1	THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA
2	
3	CASE NO.: ICTR-98-44A-T  THE PROSECUTOR OF THE TRIBUNAL
4	AGAINST
5	MOMINO
6	JUVÉNAL KAJELIJELI
7	
8	6 December 2001
9	1008н
10	CONTINUED TRIAL
11	
12	Before: Judge William H. Sekule, Presiding Judge Winston Churchill Matanzima Maqutu
13	Judge Arlette Ramaroson
14	Registry: Mr. John Kiyeyeu
15	Mr. Abraham Koshopa
16	For the Prosecution:
17	Mr. Kenneth Fleming Mr. Ibukunolu Babajide
18	Ms. Ifeoma Ojemeni Ms. Melinda Pollard
19	Mr. Jayantha Jayasuriya
20	For the Accused Kajelijeli:  Professor Lennox Hinds
21	Professor Nkeyi Makanyi Bompaka Court Reporters:
22	Rex Lear Regina Limula
23	Judith Kapatamoyo Kelly Allemang
24	
25	

KAJE:	LIJELI 6 DECEMBER 2001
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	I N D E X
6	WITNESSES
7	
8	
9	FOR THE PROSECUTION
10	
11	WITNESS GDQ
12	Cross-Examination by Professor Hinds4
13	Re-Examination by Mr. Fleming58
14	
15	WITNESS GDT
16	Examination-in-chief by Ms. Ojemeni
17	
18	EXHIBITS
19	
20	Prosecution Exhibit P1778
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		PROCEEDINGS
2	THE WITNESS:	
3		Thank you, Mr. President. I agree.
4	PROFESSOR HIN	DS:
5		May I, Your Honour?
6	MR. PRESIDENT	:
7		Yes, please.
8	BY PROFESSOR	HINDS:
9	Q.	Sir, you testified that on the day after the
10		events that you described at Byangabo, the
11		killings that occurred there, that is on the
12		8th of April, that the Interahamwe organised
13		a celebration or a feast at Kajelijeli's bar
14		and they sang a song Tuzu Semba Sembe. I'm
15		sure I'm not doing justice to this song, to
16		the name. But, can you tell this Chamber why
17		you never mentioned anything about this in
18		the statement that you made to
19		representatives of the ICTR when you gave
20		your statement in June of last year?
21	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President. I would like the
22		Court to tell counsel that the question can
23		lead on to another question. Now, the
24		letter he has shown me is not referred to in
25		my statement and is not part of my

REX LEAR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 27

1		statement. I say so because there are too
2		many things that I know about Kajelijeli,
3		but which I have not mentioned in my
4		statement. Thank you, your Excellency the
5		President.
6	Q.	Sir, again, on the 8th, when you testified
7		here in this, before this Tribunal, you told
8		this Tribunal, concerning an incident at a
9		roadblock where you say a woman, a Tutsi
10		woman, was taken out of a car and this woman
11		was killed.
12	MR. PRESIDENT:	
13		And a child?
14	PROFESSOR HINDS	5:
15		That's right, with a child.
16	BY PROFESSOR H	INDS:
17	Q.	Now, you mentioned the woman had a husband
18		by the name of Kanoti. Did you know that
19		person before that incident, that is, did
20		you know Kanoti, K-A-N-O-T-I, before the
21		incident of the barricade?
22	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President. I would like
23		counsel to understand that I know Kanoti
24		very well. He's the son of Manyori and he
25		comes from Rwinzovu. That is the secteur

REX LEAR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 28

1		from which Kajelijeli comes, so I know
2		Kanoti deeply.
3	MR. PRESIDENT:	
4		Yes.
5	BY PROFESSOR H	IINDS:
6	Q.	Now, sir, do you know if Kanoti had more
7		than one wife?
8	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President. Since yesterday
9		I've made statements here and notes were
10		taken and I said that Kanoti was a driver
11		and he was engaged in transportation between
12		Kigali and Mombassa. The woman in question
13		was wedded to Kanoti somewhere else, not in
14		our area, and so when the Interahamwes of
15		Kajelijeli killed her they were saying that
16		they had just caught the woman whom Kanoti
17		wanted to hide, that they had caught a woman
18		whom the son of Konyeri wanted to hide. So,
19		to ask me whether Kanoti had several wives,
20		really, I wouldn't know what to say or
21		answer, because that woman never lived in
22		our area.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:	
24		The question was whether you know, Witness
25		GDQ knows Kanoti had more than one wife.

REX LEAR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 29

1		Was he aware of that fact or not?
2	THE WITNESS:	
3		Mr. President, let me apologise. My
4		reaction has been triggered by counsel. I
5		know fully well that we need to testify to
6		what we witnessed. I know that the woman in
7		question, well, never lived in our area.
8		Kanoti never got her to live in our area, so
9		I don't know whether Kanoti had any other
10		wives, unless counsel proves otherwise.
11	MR. PRESIDENT:	
12		Next question, Counsel.
13	BY PROFESSOR H	INDS:
14	Q.	Sir, just to clarify your testimony, do you
15		know that the woman you said was killed was,
16		in fact, Kanoti's wife.
17	MR. PRESIDENT:	
18		Sorry?
19	BY PROFESSOR H	INDS:
20	Q.	Witness, just to clarify your testimony, do
21		you know, for a fact, that the woman who you
22		say was killed at the roadblock was Kanoti's
23		wife?
24	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President. Let me repeat
25		myself. I know Kanoti very well. The woman

REX LEAR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 30

1	who was killed, together with her child,
2	was, indeed, Kanoti's wife and I have
3	evidence. She was killed at a hill behind
4	my place of residence. Thank you.
5	(Pages 27-31 by Rex Lear)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REX LEAR - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 31

1		1100н
2	BY PROFES	SOR HINDS:
3	Q.	Sir, in your testimony before this Court you
4		indicated that one child was killed. In
5		your statement before the ICTR you indicated
6		the woman and her children were killed;
7		Which is correct?
8	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honour. Let
9		me inform Defence Counsel that even himself
10		that is free, he is not in jail, does forget
11		sometimes and sometimes apologises for his
12		omissions. What about me? I have just
13		spent seven years in prison, does he think
14		that the statements that I made in 1994 or
15		in 1999 could always come back to my mind,
16		all of it, while as I have other concerns
17		about my case? Let me say, again, that the
18		Interahamwe of Kajelijeli did kill Kanote's
19		wife and her child.
20	Q.	Sir, can you tell this Tribunal what time of
21		day I am sorry sir, can you tell this
22		Tribunal what time of day you say you saw
23		Kanote's wife and child being killed?
24	Α.	Mr. President, Your Honour, I should like to
25		pray that you impress upon Defence that I am

1		not responsible for time keeping for the
2		killers. That question should be put to
3		Kajelijeli, he was their representative and
4		he was the one who told them who to kill. I
5		thank you, sir.
6	MR. PRESIDENT:	
7		Yes, tell Witness GDQ that questions that
8		are being asked, and the ones allowed to be
9		asked are valid questions and they go to
10		assist the Trial Chamber to find out the
11		facts in the search for the truth and
12		justice. So you should listen to them very
13		carefully, answer them briefly, if we may
14		remind him again, and in doing so we also
15		save a lot of time and be able to complete
16		his testimony in the shortest possible time.
17		So, it is important that these questions are
18		answered appropriately.
19		
20		Counsel would like to know when was, if he
21		recalls, when was Kanote's wife and child
22		killed? The Trial Chamber thinks that the
23		witness can tell, not specific times, but at
24		least if it was in the morning, in the
25		afternoon, or in the evening. Those are the

1		time frames and those could be useful to the
2		Trial Chamber.
3		
4		Can he tell us then, when this happened?
5	THE WITNESS:	
6		Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honour. I
7		believe that it was on the, 8th if my memory
8		serves me right. I don't know whether
9		Counsel mentioned the date of the 8th and
10		what I am saying, I am saying as a witness
11		that saw what happened in the area that I
12		lived in. Now, when the events occurred on
13		that date we were burying people that had
14		bee killed and out of those people that we
15		were burying other people were added, that
16		is, other people that were killed who
17		managed to hide hitherto. So that I was not
18		concerned about the hour at which the events
19		started at the time, I was busy burying
20		victims and this is why I am not in a
21		position to tell you the precise hour, sir.
22		Thank you.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:	
24		Was it in the morning or in evening; can you
25		tell us, Witness GDQ, if you recall?

Τ	THE WITNESS:	
2		Mr. President, Your Honour, Counsel should
3		not complicate matters for me. I repeat
4		that I swore before the Court, and I swore
5		to testify on the facts that I saw with my
6		own eyes and not to talk about estimates. I
7		am not in a position to make an estimate of
8		the time. I thank you.
9	MR. PRESIDENT	:
10		I think we have assisted you quite a lot,
11		Professor Hinds. Can we go to the next
12		question?
13	BY PROFESSOR	HINDS:
14	Q.	Sir, is it your testimony that when this
15		woman and her child were killed that Juvénal
16		Kajelijeli was present at the roadblock?
17	Α.	Mr. President, Your Honour, I should like
18		this Court to impress upon Defence that I
19		have evidence to prove what I am saying. I
20		thank you.
21	MR. PRESIDENT	:
22		Witness, no, no, no. Witness GDQ, could you
23		please help the Trial Chamber to answer the
24		questions, if you can answer them? Counsel
25		wants to know. It is an important question.

1		When Kanote's wife and child were killed,
2		was Kajelijeli there? That is an important
3		question, it has a lot of bearing on your
4		evidence here before the Trial Chamber. Can
5		he answer precisely without many words. If
6		yes, yes, if no, no. We have to know to
7		move forward.
8	THE WITNESS:	
9		I crave your indulgence, Mr. President, Your
10		Honour. Perhaps you do not understand what
11		I am saying because I am speaking in
12		Kinyarwanda. But I did clearly explain that
13		Kajelijeli himself was there on the spot, in
14		front of that store, in front of a shop when
15		the Interhamwe were with him and came to
16		present him with the lady they discovered at
17		Manyoni's and Kajelijeli ordered those
18		Interahamwe to do to that woman what they
19		had done to other people. They went and
20		killed the woman at the hill that I
21		mentioned, so that Kajelijeli was there. I
22		thank you.
23	BY PROFESSOR I	HINDS:
24	Q.	Sir, was it your testimony before this
25		Tribunal that at the time the woman was

1		taken and the children were taken out of the
2		car that Kajelijeli said to the Interahamwe,
3		no Tutsis were to be spared; is that your
4		testimony, that that is what Kajelijeli said
5		at that time?
6	Α.	Mr. President, Your Honour, I repeat that
7		Counsel should understand what I am saying
8		clearly. I never pinpointed, for example,
9		the gender of the child in question. I
10		didn't say that the child was a boy or a
11		girl. Now regarding Kajelijeli, it was him
12		that gave all the orders out in
13		collaboration with his accomplices, and it
14		was him that said that all Tutsi should be
15		exterminated in the Mukingo commune, which
16		commune was considered by Kajelijeli as his
17		own personal property. I thank you.
18	PROFESSOR HIND	s:
19		Your Honour, I have asked the witness a
20		specific question and I want him to answer
21		that question.
22	MR. PRESIDENT:	
23		Tell Witness GDQ, that if he has a problem
24		of understanding the question, can he listen
25		carefully. We follow his evidence. There

1		is a specific question that has been asked.
2		If he has an answer he can say so, if not,
3		he can also say so. The question is very
4		specific. Can he answer that without
5		addition? We already have his evidence. We
6		are already aware of his evidence in these
7		proceedings. These specific questions have
8		their purpose. So he can answer them
9		specifically.
10	PROFESSOR HINI	DS:
11		Your Honour, the question that I am putting
12		to the witness is the following:
13	BY MR. HINDS:	
14	Q.	Is it the witness's testimony that at the
15		time that he says the woman was taken out of
16		the car with her child or children and
17		killed that Juvénal Kajelijeli said that no
18		Tutsis were to be spared, or words to that
19		affect, whatever his testimony is with
20		respect to what Kajelijeli said, was it at
21		that time?
22	MR. FLEMING:	
23		Your Honours, may I make an objection. The
24		simple answer to that is, yes that is his
25		testimony and it is contained in the

1	transcript of yesterday. However, is my
2	learned friend trying to test his memory on
3	what he said yesterday, or is he trying to
4	test his memory on what happened on the day?
5	The questions, in my respectful submission,
6	are confusing in themselves because he has
7	already answered and I can see the way in
8	which everybody is at cross-purposes during
9	this process. The witness is struggling to
10	know whether or not he is actually being
11	asked whether it is his testimony now,
12	whether or not it was his testimony
13	yesterday, whether that is what he saw on
14	the occasion. So, it is a confusing
15	question, with respect. If my learned
16	friend says; was it your testimony yesterday
17	that you said this, well, it is quite
18	obvious that it was because it is in the
19	transcript or not in the transcript, and he
20	is testing his memory of yesterday or is he
21	testing his memory on what actually happened
22	on the day?
23	
24	Now, cross-examination, is not, with the
25	greatest respect, a test of memory in

these aren't helpful questions that my learned friend is asking and, indeed, I of take it further and say they are an entire waste of time of this Court's than of anybody else's because it an entirely improper question in cross-examination, simply to ask whether or not somebody ask some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were			
these aren't helpful questions that my learned friend is asking and, indeed, I of take it further and say they are an entire waste of time of this Court's than of anybody else's because it an entirely improper question in cross-examination, simply to ask whether or not somebody ask some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	1		respect of peripheral matters, rather
learned friend is asking and, indeed, I can take it further and say they are an entire waste of time of this Court's than of anybody else's because it an entirely improper question in cross-examination, simply to ask whether or not somebody ask some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	2		cross-examination is to verify issues and
take it further and say they are an entire waste of time of this Court's than of anybody else's because it an entirely improper question in cross-examination, simply to ask whether or not somebody ask some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	3		these aren't helpful questions that my
waste of time of this Court's than of anybody else's because it an entirely improper question in cross-examination, simply to ask whether or not somebody ask some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	4		learned friend is asking and, indeed, I can
anybody else's because it an entirely improper question in cross-examination, simply to ask whether or not somebody ask some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	5		take it further and say they are an entire
improper question in cross-examination, simply to ask whether or not somebody ask some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	6		waste of time of this Court's than of
simply to ask whether or not somebody ask some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	7		anybody else's because it an entirely
some questions yesterday.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings,  Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question.  That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	8		improper question in cross-examination,
Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	9		simply to ask whether or not somebody asked
Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	10		some questions yesterday.
the room and chooses  MR. PRESIDENT:  I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	11	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	12		Your Honour, Mr. Fleming has just entered
I think, this matter, we wanted an answer from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings,  Trial Chamber has been following so far,  when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question.  That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	13		the room and chooses
from the witness and we think it is a relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	14	MR. PRESIDENT:	
relevant question and it is an important question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	15		I think, this matter, we wanted an answer
question, because, from the proceedings, Trial Chamber has been following so far, when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	16		from the witness and we think it is a
Trial Chamber has been following so far,  when counsel was out, is that whether or  those words were attributed to the accuse  and that is extremely important question.  That is one. Two, it is true, in  examination-in-chief, these matters were	17		relevant question and it is an important
when counsel was out, is that whether or those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question. That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	18		question, because, from the proceedings, the
those words were attributed to the accuse and that is extremely important question.  That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	19		Trial Chamber has been following so far,
22 and that is extremely important question. 23 That is one. Two, it is true, in 24 examination-in-chief, these matters were	20		when counsel was out, is that whether or not
That is one. Two, it is true, in examination-in-chief, these matters were	21		those words were attributed to the accused,
examination-in-chief, these matters were	22		and that is extremely important question.
	23		That is one. Two, it is true, in
25 spelt out, no doubt about it. But I thir	24		examination-in-chief, these matters were
	25		spelt out, no doubt about it. But I think

1		it is important this particular question
2		is important for the witness to answer in
3		that light. So, we will allow that question
4		to be answered and overrule your objection.
5		
6	MR. FLEMING:	
7		Your Honour, can I ask then Your Honour,
8		can I ask then that the question be
9		clarified? Is it your evidence now, was it
10		your evidence yesterday or was it your
11		evidence at some other time, so that there
12		is some clarity in the question before the
13		witness.
14	BY PROFESSOR	HINDS:
15		Your Honour, if Mr. Fleming wants to ask a
16		question he will ask his question but he
17		will not dictate the form in which the
18		Defence will put a question.
19	MR. FLEMING:	
20		With respect, I will, if it requires an
21		objection.
22	MR. PRESIDENT	:
23		We will proceed. Can the question be put to
24		the witness, please?
25	PROFESSOR HIN	DS:

1		Your Honour, do you want me to repeat my
2		question again?
3	MR. PRESIDENT:	
4		Yes, please.
5		
6	PROFESSOR HIND	os:
7	Q.	Sir, is it your testimony before this
8		Tribunal that at the time that you say that
9		a woman was taken out of her car and her
10		child or children were killed at the
11		roadblock, that Juvénal Kajelijeli was
12		present and said, no Tutsis were to be
13		spared or all Tutsis were to be killed?
14	Α.	Mr. President, Your Honour, I should like to
15		plead with the Chamber to tell Counsel that
16		I should continue to testify and to repeat
17		the same words each and every time that I am
18		called upon to testify before jurisdictions
19		concerning genocide.
20		
21		Now, regarding my utterances, sir,
22		Kajelijeli, I said, did make such utterances
23		on his own part. He is here and he can
24		confirm it and if Counsel wants more
25		evidence on that, he can come with me and we

1		will go to the areas where the crimes were
2		committed. I thank you, sir.
3	Q.	Sir, if what you are saying today is true,
4		why didn't you say this to the
5		representatives of the ICTR when you gave
6		them your statement in June of last year?
7	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President, Your Honour.
8		Defence Counsel should understand, should
9		clearly understand, as a matter of fact,
10		that when I was interviewed I did answer the
11		questions put to me. Now today Counsel is
12		putting forth to me other questions and I am
13		answering those questions depending on the
14		manner those questions are put to me and he
15		is trying to find out all the truth.
16		
17		Now, the investigators that interviewed me
18		told me that I may have to go elsewhere, to
19		another location, to explain in greater
20		detail all that I knew, and it was thus that
21		I am here answering Counsel's questions such
22		as they are put to me, and I repeat; what is
23		he trying to do? Is he trying to bring out
24		the whole truth? I told him that if he
25		wants information that is not contained in

1		my former statements I can give this piece
2		of information to him. I thank you.
3	PROFESSOR HIN	DS:
4		Your Honour, I may be finished with my
5		cross, I just need to consult with my client
6		concerning this.
7	MR. PRESIDENT	:
8		Yes, and that is his explanation on this
9		point. Yes, you can consult.
10	THE WITNESS:	
11		Mr. President, Your Honour, may I crave your
12		indulgence to allow me one or two minutes
13		out because of my physiological infection, I
14		should probably go and wash my hands, if you
15		may allow me, Mr. President, may I just take
16		a few moments?
17	MR. PRESIDENT	:
18		Just a minute, perhaps we might be if you
19		can stand it for a minute or two, the
20		Defence have indicated that they might be
21		finished with their cross-examination. They
22		are consulting, we want to find out if they
23		are doing that then we can have a long
24		recess after that. That is if he can stand
25		it for a minute. Tell the witness

1		immediately. Can he stand it a minute or
2		two?
3	THE WITNESS:	
4		Yes, sir, I could stand.
5		
6	MR. PRESIDENT:	
7		Yes, Professor Hinds, are you through?
8	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
9		No, sir, I have not. I have a few
10		questions.
11	MR. PRESIDENT:	
12		Oh, a few questions, how long?
13	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
14		Your Honour my client has instructed me to
15		ask a few questions, and I think that we are
16		entitled to ask the questions. They are
17		questions that are pertinent to him that he
18		wants asked and if you want us to take a
19		break I
20	MR. PRESIDENT:	
21		We will take a break for ten minutes. We
22		will come back at ten to twelve.
23	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
24		Thank you, Your Honour.
25		(Court recessed at 1130H)

1		
2		(Court resumed at 1150H)
3	MR. PRESIDENT:	
4		Yes, the proceedings are resumed.
5		
6	PROFESSOR HIND	os:
7		Thank you, Your Honour. Just a couple of
8		questions. May I?
9	MR. PRESIDENT:	
10		Yes.
11	BY PROFESSOR H	IINDS:
12	Q.	GDQ, you have testified, sir, that at the
13		time you say you made your observation at
14		the roadblock, that you were in the process
15		of burying bodies; is that correct?
16	Α.	Mr. President, I would like the Chamber to
17		advise Counsel that I have made a solemn
18		declaration to tell the truth and that what
19		he is asking me is the truth.
20	MR. PRESIDENT:	
21		Witness GDQ, Counsel would like to know that
22		at the time you observed the killings
23	PROFESSOR HIND	os:
24		No, at the time that he said that his
25		observations at the roadblock he was in the

1		process of burying bodies; is that correct?
2	MR. PRESIDENT:	
3		On what date?
4		
5	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
6		This is the 8th, we are now dealing with the
7		8th that we are talking about this roadblock
8		which he says he made these observations.
9	MR. FLEMING:	
10		Your Honour, what I have understood the
11		witness as just saying is that I said that I
12		will say the truth and what Defence Counsel
13		said was the truth. That is what I
14		understood him to respond.
15	MR. PRESIDENT:	
16		Oh, all right. It is poetic.
17		
18	MR. FLEMING:	
19		Yes, it is typical.
20	PROFESSOR HIND	s:
21		You know, I quite in all deference, I am
22		not sure that I want to stand on record with
23		Mr. Fleming's testimony because he is not in
24		the witness stand. Unless he wants to
25		substitute himself with the witness.

1	MR. FLEMING:	
2		It wasn't my testimony
3	PROFESSOR HIND	s:
4		Counsel, I have the floor, please! Now,
5		Your Honour, I would like the witness to, in
6		fact, clarify that point.
7	MR. PRESIDENT:	
8		Yes, for the record, because that seems to
9		be very useful interpretation, Mr. Fleming,
10		if it turns out to be the truth.
11	MR. FLEMING:	
12		I didn't interpret it, Your Honour, and
13		there are others in the Courtroom who heard
14		those words, that is exactly what he said.
15		The record will stand.
16	MR. PRESIDENT:	
17		Yes, maybe for the sake of verification,
18		Witness GDQ, you have said in answer to the
19		question put to you by Counsel, whether or
20		not at the time you made the observation at
21		the roadblock you were burying bodies.
22		Forgive me if I make a mistake, and in
23		answer to your question you said that you
24		have sworn to speak the truth and that what
25		Counsel said was the truth what Counsel

1		said was the truth.
2	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
3		Oh, okay then
4		
5	MR. FLEMING:	
6		That is what he said. That is why I tried
7		to explain.
8	MR. PRESIDENT:	
9		Well, I think
10	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
11		Okay, well taken, sir.
12	BY PROFESSOR H	HINDS:
13	Q.	Sir, can you then tell this Chamber where
14		you were burying bodies, where were the
15		sites, the burial sites located?
16	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President. I have stated in
17		this Court that I was a neighbour to the
18		families of the victims, and we are talking
19		about 24 families. The victims who were
20		fleeing were killed on the way. Kajelijeli
21		is here, let him contradict me if I am
22		telling lies. When we were burying people
23		from Muhinda's place, Muhinda is,
24		M-U-H-I-N-D-A. When we were burying people
25		from Muhinda's house, Kajelijeli was in his

1		bar together with his Interahamwe and he
2		could see us. What I am saying here did not
3		fall from heaven. I witnessed it with my
4		own eyes. Kajelijeli could see us because
5		we were burying people in front of his bar.
6	Q.	Sir, the actual place where you were burying
7		the people was in front of Kajelijeli's bar
8		in Byangabo; is that correct?
9	Α.	Yes, Mr. President. That is true.
10	Q.	But, sir, at what time, if you recall the
11		day, did you get the order to bury the
12		bodies?
13	Α.	Mr. President, I don't know why Counsel is
14		dwelling on time. I have already said that
15		I was not in charge of checking the time all
16		the time. But when Habyarimana came to look
17		at the condition of the bodies, he gave us
18		instructions, and that was 9:30. He went on
19		his way to observe the killings that took
20		place at the parish and the convent of
21		Busogo.
22		
23		We buried the bodies from 9:30 to 5 pm
24		given the number of bodies that were all
25		over the place. Okay.

1	Q.	Now, sir, is it your testimony that someone
2		standing at Juvénal Kajelijeli's bar at
3		Byangabo is able to see bodies being taken
4		from Muhinda's, M-U-H-I-N-D-A's home?
5	Α.	Mr. President, I have appealed to Counsel on
6		several occasions for the proceedings to be
7		adjourned for him to come with me and
8		observe the facts. But Kajelijeli is
9		present here, he can testify to the fact
10		that Muhinda lives next to his bar and he
11		can further testify to the fact that there
12		were several bodies between Muhinda's house
13		and his bar. I would like Counsel to leave
14		this courtroom knowing that my testimony is
15		not a lie. I would like to request the
16		President to give me the opportunity to say
17		a few words on the letter that Counsel has
18		produced here, so that we all know that
19		there is no change on what I have said.
20	MR. PRESIDENT:	
21		Tell the witness that we took his evidence
22		on that and he need not worry on that one.
23		Okay? Yes, Mr. Fleming, do you still have
24		
25	PROFESSOR HIND	S:

1		Oh, Fleming?
2	MR. PRESIDENT	:
3		Oh, I beg your pardon. I meant Professor
4		Hinds.
5	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
6		I don't even have a wig on! Okay, Your
7		Honour, I just would like to, based upon the
8		witness's answer, to show him what has been
9		marked the Prosecutor's Exhibits, some
10		photograph concerning the bar. He has made
11		a statement and I would like now to show him
12		the documents. So I just need a minute to
13		get that.
14	MR. PRESIDENT	:
15		What exhibit is that?
16	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
17		That is Exhibit P-7 which deals with the
18		photographs, Your Honour, and within the
19		photographs
20	MR. PRESIDENT	:
21		In the meantime, Interpreter, could you
22		translate what I said to the witness?
23	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
24		We are now at P-7.
25	MR. PRESIDENT	:

1		Yes, P-7?
2	PROFESSOR HINDS	S:
3		Let me just I know we have one
4		photograph, I just want to make sure I
5		want to show the witness all the photographs
6		rather than going back to them. I just want
7		to consult with the client with respect to
8		the photograph.
9		
10		We would like to show the witness, Your
11		Honour, with respect to P-7 photograph No.
12		23. Do the Prosecutor have that Exhibit?
13	MR. PRESIDENT:	
14		Let me say that these exhibits were admitted
15		really and they are re-admitted
16		whether the numbers are still the same.
17	PROFESSOR HINDS	S:
18		They were admitted, Your Honour, and I think
19		we all have the same books with the same
20		documents that were identified by their
21		witness, Lukasa. So I am now dealing with
22		picture No. 23.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:	
24		Yes, what does the witness want to say,
25		Interpreter?

1	THE WITNESS:	
2	I would like to appeal to the Presiden	t to
3	give me just a few minutes to say a fe	W
4	words which I am sure will not disturb	your
5	proceedings.	
6	PROFESSOR HINDS:	
7	Your Honour, there is no question pend	ing
8	before the witness.	
9	MR. PRESIDENT:	
10	Perhaps it is important. Does it conc	ern
11	his health, perhaps? That is importan	t.
12	THE WITNESS:	
13	These are very important things. But	
14	regarding my health, only God knows ho	w my
15	health is.	
16	MR. PRESIDENT:	
17	Can we now listen to the question?	
18	PROFESSOR HINDS:	
19	Your Honour, does the witness have a h	ealth
20	problem?	
21	MR. PRESIDENT:	
22	No, apparently, not.	
23	PROFESSOR HINDS:	
24	Oh, okay.	
25	BY PROFESSOR HINDS:	

1	Q.	Witness, I show you what has been admitted
2		into evidence as photograph and I would like
3		to ask you whether or not you can identify
4		that photograph?
5	Α.	No, I cannot remember this place.
6	Q.	Witness, look at the photograph very
7		carefully, are you sure you cannot remember
8		this place?
9	Α.	I have already said that I do not remember
10		this place and I have been in detention for
11		7 years now, and if that building exists, I
12		do not know. And let me add that during
13		that period there has been a lot of
14		modification, so I am not in a position to
15		recognise this place and I would wish that
16		you do not insist on that.
17	MR. PRESIDENT:	
18		Yes, that is the witness answer to that.
19	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
20		Your Honour, I just need now
21	MR. PRESIDENT:	
22		Well, I think
23	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
24		I have finished one round of questions. I
25		just wanted to check with my client. I

1		would like to check with my client.
2	MR. PRESIDENT:	
3		We can't go on indefinitely, certainly.
4		This should be the end. I mean we have been
5		given the opportunity for cross-examination
6		with all the time-frame. In fairness I
7		think that should come to an end.
8	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
9		Your Honour, we have no further questions.
10	MR. PRESIDENT:	
11		Any re-examination, Mr. Fleming?
12	THE WITNESS:	
13		Mr. President?
14	MR. PRESIDENT:	
15		Yes?
16	THE WITNESS:	
17		Mr. President, I do not understand why you
18		do not want to give me the opportunity to
19		address the Court, I believe that is my
20		right.
21	MR. PRESIDENT:	
22		You can, if you want, before the
23		re-examination. We want to hear you. What
24		do you want to say? Mr. Fleming, can you
25		wait for a minute? What does he what to

1		say? Very briefly, because we want to
2		conclude his evidence soon. If he can
3		understand that.
4	THE WITNESS:	
5		If you allow me, Mr. President, I will try
6		to be very brief.
7	MR. PRESIDENT:	
8		What do you want to say?
9	THE WITNESS:	
10		Mr. President, the letter which was produced
11		here, to disorient the Court, it is a letter
12		that was drafted in 1972 and it is true that
13		in 1972 1992, it is true that in 1992
14		yes, it was written in 1992 and at that time
15		there was no genocide in Rwanda. So,
16		Kajelijeli who had killed long ago, I
17		thought he was going to talk to us about
18		people he killed then.
19	MR. PRESIDENT:	
20		The Trial Chamber is we have told the
21		Interpreter to tell you that the Trial
22		Chamber was aware of what he has just said
23		before on this matter and it is the Trial
24		Chamber it is aware of what he said about
25		that document and will be considered like

1		any other evidence that is going to be
2		adduced in this Court.
3	THE WITNESS:	
4		Thank you, Mr. President.
5		
6	MR. PRESIDENT:	
7		Yes.
8		RE-EXAMINATION
9	BY MR. FLEMING	;:
10	Q.	Witness, just when Mr. Hinds started
11		cross-examining you, and I think, really, to
12		in answer to the first question you made
13		reference to seeing Professor Hinds when you
14		where in detention; can you tell us when
15		that was?
16	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President. As I testified,
17		Counsel for the Defence of Kajelijeli came
18		to the Ruhengeri prison yard to meet us.
19		We were more than 10 in number and this took
20		place on the 25th of May 2001.
21	Q.	Were you interviewed by Professor Hinds?
22	Α.	Thank you, Mr. President. When I learned
23		that the person concerned was Counsel for
24		Juvénal Kajelijeli, against whom I
25		testified, I told him that I would not allow

1		him to interview me and he is there, he can
2		so testify. He approached me when he saw me
3		at the Ruhengeri hospital where I went for
4		treatment.
5	Q.	What did he say to you?
6	Α.	Mr. President, Counsel for Defence came and
7		he was engaged in carrying out
8		investigations into what Kajelijeli did in
9		the Mukingo commune. He interviewed some of
10		those who were with me, And once I learnt
11		that he was representing Juvénal Kajelijeli
12		before the International Criminal Tribunal
13		for Rwanda I told him that I would not be
14		willing to talk to him. Thank you.
15	MR. FLEMING:	
16		Thank you, Your Honour. That is the
17		evidence of this witness. I have no further
18		re-examination. May the witness be excused?
19	MR. PRESIDENT:	
20		Yes. Thank you, Mr. Fleming. Judge
21		Ramaroson has one question to ask.
22	JUDGE RAMAROSO	N:
23		Witness, were all the Tutsis of the Mukingo
24		commune killed or exterminated, and could
25		you give us an approximate figure of the

1		number of persons who died?
2	THE WITNESS:	
3		Thank you, Mr. President. The question that
4		has been put to me by the Lady Judge is a
5		very good one and I would like the Court to
6		understand that it is only Kajelijeli who
7		was the bourgmestre of the Mukingo commune,
8		it is only him who is able to know the
9		number of Tutsis and to talk to the Court
10		about it. Personally, I know that the
11		Tutsis who were killed were very many in
12		Mukingo and even in the neighbouring
13		communes.
14		
15		Unless I am asked to provide an estimate or
16		an approximate figure, that is, of the
17		Tutsis who were my neighbours, unless that
18		is the case I wouldn't really be in a
19		position to provide an estimate on
20		approximation on the number of Tutsis who
21		were killed or elsewhere or close to my
22		place.
23	JUDGE RAMAROSC	N:
24		Thank you. Witness, you said that there
25		were victims who were buried, by yourself

1		and by other members of the population, were
2		they buried in a specific place or just
3		anywhere and can you give us an approximate
4		figure for those who were buried?
5	THE WITNESS:	
6		Thank you, Mr. President. I also wish to
7		thank the lady judge because the questions
8		she is putting to me are quite interesting
9		and very clear. It is true, on the 8th of
10		April, together with others, I was involved
11		in burying the victims and I have just
12		testified before the Court that I am not in
13		a position to provide an approximate figure
14		of the number of dead, and that I am only
15		able to give an estimate of the deceased who
16		were my neighbours. If you so desire, I
17		might even give you their names and their
18		approximate number. Thank you.
19	JUDGE RAMAROSC	N:
20		Thank you. That is all.
21	MR. PRESIDENT:	
22		Yes, could you please
23	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
24		Your Honour, before we excuse the witness,
25		the Defence reserves the right to recall

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 61

1		this witness based upon our pending request
2		which has not been responded to adequately.
3	MR. PRESIDENT:	
4		Noted. Could you please tell Witness GDQ,
5		that this marks the end of his evidence. We
6		thank him. We shall have opportunity to
7		consider his evidence together with all the
8		other evidence that is going to be adduced
9		in the course of this trial.
10		
11		Once again we thank him. If there are
12		are there any Exhibits to be tendered?
13	PROFESSOR HIND	s:
14		What we have, Your Honour, are prior
15		inconsistencies with respect to the
16		statement, the same as we have with GAP.
17	MR. PRESIDENT:	
18		Which have not been finalised?
19	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
20		Which have not been finalised.
21	MR. PRESIDENT:	
22		Those could be dealt with in the absence of
23		the witness, Mr. Fleming, of course?
24	MR. FLEMING:	
25		Yes. Mr. President.

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 62

1	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
2			All right, the witness can leave.
3	THE	WITNESS:	
4			Thank you, Mr. President.
5	MR.	FLEMING:	
6			Thank you, Your Honour. It is ten to one,
7			the next witness is not particularly well,
8			but I can usefully take up the next few
9			minutes in a couple of matters that I want
10			to raise. And if it was suitable to the
11			Court we could commence the next witness
12			immediately after the luncheon adjournment.
13	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
14			Okay.
15	MR.	FLEMING:	
16			Your Honour, there are two issues that we
17			
			want to raise. The first is what my learned
18			<pre>want to raise. The first is what my learned friend has just said about we haven't</pre>
18 19			
			friend has just said about we haven't
19			friend has just said about we haven't responded adequately to his request. My
19 20			friend has just said about we haven't responded adequately to his request. My understanding is that we have, in that we
19 20 21			friend has just said about we haven't responded adequately to his request. My understanding is that we have, in that we have no materials. We have been told we are
19 20 21 22			friend has just said about we haven't responded adequately to his request. My understanding is that we have, in that we have no materials. We have been told we are not going to get any materials. We would
19 20 21 22 23			friend has just said about we haven't responded adequately to his request. My understanding is that we have, in that we have no materials. We have been told we are not going to get any materials. We would continue that debate with Rwanda because

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 63

1	obtain this material we will pass it on.
2	
3	The second matter is one which is concerning
4	us. It goes back to an argument that we had
5	earlier on in this matter, and that was in
6	respect of the role as we call it in Brown
7	and Dan. Now, Your Honours might well
8	recall that this was raised at the end of
9	one witness and where nothing was put to
10	that witness in respect of the Defence case.
11	It was at that point in time, for the first
12	time, we discovered there was going to be an
13	alibi evidence. So, it is the same
14	argument, again, Your Honours. It would be
15	a mistake if my learned friend thought that
16	I didn't know what has been going on in this
17	courtroom because I am well-informed and we
18	can, in fact, listen to the proceedings in
19	any event.
20	
21	But let me take a simple example of our
22	concerns. The Rule in Brown and Dan is a
23	rule of fairness. The witness is entitled
24	to be given the opportunity to say what he
25	can about any particular matter, especially

1	if there is going to be an inference drawn
2	against a particular witness, in, for
3	example, in respect of credibility.
4	
5	Now, the simple example I gave is the last
6	photograph that was shown to the witness.
7	All that we got from our learned friend was
8	an enigmatic smile rather than as one would
9	have expected in compliance in the Rule of
10	Brown and Dan that he be informed that,
11	despite his lack of memory, that this was a
12	particular location; now, what do you say
13	about that?
14	
15	He was left with nothing. If our learned
16	friend is going to make an inference, and ${\tt I}$
17	use only this one example and there were
18	many others, if he is going to make an
19	inference in respect of the credibility of
20	this witness, in that he didn't recognise
21	that particular place, then he had an
22	obligation to put to the witness what that
23	place was and to have the witness comment or
24	it.
25	

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II

1		Now, I recognise that this is a rule
2		peculiar to those systems which have
3		followed the British system more closely and
4		I recognise that it is not a rule that is
5		practised in the US and they have, of
6		course, argued vehemently against it because
7		they take a view that it constitutes giving
8		evidence from the bar table, in fact.
9		
10		So, we recognise the rule as one relating to
11		a narrow section of the legal community, but
12		it is something which this Court obviously
13		has to determine whether or not it wants the
14		rule applied or doesn't want the rule
15		applied.
16		
17		I say no more on that matter than that, Your
18		Honour, but we will be making submissions at
19		the end of the day if those matters haven't
20		been put to various witnesses.
21		
22		I said more by way of alerting the Court and
23		my learned friend to this proposition.
24		Thank you, Mr. President.
25	MR. PRESIDENT:	

1		Thank you, Mr. Fleming. Do you want to
2		comment on Mr. Fleming's observations?
3	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
4		Yes, Your Honour, I was just going to say,
5		briefly, that the record so far with respect
6		to detained witnesses is very clear. The
7		Defence has made our request for disclosures
8		in writing on the record by way of motions
9		and the Court has ruled with respect to
10		that. We have a communique from the
11		Prosecutor General from the Republic of
12		Rwanda dated November 26, 2001, in which he
13		set out, by way of reference, the request
14		for copies for statements for confessions by
15		Rwandan detainee witnesses appearing before
16		your Chamber and the Court is aware of the
17		contents of that letter.
18		
19		In substance, we received nothing. These
20		witnesses have testified, we believe there
21		may be relevant and probative evidence with
22		respect to their testimony which we are
23		entitled to pursuant to Rule 68 and in the
24		interest of justice the Defence intends,
25		Your Honour, to follow a supplemental motion

1	with respect to the enforcement of your
2	order.
3	
4	Now, we realise there are limits with
5	respect to Article 28 and we understand
6	that this Court is limited with respect to
7	what you can do pursuant to Rule 7 bis and
8	so that may be the limits with respect to
9	what this Chamber may do in this regard.
10	
11	But that would not be the end of the problem
12	because we then have a situation where a
13	Defendant stands accused of one of the most
14	horrible crimes that any individual could be
15	accused of, and we have witnesses where the
16	truthfulness of what they have said before
17	this Tribunal may very well be refuted by
18	statements in the hands of the Rwandese
19	authorities who should be interested in the
20	same thing we are interested in, which is
21	what? The truth. Nothing more but the
22	truth. And so, therefore, in this letter of
23	the 26th they talk about security issues,
24	safety of survivors, potential witnesses and
25	so on. No offer is made to redact anything

1	out of it. The very same witnesses have
2	made statements before the ICTR. They name
3	names and so on and so forth. But the only
4	statements that are being withheld are
5	statements that may, in fact, cast doubt on
6	the truthfulness of what they have said
7	here and I think that this Chamber, and we
8	will be making an application with respect
9	to this Chamber responding in the
10	appropriate fashion to the November 26th
11	letter because it impacts on how we go
12	forward.
13	
14	Now, with respect to the commentary on
15	whether or not there is a duty on the part
16	of the Defence, if the Defence presents
17	evidence to a witness and the witness says
18	that he does not, in fact, remember, does
19	not recognise the document. Whether or not
20	I am under any duty to notify the witness, I
21	say categorically, no. Mr. Fleming got up
22	and he has the right, the duty, somewhere he
23	even said, obligation, to, in fact, during
24	his re-direct, to clarify, assist the
25	witness, do whatever.

1		
2		To suggest that there is some burden on us
3		is not only unfounded but there is no
4		basis.
5		
6		Now, with respect to an inference that has
7		been made with and I don't know if Mr.
8		Fleming was talking about alibi witnesses,
9		he didn't say that, but I didn't know
10		whether they were cited properly.
11		
12		I have been in discussions with Ms. Ojemeni
13		during his absence and I made certain
14		commitments to her concerning resolving the
15		questions about disclosing the identity of
16		those witnesses within the confines of them
17		being protected witnesses and that is
18		ongoing and we have some proposals.
19		
20		Again, I don't know if he was addressing
21		that issue, but if he isn't then I have no
22		other comment with respect to what he said.
23	MR. FLEMING:	
24		However, it will become necessary to address
25		that issue soon because despite the fact

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 70

1		that Your honours have made orders in
2		respect of protection of witnesses, our
3		learned friends want us to enter into
4		agreements over and above the orders that
5		Your Honours have made. Now, if I am not
6		going to be bound by one of your orders in
7		respect of that those witnesses then I am
8		certainly not going to be bound by an
9		agreement. However, we give this Court the
10		solemn undertaking that I will be bound by
11		the orders of this Court. One attracts
12		contempt, the other might only attract
13		damages and I am more than happy to go by
14		the one that attracts contempt and we will
15		abide by the order of the Court in respect
16		of those witnesses. Thank you, Your
17		Honours.
18	MR. PRESIDENT:	
19		Yes, thank you, Counsel. I think we can
20		only take note of what has been said by
21		Counsel on this matter, Mr. Fleming, and the
22		response made by Professor Hinds. There is
23		no formal issue raised before the Chamber
24		for determination, but there are, no doubt,
25		very, very important issues that will need

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 71

1	to be addressed as we go along.
2	
3	The first one dealing with the question of
4	the statement, the Trial Chamber has, as you
5	both rightly observed, had made a ruling on
6	that and we have to take note that Mr.
7	Fleming has said that they are still and
8	will continue to follow this matter with the
9	authorities in Rwanda. And reading also the
10	letter of the 26th November that has been
11	referred to, one tends to think it does not
12	close the door in the sense that I think the
13	last paragraph seems to suggest that they
14	could open for consideration in dealing with
15	specific requests, if our reading is
16	correct.
17	
18	On the second issue raised by Mr. Fleming
19	there are two one touching on the element
20	of alibi which both of you, I think, have
21	touched on. I hope this matter will be
22	sorted out soon and as the Prosecution
23	rightly pointed out Rule 67, of course,
24	gives a time frame within which issues of
25	Rules of Procedure and Evidence issues of

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 72

1	alibi are to be worked out and the
2	importance of it is that it is true that
3	witnesses who appear before the Trial
4	Chamber and place an accused person or
5	accused persons to a particular place, they
6	must be given opportunity to comment on any
7	possible absence of that particular accused
8	person at that particular place and at that
9	particular time. These questions are
10	extremely important, these witnesses must be
11	given opportunity to respond to that. I
12	hope this is being done so that we don't
13	have to go over again at a later stage. So,
14	I think it is very valid in that context
15	that these aspects were sorted out as soon
16	as possible.
17	
18	The other matter of a general nature dealing
19	with the principles that have been raised by
20	Mr. Fleming and responded to by Professor
21	Hinds, we are in the process, as it were,
22	the Tribunal, of developing the
23	jurisprudence on all these issues and the
24	Rules are permissive, in particular Rule
25	89(B) but the underlying principle is

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II

1	fairness in dealing with the nature of our
2	work and to act in consonant with the spirit
3	of the statute and the rules. That is a
4	duty that enjoined on all of us and to the
5	Counsels as officers of the Court. But we
6	take note of those observations.
7	
8	So, we will adjourn the proceedings to 2:30
9	when we shall have the next witness. The
10	proceedings stand adjourned until 2:30.
11	(Court adjourned at 1305H)
12	
13	(Pages 32 to 74 by Regina Limula)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

REGINA LIMULA -OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 74

1			1435H
2	MR.	. PRESIDENT:	
3			The proceedings are called to order. Yes,
4			your next witness.
5	MS.	. OJEMENI:	
6			May it please, Your Honours. The
7			Prosecution calls Witness GDT.
8	MR.	. PRESIDENT:	
9			GDT. This will be PW12, I suppose?
10			
11			Could you please, swear the witness.
12			
13			(Witness GDT, duly sworn in)
14	MR.	. PRESIDENT:	
15			Yes. Interpreter, could you please tell
16			Witness GDT that she has just made a solemn
17			declaration and that if she is not familiar
18			as to how our evidence is being taken here,
19			we'll explain a few essential things. She
20			will be led in her evidence in chief by the
21			Prosecution who are seated on this side of
22			the courtroom. Thereafter questions will be
23			put to her in cross-examination by the
24			Defence who are seated on this side of the
25			courtroom. The Judges sitting in front of

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 75

1		her, may also ask questions if they have
2		such questions to ask, to seek clarification
3		of her evidence as she gives it.
4		
5		Yes. If a question put to her is not clear
6		or she does not understand it she should say
7		so and it will be repeated or explained.
8		Yes, these are the few essential things we
9		want to tell her before she begins her
10		evidence.
11		
12		Yes. Learned counsel for the Prosecution,
13		please.
14	MS. OJEMENI:	
15		Thank you, Your Honour.
16	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
17		Can we have the witness seat closer to the
18		microphone, please?
19	MR. PRESIDENT:	
20		Yes.
21		
22		EXAMINATION-IN-CHIEF
23	BY MS. OJEMEN	ī:
24	Q.	Witness, I will show you a document
25		

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 76

1	MR. PRESIDENT:	
2		Maybe she can come near to the microphone so
3		that she can be heard when she speaks. Can
4		she come forward and she can speak loudly in
5		Kinyarwanda so that those who
6		understand Kinyarwanda can follow her.
7		
8		Okay, please, Counsel.
9	BY MS. OJEMENI	::
10	Q.	Witness, I will show you a document. I
11		would like you to take a look at this
12		document and confirm if the details are
13		correct?
14	MR. PRESIDENT:	
15		Yes, please show it to her.
16	BY MS. OJEMENI	:
17	Q.	Are the details correct or not?
18	Α.	Yes, that is correct, madame.
19	Q.	Your Honour, I seek to tender the document
20		as Exhibit P17.
21	MR. PRESIDENT:	
22		Yes.
23	MS. OJEMENI:	
24		And I request that the document be kept
25		under seal because it contains the

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 77

	1		particulars of this witness who is
	2		protected.
	3		
	4	MR. PRESIDENT:	
	5		Yes, any objection from the Defence.
	6	PROFESSOR HINDS	S:
	7		No objection.
	8		
	9		(Exhibit No. P17 was admitted)
	10	MR. PRESIDENT:	
	11		Yes, so let the document containing the
	12		particulars of Witness GDT be admitted as
	13		Prosecution Exhibit 17 and that it be kept
	14		under seal to protect the details which
	15		belong to a protected witness.
	16	MR. KIYEYEU:	
	17		We have taken note, My Lord.
	18	MS. OJEMENI:	
	19		As the Court pleases.
4	20	MR. PRESIDENT:	
4	21		Yes, Counsel.
4	22	BY MS. OJEMENI	:
,	23	Q.	Witness, I will be asking you a series of
4	24		questions and I want you to answer these
4	25		questions as precise as possible.

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II

1		
2		Could you tell this court if you heard about
3		the death of President Habyarimana?
4	Α.	Yes, I learnt about the death of President
5		Habyarimana over the radio.
6	Q.	Can you recall the year he died?
7	Α.	He died in 1994.
8	Q.	Could you recall the date of the morning you
9		said you heard about his death?
10	Α.	Yes, that was one morning at five o'clock in
11		the morning when I switched on my radio, it
12		was the 7th of April.
13	Q.	Where were you when you heard about his
14		death? Where were you?
15	Α.	I was still in bed it was very early in the
16		morning.
17	Q.	You were in bed where, home or outside home?
18	Α.	At home, madame.
19	Q.	Is home where you were in 1994, as stated in
20		the document that I showed you which is now
21		admitted as Exhibit P17?
22	Α.	Yes, madame.
23	Q.	Who was with you at this time when you heard
24		about his death?
25	Α.	I was with my husband and my children,

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 79

1		madame.
2	Q.	How did you feel when you heard about his
3		death?
4	Α.	I was frightened.
5	Q.	Why were you frightened?
6	Α.	I was frightened because we had just lost
7		the head of state, and I was frightened.
8	Q.	What is your ethnicity?
9	Α.	I am Tutsi, madame.
10	Q.	What did you then do when you heard about
11		his death? Did you do anything?
12	Α.	I remained at home up to 5:30 in the
13		afternoon when we heard somebody called
14		Kanuma Joseph, knock at our door.
15	Q.	What happened when he knocked at your door?
16	Α.	We opened the door and he came into the
17		house and announced the death of the
18		President, and then he told us at the same
19		time at that very moment that blood was
20		flowing, and blood was being spilt, rather,
21		and in Kigali blood was flowing already. He
22		told my husband, you, too, you are not going
23		to get away from this because of your wife.
24	Q.	Why did he say that to your husband?
25	Α.	He told him that because my husband was Hutu

1		and I am Tutsi and at that very time they
2		were killing the Tutsi and not the Hutu,
3		madame.
4	Q.	Who is this Joseph Kanuma that you have just
5		told this court that said this to your
6		husband?
7	Α.	I am sorry, madame.
8	Q.	Who is Kanuma Joseph that you said came to
9		your house and told your husband that he
10		would not be spared because of his wife, who
11		is he?
12	Α.	He was a peasant farmer but a friend.
13	Q.	What is his ethnicity, do you know?
14	Α.	He too was Hutu. Let me spell Kanuma.
15		K-A-N-U-M-A.
16	Q.	Did any other person come to your house that
17		same day?
18	Α.	After his departure a child come at around
19		10 o'clock. The child came from the other
20		side of the river. We were neighbours with
21		the child's family but between Mukingo and
22		Kinigi there's a river which is the boundary
23		so that we were neighbours apart from this
24		river that went across.
25	Q.	Now you said this child came after Joseph

1		left, at about ten, is that correct?
2	Α.	That is correct, madame.
3	Q.	Ten in the morning or in the night?
4	Α.	It was 10 in the morning, madame.
5	Q.	When did Joseph Kanuma come, can you recall
6		the time?
7	Α.	He arrived at 5:30. That is between 5:30
8		and 6 o'clock in the morning, madame. I
9		didn't look at the o'clock. This is just an
10		estimate.
11	Q.	When Joseph told your husband that blood was
12		flowing, did he explain what he meant by
13		that?
14	Α.	Well, he told him that in Kigali people were
15		being killed and it was thus because people
16		were being killed he added that even in the
17		streets they were saying that all the Tutsi
18		will be killed as well as those that were
19		married to Tutsi.
20	Q.	The child that came thereafter, did he say
21		anything to you or to your husband or to
22		your hearing?
23	Α.	Well, he turned to me and said that it was
24		his or her mother that sent him or her to
25		warn me that an attack was going to be

1		launched against me and that we were to run
2		away and hide. And he added that the
3		mother-in-law of my father had just been
4		killed and that we should try to flee and
5		seek refuge somewhere.
6	Q.	What was the ethnicity of your mother-in-law
7		that was killed?
8	Α.	That was the maternal aunt of my father.
9	Q.	Yes, what was her ethnicity?
10	Α.	She was Tutsi, madame.
11	Q.	Can you recall the name of the mother of
12		this child that came to give you this
13		message?
14	Α.	Yes, madame.
15	Q.	Can you give us the name?
16	Α.	Yes, I could give you the name but perhaps
17		if I tell you the name that might reveal my
18		identity, madame.
19	Q.	I am referring to the mother of the child
20		not the mother of your father?
21	MR. PRESIDENT:	
22		That's her fear anyway. Maybe try to find
23		out
24	BY MS. OJEMENI:	:
25	Q.	If that will reveal your identity then I

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 83

1		pass for this question. I withdraw it.
2	Α.	But I can write it down.
3	MS. OJEMENI:	
4		It's no problem. Don't bother, I withdraw
5		the question.
6	MR. PRESIDENT	
7		Maybe you can come back to it.
8	MS. OJEMENI:	
9		Yes, My Lord.
10	BY MS. OJEMEN	[:
11	Q.	Now when this child left, after you heard
12		this news, did you do anything?
13	Α.	I did not do anything because after a few
14		moments the attacks started.
15	Q.	Which attack are you referring to?
16	Α.	The attack I am talking about or the attack
17		as per the group of Interahamwe that
18		arrived, that I started hearing boisterous
19		noise and the noise was coming from Mukingo.
20		
21		Now, since I realised that I couldn't do
22		anything I hid myself under the bed and I
23		said to myself, let them come and do what
24		they want to do. They came and entered the
25		house. From where I was, I could hear some

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II

1		of them taking objects in the house and
2		others were saying, well, start by looking
3		for the woman. They saw me and drew me
4		outside.
5	MS. OJEMENI:	
6		Can you translate, please?
7	MR. PRESIDENT:	
8		No, let the translation to come through
9		easily.
10	THE WITNESS:	
11		Once we were out, I realised that they had
12		also found my husband, who had been hiding
13		in the toilet. They took him and told him,
14		"we are going to kill your wife, and you,
15		too, we are not going to spare you". They
16		took me and took me along. And along the
17		way they were beating me. They made me
18		cross a road and then we took a path that
19		was going down the hill, and one of them
20		told me that Kajelijeli told them that he
21		was in the bar and told them, "you must
22		bring me that lady before I finish drinking
23		this bottle".
24	Q.	Where were your children at this point? Can
25		you recall?

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 85

1	Α.	No, I learnt about the place where my
2		children were once I returned after the war,
3		from my place of refuge.
4	Q.	You said they took you. Where did they take
5		you to?
6	Α.	They took me very near a river, called river
7		Kazi. Kazi is spelt, K-A-Z-I, madame.
8	Q.	This person who told you about Kajelijeli
9		did he say anything else to you?
10	Α.	No, the person in question was not talking
11		to me. He was rather talking to his
12		Interahamwe colleagues and he spoke to them.
13		On the way, he told them, among other
14		things, that if a link were made between the
15		killers of Rwenkeri then he would be the
16		first among the killers.
17	Q.	Who would be the first among the killers?
18		Who are you referring to?
19	Α.	It was Kajelijeli.
20	Q.	Did you hear them say anything else?
21	Α.	No, sir, those were the only statements I
22		heard them make because when they finished
23		making that statement we got to the river
24		and as soon as we got to the river they
25		pushed me down and I must say that at that

1		stage I was very tired. Very tired because
2		of the blows that they gave me. They started
3		spreading my legs and started raping me at
4		the river.
5	Q.	Can you estimate the distance between your
6		house and to this river where you were at
7		this point, that's river Kazi? The distance
8		between your house and the river, is it
9		possible for you to give this court an
10		estimate in meters?
11	Α.	It is not a very long distance. It should
12		be between thirty and forty steps.
13	Q.	We will come back to the rape you just
14		mentioned. I would want you to describe to
15		this court what you mean by the word
16		Interahamwe?
17	Α.	The Interahamwes are special persons who are
18		of Hutu ethnic origin and used to go around
19		with weapons, axes, spears, clubs. Clubs
20		that were called Namungano (sic). I spell
21		N-T-A-M-U-P-O-N-G-A-N-O, Namungano (sic)
22		Witness adds, Y-'-U-M-W-A-N-Z-I. They also
23		wore cups, which distinguished them from
24		other civilians or soldiers. Besides some
25		of them had guns and they would go around

1		making a lot of noise. These are the people
2		that are called the Interahamwe or the CDR.
3	Q.	Now on that day that you were being taken
4		down to the river, can you recall if it was
5		only Interahamwes that were amongst the
6		people taking you down to the river?
7	Α.	There were soldiers, as well, and I saw
8		them.
9	Q.	About how many people did you see on that
10		day taking you down? About how many of them
11		did you see taking you to the river, can you
12		remember, just an approximate?
13	Α.	There were many about 20. Their colleagues
14		stayed behind in my house and continued with
15		the looting.
16	Q.	Did you recognise anybody amongst the people
17		talking you down?
18	Α.	Yes, I was able to recognise some of them.
19	Q.	Can you give us the names of the people you
20		recognized?
21	Α.	I remember one Gahamanyi Munyarimbaje and
22		Bugeri. I spell Gahamanyi
23		G-A-H-A-M-A-N-Y-I, Ngahamanyi. The other
24		was Bugeri B-U-G-E-R-I. These were the two
25		that I recognized among the people who took

1		me to the river. Regarding the third person
2		that I mentioned, that is Munyaribazhe, he
3		was not with us when we went down to the
4		river. I spell Munyaribanje
5		M-U-N-Y-A-R-I-B-A-N-J-E, Munyaribaje.
6	Q.	Can you tell this court where these people
7		were coming from?
8	Α.	These persons came from Mukingo.
9	Q.	I would like you to explain for us about
10		these three people. Gahamani, was he a
11		soldier, Interahamwe or a civilian, would
12		you tell?
13	Α.	Gahamani was a soldier.
14	Q.	Bugeri, would you also tell?
15	Α.	Bugeri was a forest ranger who worked at the
16		park.
17	Q.	Was he an Interahamwe or a civilian?
18	Α.	He was forest ranger.
19	Q.	Would you tell us what he was wearing on
20		that day, is it possible?
21	Α.	He was wearing the uniform of forest
22		rangers.
23	Q.	Munyaribaje, was he an Interahamwe or
24		military or civilian, would you tell?
25	А.	Munyaribaje was an Interahamwe.

1	Q.	Could you tell the ethnicity of these three
2		persons?
3	Α.	These three persons were Hutus.
4	Q.	About what time? Can you recall the time
5		that they were taking you to the river?
6		About what time was that?
7	Α.	I told you that I saw them at ten. They
8		came to my house at ten. It is true that
9		time did not stop at that time, but I was
10		not able to check the time. So I am just
11		giving you an approximate time.
12	Q.	Were these people armed? Could you tell?
13	Α.	All these people were harmed.
14	Q.	Can you tell the court some of the types of
15		weapons you saw with them?
16	Α.	I told you that that they had guns,
17		machetes, spears, sticks, and clubs. Clubs
18		that had been nicknamed Nabogano Imazi.
19		All these people were armed.
20	Q.	I would want you now to describe to this
21		Court what happened to you at that river?
22	Α.	When we got to the riverside, they pushed me
23		down, spread my legs, one person held one
24		leg and another person held the other leg.
25		They then spread my legs and started raping

1		me. I don't know if you understand what I
2		mean. What it means, to force somebody to
3		have a sexual relationship with you.
4	Q.	Can you explain to this court what you mean
5		by having sexual relationship with you?
6		Describe exactly what they did to you if it
7		is possible?
8	Α.	Well, if that's what you want, I will give
9		an explanation, the way you want it. By
10		that I mean that all these people took their
11		turns in inserting their sexual organs in my
12		sexual organ, one after the other, and when
13		the 6th person finished raping me I became
14		unconscious and I was unable to count the
15		number of the persons that raped me.
16	Q.	Did you resist at any point in time?
17	Α.	No, I was unable. I could not resist them.
18	Q.	Why? Why couldn't you resist them?
19	Α.	They were many and they were armed while I
20		was not armed and even if I was armed I
21		think my weapon would have been useless.
22	Q.	Did they do anything else to you, apart from
23		penetrating your sexual organs? Did they do
24		anything else to you?
25	Α.	Subsequently I realised that they had cut

1		off a part of my sexual organ, but I cannot
2		tell the weapon or the tool that they used
3		to cut it off but I observed a cutting.
4	Q.	Could you tell this court exactly what part
5		of your sexual organ that was cut off?
6	Α.	I don't know how to say it but they cut off
7		a portion of the skin, which is part of the
8		sexual organ.
9	Q.	What did you do hereafter, if any?
10	Α.	I didn't do anything else. In fact they cut
11		off that part before they left, and they
12		thought I was dead, and I remained there.
13		In the evening I moved slowly, got closer to
14		the path. I intended to show myself so they
15		can finish me off because there was no
16		reason for living, and the following day I
17		heard voices coming from the road. People
18		were carrying each other saying that, "Run
19		because the Inkotanyi are coming," but I
20		remained there. Then I saw the soldiers
21		pass by. Then I called. My voice was very
22		low. I did not know that the Inkontanyi
23		could save me, because I did not know them,
24		but the reason for calling the soldiers was
25		to ask them to finish me off. One soldier

1		came in answer to my call. He got closer to
2		me and took me with him. When the soldier
3		realised that I was not able to walk, he
4		called his colleagues and they took me to a
5		place called Butaro, that is where I was
6		evacuated and that was where I was treated.
7		I spell Butaro, B-U-T-A-R-O. And when I
8		got to Butaro I met my husband and my
9		children, who had already gotten there.
10		They were also evacuated by the Inkontanyi.
11	Q.	Can you recall how long the bleeding lasted?
12	Α.	The bleeding lasted one week and during that
13		one week I was being treated.
14	Q.	What do you mean by the word Inkontanyi?
15	Α.	The Inkotanyi make up the current Rwandan
16		army, and that is how they were called at
17		the time.
18	Q.	Did any member of your family, sorry, I
19		withdraw. Was any member of your family
20		wounded, as well, during this period? Can
21		you recall?
22	Α.	Who were wounded?
23	Q.	I withdraw this question. I will ask it in
24		another way. Did anything happen to any
25		member of your family? Your family, I mean

1		your kids and husband?
2	Α.	My elder daughter was shot at, at close
3		range, and she lost one leg. And my parents
4		were massacred.
5	Q.	Where was your daughter shot? Where was it?
6		On the same day that Interahamwes and the
7		soldiers came to your place?
8	Α.	My father was, first of all, locked up in
9		1990 on the charges that he was an
10		accomplice. He used to work in Mukingo and
11		that is where Kajelijeli arrested him and
12		locked him up.
13	Q.	Witness, my question was, was it the same
14		attack that took place on the 7th that
15		caused your daughter's leg to be shot? Was
16		it that same date that your daughter was
17		shot? And where did this take place?
18	Α.	Yes, it was the same day.
19	Q.	Could you recall where it took place? Was
20		it at your house or somewhere else?
21	Α.	She was shot at when she was running from
22		our house. I did not see her when she was
23		being shot at, but I got to know when she
24		came back from her hiding place.
25	Q.	How old was she at the time when she was

1		shot? How old was she?
2	Α.	She was 16 years old but following that I
3		was told that she was shot at when she was
4		in the maize farm.
5	Q.	You said you lost your father in 1994, is
6		that correct?
7	Α.	I told you that she (sic) was locked up.
8		She (sic) was killed in 1991 when they
9		arrested the people they referred to as
10		accomplices.
11	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
12		Your Honour, I think that the Prosecutor
13		should direct the witness with respect to
14		events preceding 1994, in terms of where we
15		are going.
16	MS. OJEMENI:	
17		I am sure my learned friend heard my first
18		question.
19	MR. PRESIDENT:	
20		I think
21	MS. OJEMENI:	
22		I have confined myself to events in 1994.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:	
24		Can you try?
25	MS. OJEMENI:	

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 95

1		And I was waiting for a response before I
2		say something.
3	MR. PRESIDENT	?:
4		Okay. You can find a way, perhaps, of
5		having your question answered in a specific
6		way.
7	BY MS. OJEMEN	II:
8	Q.	Witness, is your father alive?
9	Α.	Yes, he is alive.
10	Q.	Is your mother alive?
11	Α.	No, she is not alive.
12	Q.	Can you tell me in which year she died, just
13		the year?
14	Α.	My mother?
15	Q.	Yes.
16	Α.	She died recently during the period referred
17		to as abachechezi, that was in 1998.
18		
19		(Pages 75 to 96 by Judith Kapatamoyo)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

JUDITH KAPATAMOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 96

1		1600н
2	Q.	Thank you. Witness, you mentioned that one
3		of the attackers, you overheard your
4		attackers say that, you overheard them
5		saying that Kajelijeli was waiting at a bar
6		and had asked that you be brought; is that
7		correct?
8	Α.	Yes, that is correct.
9	Q.	Do you know Kajelijeli?
10	Α.	I saw him only once.
11	Q.	Where was this?
12	Α.	I saw him at the Kinigi communal office. I
13		spell Kinigi, K-I-N-I-G-I.
14	Q.	Can you recall the year that you saw him?
15	A.	That was a long time ago, I do not recall.
16	Q.	Could you recall what you were doing there
17		at the Kinigi communal office on that day?
18	Α.	I was a cellule member.
19	THE ENGLISH I	NTERPRETER:
20		But the witness has just told me that she
21		sees that he resembles that man.
22	THE WITNESS:	
23		I was a cellule member.
24	BY MS. OJEMEN	I:
25	Q.	Which man are you referring to?

1	Α.	The one over there towards the back.
2	Q.	What is he wearing?
3	Α.	He is wearing a tie and glasses, spectacles.
4	Q.	You said you saw this man a long time ago,
5		didn't you?
6	Α.	Yes, that was a long time ago.
7	Q.	Are you sure about what you are telling this
8		Court now, that he resembles the man there?
9	Α.	Yes, I am sure.
10	MS. OJEMENI:	
11		Your Honours, may the record reflect that
12		the witness has identified the Accused.
13	THE ENGLISH I	NTERPRETER:
14		Microphone, Counsel.
15	PROFESSOR HIN	os:
16		Your Honour, I am not prepared to stipulate
17		there has been any ID made. You know, I
18		have done so in the past, but I don't think
19		that we have an ID.
20	MR. PRESIDENT	
21		I think the most we can say, Counsel, is
22		that the witness points at the Accused as
23		being the person resembling the person she
24		saw.
25	MS. OJEMENI:	

1		Thank you, Your Honour.
2	MR. PRESIDENT:	
3		The record must reflect what the witness has
4		said.
5	MS. OJEMENI:	
6		Thank you, Your Honour. May the record
7		reflect that the witness has identified the
8		Accused as the person that she saw on that
9		day. I mean resembles the person she saw on
10		that day.
11	MR. PRESIDENT:	
12		Yes.
13	BY MS. OJEMENI	:
14	Q.	Now, Witness, you said you were a member of
15		cellule, could you explain exactly what you
16		mean by that? What do you mean by a member
17		of cellule?
18	Α.	A member of the committee of the cellule.
19		Members of the committee of the cellule were
20		responsible for settling disputes or
21		problems that might occur within the local
22		community.
23	Q.	How many members are there in one committee,
24		in a cellule committee? How many members
25		are usually how many members are

1		usually make up a cellule committee? How
2		many are you? Can you remember?
3	Α.	The committee was made up of five members.
4		We were four and the person in charge, the
5		responsable was the fifth. And the local
6		problems, which could not be resolved by the
7		responsable, were forwarded to the
8		conseiller, that is at the sectoral level.
9		And each member of the cellule had an
10		appropriate responsibility. I was
11		responsible for women, creating awareness
12		amongst them; for instance, with regard to
13		immunisation campaigns; for instance, to
14		make sure they had their children
15		vaccinated.
16	Q.	Can you remember how long you were a member
17		of the cellule committee? Can you remember
18		the year and for how long?
19	А.	I do not remember the years, but we were
20		elected by the people.
21	Q.	When did you cease to be a member, can you
22		remember?
23	Α.	Our term came to an end with the defeat of
24		the former regime. I want to talk about my
25		own personal case, that is my own term of

1		office. I was no longer a member of the
2		cellule committee when the war erupted.
3	Q.	Which war are you referring to?
4	Α.	I am talking about the war which started in
5		1990.
6	Q.	When did you say your tenure ceased?
7	Α.	At the beginning of the war in 1990, there
8		were meetings. The purpose of those
9		meetings was to plan how to kill the
10		Bagogwes. The holding of those meetings was
11		hidden from me
12	Q.	Witness, please, could you answer my
13		question before we come to that. When did
14		your tenure as a member of cellule committee $% \left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left( \frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left($
15		cease? Save your answers, I'll ask you the
16		right questions.
17	THE ENGLISH IN	TERPRETER:
18		It is in the latter part of her answer.
19	THE WITNESS:	
20		The holding of such meetings was hidden from $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) $
21		me. Sometimes I was informed when the
22		meetings were over. On one occasion, I
23		appeared at the meeting and $\ensuremath{\textsc{I}}$ was thrown out
24		of the meeting and I did not go back to the
25		meetings of the committee.

1	BY MS. OJEMEN	I:
2	Q.	Could you recall the year this happened?
3	Α.	Yes, I remember it was in 1993.
4	Q.	The other four members of that committee,
5		were they in office up to 1994, can you
6		recall?
7	Α.	Yes.
8	Q.	Now why did you stop going to meetings?
9	PROFESSOR HIN	DS:
10		Objection, Your Honour, I thought that was
11		asked and answered. Objection, Your Honour
12		I thought that was answered. She stopped
13		going to meetings because she was thrown
14		out.
15	MS. OJEMENI:	
16		Your Honour, this question is very relevant
17		and it has probative value. It's on
18		background information.
19	PROFESSOR HIN	DS:
20		Your Honour, my objection is that the
21		counsel is asking the witness: Why didn't
22		she continue to go to meetings? The
23		witness, I thought, had answered that she
24		was thrown out of one of the meetings and
25		never returned. I thought that was

	answered.
MR. PRESIDENT:	
	That was the answer of the witness, but she
	said that she was thrown out or she found
	out some meetings where she was not
	either being not accepted and then, after
	that, she stopped.
MS. OJEMENI:	
	Your Honour, the answer will lead to another
	question.
MR. PRESIDENT:	
	Okay, you can ask for
MS. OJEMENI:	
	verification purposes.
BY MS. OJEMENI	:
Q.	Witness, could you answer my question: Why
	did you stop going to meetings in 1993 when
	others continued up to 1994?
Α.	The reason is that once I appeared at the
	meeting and I was expelled, and I was not
	sick, and I did not ask for leave, and I
	wasn't the only one because all Tutsis who
	were members were expelled. Something else,
	what I had learned about the resolutions of
	the meeting, now the other members of the
	MS. OJEMENI:  MR. PRESIDENT:  MS. OJEMENI:  BY MS. OJEMENI Q.

1		cellule told me that it would serve no
2		purpose for you to come back to the meeting.
3	PROFESSOR HIN	DS:
4		Objection, Your Honour.
5	MR. PRESIDENT	:
6		What would be your objection, Counsel?
7	PROFESSOR HIN	DS:
8		Your Honour, what we are hearing now is she
9		was not at a meeting and now she's talking
10		about what others told her occurred at the
11		meeting.
12	BY MS. OJEMEN	I:
13	Q.	Witness, did you later find out why all
14		Tutsis were sent out of that meeting?
15	Α.	Yes, I got to know.
16	Q.	Can you tell this Court why or what your
17		findings were?
18	Α.	After we left, I went back home and a member
19		of the cellule who attended the meeting came
20		and told me it's better
21	PROFESSOR HIN	DS:
22		Objection, Your Honour. Again, it's the
23		same basis. This witness is now talking
24		about after she went home, somebody came and
25		told her.

1	MS.	OJEMENI:	
2			Your Honour
3	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
4			It's permissible under the rules. But,
5			Counsel, you must determine you're
6			talking about events after 1993 and the
7			relevance of it and the probative value, so
8			you can ask it for whatever it is worth.
9	MS.	OJEMENI:	
10			Thank you, Your Honour.
11			
12			Please, Interpreter, could you interpret the
13			answer?
14	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
15			I hope you move to the next issue after
16			this.
17	MS.	OJEMENI:	
18			I'll try, Your Honour.
19	THE	WITNESS:	
20			After we left, I went back home to my house
21			and a member of the cellule came and told
22			me. It was good as you were not at the
23			meeting because the discussions revolved
24			around contributions to be made with respect
25			to desensitisation of members of the

1		community, so as to urge them to massacre
2		Tutsis at the appropriate time.
3	BY MS. OJEMEN	NI:
4	Q.	Is it possible for you to recall the name of
5		this person that told you this?
6	Α.	Yes, I remember his name. But, if I were to
7		mention his name here, his identity would be
8		disclosed.
9	Q.	You mean his identity
10	THE ENGLISH	INTERPRETER:
11		My identity sorry, interpreter's error
12		my identity would be disclosed.
13	BY MS. OJEMEN	NI:
14	Q.	Could you then write it down?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	MR. PRESIDENT	Γ:
17		Yes, show it to counsel, and counsels on the
18		other side.
19		
20		Mr. President, the witness has further
21		stated that she has just inserted the first
22		name of the person as it is stated in
23		Kinyarwanda, as it appears in Kinyarwanda.
24	MR. PRESIDENT	T:
25		It seems to me as stated.

1	MS.	OJEMENI:	
2			The first name is a Kinyarwandan word, not
3			English.
4	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
5			Okay, fine.
6			
7			But having seen it, Counsel, is it
8			understandable?
9	MS.	OJEMENI:	
10			Yes, the last name can be understood.
11			
12			Your Honour, looking at the time, I don't
13			know if you want to take the break now or I
14			should continue?
15	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
16			You still have a long way to go, Counsel?
17	MS.	OJEMENI:	
18			About 30 more minutes.
19	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
20			All right. That means, perhaps, that would
21			be dealing with the examination-in-chief of
22			this witness only because so take maybe
23			even for the witness to is she saying
24			anything?
25	THE	WITNESS:	

1		Before coming here, I just gave birth and it
2		was under Cesarean, and so I would plead
3		with you, if it is possible, since I came
4		with the kid, to allow me from time to time
5		to go and breast feed my kid, you know,
6		still breast feeding, so it has nothing else
7		to eat. It was a sacrifice on my part to
8		come here and testify.
9	MR. PRESIDENT:	
10		Tell the witness we understand and whenever
11		she feels she needs it's the time to go and
12		feed her child, or to attend to any of her
13		personal problem in the light of what she
14		said, she should indicate and the
15		Trial Chamber will consider to facilitate
16		that kind of opportunity.
17		
18		Right now we are taking a break for 15
19		minutes. And, when we come, we will take
20		her evidence up to about a quarter past five
21		when we are fully adjourned to a subsequent
22		day.
23	PROFESSOR HIND	s:
24		Your Honour, given the lateness of the hour,
25		we are going to come back at a quarter of

1		five. The witness is not going to be
2		finished today and in deference to her, I
3		mean, given her situation, it would appear
4		to me that we should break early and let her
5		take care of her personal matters. I don't
6		see what we are gaining by holding her for
7		another 30 minutes.
8	MR. PRESIDENT:	
9		The child is not around here, I suppose?
10	MS. OJEMENI:	
11		She's around here, the child is here. I
12		think it will be proper for us to finish her
13		examination-in-chief today, 20 minutes and
14		I'll be done.
15	MR. PRESIDENT:	
16		Let us take the 15 minutes up to quarter to
17		five. You'll have your half hour to finish
18		your examination-in-chief and then we shall
19		see what happens about cross-examination.
20		Her health is not in danger, she's not ill
21		as such.
22	MR. PRESIDENT:	
23		We'll adjourn for 15 minutes and when we
24		come, we'll continue.
25		(Court recessed at 1630H)

please continue, learned counsel for the Prosecution, in your re-examination of the witness? Sorry? Evidence-in-chief.  BY MS. OJEMENI:  Q. Witness, before we took a break, we were talking about this meeting where you were sent out and you told the Court certain things you said certain things a member of the cellule committee told you about what transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	1		(Court resumed at 1650H)
please continue, learned counsel for the Prosecution, in your re-examination of the witness? Sorry? Evidence-in-chief.  BY MS. OJEMENI:  Q. Witness, before we took a break, we were talking about this meeting where you were sent out and you told the Court certain things you said certain things a member of the cellule committee told you about what transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	2	MR. PRESIDENT:	
Prosecution, in your re-examination of the witness? Sorry? Evidence-in-chief.  BY MS. OJEMENI:  Q. Witness, before we took a break, we were talking about this meeting where you were sent out and you told the Court certain things you said certain things a member of the cellule committee told you about what transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	3		Yes, the proceedings are resumed. Could you
witness? Sorry? Evidence-in-chief.  BY MS. OJEMENI:  Q. Witness, before we took a break, we were  talking about this meeting where you were  sent out and you told the Court certain  things you said certain things a member  of the cellule committee told you about what  transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there  was another meeting in the course of which a  proposal was made to the population to  receive guns. The volunteers were told to  come and get some guns, but I asked the one  I was talking to who those persons were that  ran that meeting and he told me that it was  Kajelijeli and Joseph  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	4		please continue, learned counsel for the
BY MS. OJEMENI:  Q. Witness, before we took a break, we were talking about this meeting where you were sent out and you told the Court certain things you said certain things a member of the cellule committee told you about what transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph  PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	5		Prosecution, in your re-examination of the
8 Q. Witness, before we took a break, we were 9 talking about this meeting where you were 10 sent out and you told the Court certain 11 things you said certain things a member 12 of the cellule committee told you about what 13 transpired at that meeting? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there 16 was another meeting in the course of which a 17 proposal was made to the population to 18 receive guns. The volunteers were told to 19 come and get some guns, but I asked the one 20 I was talking to who those persons were that 21 ran that meeting and he told me that it was 22 Kajelijeli and Joseph 23 PROFESSOR HINDS: 24 Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	6		witness? Sorry? Evidence-in-chief.
sent out and you told the Court certain things you said certain things a member of the cellule committee told you about what transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to proposal was made to the population to come and get some guns, but I asked the one was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	7	BY MS. OJEMENI	1:
sent out and you told the Court certain things you said certain things a member of the cellule committee told you about what transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that tran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph  PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	8	Q.	Witness, before we took a break, we were
things you said certain things a member of the cellule committee told you about what transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that tran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph  PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	9		talking about this meeting where you were
of the cellule committee told you about what transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	10		sent out and you told the Court certain
transpired at that meeting?  A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	11		things you said certain things a member
A. Yes.  Q. Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	12		of the cellule committee told you about what
Well, after he informed me of that, there was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	13		transpired at that meeting?
was another meeting in the course of which a proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	14	Α.	Yes.
proposal was made to the population to receive guns. The volunteers were told to come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	15	Q.	Well, after he informed me of that, there
receive guns. The volunteers were told to  come and get some guns, but I asked the one  I was talking to who those persons were that  ran that meeting and he told me that it was  Kajelijeli and Joseph  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	16		was another meeting in the course of which a
come and get some guns, but I asked the one I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	17		proposal was made to the population to
I was talking to who those persons were that ran that meeting and he told me that it was Kajelijeli and Joseph PROFESSOR HINDS: Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	18		receive guns. The volunteers were told to
ran that meeting and he told me that it was  Kajelijeli and Joseph  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	19		come and get some guns, but I asked the one
22 Kajelijeli and Joseph 23 PROFESSOR HINDS: 24 Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	20		I was talking to who those persons were that
PROFESSOR HINDS:  Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	21		ran that meeting and he told me that it was
Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing	22		Kajelijeli and Joseph
	23	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
	24		Objection. Your Honour, what we are dealing
25 with here again is a meeting in which there	25		with here again is a meeting in which there

1		are allegations of guns being discussions
2		about where people should go to get guns and
3		this witness (a) was not at the meeting; has
4		no direct knowledge. But now what is coming
5		into evidence is that someone told her that
6		Kajelijeli and Nzirorera were at the
7		meeting, and I am objecting to all of this,
8		Your Honour. If we're going to have
9		evidence, although under Rule 89, any
10		probative evidence could be admitted, but we
11		can't move on the basic elements of the
12		indictment to take evidence on hearsay.
13	MR. PRESIDENT:	
10		
14		Yes, Counsel.
	MS. OJEMENI:	Yes, Counsel.
14	MS. OJEMENI:	Yes, Counsel.  Your Honour, I cannot put words in the mouth
14 15	MS. OJEMENI:	
14 15 16	MS. OJEMENI:	Your Honour, I cannot put words in the mouth
14 15 16 17	MS. OJEMENI:	Your Honour, I cannot put words in the mouth of this witness. I will just listen to what
14 15 16 17	MS. OJEMENI:	Your Honour, I cannot put words in the mouth of this witness. I will just listen to what this witness has to say and I will direct
14 15 16 17 18	MS. OJEMENI:	Your Honour, I cannot put words in the mouth of this witness. I will just listen to what this witness has to say and I will direct her to, you know, answer my questions. I do
14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. OJEMENI:	Your Honour, I cannot put words in the mouth of this witness. I will just listen to what this witness has to say and I will direct her to, you know, answer my questions. I do recognize that what she's saying is not in
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. OJEMENI:	Your Honour, I cannot put words in the mouth of this witness. I will just listen to what this witness has to say and I will direct her to, you know, answer my questions. I do recognize that what she's saying is not in response to what I have asked her and I
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. OJEMENI:	Your Honour, I cannot put words in the mouth of this witness. I will just listen to what this witness has to say and I will direct her to, you know, answer my questions. I do recognize that what she's saying is not in response to what I have asked her and I cannot stop her in the middle of her answer.

1		conspiracy purposes and I will establish it.
2	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
3		Your Honour even dealing with conspiracy, we
4		can't deal with hearsay based upon hearsay.
5	MS. OJEMENI:	
6		It is relevant, Your Honour.
7	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
8		Okay, we have an objection.
9	MR. PRESIDENT	:
10		Yes. Can you finish?
11	MS. OJEMENI:	
12		It's very relative and it has probative
13		value and I rely on Rule 89(b).
14	MR. PRESIDENT	:
15		We don't know, Counsel, how far you intend
16		to go on this, but to the stage where you
17		are, we do appreciate hearsay under Rule 89
18		is permissible, provided it has probative
19		value, and the probative value can sometimes
20		not be fully established at the beginning of
21		things.
22		
23		But there is another factor also that has to
24		be taken into account is also the possible
25		prejudicial effect to an accused in whether

1		or not the admissibility of that hearsay at
2		the stage of a submission should be allowed.
3		In the light of the information we have at
4		this stage, we are inclined to sustain the
5		objection of the Defence in this matter, and
6		we do so.
7	MS. OJEMENI:	
8		Your Honour, I can still ask questions
9		concerning the meeting, but not I mean
10		differently?
11	MR. PRESIDENT	:
12		If it's not from her own personal knowledge
13		on or some other matter, I am sure you can
14		address the aspect that you would have.
15	BY MS. OJEMEN	I:
16	Q.	Witness, can you recall names of important
17		personalities that you saw at the venue or
18		meeting before you were asked to leave, if
19		any?
20	Α.	Now, so far as the very important
21		personalities that are concerned that I saw
22		at those meetings, I did not see any
23		personality, apart from the bourgmestre of
24		the Kinigi commune, that is before he died.
25		Now after he died, meetings were held in the

1		Mukingo commune because after the death of
2		the bourgmestre of Kinigi, the Kinigi
3		commune had no bourgmestre any more, so that
4		all the meetings were held in the Mukingo
5		commune. I am referring particularly to
6		those meetings that were preparatory to the
7		massacres. And at the time those meetings
8		were held, they were already massacres
9		because the killings and massacres had begun
10		in 1991.
11	Q.	Witness, my question
12	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
13		Your Honour, again, the kind of the
14		problem we are having is because the witness
15		is not being given sufficient direction in
16		terms of her testimony and the counsel, the
17		Prosecutor, knows where she wants to take
18		the witness, I assume, and should direct the
19		witness and I think leading would be
20		permissible under these circumstances. But,
21		what we are getting here is a witness
22		without guidance who is simply now giving
23		testimony about massacres that occurred in a
24		certain period of time and she's talking
25		about meetings. First of all, we started

1		off with meetings that occurred in 1993.
2		She talked about one meeting where she was
3		thrown out and then she started talking
4		about, at a following meeting where she was
5		not present and she began to talk about
6		that. Now, counsel has not taken her to
7		either one of these meetings, but just asked
8		about meetings and now she is now talking
9		about things in general. And so that is
10		where we are running into the problems,
11		Your Honour.
12	MR. PRESIDENT:	
13		We take note of your observation, Counsel.
14	MS. OJEMENI:	
15		Witness
16	THE KINYARWANI	A INTERPRETER:
17		The interpreter hasn't yet finished the
18		answer of the witness, if I may proceed.
19	THE WITNESS:	
20		Now, when those meetings were being held,
21		there were killings. I am referring to the
22		period from 1991, that is the 1991 period.
23		And during that period intellectuals,
24		especially, were killed. From 1990, certain
25		intellectuals were arrested and others were

1		killed. So that there were no more
2		important personalities at those meetings,
3		apart from Nzirorera and Kajelijeli, about
4		which I was told, I was told that they were
5		there and that they distributed guns.
6		
7		Now regarding the communal meetings, those
8		that participated were the bourgmestre, the
9		secteur conseiller and cellule leaders, and,
10		of course, members of the cellule
11		committees. There were no more important
12		personalities in those meetings. Now the
13		meetings in which I took part in, were
14		mostly administrative meetings. Now
15		regarding the meetings preparatory to the
16		massacres, I was excluded from those
17		meetings.
18	MR. PRESIDENT:	
19		Counsel, I think this witness, you have to
20		guide her. You are dealing with extremely
21		important issues and as officer of the
22		Court, as you have already observed, I think
23		we have a responsibility to ensure that the
24		correct evidence, whether through a witness,
25		is properly organized and adduced.

1	MS. OJEMENI:	
2		Most obliged, Your Honour.
3	BY MS. OJEMEN	I:
4	Q.	Witness, I refer you to a particular meeting
5		that you said was held in 1993 where you
6		were sent out of that meeting, can you
7		remember?
8	Α.	Yes, I do.
9	Q.	My question is: Can you remember any
10		important personality, any important
11		personality you saw at that particular
12		meeting before the Tutsis, including
13		yourself, were sent out? And I will want
14		you to answer yes or no before I ask my next
15		question.
16	Α.	Nzirorera and Kajelijeli were always in
17		their offices. But when I was there, it was
18		being said that Nzirorera had come and their
19		vehicles were there on the spot.
20	Q.	Did you see the two of them on that day, yes
21		or no?
22	Α.	No, I did not see them.
23	Q.	Thank you. Do you know Joseph Nzirorera?
24	Α.	No, I did not know him. I did not know him,
25		but I saw him once on board a vehicle. It

1		was driving past very near my residence and
2		he was accompanied by soldiers. I don't
3		know him physically, but I know the bad
4		things he did.
5	Q.	By virtue of your position as a member of
6		the cellule committee, did you receive
7		information concerning his participation at
8		a particular meeting?
9	PROFESSOR HINDS	S:
10		Your Honour, what Counsel is doing is trying
11		to elicit naked hearsay about Nzirorera's
12		involvement at meetings. I think the Court
13		has directed her to ask questions based on
14		what the witness saw, observed, and the
15		witness has clearly said, she's seen the man
16		once driving by in an entourage of soldiers.
17		Now she's asking her about, can you tell us
18		about bad things he has done?
19	MS. OJEMENI:	
20		Your Honour, this witness has told the Court
21		that she held an office in the cellule by
22		being a member of the committee and for some
23		reason she was asked to stop coming or,
24		she, on her own, stopped coming to meetings.
25		And, as a member of that committee, it is

1			common knowledge that she's likely to
2			receive information about meetings that he
3			couldn't attend from her colleagues. And if
4			she can give the source of the information,
5			even if it's hearsay, it's relevant, because
6			what the line of question I am about, or the
7			issue I want to pursue now, is conspiracy.
8	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
9	111.	11,20122111	But, Counsel, I mean hearsay must have some
10			probative value.
11	MC	OJEMENI:	productive value.
12	MS.	OOEMENI.	Voc
	MD		Yes.
13	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
14			But whatever the issue you're dealing with,
15			the need for the probative to be showing to
16			be there. So if you are dealing with
17			certain issues and you ask her, you ask a
18			witness whether she got any information,
19			regardless of where, is it from the same
20			members of the committee or anybody in the
21			area? I mean, what's the probative value,
22			Counsel, that you want to show? That is
23			one.
24			

1		under Rule 89 is permissible, but the
2		guiding principle of fairness and justice
3		must be also borne in mind. If you intend
4		to call you had indicated a name that was
5		written by a I hope that is a potential
6		witness, but if it's just anybody she may
7		possibly have met, what would be the
8		probative value of that hearsay? You must
9		also consider the possible outweigh and
10		consider the possible produced effect of an
11		accused person. These are balancing things
12		that need to be taken into account. Unless
13		there is a foundation established for this
14		question, I don't think that that question
15		is relevant and valid for the reasons given.
16	MS. OJEMENI:	
17		As the Court pleases, I'll withdraw it.
18	BY MS. OJEMENI	:
19	Q.	Witness, do you know who was responsible for
20		what happened to you in 1994, the incident
21		of rape that you suffered or you were
22		subjected to? Do you know who was
23		responsible for that?
24	PROFESSOR HIND	OS:
25		Your Honour, again, I am not sure what she's

1		trying to elicit from this witness. The
2		witness' testimony is very clear. I mean,
3		what is she asking this witness to speculate
4		about? The witness' testimony is very clear
5		about what happened.
6	MS. OJEMENI:	
7		Your Honour, if the witness' testimony is
8		very clear, let me just verify it.
9	MR. PRESIDENT:	
10		I don't know what the opinion of the
11		okay, it can be her answer for whatever it's
12		worth.
13	BY MS. OJEMENI	:
14	Q.	Witness, can you answer my question? And my
15		question is: Do you know who is responsible
16		for the rape you were subjected to on the
17		7th of April 1994, which you have told this
18		Court about?
19	Α.	It was Kajelijeli, madam.
20	Q.	Why do you say so?
21	Α.	I am saying that it was Kajelijeli that is
22		responsible for what happened to me. First
23		of all, because the people who came to take
24		me from my house and who attacked me
25		subsequently did say that Kajelijeli told

1	them to be quick about it and to go back to
2	where he was before he finished drinking his
3	bottle of beer. And secondly, one of my
4	aggressors did say that if an investigation
5	was carried out in Ruhengeri to determine
6	the main killers, Kajelijeli would be number
7	one among the killers. Thirdly, I am saying
8	this because of the meetings he organized,
9	and in the course of which he distributed
10	guns to the population and those guns were
11	meant to kill people. Those guns were
12	distributed. I witnessed the distribution
13	of those guns and killings took place and
14	went until 1994. And everybody knows and
15	sees I mean, saw all these killings.
16	
17	And then, I, myself, saw a young man from my
18	village who came from the place where guns
19	were being distributed. He, himself, had
20	received one. And even the man that I had
21	talked about here that gave me information,
22	also received a gun. But, unfortunately for
23	him, one of his children that was a pupil,
24	did play with a gun and shot himself
25	fatally. There was a bullet left in the gun

1		and the child was playing with the gun and
2		the child killed himself. Now, these are
3		the so many reasons why I am saying it is
4		Kajelijeli that is responsible.
5	Q.	Witness, did you attend this meeting that
6		you just mentioned, yes or no?
7	Α.	No.
8	Q.	You said, Witness, the distribution of the
9		guns; is that correct?
10	Α.	No, I didn't say that I was an eyewitness of
11		the distribution of the guns, but I saw the
12		guns after they had been distributed.
13	Q.	Thank you.
14	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
15		Your Honour, I am objecting to the whole
16		line of questions and answers that have been
17		given for all of the reasons that I have
18		already put on the record, and I really
19		don't want to trespass upon the Court's
20		patience in going over it.
21	MR. PRESIDENT:	
22		We take note of the observation that you
23		have made and we are aware of the answers
24		given by the witness and at an appropriate
25		time, the Trial Chamber is capable of

1			dealing with them.
2	BY I	MS. OJEMENI	:
3	Q.		Witness, can you tell this Court I am
4			referring to the I want to take you back
5			to the skin that you said was cut off from
6			your sexual part. Can you tell this Court
7			if it has any adverse effect on your health?
8	Α.		Yes, it has affected my health.
9	Q.		Can you explain how it has affected your
10			health?
11	Α.		The effect of that gesture on my health was
12			such that I was no longer able to give birth
13			normally. I had the child that I mentioned
14			here by C-section, and even the one before
15			this one, an operation of that type was
16			necessary, that procedure was necessary.
17	MS.	OJEMENI:	
18			That will be all for this witness.
19	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
20			Thank you, learned counsel. Well, we are
21			past our normal time. We have, I am told,
22			learned counsel, that we are coming back
23			when? Monday?
24	MS.	OJEMENI:	
25			We are certainly in your hands, Your Honour.

1		We are ready to come tomorrow morning, if
2		it's convenient for this Court. We are
3		certainly in your hands.
4	MR. PRESIDENT:	:
5		Professor Hinds, do you have an idea how
6		long your cross-examination is likely to be
7		on this witness?
8	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
9		Your Honour, I would endeavour to be as
10		swift as I can. The witness has I do not
11		intend to go into any
12	MR. PRESIDENT:	:
13		Just have an indication, if you can give us
14		an indication about it, we don't tie you to
15		that.
16	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
17		You've always tied me to any figure I give
18		you, that's exactly what I am tied to.
19	MR. PRESIDENT:	:
20		Have to plan. I'll explain why after giving
21		your answer.
22	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
23		Your Honour, I don't think I will take any
24		more time than the Prosecutor's case
25		in-chief.

That's why I say around that time.  MS. OJEMENI:  Took two breaks.  MR. PRESIDENT:  One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours as a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	1	MR. PRESIDENT:	
Two hours and a half. It took her to 5.30  MR. PRESIDENT: That's why I say around that time.  MS. OJEMENI: Took two breaks.  MR. PRESIDENT:  One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS: I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT: About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS: Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  MR. PRESIDENT: We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	2		That's about two hours and a half because
Two hours and a half. It took her to 5.30  MR. PRESIDENT: That's why I say around that time.  MS. OJEMENI: Took two breaks.  MR. PRESIDENT:  One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours as a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS: I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	3		around that period of time
That's why I say around that time.  MS. OJEMENI:  Took two breaks.  MR. PRESIDENT:  One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours as a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	4	PROFESSOR HINI	os:
That's why I say around that time.  MS. OJEMENI:  Took two breaks.  One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	5		Two hours and a half. It took her to 5.30.
MS. OJEMENI:  Took two breaks.  MR. PRESIDENT:  One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	6	MR. PRESIDENT:	
Took two breaks.  MR. PRESIDENT:  One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours as a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	7		That's why I say around that time.
One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours a a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorra would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	8	MS. OJEMENI:	
One break. We're a bit late to start, but we do realize about two hours, two hours a a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	9		Took two breaks.
we do realize about two hours, two hours and half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	10	MR. PRESIDENT:	
a half.  PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	11		One break. We're a bit late to start, but
14 PROFESSOR HINDS:  I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	12		we do realize about two hours, two hours and
I think I could do it in a half a day, the half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	13		a half.
half a day that we work from.  MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	14	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
MR. PRESIDENT:  About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	15		I think I could do it in a half a day, the
About three hours?  PROFESSOR HINDS:  Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	16		half a day that we work from.
19 PROFESSOR HINDS: 20 Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorro 21 would not be convenient for us at all. 22 MR. PRESIDENT: 23 We are saying so, it must be tomorrow 24 because, you know, the Tribunal is not	17	MR. PRESIDENT:	
Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	18		About three hours?
would not be convenient for us at all.  MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow  because, you know, the Tribunal is not	19	PROFESSOR HINI	DS:
MR. PRESIDENT:  We are saying so, it must be tomorrow  because, you know, the Tribunal is not	20		Yes. Your Honour, let me just say tomorrow
We are saying so, it must be tomorrow because, you know, the Tribunal is not	21		would not be convenient for us at all.
because, you know, the Tribunal is not	22	MR. PRESIDENT:	
7, 7	23		We are saying so, it must be tomorrow
25 working on Monday, so if we can finish th	24		because, you know, the Tribunal is not
	25		working on Monday, so if we can finish this

1		witness tomorrow, she doesn't have to stay
2		here up to Tuesday when we would resume. So
3		that's the reason.
4	PROFESSOR HINDS	5:
5		I would like to indulge the Court as much as
6		I can. I am facing an emergency problem
7		right now within my team. I am facing a
8		problem of enormous consequences, I can't
9		even deal with it between the hours of 9.30
10		and 5.00, so I am here. We all have
11		problems, all I am saying is that for the
12		Defence, I am putting on the record that the
13		problem that I am having, that I am trying
14		to deal with, we had planned to deal with
15		that with the client tomorrow to see if we
16		can resolve that, which would not,
17		hopefully, impact on our ability to proceed
18		next week. I am dealing with that problem
19		right now. The client has also informed me
20		that he has problems with his health and
21		seeing a doctor scheduled for tomorrow. I
22		am just finding this out.
23	MR. PRESIDENT:	
24		You can find out the time frames because the
25		problem that we have, if those things do not

1		concern this particular witness, whom the
2		Defence has any notice, then, possibly, some
3		of this could be addressed on Monday as
4		well. But show it to your client and let's
5		see.
6	PROFESSOR HIND	os:
7		Your Honour, my client has put another
8		restriction on me. He has told me if I
9		could quickly do my cross up to twelve
10		o'clock, it would not affect him. So, aside
11		from you, I now have some other pressures to
12		complete the cross by twelve o'clock.
13	MR. PRESIDENT:	
14		That would be nice. So tomorrow we'll come,
15		we'll adjourn these proceedings until
16		tomorrow to deal with the evidence of this
17		witness in cross-examination. The time
18		frames have been indicated and I hope the
19		Prosecution will also be thinking about what
20		possible areas, but I know you have to hear
21		what the cross-examination will be all
22		about. So we meet tomorrow morning at 9.30
23		in the morning to continue with the evidence
24		of this witness in cross-examination.
25	MS. OJEMENI:	

1		Your Honour, I don't know if the witness can
2		leave, I have just one short information to
3		give the Court.
4	MR. PRESIDENT:	
5		Okay. All right, we draw the curtain, but
6		tell the witness that she'll be coming here
7		to court at 9.30 and she may not discuss her
8		evidence with anybody.
9	THE WITNESS:	
10		I couldn't talk to anybody about what's
11		happening here because the people with me do
12		not speak my language, Your Honour.
13	MR. PRESIDENT:	
14		It's a procedural explanation that we give
15		to witnesses.
16		
17		Yes, Counsel?
18	MS. OJEMENI:	
19		Your Honour, I would like to crave the
20		Court's indulgence to alter the order of
21		calling witnesses because we are presently
22		having problems with some of our witnesses.
23		The next witness has medical problems, in
24		fact, she's can't talk, she's in Arusha, but
25		she has a dental problem, but she will

1		definitely be put on stand after the next
2		I mean, ACM, which comes after her. After
3		GAS, so that's the information I have, just
4		to crave your indulgence, to apply to alter
5		the order of calling witnesses because of
6		the problem we are having.
7	PROFESSOR HIND	s:
8		I don't have any problem with the
9		application, Your Honour. I just need to
10		know exactly what is now the order as seen
11		by the Prosecutor's Office.
12	MR. PRESIDENT:	
13		So can you be specific, learned counsel?
14	MS. OJEMENI:	
15		GAS is supposed to be the next.
16	MR. PRESIDENT:	
17		GAS.
18	MS. OJEMENI:	
19		But we're not calling her here, we'll call
20		her after ACM.
21	PROFESSOR HIND	s:
22		The order that I have, and counsel you may
23		not need, quite frankly, to make any
24		application. I had GAP, GDQ, GDT, ACM, GAS,
25		GAR and GBW. That is your letter to me of

Τ		November 13th, 2001.
2	MS. OJEMENI:	
3		Fair enough.
4	PROFESSOR HINI	DS:
5		So is there something else we're dealing
6		with?
7	MR. PRESIDENT	:
8		You're going to too fast for the
9		translation. Could you please repeat what
10		you just said?
11	PROFESSOR HINI	OS:
12		Your Honour, I have had a communiqué from
13		Ms. Ojemeni dated November 13th, 2001. The
14		order of witnesses at that time that she
15		proposed was GAP, was number one; GDQ,
16		second; GDT, third; ACM, fourth; GAS, fifth;
17		GAR, sixth, and GBW, seven. Now, what I
18		understand she is saying is that she's not
19		proposing that order that existed.
20	MS. OJEMENI:	
21		Can I clarify the issue myself? I didn't
22		realize that you got that list because I
23		know that I sent it to you by email and at
24		the same time by fax, and I got a letter
25		still requesting for that. Now that you

1			have it, that's exactly the order we are
2			going to abide by. But, perhaps, it might
3			be better for me to now inform the Court
4			that within this trial period, we would not
5			be in a position to call GAR and GBW, we'll
6			stop at GAS.
7	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
8			That is actually obvious from the time
9			frames that remain. So, in any case, we
10			also have that order. We have GAP, GDQ, we
11			have already done, and then the next Witness
12			GDT, whom is being we're dealing with,
13			and the next witness is ACM, and then the
14			next is GAS.
15	MS.	OJEMENI:	
16			GAS.
17	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
18			And then GAR.
19	MS.	OJEMENI:	
20			GBW. So the order still remains the same,
21			but I said that given the time frame, we
22			will not be able to call GAR and GBW.
23	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
24			GAS
25	MS.	OJEMENI:	

1			GAR.
2	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
3			GAR, okay.
4	MS.	OJEMENI:	
5			And GBW.
6	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
7			Where do you intend to stop?
8	MS.	OJEMENI:	
9			We'll stop at GAS.
10	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
11			At GAS, okay. All right. The proceedings
12			are adjourned until tomorrow at 9.30.
13			(Court adjourned at 1740H)
14			(Pages 97 to 133 by Kelly Allemang)
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	CERTIFICATE			
2				
3	We, Rex Lear, Regina Limula, Judith			
4	Kapatamoyo and Kelly Allemang, Official Court Reporters for the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings in the			
5	above-entitled cause were taken at the time and place as stated; that it was taken in shorthand (stenotype) and			
6	thereafter transcribed by computer; that the foregoing pages contain a true and correct transcription of said proceedings to the best of our ability and			
7				
8	understanding.			
9	We further certify that we are not of counsel nor related to any of the parties to this cause			
10	and that we are in nowise interested in the result of said cause.			
11	said Cause.			
12				
13	( 1 to 31 )			
14	Rex Lear			
15	( 32 to 74 )			
16	Regina Limula			
17	( 75 to 96 )			
18	Judith Kapatamoyo			
19	( 97 to 133)			
20	Kelly Allemang			
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				