CP Violation Seminar Notes

1 Intro

- ➤ Go through first slide, self-explanatory
- ➤ Still have lots of unanswered questions; some small, some big
- ➤ Sakharov in 1967 gave his criteria
- ➤ Yang, Lee, and Wu in 1957 for P, then CP found in 1964 in Kaon mixing
- ➤ Don't want infinities in our observables
- ➤ No masses before Higgs, SSB mixes with bosons to give mass, then Yukawa
- ➤ 2HDM provides a more physical explanation for Yukawa, over convenience
- ➤ Three different types, focus on II as it has similarities to SM Yukawa
- ➤ Still have sphalerons, then CP violation and phase sorted
- ➤ Add Feynman diagrams to amplitudes, can resolve anomalies
- ➤ If masses are very heavy, effect is negligible and won't change things

2 Fittings

- ➤ Started with obvious decays affected
- ➤ Leptonic B, D, Ds, K-pi ratios
- ➤ Mixing, both B and Bs
- $\blacktriangleright b \rightarrow s\gamma$, normalisation constant mention?
- \blacktriangleright tan β could extend higher and lower as we increase m_{H^+} , so no real constraint
- \blacktriangleright m_{H^+} has a minimum, but more and more likely as it goes to infinity
- ➤ Scanning doesn't tell us much, so we need to fit it
- \blacktriangleright Wanted to replicate original paper as best as possible using χ^2 , whereas they used R-fit
- \triangleright Scanned to 1.96 σ to correspond to 95% CL, fitted there, and then found 1σ region
- ➤ Some slight differences due to the methods, but reached same minimum and regions look the same
- ➤ Measurements of new observables since 2010, we want to add these
- \triangleright $B_s \to \mu\mu$ is an interesting one done so far in large tan β limit so need to do this again
- $\triangleright \mathcal{R}(D^{(*)})$ historically is difficult to fit both at the same time
- \triangleright R(D) follows the same shape as the leptonic paths, but R(D*) is out, is there a way to fix this?
- ➤ Include R(D) just now and exclude the star, and look for a resolution
- ➤ Add these to statistical fit, now have new regions and minima
- \blacktriangleright tan β must be $\gtrsim 2$ at 1σ , but at 95% CL we have the same lack of hard constraint as before
- ➤ CKM elements will be modified, only really have a big effect on heavier elements though
- ➤ Chance to improve unitarity, maybe make space for fourth generation
- ➤ Requires more research, but could have significant changes

3 Extension

➤ Gen 4

References

- [1] O. Deschamps et al, The Two Higgs Doublet Model of Type II facing flavour physics data, arxiv:0907.5135.
- [2] Y. Amhis et al [HFLAV], Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and τ -lepton properties as of 2018, arxiv:1909.12524.
- [3] M. Tanabashi et al [Particle Data Group], Phys. Rev. D98, 030001 (2018) and 2019 update
- [4] A. Lenz and G. Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, Model-independent bounds on new physics effects in non-leptonic tree-level decays of B-mesons, arxiv:1912.07621.
- [5] CKMfitter Group (J. Charles et al.), Eur. Phys. J. C41, 1-131 (2005) [hep-ph/0406184], updated results and plots available at: http://ckmfitter.in2p3.fr.
- [6] A. Sakharov, Violation of CP Invariance, C asymmetry, and Baryon Asymmetry of the Universe, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 5 (1967) 32 [JETP Lett. 5 (1967) 24] [Sov. Phys. Usp. 34 (1991) 392] [Usp. Fiz. Nauk 161 (1991) 61].
- [7] L. Di Luzio, M. Kirk, A. Lenz, T. Rauh, ΔM_s theory precision confronts flavour anomalies, arxiv:1909.11087.
- [8] S. Aoki et al [FLAG], FLAG Review 2019, arxiv:1902.08191.
- [9] A. Lenz, Constraints on a Fourth Generation of Fermions from Higgs Boson Searches, Advances in High Energy Physics **2013**, 1 (2013).
- [10] G.C. Branco et al, Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models, arxiv:1106.0034.
- [11] W. Altmannshoger et al, Addressing $R_{D^{(*)}}.R_{K^{(*)}}$ muon g-2 and ANITA anomalies in a minimal R-parity violating supersymmetric framework, arxiv:2002.12910.