

Theoretical Physics 3

Quantum Theory 2

Author:
Matthew Rossetter

Lecturer:
Prof. Robert Potvliege

Lecture 1

Course notes and audio recordings of the lectures can be found on DUO

Lecture 2

2.1 Vector Spaces

2.1.1 Examples in Vector Spaces

A. Geometric vectors Summing vectors (*only valid for addition of vectors*):

1. If \underline{v}_1 and \underline{v}_2 are vectors, then $\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2$ is also a vector
 ► The plane bounded by \underline{v}_1 and \underline{v}_2 is a closed vector space under vector addition.
- 2.

$$(\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2) + \underline{v}_3 = \underline{v}_1 + (\underline{v}_2 + \underline{v}_3)$$

3. There is a zero vector $\underline{0}$ (vector of zero length) such that $\underline{v} + \underline{0} = \underline{v}$.
4. Each vector has an inverse $-\underline{v}$ such that $\underline{v} + (-\underline{v}) = \underline{0}$.
- 5.

$$\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2 = \underline{v}_2 + \underline{v}_1$$

6. $\alpha \underline{v}$ is the vector whose length is α times $|\underline{v}|$ in the same direction as \underline{v} for any real α . This is scalar multiplication.
- 7.

$$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)\underline{v} = \alpha_1 \underline{v} + \alpha_2 \underline{v}$$

$$\alpha(\underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2) = \alpha \underline{v}_1 + \alpha \underline{v}_2$$

- 8.

$$(\alpha\beta)\underline{v} = \alpha(\beta\underline{v})$$

- 9.

$$1 \cdot \underline{v} = \underline{v}$$

10. Dot product:

$$\underline{v}_1 \cdot \underline{v}_2 = |\underline{v}_1||\underline{v}_2| \cos \theta_{12}$$

- 11.

$$\underline{v}_1 \cdot \underline{v}_2 = (\underline{v}_2 \cdot \underline{v}_1)^*$$

12. Linear combinations:

$$(\alpha \underline{v}_1 + \beta \underline{v}_2) \cdot \underline{w} = \alpha^* (\underline{v}_1 \cdot \underline{w}) + \beta^* (\underline{v}_2 \cdot \underline{w})$$

13.

$$\underline{v} \cdot \underline{v} = |\underline{v}|^2 \geq 0$$

These are the axioms of the inner product. A vector space with inner product \equiv an inner product space

B. 2-component complex column vectors

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

where a and b are complex numbers

1. Addition of two vectors:

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} ; W = \begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix}$$

$$V + W = \begin{pmatrix} a + a' \\ b + b' \end{pmatrix}$$

2.

$$(v_1 + v_2) + v_3 = v_1 + (v_2 + v_3)$$

3.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$$

4.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -a \\ -b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

5.

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha a \\ \alpha b \end{pmatrix}$$

6. Inner product of v, w is:

$$(v, w) = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & b^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a' \\ b' \end{pmatrix} = a^* a' + b^* b'$$

C. Functions of x

$$f(x), \psi(x)$$

These functions form a vector space.

1.

$$(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$$

2.

$$(\alpha f)(x) = \alpha f(x)$$

3. Inner product:

$$(f, g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^*(x) g(x) dx$$

2.2 Norm of a vector

The norm of a vector is defined as:

$$||v|| = \sqrt{(v, v)}$$

► Two vectors are said to be orthogonal if $(v, w) = 0$

► orthonormal if they are orthogonal and have a unit norm ($||v|| = ||w|| = 1$)

Lecture 3

3.1 Hilbert Spaces

Wave function of a harmonic oscillator:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = 1$$

Wave function of atomic hydrogen:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} r^2 dr \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta d\theta \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi |\psi(r, \theta, \phi)|^2 = 1$$

- Wave functions must be square-integrable
- The set of all functions forms a vector space
 - ➡ The set of all square-integrable functions also forms a vector space, a subset of the above space (a subspace)
 - ➡ A subspace is a vector space which is a subset of another vector space
- A square-integrable function refers to using the Lebesgue integration

Hilbert space: a complete vector space with an inner product, e.g. the vector space of square-integrable functions on $(-\infty, \infty)$. The inner product is:

$$(\phi, \psi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi^*(x) \psi(x) dx$$

3.2 Bases

1. Span of a set of vectors: the set of all linear combinations of these vectors, e.g. the span of

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is the set of linear combinations,

$$a \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

The span of those three vectors is the set of all 3-component column vectors, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}$

2. A set of N vectors is said to be linearly independent if it is not possible to write a vector from that set as a linear combination of the other vectors.

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a linearly independent set since it is not possible to find α and β such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Orthogonal vectors are always linearly independent. The dimension of a finite-dimensional vector space is the max number of vectors forming a linearly-independent set. An infinite-dimensional vector space is one in which there is no upper bound on the size of the linearly-independent sets.

Example: Functions of the form e^{inx} , $n \in \mathbb{N}$

These functions form a linearly-independent set since any two such functions are orthogonal.

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (e^{inx})^* e^{imx} dx = 0, n \neq m$$

3. A basis is a set of linearly-independent vectors spanning the whole vector space. An orthonormal basis is a basis whose vectors are orthonormal.

Lecture 4

4.1 Operators I

Examples:

1. energy operator $\rightarrow H$
2. angular momentum operator $\rightarrow \underline{L} = L_x \hat{x} + L_y \hat{y} + L_z \hat{z}$
3. linear momentum operator $\rightarrow \underline{p} = -i\hbar \underline{\nabla}$, $p_x = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$
4. position operator $\rightarrow x$ (in 1D)

- operators deal with *dynamical variables*
- they transform wavefunctions:

$$p_x e^{-\frac{x^2}{a^2}} = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} e^{-\frac{x^2}{a^2}} = 2i\hbar \frac{x}{a^2} e^{-\frac{x^2}{a^2}}$$

- linear operators are ones that act linearly: $A(c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2) = c_1 A v_1 + c_2 A v_2$
- non-linear operators do exist:

$$\begin{aligned} Av &= v||v|| \\ A cv &= cv||cv|| = c|c|v||v|| \\ &= c|c|Av \neq cAv \end{aligned}$$

- many operators are *unbounded*
- identity operator, I such that $Iv = v$

4.2 Using Linear Operators

1. adding operators:

$$(A + B)v = Av + Bv$$

2. multiplying an operator by a scalar:

$$(cA)v = A(cv)$$

3. product of two operators, i.e. act on v with B first then act on the result with A :

$$(AB)v = A(Bv), [AB \neq BA]$$

4. invertible operator, an operator which has an inverse: A^{-1}
 A^{-1} being such that

$$AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I$$

singular operators are defined as non-invertible operators

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)^{-1} &= B^{-1}A^{-1} \\ (A^{-1})^{-1} &= A \end{aligned}$$

5. any operator A has a unique adjoint, A^\dagger
 A^\dagger is the operator such that for any v, w

$$\begin{aligned}(v, Aw) &= (w, A^\dagger v)^* \\ (AB)^\dagger &= B^\dagger A^\dagger \\ (A^\dagger)^\dagger &= A \\ (A + B)^\dagger &= A^\dagger + B^\dagger \\ (cA)^\dagger &= c^* A^\dagger\end{aligned}$$

4.3 Representation by a matrix

For an orthonormal basis: $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$

$$\begin{aligned}(u_i, u_j) &= \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases} \\ v &= c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + \dots + c_n u_n \\ w &= Av \\ w &= d_1 u_1 + \dots + d_n u_n \\ \underline{c} &= \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix} ; \underline{d} = \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \\ \vdots \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} \\ \underline{d} &= \hat{A} \underline{c} \\ \hat{A} &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \dots & A_{1n} \\ \vdots & & & \\ A_{n1} & A_{n2} & \dots & A_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \\ A_{ij} &= (u_i, Au_j)\end{aligned}$$

This matrix represents the operator A in the basis $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$

Example:

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}x \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis in the space of all functions of the form $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x$

$$\begin{aligned}(u_i, u_j) &= \delta_{ij} \\ \int_{-1}^1 u_i^*(x) u_j(x) dx &= \delta_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

Lecture 5

- **Note:** order of presenting the basis matters, flipping the order of a 2 base basis transverses the matrix
- For a function, $f = a + bx = c_1 u_1(x) + c_2 u_2(x)$, calculate the constants using the inner product
- One says that the vector space spanned by $u_1(x)$ and $u_2(x)$ is isomorphic to the vector space of 2-component column vectors

5.1 Dirac Notation

$$u_1(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = |1\rangle$$

$$u_2(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = |2\rangle$$

$$f = a + bx = \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{2} \\ b\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \end{pmatrix} = |f\rangle$$

- denote inner product of g and f as $(g, f) = \langle g|f\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}f &= Df = \hat{D}|f\rangle \\ \left(g, \frac{df}{dx}\right) &= \langle g|\hat{D}|f\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- The inner product of $c|g\rangle$ and $|f\rangle$ is $c^*\langle g|f\rangle$
- Ket vectors are vectors in their own right, forming a Hilbert space

5.2 Dual Space

- Each state of a quantum system can be described by a vector belonging to a Hilbert space

Lecture 6

6.1 Degenerate Eigenvalues of an Operator

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}|\psi\rangle &= \lambda|\psi\rangle \\ c|\psi\rangle &= |c\psi\rangle \\ \hat{A}|c\psi\rangle &= \hat{A}c|\psi\rangle \\ &= c\hat{A}|\psi\rangle \\ &= c\lambda|\psi\rangle \\ &= \lambda c|\psi\rangle = \lambda|c\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- λ always corresponds to infinitely many different eigenvectors
- It happens that:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A}|\psi_1\rangle &= \lambda_1|\psi_1\rangle \\ \hat{A}|\psi_2\rangle &= \lambda_1|\psi_2\rangle \\ |\psi_2\rangle &\neq |\psi_1\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- i.e., $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ are linearly independent, but correspond to the same eigenvalues
 - ➡ If so, λ is said to be degenerate
 - ➡ e.g. for hydrogen, the $2s$, $2p_{m=0}$, and $2p_{m=\pm 1}$ states all have the same energy, E_2
- These states are orthogonal, and hence, linearly independent:

$$\int \psi_{nlm}^*(r, \phi, \theta) \psi_{n'l'm'}(r, \phi, \theta) d'r = 0$$

unless $n = n'$, $l = l'$, and $m = m'$

- The E_2 eigenvalues of hydrogen are degenerate
- The span of all the eigenvectors belonging to a degenerate eigenvalue is a vector space.

- The degree of degeneracy of that eigenvalue is the dimension of that space.
 - ➡ e.g. the degree of degeneracy of E_2 is 4 - “ E_2 is 4-fold degenerate”
- If an operator \hat{A} is represented by a matrix, $\underline{\underline{A}}$, then the eigenvalues of \hat{A} are the same as those of $\underline{\underline{A}}$
 - ➡ The eigenvectors of \hat{A} are \iff in correspondence with those of the matrix
- Spectrum of an operator: The set of all its eigenvalues (physicist’s definition)
 - ➡ $\hat{A} - \lambda \hat{I}$
 - ➡ $\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$
- Momentum operator: $p = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 p\psi(x) &= \lambda\psi(x) \\
 -i\hbar \frac{d\psi}{dx} &= \lambda\psi(x) \\
 \psi(x) &= Ce^{i\frac{\lambda}{\hbar}x} \\
 \lambda = a + ib &\implies e^{i\frac{\lambda}{\hbar}x} = e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}(ai-b)x}
 \end{aligned}$$

for any constant C

$$e^{-bx} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \rightarrow \infty \\ \infty & \text{if } x \rightarrow -\infty \end{cases}$$

for positive b

- $\psi(x)$ is not square integrable if $b \neq 0$
- If $b = 0$, then $e^{i\frac{a}{\hbar}x}$ remains of modulus 1, but

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |C|^2 dx$$

this diverges

- None of these eigenfunctions are square-integrable
- p has no eigenfunctions in the Hilbert space of square-integrable functions
- In physics, functions like $e^{\pm ikx}$ where k is real, are also “eigenfunctions” (i.e. pseudo-eigenfunctions or generalised eigenfunctions)

6.2 Dynamical Variables and Operators

- Each state of a quantum system can be represented by a vector belonging to a Hilbert space, \mathcal{H}
- With every dynamical variable is associated a linear operator acting in \mathcal{H}
 - ➡ e.g. position, momentum, angular momentum, spin, energy
 - ➡ i.e. physical quantities that may vary in time
- quantities that are constant in time are not dynamical variables
 - ➡ e.g. the charge of the electron, etc
 - ➡ therefore, they do not correspond to an operator in quantum mechanics
- The only values a dynamical variable can be found to have in a measurement are the eigenvalues of the operator associated with that variable

Suppose that $|\psi\rangle$ represents a state of a quantum system, and \hat{A} represents a dynamical variable:

$$\hat{A}|\psi_n\rangle = \lambda_n|\psi_n\rangle$$

then the probability to find the result λ_n in an experiment is

$$P(\lambda_n) = \frac{|\langle\psi_n|\psi\rangle|^2}{\langle\psi_n|\psi_n\rangle\langle\psi|\psi\rangle}$$

Usually one takes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle\psi|\psi\rangle &= 1 \\
 \& \langle\psi_n|\psi_n\rangle &= 1 \\
 \implies P(\lambda_n) &= |\langle\psi_n|\psi\rangle|^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Lecture 7

1. Experiment
 - System is prepared in a certain state
 - measurement
 - results
2. Theory
 - state of system is represented by a state vector, $|\psi\rangle$
 - we have a theoretical description in which what is measured is described in terms of operators associated to dynamical variables
 - probabilistic “prediction”

7.1 Consequences of the Probability Rule

- All the predictions of the theory are based on the state vector, $|\psi\rangle$, representing the system
- All one can say about the state of a quantum system is what can be deduced from the state vector
- the state vector constrains all the information that can be known about the system
- $|\phi_n\rangle$ is an eigenvector $\rightarrow \langle\phi_n|\phi_n\rangle \neq 0$
- the zero vector never represents a quantum state $\rightarrow \langle\psi|\psi\rangle \neq 0$
- if the probability of a result, λ , is zero, then finding this result is impossible (within the theoretical model used)
 - ➡ if the probability is one, then the result will be obtained with certainty

7.2 The Principle of Superposition

- if $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ represents a possible state of a system, then any linear combination of $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ also represents a possible state of the system

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{100}(\underline{r}, t) &= \psi_{100}(\underline{r}) \exp \left[-i \left(\frac{E_1 t}{\hbar} \right) \right] \\ \Psi_{200}(\underline{r}, t) &= \psi_{200}(\underline{r}) \exp \left[-i \left(\frac{E_2 t}{\hbar} \right) \right] \\ \Psi(\underline{r}, t) &= c_1 \Psi_{100} + c_2 \Psi_{200} \text{ is also a possible state}\end{aligned}$$

If $\langle\phi_n|\phi_n\rangle = 1$, then

$$P(\lambda_n) = \frac{|\langle\phi_n|\psi\rangle|^2}{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle}$$

- multiplying the state vector by a non-zero complex number gives the same probability
- the ket vectors $c|\psi\rangle, c \in \mathbb{C}$ all represent the same state, regardless of the value of c
- however, a linear combination of state vectors will be different dependent on the value of c for each state vector

7.3 Hermitian Operators

Definition: an operator, \hat{A} , is Hermitian if

$$\langle\phi|\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|\hat{A}|\phi\rangle^*$$

for any $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle$

- the eigenvalues of Hermitian operators are always real
- the eigenvectors of Hermitian operators corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal
- matrices representing Hermitian operators are always Hermitian, i.e. equal to their conjugate transpose

Lecture 8

- An operator \hat{A} is said to be Hermitian if $\langle\phi|\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|\hat{A}|\phi\rangle^*$ for any $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle$ on which \hat{A} may act.

8.1 Proof of the Orthogonality of Eigenvectors

► \hat{A} : Hermitian such that

- ➡ $\hat{A}|\psi_1\rangle = \lambda_1|\psi_1\rangle$
- ➡ $\hat{A}|\psi_2\rangle = \lambda_2|\psi_2\rangle$
- ➡ $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$
- ➡ both λ_1 and λ_2 are real since \hat{A} is Hermitian

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi_1|\hat{A}|\psi_2\rangle &= \lambda_2\langle\psi_1|\psi_2\rangle \\ \langle\psi_2|\hat{A}|\psi_1\rangle^* &= \lambda_2^*\langle\psi_2|\psi_1\rangle^* \\ \langle\psi_2|\hat{A}|\psi_1\rangle &= \lambda_2\langle\psi_2|\psi_1\rangle \\ \langle\psi_2|\hat{A}|\psi_1\rangle &= \lambda_1\langle\psi_2|\psi_1\rangle \\ 0 &= \underbrace{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)}_{\neq 0} \underbrace{\langle\psi_2|\psi_1\rangle}_{=0}\end{aligned}$$

- If \hat{A} is a Hermitian operator acting in a finite-dimensional Hilbert space, then it is always possible to form an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors of \hat{A} and this basis is complete.
- A complete set of vectors is a set of vectors spanning the whole space.
 - ➡ A basis is always a complete set, by definition.

Example: 1st Workshop

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- The first matrix above is Hermitian, and the eigenvectors form a complete set.
- The second matrix above is not Hermitian, and the eigenvectors do not form a complete set.

For infinite-dimensional spaces, there are different possibilities: 1. Infinite square well:

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

This acts on $[-a, a]$ such that $\psi(x = \pm a) = 0$ * There are infinitely many eigenvalues (eigenenergies) for this 2. Free particle: Same operator as above on $(-\infty, +\infty)$, acting on a square-integrable function in that bound

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi = E\psi$$

- This has no solution that is square-integrable
- SHM

$$\begin{aligned}H &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2, \quad (-\infty, +\infty) \\ H\psi_n &= E\psi_n \\ E_n &= \hbar\omega \left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ \psi(x) &= \sum_n c_n \psi_n(x)\end{aligned}$$

8.2 Probability of Obtaining an eigenvalue

$$\begin{aligned}P_i &= |\langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle|^2 \iff \\ \langle\phi_i|\phi_1\rangle &= 1 = \langle\psi|\psi\rangle \& \\ \hat{A}|\phi_i\rangle &= \lambda_i|\phi_i\rangle\end{aligned}$$

If λ_i is degenerate:

$$\hat{A}|\psi_n\rangle = \underbrace{\lambda}_{\forall n} |\psi_n\rangle$$

$$\langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

Probability of finding λ is:

$$P(\lambda) = \sum_n |\langle\phi_n|\psi\rangle|^2$$

- This is the sum over all the eigenvectors corresponding to λ
- “Observable” - a Hermitian operator with a complete set of eigenvectors

$$P_i(|\psi\rangle) = |\langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle|^2$$

$$P_i(|\phi_j\rangle) = |\langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle|^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

- Finding λ_i or λ_j is mutually exclusive:

$$\sum_i P_i(|\psi\rangle) = 1$$

$$\sum_i |\langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle|^2 = 1$$

$$\sum_i \langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle * \langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle = 1$$

$$\sum_i \langle\psi|\phi_i\rangle \langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle = 1$$

- One must have this, or any $|\psi\rangle$

$$\sum_i |\phi_i\rangle \langle\phi_i| = \hat{I}$$

- This is the completeness relation

8.3 Variance of the distribution of probability

$$(\Delta A)^2 = \langle\psi|\hat{A}^2|\psi\rangle - \langle\psi|\hat{A}|\psi\rangle^2$$

Lecture 9

$$(\Delta A)^2(\Delta B)^2 \geq -\frac{1}{4}(\langle\psi|[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]|\psi\rangle)^2$$

- system is represented by $|\psi\rangle$, $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$
- two dynamical variables, A and B , represented by two observables, \hat{A} and \hat{B}
 - ➡ these are Hermitian operators with a complete set of eigenvalues

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$$

- the commutator of \hat{A} and \hat{B} if

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$$

one would say that \hat{A} and \hat{B} commute, i.e. for any $|\psi\rangle \rightarrow [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]|\psi\rangle = 0$

$$[\hat{Q}, \hat{P}] = i\hbar\hat{I}$$

- \hat{I} is the identity vector and is usually not indicated for simplicity
 - ➡ $[\hat{A}, \hat{I}] = 0$
- $[\hat{A}, \hat{A}] = 0$
- $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = -[\hat{B}, \hat{A}]$

- $[\hat{A}, f(\hat{A})] = 0$, where $f(\hat{A})$ can be any function of \hat{A}
- if $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$ and $|\phi_n\rangle$ is an eigenvector of \hat{A} , then $\hat{B}|\phi_n\rangle$ is also an eigenvector of \hat{A} corresponding to the same eigenvalue.
- Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}|\phi_n\rangle &= \lambda_n|\phi_n\rangle \\ \hat{A}\hat{B}|\phi_n\rangle &= \hat{B}\hat{A}|\phi_n\rangle = \lambda_n\hat{B}|\phi_n\rangle\end{aligned}$$

- If λ_n is not a degenerate eigenvalue of \hat{A} , then $\hat{B}|\phi_n\rangle = \mu_n|\phi_n\rangle$
 - ➡ $|\phi_n\rangle$ is also an eigenvector of \hat{B}
- Proof:
 - ➡ If λ_n were degenerate, then (and only then) could one have several linearly independent eigenvectors of \hat{A} all corresponding to λ_n
 - ➡ Since we assume that λ_n is not degenerate, $\hat{B}|\phi_n\rangle$ and $|\phi_n\rangle$ cannot be linearly independent, therefore $\hat{B}|\phi_n\rangle = \mu_n|\phi_n\rangle$ for some non-zero value of μ_n
 - ➡ If $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$, then one can find a basis of the Hilbert space constructed from eigenvectors common to \hat{A} and \hat{B} , and reciprocally

Example:

For atomic hydrogen,

- H - Hamiltonian
- \underline{L}^2 and L_z
- angular momentum operators

$$[H, \underline{L}^2] = [H, L_z] = [\underline{L}^2, L_z] = 0$$

One can find functions that are eigenfunctions of all these three operators:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{nlm}(r, \theta, \phi) \\ H\psi_{nlm} &= E_n\psi_{nlm} \\ \underline{L}^2\psi_{nlm} &= \hbar^2 l(l+1)\psi_{nlm} \\ L_z\psi_{nlm} &= \hbar m\psi_{nlm}\end{aligned}$$

- H, \underline{L}^2, L_z form a “complete set of commuting observables” in the sense that specifying their eigenvalues (e.g. by specifying the corresponding quantum numbers) define their common eigenvectors unambiguously

$$(\Delta A)^2(\Delta B)^2 \geq -\frac{1}{4}(\langle\psi|[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]|\psi\rangle)^2$$

- if \hat{A}, \hat{B} are Hermitian, $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = i\hat{C}$ where \hat{C} is Hermitian

$$\langle\psi|\hat{C}|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi|\hat{C}|\psi\rangle^*$$
- the right hand-side is greater than zero
- $(\Delta A)^2$ is the variance of the probability distribution formed by the $P(\lambda_n)$

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A}|\phi_n\rangle &= \lambda_n|\phi_n\rangle \\ \langle\phi_n|\phi_n\rangle &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Probability of finding λ_n in the measurement is

$$P(\lambda_n) = |\langle\phi_n|\psi\rangle|^2$$

- inside is the probability amplitude for finding λ_n
- See last lecture for generalisation to degenerate eigenvalues

$$\langle\psi|\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \langle A \rangle$$

This is the expectation value of \hat{A}

$$\sum_n \lambda_n P(\lambda_n)$$

- If $|\psi\rangle$ is such that $\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$, then $\langle\psi|\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \lambda$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\Delta A)^2 &= \langle \psi | (\hat{A} - \langle A \rangle \hat{I})^2 | \psi \rangle \\
&= \langle \psi | \hat{A}^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | \hat{A} | \psi \rangle^2
\end{aligned}$$

ΔA is the uncertainty on A

- If we perform a measurement and get $\lambda^{(1)}$ then again and get $\lambda^{(2)}$ etc, after preparing the system to be back in the unmeasured state

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\lambda} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_j \lambda^{(j)} \\
(\Delta A)^2 &= \langle A^2 \rangle - \langle A \rangle^2 \\
(\Delta A)^2 &\implies \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_j (\lambda^{(j)} - \bar{\lambda})^2
\end{aligned}$$

Lecture 10

- If $\Delta A = 0$, there is no dispersion
- $\Delta A = 0$ if $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenvector of \hat{A}
- $\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}^2|\psi\rangle &= \lambda^2|\psi\rangle = \hat{A}(\hat{A}|\psi\rangle) \\
&= \hat{A}(\lambda|\psi\rangle) = \lambda\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \lambda^2|\psi\rangle \\
\langle \psi | \hat{A}^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | \hat{A} | \psi \rangle^2 &= \lambda^2 \langle \psi | \psi \rangle - (\lambda \langle \psi | \psi \rangle)^2 \\
&= \lambda^2 - \lambda^2 = 0
\end{aligned}$$

- For finite dimensional spaces, if $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenvector of \hat{A} , then $\langle \psi | [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] | \psi \rangle = 0$ too

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi | \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A} | \psi \rangle &= \lambda^* \langle \psi | \hat{B} | \psi \rangle - \lambda \langle \psi | \hat{B} | \psi \rangle \\
&= (\lambda - \lambda) \langle \psi | \hat{B} | \psi \rangle = 0
\end{aligned}$$

complex conjugate goes away since \hat{A} is Hermitian

- If $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$, then it is possible for $(\Delta A)^2(\Delta B)^2 = 0$
- For \hat{P} as the momentum operator,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}|\phi\rangle &= p|\phi\rangle \\
-i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \phi(x) &= p\phi(x) \\
\phi_p(x) &= C e^{i \frac{px}{\hbar}}
\end{aligned}$$

not square summable, therefore not an element of the Hilbert space

- For \hat{Q} as the position operator,

$$Q\phi(x) = x\phi(x) = a\phi(x)$$

impossible unless $\phi(x) = 0$, which does not qualify as an eigenfunction

- Take $\phi_p(x)$ as generalised eigenfunction of the momentum operator

10.1 Measurement of P

- What is the probability of finding a certain value, p ?
- p is distributed continuously, not quantised
- Better to ask for the probability of finding p between p_1 and p_2 ?

$$P[(p_1, p_2)] = \int_{p_1}^{p_2} P(p) dp$$

- $P(p)$ is the density of probability, $P(p) dp$ is the probability to find a momentum between p and $p + dp$

- $P(p)$ has no physical dimensions
 ➡ those of the inverse of a momentum, so that $P[(p_1, p_2)]$ is a pure number

$$P(p) = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_p^*(x) \phi(x) dx \right|^2 = \left| C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i \frac{px}{\hbar}} \psi(x) dx \right|^2$$

- This is the Fourier transform of $\psi(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \frac{px}{\hbar}} \psi(x) dx \\ \psi(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \phi(k) dk \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} dk \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx'} \psi(x') dx' \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x-x')} dx \end{aligned}$$

Lecture 11

- Momentum operator: $p = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$
- Position operator: $Q = x$

$$\begin{aligned} P\phi_k(x) &= P \left[C e^{ikx} \right] = \hbar k \phi_k(x) \\ \phi(k) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) e^{-ikx} dx \\ \psi(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(k) e^{ikx} dk \\ \delta(x - x') &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x-x')} dk f(x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - x') f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

- This is true for any function $f(x)$ that is continuous at $x = x'$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x - x') &= \delta(x' - x) \\ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P(k) dk &= 1 \implies \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = 1 \\ P(k) &= |\phi(k)|^2 |C|^2 \\ \phi_k(x) &= C e^{ikx} \\ |C|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x) e^{-ikx} dx \right]^* \cdot \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(x') e^{-ikx'} dx' \right] &= 1 \\ |C|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(x) \psi(x') dx' \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ik(x-x')} dk &= 1 \\ 2\pi |C|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi^*(x) \psi(x') \delta(x - x') dx' &= 1 \\ 2\pi |C|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \psi^*(x) \psi(x) &= 1 \\ \implies 2\pi |C|^2 &= 1 \rightarrow C = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \end{aligned}$$

- The normalised eigenfunctions of P are:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_k(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{ikx} \\ \phi_p(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{ip \frac{x}{\hbar}} \end{aligned}$$

► Orthonormality condition here is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_k^*(x) \phi_{k'}(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(k-k')x} dx = \delta(k' - k)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_n(x) \phi_{n'}(x) dx = \delta_{nn'}$$

11.1 Eigenfunctions of the position operator

$$Q\psi(x) = x\psi(x)$$

An eigenfunction of Q would be such that

$$Q\phi_q(x) \equiv q\phi_q(x) \equiv x\phi_q(x)$$

Finally, one can take:

$$\phi_k(x) = \delta(x - q)$$

$$P[(q_1, q_2)] = \int_{q_1}^{q_2} P(q) dq$$

$$P(q) = \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_q^*(x) \psi(x) dx \right|^2$$

$$= \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(q - x) \psi(x) dx \right|^2$$

$$= |\psi(q)|^2$$

This is the Born Rule

► Normalisation:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta^*(x - q) \delta(x - q') dx = \delta(q - q')$$

► Discrete case: $|\psi\rangle = \sum_n c_n |\phi_n\rangle$ if $\{|\phi_n\rangle\}$ is an orthonormal basis

$$c_n = \langle \phi_n | \psi \rangle$$

$$\psi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(p) \phi_p(x) dp, \quad \phi(p) = \langle p | \psi \rangle$$

$$\hat{Q}|x\rangle = x|x\rangle$$

$$\hat{p}|p\rangle = p|p\rangle$$

$$\psi(x) = \langle x | \psi \rangle$$

► $\psi(x) = \langle x | \psi \rangle$ - wave function in position representation in position space

► $\phi(p) = \langle p | \psi \rangle$ - wave function in the momentum representation in momentum space

The last two statements are equivalent

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi\rangle &\leftrightarrow \psi(x) \\
 |x\rangle &\leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \\
 |\psi\rangle &\leftrightarrow \phi(p) \\
 \langle x|p\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{ip\frac{x}{\hbar}} \\
 \langle p|x\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{-ix\frac{p}{\hbar}} \\
 \hat{Q} &\leftrightarrow x \\
 \hat{p} &\leftrightarrow -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx} \\
 \hat{p} &\leftrightarrow p \\
 \hat{Q} &\leftrightarrow -i\hbar \frac{d}{dp}
 \end{aligned}$$

In 3D position representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_x &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
 P_y &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \\
 P_z &= -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\
 [x, P_x] &= [y, P_y] = [z, P_z] = i\hbar \\
 [x, y] &= [x, z] = [y, z] = 0 \\
 [x, P_y] &= [x, P_z] = \dots = 0 \\
 [P_x, P_y] &= [P_x, P_z] = 0 \\
 [x, P_y]\psi(x, y, z) &= -i\hbar \left[x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \psi - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} x \psi \right] = 0 \\
 \underline{P} &= P_x \hat{x} + P_y \hat{y} + P_z \hat{z} \\
 \underline{P}\phi_{\underline{p}}(\underline{r}) &= \underline{P}\phi_{\underline{p}}(\underline{r}) \\
 \underline{p} &= \hbar \underline{k} \\
 \phi_{\underline{p}}(\underline{r}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{i\underline{p} \cdot \frac{\underline{r}}{\hbar}} \\
 \phi_{\underline{k}}(\underline{r}) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i\hbar \underline{k} \cdot \underline{r}} \\
 \int \phi_{\underline{k}}^*(\underline{r}) \phi_{\underline{k}'}(\underline{r}) d^3r &= \delta^3(\underline{k} - \underline{k}') = \delta(k_x - k'_x) \delta(k_y - k'_y) \delta(k_z - k'_z)
 \end{aligned}$$

Lecture 12

- Infinite square well:
 - ➡ The Hamiltonian has infinite many discrete energy levels
- Linear harmonic oscillator:
 - ➡ Also has infinite many discrete energy levels
- Free particle in 1D:
 - ➡ continuum of energy levels, $0 < E < \infty$

$$H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$$

- atom of hydrogen
 - ➡ infinitely many discrete energy levels, corresponding to bound states
 - ➡ and a continuum of energy levels corresponding to unbound states
 - ➡ $-13.6 \text{ eV} = T + V$
 - ➡ r must be such that $-13.6 \text{ eV} > V(r)$
 - ➡ an electron with positive energy is in an unbound state
- in general, we have two classes - discrete and bound

1. discrete energy levels:

$$H\phi_j = E_j\phi_j$$

$$\int \phi_i^* \phi_j d^3r = \delta_{ij}$$

2. continuum of energy levels

$$H\phi_{\underline{k}} = E_{\underline{k}}\phi_{\underline{k}}$$

$$\int \phi_{\underline{k}}^*(\underline{r})\phi_{\underline{k}'} d^3r = \delta(\underline{k} - \underline{k}')$$

$$\int \phi_i(\underline{r})\phi_{\underline{k}}(\underline{r}) d^3r = 0$$

- A complete set of eigenfunctions of H necessarily include a continuum eigenfunctions if H has a continuous spectrum:

$$\psi(\underline{r}) = \sum_j c_j \phi_j(\underline{r}) + \int c_{\underline{k}} \phi_{\underline{k}}(\underline{r}) d^3k$$

- Since the ϕ_j and $\phi_{\underline{k}}$ are orthonormal

$$c_j = \int \phi_j^*(\underline{r}') \psi(\underline{r}') d^3r'$$

$$c_{\underline{k}} = \int \phi_{\underline{k}}^*(\underline{r}) \psi(\underline{r}') d^3r'$$

$$\psi(\underline{r}) = \int d^3r' \underbrace{\left[\sum_j \phi_j(\underline{r}) \phi_j^*(\underline{r}') + \int d^3k \phi_{\underline{k}}(\underline{r}) \phi_{\underline{k}}^*(\underline{r}') \right]}_{=\delta(\underline{r}-\underline{r}')} \psi(\underline{r}')$$

- Must be true for any \underline{r} , and any ψ
- completeness relation from lecture 8
- In Dirac notation:

$$\langle \underline{r} | \sum_j |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_j| + \int d^3k |\phi_{\underline{k}}\rangle \langle \phi_{\underline{k}}| = \hat{I} | \underline{r}' \rangle \langle \phi_j | \psi \rangle$$

- In position representation:

$$\langle \underline{r} | \phi_j \rangle = \phi_j(\underline{r}) = \phi_j(\underline{r})^*$$

$$\langle \phi_j | \underline{r}' \rangle = \phi_j^*(\underline{r}')$$

$$\langle \underline{r} | \hat{I} | \underline{r}' \rangle = \delta(\underline{r} - \underline{r}')$$

- About bra vectors

$$|A\psi\rangle = \hat{A}|\psi\rangle$$

$$\langle A\psi| = \langle \psi|\hat{A}^\dagger, \langle A\psi|\phi\rangle = \langle \psi|\hat{A}^\dagger|\phi\rangle$$

$$\langle A\psi|\phi\rangle = \langle \phi|A\psi\rangle^* = \langle \phi|\hat{A}|\psi\rangle^* = \langle \psi|\hat{A}^\dagger|\phi\rangle$$

12.1 Unitary Transformations

- 2 orientations for $2p_m = 0$

- Relate the two by:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi'\rangle &= \hat{R}_x(\theta)|\psi\rangle \\ |\phi'\rangle &= \hat{R}_x(\theta)|\phi\rangle \\ \langle\phi'|\psi'\rangle &= \langle\phi|\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- The transformation is an isometry
- In fact, it is also a unitary transformation

Lecture 13

13.1 Unitary Operators

- If $\hat{A}^\dagger = \hat{U}^{-1}$, then \hat{U} is a unitary operator
 - ➡ $\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{U} = \hat{I} = \hat{U} \hat{U}^\dagger$
 - ➡ $\hat{U}^{-1} \hat{U} = \hat{I} = \hat{U} \hat{U}^{-1}$
- \hat{U} is the same for all vectors of the Hilbert space

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi'\rangle &= \hat{U}|\psi\rangle \\ |\psi\rangle &= \hat{U}^{-1}|\psi'\rangle = \hat{U}^\dagger|\psi'\rangle \\ |\phi'\rangle &= \hat{U}|\phi\rangle \\ |\eta\rangle &= \hat{A}|\psi\rangle \\ |\eta'\rangle &= \hat{U}|\eta\rangle = \hat{U}\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \hat{U}\hat{A}\hat{U}^\dagger|\psi'\rangle \\ |\eta'\rangle &= \hat{A}'|\psi'\rangle, \quad \hat{A}' = \hat{U}\hat{A}\hat{U}^\dagger \end{aligned}$$

- Line four and seven are of the same form - but latter is written in terms of the transformed vectors and operators.
- \hat{U} transforms:
 - ➡ vectors $|\psi\rangle$ into $\hat{U}|\psi\rangle$
 - ➡ operators \hat{A} into $\hat{U}\hat{A}\hat{U}^\dagger$
- $\hat{A}' = \hat{U}\hat{A}\hat{U}^\dagger$ has all the same properties of untransformed operator \hat{A}
- If \hat{A} is Hermitian, then \hat{A}' is also Hermitian
- If $\hat{A} = \alpha\hat{B} + \beta\hat{C}\hat{D}$, then $\hat{A}' = \alpha\hat{B}' + \beta\hat{C}'\hat{D}'$
- Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{A} &= \alpha\hat{B} + \beta\hat{C}\hat{D} \\ \hat{U}\hat{A}\hat{U}^\dagger &= \alpha\hat{U}\hat{B}\hat{U}^\dagger + \beta\hat{U}\hat{C}\hat{D}\hat{U}^\dagger \\ \hat{A}' &= \alpha\hat{B}' + \beta\hat{C}'\hat{D}' \end{aligned}$$

- $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = [\hat{A}', \hat{B}']$
- \hat{A} and \hat{A}' have the same eigenvalues
- $\langle\phi|\hat{A}|\psi\rangle = \langle\phi'|\hat{A}'|\psi'\rangle$ for any $|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle$
- In particular, $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle = \langle\phi'|\psi'\rangle$
 - ➡ inner products are not changed by unitary transformations
- Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi'\rangle &= \hat{U}|\psi\rangle \\ |\phi'\rangle &= \hat{U}|\phi\rangle \\ \implies \langle\phi'| &= \langle\phi|\hat{U}^\dagger \\ \implies \langle\phi'|\psi'\rangle &= \langle\phi|\hat{U}^\dagger\hat{U}|\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle\phi|\psi\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, unitary transformations do not change the norm of the vector: $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = \langle\psi'|\psi'\rangle$

13.2 Time evolution of quantum systems

- Time-dependent Schrodinger equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\Psi(t)\rangle &= \hat{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle \\
 |\Psi(t)\rangle &= \hat{U}(t, t_0) |\Psi(t_0)\rangle \\
 \hat{U}(t, t_0) &= \hat{U}(t, t_1) \hat{U}(t_1, t_0) \\
 \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) &= \hat{U}^{-1}(t, t_0) = \hat{U}(t_0, t) \\
 \hat{U}(t_0, t_0) &= \hat{I} = \hat{U}(t_0, t) \hat{U}(t, t_0) \\
 \implies i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \hat{U}(t, t_0) &= \hat{H} \hat{U}(t, t_0)
 \end{aligned}$$

- $\hat{U}(t, t_0)$ is the time-evolution operator
 - it is unitary
- If \hat{H} is time-independent, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{U}(t, t_0) &= \exp \left[\frac{-i\hat{H}(t - t_0)}{\hbar} \right] \\
 e^{\hat{A}} &= \hat{I} + \hat{A} + \frac{1}{2!} \hat{A}^2 + \frac{1}{3!} \hat{A}^3 + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

- The exponential of an operator is the Taylor expansion of that operator

13.3 Expectation values of observables

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \hat{A}(t) \rangle &= \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{A} | \Psi(t) \rangle \\
 &= \langle \Psi(t_0) | \underbrace{\hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) \hat{A} \hat{U}(t, t_0)}_{\hat{A}_H(t)} | \Psi(t_0) \rangle \\
 \hat{A}_H(t) &= \hat{U}^\dagger(t, t_0) \hat{A} \hat{U}(t, t_0) \\
 &= \hat{U}(t_0, t) \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger(t_0, t) \\
 \langle \hat{A}(t) \rangle &= \langle \Psi(t_0) | \hat{A}_H(t) | \Psi(t_0) \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

1. State vector changes in time, \hat{A} doesn't - Schrodinger picture
 2. State vectors do not change in time, $\hat{A}_H(t)$ does - Heisenberg picture
- These two formulations are completely equivalent
 - Heisenberg equation of motion:

$$i\hbar \frac{d\hat{A}_H(t)}{dt} = [\hat{A}_H, \hat{H}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{H}]$$

if \hat{A} is time-independent.

Lecture 14

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{U}^\dagger &= \hat{U}^{-1} \\
 |\psi'\rangle &= \hat{U} |\psi\rangle \\
 \hat{A}' &= \hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger
 \end{aligned}$$

- The eigenvalues of a unitary operator are real or complex numbers of modulus 1
- The eigenvectors of a unitary operator corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal to each other

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A \rangle(t) &= \langle \Psi(t) | \hat{A} | \Psi(t) \rangle \\
&= \langle \Psi(t_0) | \hat{A}_H(t) | \Psi(t_0) \rangle \\
\hat{A}_H(t) &= \hat{U}(t_0, t) \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger(t_0, t) \\
i\hbar \frac{d\hat{A}_H}{dt} &= [\hat{A}_H, \hat{H}_H] = \hat{U}(t_0, t) [\hat{A}, \hat{H}] \hat{U}^\dagger(t_0, t)
\end{aligned}$$

- If $[\hat{A}, \hat{H}] = 0$, then \hat{A}_H is constant in time
 - ➡ $\langle A \rangle(t)$ is also constant for any $|\Psi\rangle$
 - ➡ A is a “constant of motion”

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi'\rangle &= \hat{R}_x(\theta) |\psi\rangle \\
\langle \psi' | H | \psi' \rangle &= \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle \\
\langle \psi | \hat{R}_x(-\theta) \hat{H} \hat{R}_x(\theta) | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle \\
\hat{R}_x^\dagger(\theta) &= \hat{R}_x^{-1}(\theta) = \hat{R}_x(-\theta) \\
\langle \psi' | &= \langle \psi | \hat{R}_x^\dagger(\theta) \\
&= \langle \psi | \hat{R}_x(-\theta)
\end{aligned}$$

- Now look at the limit when $\theta \rightarrow \epsilon$, where ϵ is near zero

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}_x(\pm\epsilon) &= \hat{I} \mp i\epsilon \frac{\hat{J}_x}{\hbar} \\
\langle \psi | \left(\hat{I} + i\epsilon \frac{\hat{J}_x}{\hbar} \right) \hat{H} \left(\hat{I} - i\epsilon \frac{\hat{J}_x}{\hbar} \right) | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle \\
\langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{J}_x \hat{H} | \psi \rangle + \langle \psi | \frac{-i\epsilon}{\hbar} \hat{H} \hat{J}_x | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle + \frac{i\epsilon}{\hbar} \langle \psi | [\hat{J}_x, \hat{H}] | \psi \rangle \\
&= \langle \psi | \hat{H} | \psi \rangle \text{ for any } \psi \\
\implies [\hat{J}_x, \hat{H}] &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

- The requirement that the state of the atom is invariant under a rotation means that \underline{J} is a constant

14.1 unitary transformations and change of bases

- dimension of the Hilbert space, N
- Consider two different orthonormal bases for that space:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{|\phi_1\rangle, |\phi_2\rangle, \dots, |\phi_N\rangle\} \\
&\{|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, \dots, |\psi_N\rangle\} \\
\langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle &= \delta_{ij}, \quad \langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i| = \hat{I}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^N |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| = \hat{I}
\end{aligned}$$

- The last line is the Completeness relation
- An operator \hat{A} is represented by a matrix \underline{A} in the $\{|\phi\rangle\}$ basis, \underline{A}' in the $\{|\psi\rangle\}$ basis

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{ij} &= \langle \phi_i | \hat{A} | \phi_j \rangle \\
A'_{ij} &= \langle \psi_i | \hat{A} | \psi_j \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

- Because the $\{|\phi\rangle\}$ vectors are a basis, one can always write each of the $|\psi_j\rangle$ vectors as a linear combination of the $|\phi_i\rangle$ vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_j\rangle &= \sum_i U_{ji}^* |\phi_i\rangle \\
U_{ji}^\dagger &= \langle \phi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \langle \psi_j | \phi_i \rangle^* \\
U_{ji} &= \langle \psi_j | \phi_i \rangle \\
\underline{\underline{U}} &= \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & U_{12} & \cdots & U_{1N} \\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ U_{N1} & \cdots & \cdots & U_{NN} \end{pmatrix} \\
\underline{\underline{U}} \underline{\underline{U}}^\dagger &= \underline{\underline{I}} \\
(\underline{\underline{U}} \underline{\underline{U}}^\dagger)_{ij} &= \sum_k U_{ik} U_{kj}^\dagger \\
&= \sum_k \langle \psi_i | \phi_k \rangle \langle \phi_k | \psi_j \rangle \\
&= \langle \psi_i | \underbrace{\sum_k |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k|}_{\hat{I}} | \psi_j \rangle \\
&= \langle \psi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}' &= \hat{U} \hat{A} \hat{U}^\dagger \quad |\chi\rangle = \sum_i c_i |\phi_i\rangle \\
\hat{c}' &= \hat{U} \hat{c} \quad = \sum_i c'_i |\psi_i\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

Lecture 15

15.1 Spectral Decomposition

Recall that $\sum_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n| + \int d^3k |\phi_{\underline{k}}\rangle \langle \phi_{\underline{k}}| = \hat{I}$ if and only if $\{|\phi_n\rangle, |\phi_{\underline{k}}\rangle\}$ is complete.

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A}|\phi_n\rangle &= a_n |\phi_n\rangle & \langle \phi_i | \phi_j \rangle &= \delta_{ij} \\
\hat{A}|\phi_{\underline{k}}\rangle &= a_{\underline{k}} |\phi_{\underline{k}}\rangle & \langle \phi_{\underline{k}} | \phi_{\underline{k}'} \rangle &= \delta(\underline{k} - \underline{k}')
\end{aligned}$$

► \hat{A} is a Hermitian operator

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{A} &= \hat{A} \hat{I} \\
&= \sum_n \hat{A} |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n| + \int d^3k \hat{A} |\phi_{\underline{k}}\rangle \langle \phi_{\underline{k}}| \\
&= \sum_n a_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n| + \int d^3k a_{\underline{k}} |\phi_{\underline{k}}\rangle \langle \phi_{\underline{k}}|
\end{aligned}$$

► This is the spectral decomposition of \hat{A}

15.2 Projectors

For example,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi &= |\phi\rangle \langle \phi| \quad \text{with } \langle \phi | \phi \rangle = 1 \\
\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi |\psi\rangle &= |\phi\rangle \langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \langle \phi | \psi \rangle |\phi\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

In position representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_\phi \psi(\underline{r}) &= \left[\int \phi^*(\underline{r}') \psi(\underline{r}') d^3r' \right] \phi(\underline{r}) \\
\mathcal{P}_\phi &\equiv \phi^*(\underline{r}') \phi(\underline{r}')
\end{aligned}$$

in the sense that when \mathcal{P}_ϕ acts on a wave function, $\psi(\underline{r})$, the result is as above

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi &= |\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \\ \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi^2 &= \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\phi\rangle\langle\phi| \\ &= \phi\rangle\langle\phi| = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi\end{aligned}$$

- $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi$ is idempotent
 - ➡ operators \hat{A} such that $\hat{A}^2 = \hat{A}$ are said to be idempotent
- $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi$ is also Hermitian:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\psi'|\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi|\psi\rangle &= \langle\psi|\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi|\psi'\rangle^* \\ &= \langle\psi'|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\psi\rangle \\ &= \langle\phi|\psi\rangle\langle\psi'|\phi\rangle \\ &= \langle\psi|\phi\rangle^*\langle\phi|\psi'\rangle^* \\ &= [\langle\psi|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\psi'\rangle]^*\end{aligned}$$

More generally, any operator which is both idempotent and Hermitian is a projector. Consider a vector, \underline{v} in 3D space:

- $\underline{v} = v_x\hat{x} + v_y\hat{y} + v_z\hat{z}$
- $\underline{w} = v_x\hat{x} + v_y\hat{y}$ - this is the projection of \underline{v} in the x-y plane
- $\underline{w} = (\hat{x}\hat{x} + \hat{y}\hat{y}) \cdot \underline{v} = \hat{x} \cdot \underline{v}\hat{x} + \hat{y} \cdot \underline{v}\hat{y}$
- $(\hat{x} \cdot \underline{v})$ is the same as $|\hat{x}\rangle\langle\hat{x}|\underline{v}\rangle$
- The projection in the plane is affected by $|\hat{x}\rangle\langle\hat{x}| + |\hat{y}\rangle\langle\hat{y}|$
- If $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$ are linearly independent, $\langle\phi|\psi\rangle = 0$, $\langle\phi|\phi\rangle = \langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$
- $|\psi\rangle\langle\phi| + |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ projectors in the subspace spanned by $|\phi\rangle$ and $|\psi\rangle$

$$\sum_n |\phi_n\rangle\langle\phi_n| + \int d^3k |\phi_{\underline{k}}\rangle\langle\phi_{\underline{k}}| = \hat{I}$$

- $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$ is Hermitian
- $|\langle\phi|\psi\rangle|^2$ is the probability of finding the system in a state $|\phi\rangle$ if it was in the state $|\psi\rangle$ before measurement
- If $|\eta\rangle$ is an eigenvector of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi$ with eigenvalue η :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi|\eta\rangle &= \eta|\eta\rangle \\ |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\eta\rangle &= \eta|\eta\rangle \\ \langle\phi|\eta\rangle|\phi\rangle &= \eta|\eta\rangle \\ \implies |\phi\rangle &= |\eta\rangle, \eta = 0, \langle\phi|\eta\rangle = 0, 1\end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi$ are 0 and 1

- For $\eta = 1$ - $|\eta\rangle = |\phi\rangle$
- For $\eta = 0$ - $|\eta\rangle$ can be any vector orthogonal to $|\phi\rangle$
- Observable here - $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\phi$
- Possible outcomes - $\eta = 0, 1$
- Probability of finding $\eta = 1$ - $|\langle\phi|\psi\rangle|^2$

15.3 Revision of ladder operator

- $\hat{a}_- = \hat{a}$, and $\hat{a}_+ = \hat{a}^\dagger$
- subscript with dimension being used in - x,y,z

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_i^\dagger] &= 1 \\ [\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_j^\dagger] &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Lecture 16

16.1 Comments on Homework

- $[\hat{H}, \hat{U}(t, t_0)] = 0$ because if \hat{H} is time-independent, $\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \exp[-i\hat{H}(t - t_0)/\hbar]$

16.2 Operators and Spin States

- Consider operators belonging to orthogonal directions, i.e. ladder operators
- We then define the Hamiltonian, $\hat{H} = \hbar\omega(\hat{a}_x^\dagger \hat{a}_x + \frac{1}{2})$
- This then leads to $E_n = \hbar\omega(n + \frac{1}{2}), n = 0, 1, 2 \implies \hat{a}_x|\phi_n\rangle = \sqrt{n}|\phi_{n-1}\rangle, \hat{a}_x|\phi_0\rangle = 0$
- $\hat{a}_x^\dagger|\phi_n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|\phi_{n+1}\rangle$

16.3 Angular Momentum

- The orbital angular momentum operator is $\underline{L} = \hat{L}_x \hat{i} + \hat{L}_y \hat{j} + \hat{L}_z \hat{k}$

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{L} &= \underline{r} \times \underline{p}, \quad \underline{r} = \hat{x}\hat{i} + \hat{y}\hat{j} + \hat{z}\hat{k}, \quad \underline{p} = \hat{p}_x\hat{i} + \hat{p}_y\hat{j} + \hat{p}_z\hat{k} \\ \underline{L} &= \begin{vmatrix} \hat{i} & \hat{j} & \hat{k} \\ \hat{x} & \hat{y} & \hat{z} \\ \hat{p}_x & \hat{p}_y & \hat{p}_z \end{vmatrix} \\ \implies \hat{L}_x &= \hat{y}\hat{p}_z - \hat{z}\hat{p}_y \\ \implies \hat{L}_y &= \hat{z}\hat{p}_x - \hat{x}\hat{p}_z \\ \implies \hat{L}_z &= \hat{x}\hat{p}_y - \hat{y}\hat{p}_x \\ \implies \hat{L}_z &= -i\hbar \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\end{aligned}$$

- Another example is the spin operator, i.e. $\underline{s} = \hat{s}_x \hat{i} + \hat{s}_y \hat{j} + \hat{s}_z \hat{k}$
- An operator \underline{J} is an angular momentum operator if $\hat{J}_x, \hat{J}_y, \hat{J}_z$ are Hermitian and $[J_x, J_y] = i\hbar J_z$, etc
- The J_i s all commute with $\underline{J}^2 = \underline{J} \cdot \underline{J} = J_x^2 + J_y^2 + J_z^2$
- $J_n = \hat{n} \cdot \underline{J}$ where \hat{n} is a unit vector in a given direction
- $[J_n, J_n] \neq 0$ is $\hat{n} \neq \hat{n}$, $[J_n, \underline{J}^2] = 0 \forall \hat{n}$

Consider the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} spanned by the eigenvector of \underline{J}^2 . Since \underline{J}^2 and J_n commute, one can always construct a basis of \mathcal{H} with simultaneous eigenvectors of these two operators. However, since $[J_n, J_m] \neq 0$ if $\hat{n} \neq \hat{m}$, there is no basis of simultaneous eigenvectors of $\underline{J}^2, J_n, J_m$. The simultaneous eigenvectors of \underline{J}^2 and J_z are $|jm\rangle$

Consider the ladder operators $J_+ = J_x + iJ_y, J_- = J_x - iJ_y, J_+ = J_-^\dagger, [J_\pm, \underline{J}^2] = 0$ but $[J_+, J_-] \neq 0$. We find through algebraic methods,

1.

$$\begin{aligned}J_+|j, m\rangle &\propto \hbar|j, m+1\rangle, \quad J_+|j, j\rangle = 0 \\ J_-|j, m\rangle &\propto \hbar|j, m-1\rangle, \quad J_-|j, -j\rangle = 0\end{aligned}$$

2. The eigenvalues for \underline{J}^2 are $j(j+1)\hbar^2$ with $j = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$

3. The eigenvectors of J_z are $m\hbar$ with $m = 0, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1, \pm\frac{3}{2}, \dots$

4. For simultaneous eigenvector $|jm\rangle$ of \underline{J}^2 and J_z , the values of m and j are restricted by the requirement that m in the range $-j \leq m \leq j$

- The eigenvectors $|jm\rangle$ are orthonormal, $\langle j'm'|jm\rangle = \delta_{jj'}\delta_{mm'}$
- $\langle jm|jm\rangle$ has been chosen to equal 1 by choice of normalisation
- For orbital angular momentum, \underline{L} :
 - ➡ The joint eigenfunctions of \underline{L}^2 and L_z are $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi)$
 - ➡ $L_z f(\phi) = -i\hbar \partial_\phi(f(\phi)) = m\hbar f(\phi) \rightarrow f(\phi) \propto e^{im\phi}$

- Because ϕ is a position angle, $e^{im(\phi+2\pi)} = e^{im\phi}$ therefore m must be an integer
- $L^2 Y_{lm} = \hbar^2 l(l+1) Y_{lm}$ and $L_z Y_{lm} = \hbar m Y_{lm}$ for $-l \leq m \leq l$

Lecture 17

Consider $[J_n, \underline{J}^2] = 0$. J_n transforms any eigenvector of \underline{J}^2 into an eigenvector of \underline{J}^2 belonging to the same value of j , i.e. J^2 is invariant under J_n . Similarly consider a rotation about an axis \hat{n} by an angle θ :

- $|jm\rangle \rightarrow \hat{R}_n(\theta)|jm\rangle$
- For an infinitesimal transformation - $\hat{R}_n(\epsilon) = \hat{I} - i\epsilon \frac{\hat{J}_n}{\hbar}$
- For a finite rotation - $\hat{R}_n(\theta) = \exp[-i\theta \hat{J}_n/\hbar]$ * Under a rotation, an eigenstate $|jm\rangle$ transforms into a superposition of $|j'm'\rangle$ with $j = j'$ * $\langle j'm' | J_n | jm \rangle = 0$ when $j \neq j'$

What is the matrix representation of an angular momentum operator?

The $\{|jm\rangle\}$ vectors form an orthonormal basis. For a given value of j , J_n is represented by a $(2j+1) \times (2j+1)$ matrix, since for a given j , m can take $2j+1$ different values and J_n does not couple states of different values of j .

E.g. for $j = \frac{1}{2}$, all the angular momentum operators are represented by a 2×2 matrix. Usually, the basis is chosen to be $\{ |-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle, |\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle \}$ which can be represented by $|+\rangle, |-\rangle$

- $J_z|+\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2}|+\rangle$ where $m = +\frac{1}{2}$ and its state is spin up * $J_z|-\rangle = -\frac{\hbar}{2}|-\rangle$ where $m = -\frac{1}{2}$ and its state is spin down

In this basis, J_z is represented by the matrix:

$$\frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Similarly,

$$J_x \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; J_y \rightarrow \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

These can be represented using the Pauli Matrices, i.e. $\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z$, so $J_i = \frac{\hbar}{2}\sigma_i$

- $|+\rangle$ is represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- and $|-\rangle$ is represented by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- so an arbitrary spin state can be expressed as

$$\alpha|+\rangle + \beta|-\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

17.1 2 Electron System

Consider 2 electrons $|+\rangle_1$ and $|-\rangle_2$. The system is expressed as $\alpha|+\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 + \beta|+\rangle_1|-\rangle_2 + \gamma|-\rangle_1|+\rangle_2 + \delta|-\rangle_1|-\rangle_2 = |\psi\rangle_{12}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are complex numbers. More generally, the joint angular momentum state of two particles 1 and 2 is:

$$|\psi\rangle_{12} = \sum_{j_1, m_1, j_2, m_2} c_{j_1 m_1 j_2 m_2} |j_1 m_1\rangle_1 |j_2 m_2\rangle_2$$

$$\underline{J}_1 = (J_{1x}, J_{1y}, J_{1z}) \text{ acts only on } |j_1 m_1\rangle_1$$

$$\underline{J}_2 = (J_{2x}, J_{2y}, J_{2z}) \text{ acts only on } |j_2 m_2\rangle_2$$