



emmading.com



info@datainterviewpro.com



Z-test for Proportions

Lesson Structure

[Why Use a Z-test for Proportions](#)[One-Proportion Z-test](#)[Two-Proportions Z-test](#)

Interview Questions

- Compare CTRs of an ad of the two groups of users
- Derive a confidence interval for the probability of getting heads from a series of coin tosses

▼ Why Use a Z-test for Proportions

The typical one and two-sample proportions tests are of this form

$$T = \frac{d}{s}$$

where d is the difference between a proportion and a constant or the difference between two proportions and s is an estimated standard deviation of d .



The Slutsky's theorem: As long as the denominator s converges in probability to that unknown standard deviation, σ_d (a fairly weak condition), then $\frac{d}{s} \sim N(0, 1)$

Therefore, we have some justification for treating T as asymptotically normal, but we have no justification for treating it as t -distributed.

Theoretically, we don't use t-tests to test proportions and there's no good argument that t-distribution should be better than the z-distribution as an approximation to the distribution of T . But t-tests are sometimes used to test proportions.

Not entirely wrong as the results from a t-test is similar to that of a z-test for large samples.

▼ One-Proportion Z-test

Compare a proportion of a population to a constant.

Let p be the success rate of a large number n of independent Bernoulli trials.

Let \hat{p} be the observed success rate, that is the number of observed successes over the total number of trials.



When the sample contains at least 10 successes and 10 failures, it would be reasonable to use the normal approximation of a binomial distribution.

$$n\hat{p} \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p) \sim N(np, npq)$$

$$\hat{p} \sim N\left(p, \frac{p(1-p)}{n}\right)$$

▼ Hypothesis

$$H_0 : p = p_0$$

$$H_1 : p \neq p_0$$

▼ Z-statistic

Under H_0 , $Z \sim N(0, 1)$.

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}}$$

▼ E.g. Estimate the click-through rate $p \in (0, 1)$ of users on Ads

Suppose that we have an algorithm for Ad selection and we'd like to estimate the click-through rate $p \in (0, 1)$ of users on the Ads selected by this algorithm.

Given that we have access to 1000 users and the observed click-through rate is $\hat{p} = 0.2$. We set a significance level α of 5%.

$$H_0 : p = 0.15$$

```

p_0 = 0.15
n = 1000
p_hat = 0.2

sigma = (p_0 * (1-p_0) / n)**0.5

observed_z_score = (p_hat - p_0) / sigma
critical_z_score = stats.norm.ppf(0.975)
print(observed_z_score)
print(critical_z_score)

4.428074427700477
1.959963984540054

```

▼ Confidence interval for a proportion

A level $(1 - \alpha) * 100\%$ confidence interval for p

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})}{n}}$$

▼ E.g. Confidence interval of click-through rate on Ads

```

# Build a confidence interval at 95% confidence level for the true proportion
sigma = (p_hat * (1-p_hat) / n)**0.5
margin_of_error = critical_z_score * sigma

lower = p_hat - margin_of_error
upper = p_hat + margin_of_error
confidence_interval = (lower, upper)
print(confidence_interval)

(0.17520819870781754, 0.22479180129218249)

```

▼ Two-Proportions Z-test

Compare the proportions p_1 and p_2 of two populations.

▼ Hypothesis

$$H_0 : p_1 = p_2$$

$$H_1 : p_1 \neq p_2$$

Under the null hypothesis, the two proportions are the same.

▼ Z-statistic

$$\hat{p}_1 \sim N\left(p_1, \frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1}\right)$$

$$\hat{p}_2 \sim N(p_2, \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2})$$

$$\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \sim N(p_1 - p_2, \frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2})$$

Under H_0 , $Z \sim N(0, 1)$.

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}} \text{ and } \hat{p} = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{n_1\hat{p}_1 + n_2\hat{p}_2}{n_1 + n_2}$$

This pooled estimate \hat{p} is similar to a weighted mean, but with two proportions.



In many statistical programs, the default is to estimate the two proportions separately (i.e., unpooled).

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}}$$

▼ **E.g. Compare CTRs of two algorithms.**

Suppose we have 2 algorithms that are using different strategies to show Ads.

	Clicks	Impressions	CTR
Algorithm 1	30	900	0.033
Algorithm 2	20	1000	0.02

```

# two-sample proportion confidence interval at 95% confidence level
n_x = 900
p_x = 0.033

n_y = 1000
p_y = 0.02

d = p_x - p_y

# pooled proportion
p = (n_x * p_x + n_y * p_y) / (n_x + n_y)
pooled_stdev = p * (1 - p) * (1 / n_x + 1 / n_y) ** 0.5

# unpooled
unpooled_stdev = p_x * (1 - p_x) / n_x + p_y * (1 - p_y) / n_y ** 0.5

# z-statistic
observed_z_score = d / pooled_stdev
critical_z_score = stats.norm.ppf(0.975)
print(observed_z_score)
print(critical_z_score)

11.106992302731543
1.959963984540054

```

▼ Confidence interval for the difference between 2 proportions

Typically, we used **unpooled** proportions instead of pooled estimate of proportions.



While the hypothesis testing procedure is based on the H_0 , the confidence interval approach is not based on this assumption.

A level $(1 - \alpha) * 100\%$ confidence interval of $p_1 - p_2$

$$\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}$$

▼ E.g. Confidence interval of the difference between CTRs of two algorithms.

```
# Generate a CI at 95% CL for the difference of the two population means
margin_of_error = critical_z_score * unpooled_stdev
lower = d - margin_of_error
upper = d + margin_of_error
# Build a confidence interval at 95% CL for the true proportion
confidence_interval = (lower, upper)
print(confidence_interval)

(0.011715707947004552, 0.01428429205299545)
```