## Ghoti Word Aligner

## Algorithm outline

The algorithm in aligner.py is a "bidirectional" IBM Model 1 with one null word per sentence and *add-n* smoothing (Moore). Here are some details:

First, we start with exactly the same pseudocode as the baseline algorithm described on course webpage, including the easy initialization, EM training, and the decoding. Then we add the following improvements:

- 1. For each source sentence (e), we add a null word. The null word added to every sentence is the same. The initialization and the training process are the same (i.e. the null word is treated just as a normal word). And in the decoding process, if the best alignment of a word in the target sentence (f) is a null word, we ignore this target word and go on to the next (i.e. assume this target word has no alignment).
- 2. When calculating the expected count, we use the so-called "add-n" smoothing described in Moore's paper. The modification is minor:

$$t_k(f|e) = \frac{count(f, e) + n}{count(e) + n|V|}$$

where n is a small positive constant and |V| is the size of vocabulary of the target language corpus. The meaning and rationale are explained in details in the paper. The parameter n we chose after experiments is 0.01.

3. "Bi-directional" means that we run the same algorithm (with all the modifications above) twice; the second time we flip the target and the source languages, i.e., to calculate t(e|f). In the decoding phase, for each pair of sentence we get two best alignments, f to e and e to f, based on t(f|e) and t(e|f) respectively. Finally, we only output the intersection of the two sets of alignments. This greatly reduces false alignments (at the cost of losing some correct alignments) and improves the AER overall.

## Other experimented approaches

We also tried IBM Model 2 and HMM, but due to limited time because of midterms, we were not able to finish them.

## Acknowledgement

- Anoop Sarkar, the course web page
- Robert C. MOORE, Improving IBM Word-Alignment Model 1