branch, address, assets

branch \rightarrow assets

}

not in BCNF

not in 3NF

BCNF Normalisation

split table

(branch, assets) {branch \rightarrow assets} key: branch \Rightarrow in BCNF

(AccNo, balance, customer, branch, address) {AccNo \rightarrow balance, customer, branch, address} key: AccNo \Rightarrow in BCNF

result

(branch, assets)

(AccNo, balance, customer, branch, address)

Q7 - 3NF

i.

candidate key: B

fd: {C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow C}

reduced minimal cover: {C \rightarrow AD, B \rightarrow C}

split into tables

CAD, BC

need relation with key?

no need to add extra table because candidate key B is in one of the resulting tables

result

CAD, BC

ii.

candidate key: BD

fd: $\{B \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow A\}$

reduced minimal cover: $\{B \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow A\}$

split into tables

BC, DA

need relation with key?

yes, BD

result

BC, DA, BD

iv.

candidate key: AB, CD, BC, AD

fd: $\{A \rightarrow B, BC \rightarrow D, A \rightarrow C\}$

reduced minimal cover: $\{A \rightarrow BC, BC \rightarrow D\}$

split into tables

ABC, BCD

need relation with key?

no, ABC has candidate key AB

result

ABC, BCD

Q3 - BCNF

i.

candidate key: B

fd: $\{C \rightarrow D, C \rightarrow A, B \rightarrow C\}$

reduced minimal cover: $\{C \rightarrow AD, B \rightarrow C\}$

if we choose $\{C \rightarrow AD\}$:

split table ABCD (choose $C \rightarrow AD$)

CAD $\{C \rightarrow AD\}$ key: $C \Rightarrow$ in BCNF

BC $\{B \rightarrow C\}$ key: $B \Rightarrow$ in BCNF

result

CAD, BC

if we choose $\{B \rightarrow C\}$

split table ABCD (choose $B \rightarrow C$)

BC $\{B \rightarrow C\}$ key: $B \Rightarrow$ BCNF

ABD $\{\}$ key: $ABD \Rightarrow$ BCNF

result

CD, ABD

ii.

candidate key: BD

fd: $\{B \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow A\}$

reduced minimal cover: $\{B \rightarrow C, D \rightarrow A\}$

split table ABCD (choose $B \rightarrow C$)

BC $\{B \rightarrow C\}$ key: $B \Rightarrow$ in BCNF

ABD $\{D \rightarrow A\}$ key: $BD \Rightarrow$ not in BCNF

split table ABD (choose $D \rightarrow A$)

AD $\{D \rightarrow A\}$ key: $D \Rightarrow$ in BCNF

BD $\{\}$ key: $BD \Rightarrow$ in BCNF

result

BC, AD, BD

iii.

candidate keys: ABC, BCD

fd: $\{ABC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$

reduced minimal cover: $\{ABC \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A\}$

split table ABCD (choose $D \rightarrow A$)

AD $\{D \rightarrow A\}$ key: $D \Rightarrow$ in BCNF

BCD $\{\}$ key: $BCD \Rightarrow$ in BCNF

result

AD, BCD