AA 2023/202

Machine Learning for Modelling: Supervised Learning

Simone Bianco

L

2

Task and dataset

- Task: Image classification using CNNs (Supervised Learning) and compare the performance with:
 - SIFT/Bag of words coupled with traditional classifiers or
 - CNNs trained in Self-Supervised Learning (even on the same dataset ignoring the labels), extracting the features and classifying them with traditional classifier
- Dataset: Food recognition
 - uncontrolled input size
 - 251 classes
 - from 100 to 600 images per class
- Train, Val, Test splits: Test will not be used since it does not have a public ground truth, your test set is the validation set
 - → validation set must be extracted from the training set

Task and dataset

Exam Project

- Additional constraints:
 - The CNN should be a custom model with a total number of parameters < 1M

Valid for June/July/Sept 2024 and Jan/Feb 2025 sessions

- For (motivated and documented) computational reasons, the number of images for each class can be reduced, while the number of classes must remain 251
- Dataset download link: available on the e-learning platform

3

Tasks

- 1. Data Preprocessing: The student should preprocess the images by resizing them to a common size, converting them to grayscale or RGB, and normalizing the pixel values.
- 2. [OPTION #1] Feature Extraction using SIFT and Bag of Words: The student should extract features from the images using Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) (or similar approaches) and Bag of Words (BoW) representation and traditional classifier (e.g., Support Vector Machines (SVMs), Random Forests, or k-Nearest Neighbors (k-NN)) to classify them
- 3. Convolutional Neural Networks: The student should train a convolutional neural network (CNN) on the preprocessed images to classify them into different categories. The student should experiment with a custom neural architecture.
- 4. [OPTION #2] The students have to cast the problem as supervised learning and self-supervised learning.
- 5. Model Evaluation: The student should evaluate the performance of the models using metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score.
- 6. Hyperparameter Tuning: The student should fine-tune the hyperparameters of the models to achieve better performance.

The project should include a written report that describes each step of the project in detail, presents the results, and discusses the limitations and future directions of the project. The report should also include visualizations to support the analysis.

5

7

Deadlines

When it must be delivered

- On Friday before the exam (usually), deadline at 23:59:
- o 18-06-2024 for the 21-06-2024 exam
- o 19-07-2024 for the 25-07-2024 exam
- o 13-09-2024 for the 16-09-2024 exam

How it must be delivered

- · Write an email to me with the link to a shared folder on Google Drive
- After the deadline no modifications are allowed to the code and the report
- Only (small) changes to the presentation are allowed (e.g., typos correction, etc.)

What must be delivered

- All Python/Matlab software codes associated with the Tasks, use the standard practice you learnt during
 the lab lectures to describe and comment the software code.
- A technical report consisting of no more than 8 pages (references excluded) where you describe how
 you analyzed the given dataset. The template to be used is available on the course page in the "Exam"
 section.

What is allowed

- You can develop the project yourself or you can develop the project with one of your colleagues
- You can access any source of high quality to develop your project, other courses, papers, books, ... but it
 must be properly cited

What is not allowed

 No plagiarism in any form, including chatGPT and similar (you must add a statement to your report that clearly exclude the use of any form of plagiarism)

6

Assessment

- Ongoing Exam (Max 33 points):
 - Lab reports
 Project
 10 pts
 12 pts
- Full Exam (Max 30 points):
 - o Project 20 pts
 - Interview


Interview

8

11 pts

10 pts

Project grading	
 Software code 	(up to 6 points)
— Correctness	(up to 2 points)
— Efficiency	(up to 2 points)
— Clarity of comments	(up to 2 points)
Technical report	(up to 6 points)
— Correctness, rigor, accuracy of the methodological approach	(up to 2 points)
 Clarity of expression and communication of ideas 	(up to 2 points)
— Tables, figures, captions, are they appropriate? Well motivated? Explained?	(up to 2 points)



9