

The Olympic Games are a well known tradition that had been started during Roman times. Happening every four years, people from all over the world compete in a variety of different sports to show pride in ones country. Not only do people compete, but millions and millions of people tune in to cheer for their country. watching the Olympics can help you learn about the various amounts of traditions and cultures that people have and also from the country which is hosting the games. Even though there are negatives that come along with the positives, the United States should bid to host future games.

Hosting the games here in the U.S. would be a positive thing, especially for the city which would be used. That area would have better improvements in all areas including new sports complexes to be used after the games and would also improve the communities support and teamwork during this time. Also even though the games is a costly event, businesses and tourist attractions

around sight benefit greatly. (Text 1)

During the time of preparing for the games temporary employment opportunities are given to people.

Even though it is a short period of time, this is a great opportunity for people to get some extra money, especially with the unemployment rate being high at this time.

Not only are people getting jobs, but people are going to be needed more, like caterers and also security. So in an overall viewing there is a great job boost during this time. (Text 2)

With the games come great changes. People living in the surrounding area have to learn how to take those things positively (Text 2) Those changes are shown to help especially in the environment, having better air, more ~~than~~ greenery is being planted and also recycling is increased. These are all great things that can help the environment greatly. Education opportunities become better during this time as

Anchor Paper – Part 2 – Level 3 – A

well. Children are being exposed to new cultures and are learning great things about the world.

(Text 4)

All the positive things that come from the Olympics should dominate over the negatives.

This is why the U.S. should bid on hosting future games.

It brings better and new opportunities for all. It shows how proud we are to have this country and that we should want to show it off.

Anchor Level 3–A

The essay introduces a reasonable claim, as directed by the task (*Even though their are negatives that come along with the positives, the United States should bid to host future games*). The essay demonstrates some analysis of the texts, but insufficiently distinguishes the claim from alternate or opposing claims (*Also even though the games is a costly event, businesses and tourist attractions around sight benefit greatly*). The essay presents ideas briefly, making use of some specific and relevant evidence to support analysis (*That area would have better improvements in all areas including new sports complexes to be used after the games and would also improve the communities support and teamwork during this time*). The essay demonstrates inconsistent citation of sources to avoid plagiarism when dealing with direct quotes and paraphrased material. The essay exhibits some organization of ideas and information to create a mostly coherent essay. The essay has a general introduction about the Olympic Games, three paragraphs supporting the claim with brief reference to a counterclaim, and a conclusion reiterating the original claim (*All the positive things that come from the Olympics should dominate over the negatives. This is why the U.S. should bid on hosting future games*). The essay establishes but fails to maintain a formal style, using primarily basic language and structure (*Watching the Olympics can help you learn about the various amounts of traditions and cultures that people have and also from the country which is hosting the games*). The essay demonstrates partial control, exhibiting occasional errors [*ones country, communities support, games is, oppourtunities, positively (.Text 2) These*] that do not hinder comprehension.

Throughout history the Olympics have been a prestigious, and high esteemed venue. Major cities in the world like Los Angeles, London and Sydney have had the honor of hosting these games. Although the Olympic Games are so marvelous, it is not a good idea for the United States to host this event given the economic problems that are being dealt with at this time. There are too many cost to the city that hosts the games as well as the country. The cost of infrastructure changes, housing and taking care of the competitors, and other expenses far outweigh the benefits associated with the games.

Before the games, there are many expenses that must be taken into consideration when considering bidding to host an Olympic event. In Text 1, Lines 8-13 these cost are being considered. Cost like alterations to the cities design, changes to environments throughout the city, and an increase in taxes are large costs to consider. At this point in time it is not an economically smart decision for the United States to attempt to host the games. The cost of these things is too great for the government and the taxpayers to take on. The cost of the games is shown in text 3, lines 1-5. Based on the numbers, the United States could lose between 10 and 30 billion dollars. The United States is trillions of dollars in debt at the moment and can't take on another cost. There is still 5-6 billion dollars coming into the host city but the cost far outweighs the economic gain.

The olympics is a great venue to hold in your country. Unfortunately the cost of hosting the Olympics is so high that countries that are in great debt like the United States should not take on such a burden.

Anchor Level 3–B

The essay introduces a precise claim, as directed by the task (*Although the Olympic Games are so marvelous, it is not a good idea for the United States to host this event*). The essay demonstrates appropriate and accurate analysis of economic information in *Text 1* and *Text 3* (*Based on the numbers, the United States could lose between 10 and 30 billion dollars*). A third text is not addressed. The essay distinguishes the claim from alternate or opposing claims (*There is still 5-6 billion dollars coming into the host city but the cost far outweighs the economic gain*). The essay presents ideas briefly, making use of some specific and relevant evidence to support analysis (*Cost like alterations to the cities design, changes to environments throughout the city, and an increase in taxes are large costs to consider*). The essay demonstrates inconsistent citation of sources to avoid plagiarism when dealing with direct quotes and paraphrased material. The essay exhibits acceptable organization of ideas and information to create a coherent essay with an introductory paragraph that states the claim and identifies economics as the reason for the claim (*There are too many cost to the city that hosts the games as well as the country*), a paragraph discussing such costs, and a conclusion with a reaffirmation of the claim. The essay establishes and maintains a formal style, using precise and appropriate language and structure (*Unfortunately the cost of hosting the Olympics is so high that countries that are in great debt like the United States should not take on such a burden*). The essay demonstrates partial control of conventions, exhibiting occasional errors (*prestigious, and high esteemed; many cost; these cost; cities design; time it is; city but*) that do not hinder comprehension. The essay must be scored no higher than a Level 3 since it addresses fewer than the three texts required.

"Staging an Olympic games represents a long and expensive commitment of a city to a this mega event." (text 1).

There is a controversy over whether or not the United States should not bid or should bid or not have an Olympics games here in the U.S. although people would love to have the Olympic games hosting, while others are against it all.

Personally, I think it would be cool to have the Olympics here, but I ~~don't~~ don't think its a good idea. It is not a good idea to host Olympic games here for ~~many~~ many reason. One reason being the U.S should not bid for the Olympic games to be host here is because it costs billions of dollars. The high cost are bound to make hosting the Olympics a bad deal in the short run. (text 3, line 7).

There are also negative outcomes and some positive outcomes about hosting Olympics in the US. Some negative outcomes are there is a potential increase in crime, ~~acquisition~~ of poor reputation as a result of crime, improper practices or inflated prices. Sometimes positives outcomes are increased local pride and community spirit, festival atmosphere during event, Increased awareness of the region as a travel or tourism destination. (Text 2, chart)

When the Olympics comes to town there are local impacts of the Olympics when they're there. The benefits of the Olympics coming to town are that they do create employment. In 1984, the LA games employed 16,520 people for 30 days. In 1988 the Seoul Games employed 33,500 people for 30 days. Although the Olympics do create employment the majority of

Anchor Paper – Part 2 – Level 3 – C

the Olympic related work is temporary. (text 2, lines 7-10).

That is whether or not the Olympic games should be held in the United States, or if the United States should bid on the Olympics for them to occur here.

Anchor Level 3–C

The essay introduces a reasonable claim, as directed by the task (*It is not a good idea to host Olympic games here for many reason*). The essay demonstrates some analysis of the texts (*One reason being the U.S should not bid for the Olympic games to be host here is because it costs billions of dollars*), but insufficiently distinguishes the claim from alternate or opposing claims (*The benefits of the Olympics coming to town are that they do create employment*). The essay presents ideas briefly, making use of some specific and relevant evidence to support analysis (*Some negative outcomes are there is a potential increase in crime. Aquisition of poor reputation as a result of crime, improper practices or inflated prices*). The essay demonstrates inconsistent citation of sources to avoid plagiarism when dealing with direct quotes and paraphrased material by using an opening statement directly copied but not cited by line number from Text 1 (“*Staging an Olympic games represents a long and expensive commitment of a city to a this mega event*”). The essay exhibits some organization of ideas and information to create a mostly coherent essay. The essay has a general introduction about bidding for the Olympic Games and three supporting paragraphs that state a claim against making a bid for the Olympics as well as counterclaim arguments. The conclusion is confused and incoherent (*That is whether or not the Olympic games should be held here in the United States, or if the United States should bid on the olympics for them to occur here*). The essay establishes but fails to maintain a formal style (*Personally, I think it would be cool to have the Olympics here, but I don't think its a good idea*), using primarily basic language and structure. The essay demonstrates a lack of control, exhibiting frequent errors (*to a this, contraversey, should not bid or should bid or not have an Olympics, its, many reason, in crime. Aquisition, Sometimes positives outcomes are increase*) that make comprehension difficult.

All of the given texts have a lot of reason why the United States shouldn't host the Olympics. It is a big expense towards our country. The preparation for the big event takes 7 years. The city needs to be designed changed in a way. There is lots of ~~more~~ expensive work to be done for the event to happen as it states in text 1. There are many debates on that subject. They have to consultate with the community to see what ~~others~~ others think. It states that it is nearly impossible to find out a way of the full expense of the Olympic games. There is ~~more~~ so much to be done that it is nearly impossible to imagine.

~~Also~~ Also another many reasons text 2 states. There is even a problem with jobs after the Olympics are over because when the help is not needed anymore people lose their jobs by not having anything to do anymore. The work is "temporary" as it states. It states that the places that host the Olympics could both be "winners and losers". They could gain or lose money. It seems that it all depends on how poor the country is.

Text 3 explains why hosting the Olympics would be a loss. Hosting it

Anchor Paper – Part 2 – Level 2 – A

Wouldn't ~~hosting~~ ~~be~~ be a good deal, although during the Olympics ~~tourism increases~~ which probably helps the hosting country to increase a little bit amount of money on that.

I think that the United States should bid to host the Olympics in the future, even if it's a big expense towards our country. Other countries ~~are~~ still ~~can~~ host even the ~~poor~~ countries that are poor when the United States is not a poor country. Hosting the Olympics is also a big communication help with the other countries when they come here to ~~work~~ attend the Olympics.

Anchor Level 2–A

The essay introduces a claim (*I think that the United States should bid to host the Olympics in the future*). The essay demonstrates a confused and unclear analysis of the texts (*They could gain or lose money. It seems that it all depends on how poor the country is*), failing to distinguish the claim from alternate or opposing claims. The essay presents ideas inconsistently and inaccurately, in an attempt to support analysis, making use of some evidence that may be irrelevant (*other countries still host even the countries that are poor when the United States is not a poor country*). The essay demonstrates little use of citations to avoid plagiarism when dealing with direct quotes and paraphrased material, simply summarizing the information and identifying the text (*Text 3 explains why hosting the Olympics would be a loss*). The essay exhibits inconsistent organization of ideas and information, failing to create a coherent essay, focusing first on negatives associated with hosting an Olympics but then introducing a claim in the concluding paragraph that supports the hosting of Olympic Games by the United States. The essay lacks a formal style, using some language that is inappropriate and imprecise (*It is a big expense towards our country and They have to consultate with the community*). The essay demonstrates emerging control, exhibiting occasional errors (*a lot of reason, a way of the full expense, states. there is, its a big expese towards our country*) that hinder comprehension.

The United states should host an olympics, Because so many atheletes come from the us. Even though Many atheletes come from other countrys. The us is vary advanced compared to all the other countrys as far as technology. To begin the us has already had a olympics Who cares! but that does not mean it cant happen again. Michael Phelps is a boss he is the reson we should have another olympics And also setting up for another olympics. Many people having jobs, Which would help there economy. Also bringing many people into the us (Text 1 #2) Next It might inspire obese america To get into shape! Which would be really good thing. So many people in america are overweight. Maybe they just need inspiration to get into shape. Many atheletes are sponsored that are in the olympics. That also brings alot of money in because of all the advertisements. As you can see, having an olympic in the us (text II lines 7+8) would be a great thing. Because it would bring so much money into the system and inspire many obese americans to become fit. And dream about being in the olympics. line (4-5) Passage II

Anchor Level 2-B

The essay introduces a claim (*The united states should host an olympics*). The essay demonstrates unclear analysis of the texts (*The us is vary advanced compared to all the other countrys as far as technology*), failing to distinguish the claim from alternate or opposing claims. The essay presents ideas inconsistently in an attempt to support analysis, making use of some evidence that is irrelevant (*Michael Phelps is a boss* and *Next It might inspire obese america*). The essay demonstrates little use of citations to avoid plagiarism when dealing with paraphrased material, using line references that are unrelated to the information given, and citing only two sources. The essay exhibits inconsistent organization of ideas and information, failing to create a coherent essay. The essay lacks a formal style (*Who cares!*), using some language that is imprecise (*Vary* for “very” and *there* for “their”). The essay demonstrates a lack of control, exhibiting frequent errors (*olympics*, *atheletes*, *countrys*, *allready*, *a olympics*, *cant*, *reson*, *alot*, *And dream about*) that make comprehension difficult.

In United State Shouldn't have host a future games, the host game was a game with a lot of impact to different towns, those impact are divided into four separate periods. One impact of the ~~host~~ games on host cities is matter of continuing debate and controversy. One of biggest impact was the Seven Years Period of Preparation for the Staging of the games, and the much longer Post-games era, the game have debate and controversy the people were discussion about the game, who benefits most the game or not, but also that game can produce tangible benefits for the government and also business, there was people that was anti-olympic they were very limited empirical on they support base. The high costs are bound to make hosting the olympics a bad deal in the short-run. more than 400 million children in Chinese schools¹⁵ were exposed to the olympic values, if the host city an erosion ~~to~~ in human take place, Because much at stake when an olympic olympic game are held the city and the country need to looks

Anchor Paper – Part 2 – Level 2 – C

It's best, The Olympic Game can lead to an erosion of human rights for the citizens of the City. The Olympic Games host was good and bad at the same time have good benefit and big impact to the country the population affect the community in a ~~bunch~~.

Anchor Level 2-C

The essay introduces a claim (*In United States should don't have host a future games*). The essay demonstrates a confused and unclear analysis of the texts (*the host game was a game with a lot of impact to different towns*), failing to distinguish the claim from alternate or opposing claims. The essay presents ideas inconsistently and inaccurately in an attempt to support analysis (*the game have debate and controversy the people were discussion about the game, who benefits most the games or not*) and does not make use of citations. The essay exhibits little organization of ideas and information, using language that is predominantly incoherent (*Because much at stake when an olympic olympic game are help the city and the country need to looks its best*) or copied directly from the texts. The essay demonstrates a lack of control, exhibiting frequent errors (*were discussion, tengible, beneficts, bussiness, People that was, gamest, benefic, country the population affect*) that make comprehension difficult.

I believe America should host a future Olympic Games. America is the land of the free and home of the brave. America is possibly the most diverse nation in the world. The American athletes are the elite athletes in the world and usually America ends up with winning the most gold medals. Americans play in all the sports in the Olympics, including track and field, swimming, and wrestling. Which brings me to my next point, wrestling needs to be put back into the Games. It was one of the original games the Greeks invented. If you have to take a event out, take out synchronized swimming, that isn't a sport. Anyways, America has alot to offer the visiting countries. For example, you can eat apple pie and hot dogs. Nothing is more American than those two foods. Also, the attractions here are marvelous. You can see the Statue of Liberty, in New York, the Grand Canyon, Mount Rushmore and many more. That is why America is the best country in the world and why they should hold a future Olympic Games.

Anchor Level 1-A

The essay introduces a claim (*I believe America should host a future Olympic Games*), but does not demonstrate analysis of the texts. The essay presents no evidence from the texts and does not make use of citations. The essay is a personal response about the Olympic Games. The essay exhibits some organization of ideas, but lacks a formal style (*For example, you can eat apple pie and hot dogs*). The essay demonstrates partial control of conventions, exhibiting occasional errors (*feild; wrestling. which brings; swimming, that; alot; Cayon*) that do not hinder comprehension. The essay is a personal response, making little to no reference to the task or texts, and, therefore, can be scored no higher than a 1.

Anchor Paper – Part 2 – Level 1 – B

The Olympic Games been around for several of years. Some think that the United States should bid to host them. And then other think differently than others. There's many reasons why it should and shouldn't be bid from being hosted.

Anchor Level 1-B

The essay does not introduce a claim and does not demonstrate any analysis of the texts. The essay presents no evidence from the texts and does not make use of citations. The essay, consisting of four general statements about the Olympic Games, exhibits little organization of ideas and information. The essay is minimal, making assessment of conventions unreliable.

Part 2 – Practice Paper – A

There are many benefits to hosting the Olympic Games. There are also many cons to holding the bid for the Olympic Games. The city has to undergo changes so they can host the Olympic Games. Generally hosting the Olympic Games is perceived as a good thing, but in all actuality it hurts everyone in the city.

Preparing for the games is a long process. The city has to bid and win to host the Olympic Games. Then there is 7 years for preparing for the games. Then the Olympic Games are staged with the Paralympic Games following right after. (Text 1, Lines 3 - 6). When preparing a lot of money goes into changing the city for the Olympic games which by default raises taxes. You have a potential for increased tourism (Text 1, Line 16) but there is no evidence that tourism increasing will actually happen. There is also a chance that you could have groups of protesters, protesting the games themselves. The chances a city is taking by using money to prepare for the Olympic Games are chances. The money may not be payed back if tourism stays the same after the Olympic Games are over. They are risking a deflated economy after the Olympic Games are over.

Community involvement is another factor that will be affected after the games are over. Many people debate over some risks and benefits of hosting the Olympic Games. Olympic budgets are one topic people debate over. The budgets cause people to fight because they are unreliable. The government will not disclose the full price of the Olympic Games because they are afraid the community will not vote for the bid. (Text 1, Line 45). The people could also be at risk because this is a perfect time period to create laws that will take away a persons rights. Certain groups will enforce laws to eliminate bad actions so the media can portray a perfect location for the Olympic Games. The laws could be extended until after the games are over which would take away a persons civil liberties.

There is also the problem of employment, while the Olympic Games

Part 2 – Practice Paper – A

provide job opportunities those jobs are temporary. (Text II, lines 7-8)

Construction jobs will have people from all over the world because the boss won't interview people, or train people. Public services will give jobs to people but those jobs are usually low compensated. They also hire people who are low skilled. (Text II, Lines 16-24). Sometimes when the people are really poor the olympic Games will just make them poorer.

If the tourism increases there is an effect with other people. The people who live there already could have cultural shock, because of all the people from different countries. There is increasing violence in the host city. People could get into arguments that escalate until a fight breaks out. There is also an increase in crime. Prices inflate and businesses could be shut down because of competition. (Text II, Graphic)

The Olympic Games should not be host by the U.S because the bad outweighs the good. The host city could go into debt and then the debt will accumulate. (Text III, Lines 19-20) In the end the bad circumstances outweighs the good. The U.S's future should not be left to chance.

The Olympic Games have long been regarded as a positive event, drawing international attention to the host city and its people. It's often considered an honor to host the Games and many people regard it as a positive change for all. However, under the blazon of reputation of the Games, one can find the truth. The Olympic Games take their toll on the host cities. And though there certainly are benefits for being a host city, the costs far outweigh the pros. Therefore, the United States should not bid to host a future Olympic Games.

Primarily, the exorbitant costs of the Olympics alone are enough to deter most countries from bidding. Though one can never know the true costs of the Games (Text 1, Lines 39-40), it's often very high. It cost \$16 billion in Athens, \$20 billion in London, and \$40 billion in Beijing (Text 3, Lines 3-4). The massive amount of money needed to fund the Olympics often puts countries into debt. For example, ~~Spain~~ Spain dipped into a \$6 billion dollar debt after hosting the games (Text 3, Lines 19-20). Additionally, the government is often evasive when reporting the true costs of the Olympic Games. For example, Olympic infrastructure costs may often be moved under the public works area (Text 3, Line 42). This is to present the Olympics in a best possible light, reducing the reported costs. The fact of the matter is that Olympic Games costs way too much, a ~~price~~ price that the United States cannot afford, especially considering the delicate economic state we are in today.

It can be argued that the Games actually do benefit the economy, by creating jobs in preparation and execution of the Games. This argument, however, is false. The Olympics ~~are only~~

Part 2 – Practice Paper – B

create temporary jobs, which does not help ~~costs~~ to reach a stable employment rate. In the LA Games of 1984, 16,520 people had Olympic-related jobs for only 30 days. In the Seoul Games of 1988, 33,500 people had jobs for 30 days as well (Text 2, Lines 11-12). The ~~as~~ value of the employment created was little, because after 30 days, people were ~~as~~ unemployed once again. Much of the work created is low-skilled, uncompensated, and usually temporary (Text 2, Lines 23-24). It may even lead to the alienation of the labor force, since Olympic jobs usually only require either very high skilled labor or very low skilled labor (Text 2, Lines 16-17). Thus, even the jobs created, the supposedly positive aspect of being a host city, aren't so positive after all.

The United States definitely should not bid to host the Games. It has little benefit for the host city and the costs are simply outrageous. And though it may promote certain ~~unrelated~~ industrial improvements (Text 4, Lines 21-26) or increased awareness of the city (Text 3, Lines 7-10) the potential, unguaranteed benefits certainly do not stand up well against the concrete, guaranteed costs ~~of~~ and expenditures of hosting such an event.

Part 2 – Practice Paper – C

The United States of America should refrain from making a bid to host a future Olympic Game. Some people may argue that the U.S. should propose a bid because it will encourage tourists and create ~~more~~ desperately needed jobs but there are many more negatives than positives for hosting the ~~Olympic~~ Games. One negative is it creates new costs that in the present economy, the U.S. can not afford. ^(text 3) Hosting creates new jobs but they are fleeting; only available for 30 days or so. In order to host, the government may ~~have to~~ ^(text 2) restrict individual liberties' (text 1).

Hosting the games is extremely expensive. Barcelona, due to the games, spent 6 billion dollars that they did not have. The U.S. economy is not in good shape, we already have a 13 trillion debt why add to it just to host the Olympics? It is true that the Olympics generates some money from tourists, etc... but not nearly enough to ~~pay for~~ match what the city paid. Beijing spent 40 million on the games and only received 5-6 billion. (text 3) Hosting the Olympics is not a smart economic move for the U.S. at this time.

The jobs created by the Olympics don't last, they are "short and sweet" (text 2). These jobs are mostly for the unskilled and are usually badly compensated and temporary. These jobs are just a tease to the depressed unemployed people of America. According to Preuss "the city's poor tend to suffer and sometimes become poorer as a result of the Olympics." (text 2)

Part 2 – Practice Paper – C

④ Results of the Games include "limited community consultation and the over-riding of local concerns are justified as being in the city and national interest." (Text 1) The U.S. is known for being a democratic country, but the whole process of the Games strips people of their rights. In order to eliminate any negatives, the city may pass laws restricting individual rights. Overall hosting the Olympics is a bad idea for the U.S. It would hurt our economy, our people, and our beliefs.

Should the United States bid to host a future Olympic Games?

The United States of America should bid the host to a future Olympic Game. The United States is capable to bid the host to a future Olympic Game. Because ~~the~~ the Country counts with good economic position to enforce the costs of the Olympic Games, because in the ~~first~~ first document of text 1 "Impact of the Game on Olympic host Cities". It states ~~the~~ the things a country must do ~~to~~ in order to host a future Olympic Game. ~~As~~ As some alterations to the shape of the city ~~also~~ there should be some renovations in the railroads.

To bid ~~be~~ the host to a future Olympic game brings a lot of good things, like the increment of tourism ~~and~~ employment opportunities like it stated in "text 2" "When the Game Come to town: Host cities and the Local Impacts of the Olympics".

It says that ~~for~~ there is always an increase of work "temporary" in the country due to the reconstruction and regeneration of the city. And that there is an increase in tourisms due to the Olympic games.

But ~~as~~ everything has a negative side. A bad thing about the bid a host of a future Olympic Games are the payment of bill of the Games. And the increase of taxes for us the people ~~and~~ because the money to bring the Olympic games does not come from the Olympic games it comes from the country. As stated in ~~text 3~~ "3 Reasons why Hosting the Olympics is a ~~bad~~ ~~loss~~ Game."

These 3 texts show my opinion of U.S being the host of a future Olympic Game.

Should the United States bid to host a future Olympic games? Yes the United States should bid to host future Olympic games because it could possibly have a great impact on the people and the city. As it states in Text 1 line 16 and 17, the ~~Olympic games~~ Olympic games could potentially increase tourism and business activity. Also, the creation of new sporting ~~venues~~ venues which have potential for post-Games community use.

When the Olympics come to town employment opportunities increase. Even though majority of the Olympic-related work is temporary. As stated in text 2 lines 9-10 experienced Olympic workers (Horne & Wilson 2006:79) the work will be mostly short and sweet-and low skilled.

Records state that the LA Games in 1984 16,520 were hired for 30 days and the Seoul Games in 1988 33,500 people were hired for 30 days also.

The Olympic ~~Games~~ Games have impacted the entire world. The Olympic Games have grown to become the world's foremost sporting event. It's amazing ~~how~~ how such an event can create for ~~their~~ their city and its people. The Games Of The Olympiad in text 4 shows that in Beijing in 2008, 400 million children in 400,000 Chinese schools were exposed to the Olympic values. Also,

Beijing's Capital Airport saw its capacity increased by 24 million passengers, a new express way and high speed rail link was built in Tianjin, and three new subway ~~lines~~ lines were created.

In conclusion, The Olympic Games have impacted the entire world. The Olympic Games have helped increased revenues in the hosting cities.

Practice Paper A – Score Level 4

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 4.

Practice Paper B – Score Level 5

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 5.

Practice Paper C – Score Level 4

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 4.

Practice Paper D – Score Level 2

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 2.

Practice Paper E – Score Level 3

Holistically, this essay best fits the criteria for Level 3.