1	THE INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNAL FOR RWANDA
2	CASE NO.: ICTR-98-44A-T THE PROSECUTOR
3	OF THE TRIBUNAL
4	AGAINST
5	
6	JUVÉNAL KAJELIJELI
7	
8	8 APRIL 2002 0930H
9	CONTINUED TRIAL
10	
11	Before: Judge William H. Sekule, Presiding Judge Winston Churchill Matanzima Maqutu
12	Judge Arlette Ramaroson
13	For the Registry: Mr. John Kiyeyeu
14	Mr. Abraham Koshopa
15	For the Prosecution: Ms. Carla Del Ponte
16	Mr. Ken Fleming Ms. Ifeoma Ojemeni
17	For the Accused Kajelijeli:
18	Professor Lennox Hinds Professor Nkeyi Bompaka
19	Court Reporters:
20	Ms. Sithembiso Moyo
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1			PROCEEDINGS
2	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
3			The proceedings are called to order. Could
4			the Registry introduce the matter coming
5			before the Trial Chamber this morning?
6	MR.	KIYEYEU:	
7			Thank you, Mr. President.
8			Trial Chamber II of the International
9			Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda, composed of
10			Judge William H. Sekule, presiding,
11			Judge Winston Churchill Matanzima Maqutu and
12			Judge Arlette Ramaroson, is now sitting in
13			open session, today, Monday, the 8th of
14			April 2002, for continuation of the trial in
15			the matter of the Prosecutor v Juvénal
16			Kajelijeli, Case No. ICTR-98-44A-T.
17			Thank you, Mr. President.
18	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
19			Thank you, Mr. Kiyeyeu for the registry.
20			May we have the parties' appearance,
21			starting with the Prosecution, if we may,
22			please?
23	MR.	FLEMING:	
24			If the Court pleases. I am Ken Fleming,
25			Senior Trial Attorney. I appear with my D MOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II

KAJE	LIJELI	8 APRIL 2002
1		learned leader, the Prosecutor, Madam Carla
2		Del Ponte and with Ms. Ifeoma Ojemeni.
3		Thank you.
4	MR. PRESIDENT:	
5		Thank you, learned counsel.
6		
7		May we also have the appearance of the
8		Defence, please?
9	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
10		Good morning, Your Honours, Lennox Hinds
11		representing Mr. Kajelijeli and also
12		Professor Bompaka who is co-counsel and
13		Mr. Emilien Dusabe, who is the interpreter.
14	MR. PRESIDENT:	
15		Thank you, learned counsel.
16		
17		Yes, Mr. Fleming?
18	MR. FLEMING:	
19		Thank you, Your Honours. Your Honours might
20		well be aware that there are some
21		difficulties with proceeding today. Those
22		difficulties arise as a result of two
23		things. First, there are no witnesses here
24		and second, there are ongoing difficulties
25		with the investigations into the alibi and

KAJELIJELI	8	APRIL	2002
	0	771 1/1 11	2002

1	witnesses.
2	
3	If I may deal with the first issue first.
4	Your Honours may or may not be aware that,
5	IBUKA, one of the organisations which
6	represents the victims and witnesses in this
7	Court, has put an embargo upon witnesses
8	travelling here. As a result, two witnesses
9	that we wanted to call in this session are
10	not going to be here.
11	
12	Your Honours, it becomes an unsatisfactory
13	situation, given that this Court doesn't
14	have the coercive powers that a domestic
15	court would have. We are aware that there
16	are some things that can be done but those
17	proceedings are so convoluted and difficult,
18	there could be of no assistance in an
19	emergency such as we have here.
20	
21	Some steps have been taken and the
22	Registrar, I understand, has written
23	recently to the Minister of Justice,
24	outlining the difficulties and requesting
25	that some action be taken to ensure that the

KAJELIJELI	8	APRIL	2002
	0	771 1/1 11	2002

1	witnesses come.
2	
3	So, the problems result from not having the
4	coercive powers and then having a
5	misconception of what is actually happening
6	in this Court, a misconception which is so
7	ingrained is going to be very difficult to
8	remove.
9	
10	The second reason why there are no witnesses
11	here, is that, it was hoped that we could
12	have alibi witnesses dealt with in these
13	sittings. Any evidence that we wanted to
14	call in response to alibi material, we would
15	be able to do it, and we are not able to do
16	that.
17	
18	Your Honours, if I can take you back a
19	little in history. Your Honours will recall
20	that during cross-examination of one of our
21	very early witnesses, when material wasn't
22	being put to that witness as one would do in
23	the common law system, I raised the issue
24	directly as to whether or not there was
25	going to be alibi evidence and the answer

1	was "Yes" and no alibi statement had been
2	given to us or notice of alibi had been
3	given to us, because Defence counsel were
4	relying upon the exception in the Rule,
5	namely; that even if they don't give us the
6	material, they won't be excluded from
7	relying upon it. Now, that gave rise to a
8	ruling by this Honourable Court, for them to
9	provide us with the notice of alibi. That
10	was done, but no details were given to us of
11	who those witnesses were. When there was
12	material suggesting who they were, there was
13	no order in place in respect of the witness
14	protection of those witnesses. So, all of
15	that didn't occur until well into January of
16	this year.
17	
18	Now, we hoped as we said, that we could have
19	called any evidence in rebuttal during our
20	case as the rules anticipate. We were not
21	able to do that because we haven't spoken to
22	all of the alibi witnesses, we have spoken
23	to some, but not all.
24	
25	Further, investigations are presently being
	SITHEMBISO MOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II 5

1	hampered by events that are entirely out of
2	the control, even if this Court and that
3	is the eruption of the volcano in Ngoma, and
4	we have been precluded from pursuing some of
5	the witnesses because of the chaos that
6	pursued there. And, in addition to that,
7	presently, there are further difficulties
8	going into the areas of Ruhengeri and
9	Gisenyi for the same reason. So, all of our
10	best endeavours have come to naught.
11	
12	We notified this Honourable Court on the
13	19th of March, it's here that we wouldn't
14	require all of the time that had been set
15	aside because we then only had two
16	witnesses. We notified the Court just the
17	other day, that even those witnesses won't
18	be here. So, we are in a position of not
19	having any evidence to put before this
20	Honourable Court, as of now.
21	
22	Your Honours, two things remain to be said.
23	First, we will review the situation in the
24	next 24 or 48 hours, to see whether or not
25	we can do without these two witnesses, and

1		we will come back to this Court and either
2		inform the Court that we need those two
3		witnesses or that we close our case.
4		Second, we won't be able to call the alibi
5		witnesses in the course of this case. What
6		I mean by the alibi witnesses I don't
7		mean my learned friend's witnesses, but,
8		rather, those witnesses who would rebut the
9		alibi. We will asking at the appropriate
10		time because of the circumstances, that we
11		call any evidence in rebuttal at the close
12		of the accused's case.
13		
14		Your Honours, we can't take the matter any
15		further than that, but, my learned leader
16		wants to address the Court on some matters
17		as well.
18	MR. PRESIDENT	:
19		Thank you, Mr. Fleming.
20		
21		Yes, Madam Prosecutor.
22	MS. DEL PONTE	:
23		Thank you, Mr. President. It is simply to
24		add on to the points made by my colleague.
25		The two witnesses who are not appearing
	SITHEMBI	SO MOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II

1	today, were contacted on the 5th of April,
2	that is last time, to try to persuade them
3	to come. And they said unequivocally, that
4	they did not come because they heard over
5	the radio that the association of survivors
6	had indicated that they should not appear.
7	In other words, they are absolutely ready to
8	appear but they are waiting for it to be
9	said over the radio that witnesses can
10	appear before this Court. Just to tell you
11	that all had been done on our part, to
12	ensure that these witnesses appear.
13	
14	On the letter of the registry, a response
15	had been addressed to the registry and I
16	have a copy of a letter from the Rwandan
17	Minister of Justice dated 5th April and it
18	reached the Tribunal on the 6th of April,
19	and it is stated by the Minister of Justice
20	that, well, his services are absolutely
21	ready to assist our institution your
22	institution to resolve the delicate problem
23	that slows down the course of justice and I
24	am quoting: "It would be proper, says the
25	Minister of Justice, for us to reflect

KAJELIJELI	8	APRIL	2002
------------	---	-------	------

1	together as to concrete ways and means to
2	expedite the course of justice".
3	
4	In other words, therefore, the Minister of
5	Justice, Mr. President, is asking that we
6	re-establish dialogue, taking into account
7	the positions adopted by each of the parties
8	and the concerns that I have expressed, by
9	those parties. That is what I have to say
10	with respect to that problem.
11	
12	As far as I am concerned, I also would like
13	to say that the appearance of witnesses is
14	crucial here. We are talking about it today
15	but there would be other trials that are
16	going on and that would be facing the same
17	problem.
18	
19	And so, it is important that we monitor
20	closely and deploy our efforts to ensure
21	that these victims and witnesses appear.
22	Obviously, we know that it is incumbent on
23	the Tribunal to protect the witnesses,
24	particularly under Article 21 of the
25	Statute.

KAJELIJELI	8	APRTT.	2002
	0	777 1/1	2002

1		There is also a moral obligation on our
2		part; we are all here to work along those
3		lines. And, it is my view that if the
4		registry would need our services, our
5		contribution, we would be prepared to
6		discuss with that association.
7		
8		Mr. President, that is not the point I
9		wanted to make with respect to the need for
10		witnesses. It would be may be difficult
11		within 24/48 hours to provide an answer.
12		Maybe we might come to a situation where we
13		might close our case, better we still feel
14		that we should maintain our request for
15		cooperation. Thank you, Mr. President.
16	MR. PRESIDENT:	
17		Thank you, learned Madam Prosecutor.
18		
19		Yes, Professor Hinds?
20	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
21		Good morning, Your Honours. Mr. Kajelijeli
22		appreciates the problem faced by the
23		Prosecutor concerning the witnesses, and,
24		we'd just like to offer any assistance that
25		we can to facilitate this matter. Let me

KAJELIJELI	8 APRIL 2002
1	just deal seriatim with the comments made by
2	Mr. Fleming.
3	
4	Of course, we were not aware until this
5	morning at this moment that the
6	Prosecutor was having any problems. I flew
7	in from New York over the weekend. I am not
8	sure that I would have done anything
9	different, but, nevertheless, I flew in from
10	New York and spent up to 2 o'clock this
11	morning working on cross-examination
12	questions. But, be that as it may, I had to
13	do it anyhow.
14	
15	The problem with the alibi witnesses, I
16	think that Mr. Fleming is correct, as he
17	traced the history and certainly what was
18	put on the record by us with respect to
19	that.
20	
21	The trial began in July and on July 9th, we
22	attended about four days after this trial
23	began, pursuant to the order of this Court,
24	we filed a notice of alibi. It is true that
25	we set out the parameters as is established

KAJELIJELI	8 APRIL 2002
1	by Rule 67(a)(ii) with respect to what is
2	required. Since the alibi witnesses were
3	protected witnesses, we only gave the
4	pseudonyms. But, we, of course, recorded
5	with the registrar the identities of these
6	individuals.
7	
8	During that time period, we indicated to
9	Mr. Fleming and to the Prosecutor's office
10	that we would not disclose the identity of
11	these witnesses, unless the protections were
12	established in this Court's order of April
13	3rd, 2001. That did not take place before
14	January or just about the first part of this
15	year.
16	
17	Quite frankly, looking at Rule 85 and
18	particularly Rule 85(a) it was our we
19	certainly didn't see that the Prosecutor was
20	prejudiced in any way with respect to
21	presenting any rebuttal with respect to our
22	alibi testimony. I certainly this Court
23	felt and we agreed that we should provide a

notice of alibi in sufficient time, so that,

if the Prosecutor wanted to, on their case

24

25

1	in-chief, they may do whatever they wanted
2	to do in that regard. But, certainly, the
3	Rules provide for rebuttal testimony and we
4	understand that Mr. Fleming would be making
5	the necessary application. But, we could
6	say, from the standpoint of the Defence,
7	that we would not oppose that. We think
8	they have a right to rebuttal testimony,
9	certainly with respect to this issue and in
10	light of the problems that they are facing
11	at this point.
12	
13	The problem of cooperation between the
14	Rwandan the sovereign state of Rwanda and
15	this Tribunal, pursuant to the cooperation
16	agreements signed by the United Nations and
17	this Court, is of paramount interest to the
18	Defence and it is of paramount interest to
19	justice.
20	
21	I join with Madam Prosecutor in the
22	application for cooperation. We cannot have
23	any justice done in this case without
24	adequate cooperation. What does that mean?
25	Not only cooperation for the Prosecutor and

KAJELIJELI	8 APRIL 2002
1	we think that there should be cooperation in
2	the individuals, representatives from the
3	government of Rwanda in providing all of
4	assistance, but also more critically,
5	cooperation with respect to the Defence.
6	This Tribunal may be aware, I went to Rwanda
7	within the last ten days.
8	
9	I wrote to the Ministry of Justice asking
10	for permission to go into the prisons to see
11	witnesses. They gave me authorisation to do
12	so, when I spent money and time
13	travelling from New York, Nairobi, Kigali
14	and into Ruhengeri. When I got there, they
15	told me at the last minute in the evening,
16	"Yes, you can go in". I went in, I spent
17	one hour trying to interview witnesses. The
18	next morning, I was told: " You no longer
19	have any approval".
20	
21	After spending time, money, travelling
22	there, I then ended not being able to
23	interview the witnesses. At the same time,
24	I requested authorisation to obtain certain

25

documents which is an ongoing concern that

KAJELIJELI 8 APRIL 2002

1	we have, given the fact that we believe that
2	they are exculpatory information in the
3	pre this trial, statements that were made by
4	Prosecution witnesses, who are detained
5	witnesses in Rwanda. We have had extensive
6	hearings before this Tribunal with respect
7	to those particular matters. The problem
8	that we have is that the Prosecutor-General
9	in Rwanda has just taken a position that
10	they would not disclose that information.
11	Compounding that problem, this Court is
12	aware that we have witnesses who alleged
13	that they have been intimidated and harassed
14	after we have seen them by the Deputy
15	Prosecutor in Ruhengeri. All of these
16	issues go to what? Go to the question of
17	cooperation, so that we can have a common
18	objective which is justice to be done.
19	
20	I stand here today, joined with the
21	Prosecutor, because it is a serious problem
22	and we anticipate even more problems for the
23	Defence, because I have made four trips,
24	probably more than any Defence lawyer to
25	Rwanda and we have a number of witnesses who

1	are there. There will be different types of
2	problems, I anticipate, unless we have
3	cooperation. The cooperation that we are
4	concerned about is that people are not
5	intimidated and able to come here freely and
6	say what they have to say, tell the truth,
7	be subject to cross-examination and let this
8	Court make a decision.
9	
10	We must we must be able to have the kind
11	of cooperation so that justice is done and I
12	sympathise with the Prosecution's problems
13	today, because I know, on my shoulders, it
14	would be ten times tomorrow, and so, it is a
15	problem. I don't know how we solve it and I
16	agree, that, given a sovereign state, there
17	are no coercive powers that this Tribunal
18	has. But, the issue transcends just this
19	case, there are other cases and there must
20	be an understanding by even these NGOs that
21	they cannot hold justice hostage, that there
22	must be an agreement whether they like the
23	decisions by this Tribunal or not, they
24	cannot intimidate the Court, they cannot
25	intimidate the process and they cannot

KAJELIJELI	8 APRIL 2002
1	proceed this way and someone has to make
2	this clear that this process has to go
3	forward for both sides.
4	
5	And, so, I apologise for trespassing on the
6	Court's patience, but it is problem that I
7	see that we are going to have. We stand
8	ready to assist in any way that we can, in
9	this endeavour with respect to moving the
10	matters forward. Thank you, Your Honour.
11 MR. PRESIDENT:	
12	Thank you, Professor Hinds, learned counsel.
13	
14	Do you have any comment, Mr. Fleming, on
15	this matter? Madam Prosecutor?

16 MS. DEL PONTE:

Yes, Mr. President, I have listened with a lot of attention to my colleague of the Defence, and I fully agree with him on the fact that he has to have access to Defence witnesses.

22

Now, specifically with respect to access to the files of witnesses, witnesses who are accused before the Rwandan Courts and for

1	whom investigations are going on, I had
2	raised this matter with Prosecutor Gahima
3	and it would appear that indiscriminate
4	access to files is not allowed. This is
5	we have to live with this because in
6	national systems, ongoing investigations
7	prohibit a third party from having
8	indiscriminate access to files.
9	
10	What can be done, Mr. President, is for the
11	Defence to clearly indicate what it would
12	like to have as documents that would be
13	useful to them, you know specific
14	requests like minutes or witness statements
15	or if they are aware of any other
16	documentation, but then they have to be
17	specific and I could personally insist with
18	the Prosecutor Gahima a sort of rogatoire
19	commission, requiring assistance, mandatory
20	assistance from the Prosecutor or the State
21	Prosecutor.
22	
23	Now, regarding these witnesses, a lot of
24	them are in detention. They haven't yet
25	come before the courts. I can understand

	1	that Defence counsel faces a lot of
	2	difficulties when he goes to Rwanda. I can
	3	understand that because I personally faced a
	4	lot of difficulties at a certain period, and
	5	I don't understand, maybe I should put the
	6	question to you, sir. Wouldn't it be some
	7	solution, for instance, to ask that these
	8	witnesses be transferred to Arusha so that
	9	the Defence would have free access without
1	0	any interference by anyone to create an
1	1	impediment to such access?
1	2	
1	3	I am aware, Mr. President, that this is a
1	4	matter that may be or may not be possible in
1	5	technical terms, but, it is aimed at
1	6	ensuring that the Defence have free access
1	7	to these witnesses. I would be ready to get
1	8	in touch with our contact, the Rwandan
1	9	authorities and it would therefore not be
2	0	necessary for the Defence to embark on a
2	1	trip to Rwanda, you know so that if they
2	2	come here, for instance, they would have
2	3	access to these witnesses. That is a
2	4	possible suggestion that I have, that is
2	5	with respect to alibi and alibi evidence. I

KAJELIJELI	8 APRIL 2002

1		think we all agree this will be done at the
2		conclusion of Defence case, of course.
3		Thank you, Mr. President.
4	MR. PRESIDENT:	
5		Thank you, Madam Prosecutor.
6		
7		Professor Hinds, do you have any
8	PROFESSOR HIND	S:
9		Thank you, Your Honour. I appreciate the
10		proposals made by Madam Prosecutor and we
11		will take them up with our client to see
12		whether or not there can be some joint
13		cooperation in that regard, because it may
14		solve that part of the problem.
15		
16		Perhaps, Mr. Fleming might be so kind to
17		share with Madam Prosecutor, our specific
18		request that we made concerning documents,
19		that is the document request, so that she
20		may be able to look at the specificity of
21		the request that we made, because I agree
22		with her, that we should not be talking
23		about wholesale looking through any files.
24		But we specifically requested documents
25		relating to particular witnesses and not
	SITHEMRIS	O MOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

KAJELIJELI	8	APRIL	2002
	_		

1	just all documents, we were specific with
2	respect to confessions that were made,
3	statements that were made by those witnesses
4	and those of witnesses who appeared here, to
5	testify for the Prosecution. And, we
6	believe, that we are entitled to that
7	information and the information was fairly
8	specific. And, we would even be prepared if
9	there are any security concerns raised by
10	the Rwandan authorities that they need to
11	redact some information or that some
12	information they do not want us to have,
13	but the Court may, in fact, look at it in
14	camera, we have no problem with that either.
15	
16	If we can work these things out, but, just
17	simply not to provide the document, forces
18	us at some point in time to move for a
19	motion to strike the testimony of all those
20	witnesses, because, right now, what we have
21	is part of their testimony and not all of
22	it.
23	
24	So, if we can find some way of sorting out
25	these problems, again, in the spirit of
	SITHEMBISO MOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1		cooperation, we stand willing and able to
2		participate. Thank you.
3	MR. PRESIDENT:	
4		Thank you, Professor Hinds.
5		
6		We would like to thank the Prosecution and
7		indeed, the Defence for the comments that
8		have been made in the course of this
9		session. We would like to make the
10		following observations.
11		
12		The first one with regard to the case of
13		to the issue of alibi, the Prosecution and
14		the Defence, Professor Hinds has clearly
15		indicated what possible course of action
16		that could be taken. We will wait to see
17		how things shape up in the course of the
18		remaining part of this trial. That is the
19		most that we can say on that particular
20		issue.
21		
22		With regard to the issue of the witnesses
23		who are linked up to this particular
24		session, we take note of the observation as
25		put across by the Prosecution and, indeed,

KAJELIJELI	8	APRIL	2002
------------	---	-------	------

1	tl	ne observation made by the Defence on this
2	pa	articular question.
3		
4	We	e would like to observe first, that,
5	iı	ndeed, in the course of collective work and
6	Co	ollective responsibility to do justice in
7	ma	atters before this Tribunal, and, indeed,
8	fo	or the course of international criminal
9	jı	ustice, the cooperation and the assistance
10	0:	f states in whole is of utmost importance
11	aı	nd necessary and that, of course, would
12	iı	nclude the Rwandan state.
13		
14	So	o, we will urge that all that needs to be
15	do	one, to facilitate and to enhance the
16	Co	ooperation of states in this regard, should
17	be	e done and all efforts should be undertaken
18	to	see that it is done, and, in that regard,
19	We	e would appreciate any action that is being
20	ta	aken by the registry, as well as in this
21	pa	articular aspect, by the Prosecution on
22	tl	neir side, to ensure that these particular
23	W	itnesses are able to come and testify
24	be	efore the Tribunal.
25		

KAJELIJELI	8	APRIL	2002
	0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	

1	We will say no more on this matter at this
2	particular stage, and the Trial Chamber is
3	willing and thus grant a requested time by
4	the Prosecution as indicated by Mr. Fleming,
5	to deal with this issue in the next 20 or 48
6	hours. We will wait to be appraised of what
7	happens.
8	
9	If there is further time required, we will
10	see what needs to be done, because it is an
11	extremely important matter, it can't just be
12	left like that.
13	
14	Thirdly, the Trial Chamber appreciates
15	tremendously the thought that has been put
16	across by the Prosecution, Madam Prosecutor
17	herself and, indeed, as reacted on by the
18	Defence, Professor Hinds, with regard as to
19	what could be done to deal with some of the
20	issues that have been outstanding and keep
21	cropping up here and there, in the course of
22	the proceedings before this particular
23	Trial Chamber, and, indeed, before the
24	Tribunal as a whole.

25

KAJELIJELI	8 .	APRIL	2002

1		So, I hope they will be crystalised. It
2		would interest to see how they develop on
3		the ground and see how they can be
4		ventilated before the Trial Chamber.
5		
6		We seriously take note of those observations
7		with the appreciation and we hope they will
8		be followed up.
9		
10		So, that being the case, the proceedings as
11		far as today end here and we wait to be
12		appraised of the developments with regard to
13		the two witnesses in the course of the time.
14		
15		Mr. Fleming, should we come back here on
16		Wednesday, would that be sufficient time?
17		We'd like to see how things are developing
18		on the ground with regard to the witnesses.
19	MR. FLEMING:	
20		Your Honours, there might be some
21		difficulties with that. The Prosecutor has
22		suggested to me that I was somewhat
23		optimistic in my time frame.
24	MR. PRESIDENT:	
25		All right. How long?
		O MOYO - OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

-	L i	MR.	FLEMING:	
2	2			She did it very kindly.
	3 1	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
4	1			How long? I know, perhaps how much time?
į	5 1	MR.	FLEMING:	
(5			I realise that we have to bring the issues
	7			to a conclusion.
8	3			
9	9			Your Honour, we may be able to inform the
10)			Court as to whether or not we need these
13	L			witnesses quickly, and that would bring that
12	2			issue to a head.
13	3 1	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
14	1			When do you plan to do that?
15	5 1	MR.	FLEMING:	
1	5			We can do that within the 24 hours.
1	7 1	MR.	PRESIDENT:	
18	3			Then, I think we will keep Wednesday for all
19	9			possible information.
20) 1	MR.	FLEMING:	
21	L			Thank you, Your Honours. There is one
22	2			associated issue. Your Honours might recall
23	3			that Professor Hinds has requested that
24	1			at least posed the possibility that some of
25	5			the witnesses would be recalled, that is

KAJELIJELI	8 APRIL 2002
1	tied into the problem of receiving
2	documentation from Rwanda. Those witnesses
3	are still here and have been here for a long
4	time. So, I will raise that issue with
5	Professor Hinds as well and see if we can
6	resolve that. Thank you.
7 MR. PRESIDENT:	
8	All right. Then these proceedings stand
9	adjourned until Wednesday morning at 9:30.
10	
11	Until then, these proceeding stand
12	adjourned.
13	(Court adjourned at 1015H)
14	
15	(Pages 1 to 27 by Sithembiso Moyo)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Sithembiso Moyo, Official
4	Court Reporter for the International Criminal
5	Tribunal for Rwanda, do hereby certify that the
6	foregoing proceedings in the above-entitled
7	cause were taken at the time and place as
8	stated; that it was taken in shorthand
9	(stenotype) and thereafter transcribed by
10	computer under my supervision and control; that
11	the foregoing pages contain a true and correct
12	transcription of said proceedings to the best
13	of my ability and understanding.
14	
15	I further certify that I am not of
16	counsel nor related to any of the parties to
17	this cause and that I am in nowise interested
18	in the result of said cause.
19	
20	
21	(pages 1 to 27)
22	Sithembiso Moyo
23	
24	
25	

ICTR - TRIAL CHAMBER II