

Michael Goforth  
 CAAM 550  
 HW 12  
 12/03/2021

**Problem 1**  
**part a.**

$$\begin{aligned} y_{k+2} &= 3y_k - 2y_{k+1} + h(f(x_k, y_k) + 3f(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1})) \\ -3y_k + 2y_{k+1} + y_{k+2} &= h(f(x_k, y_k) + 3f(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1})) \\ \alpha_0 &= -3, \alpha_1 = 2, \alpha_2 = 1 \\ \beta_0 &= 1, \beta_1 = 3, \beta_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Zero-stability:**

$$\begin{aligned} -3 + 2\gamma + \gamma^2 &= 0 \\ (\gamma + 3)(\gamma - 1) &= 0 \\ \gamma_1 &= -3, \gamma_2 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

The root  $\gamma_1$  is not inside the unit disc so this multi-step method is not zero stable.

**Consistent:**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^2 \alpha_j &= -3 + 2 + 1 = 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^2 (\alpha_j j - \beta_j) &= (-3(0) - 1) + (2(1) - 3) + (1(2) - 0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

So multi-step method is consistent.

**Truncation Error**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{j^2}{2} \alpha_j - \sum_{j=0}^2 j \beta_j &= 0(-3) + \frac{1}{2}(2) + 2(1) - 0(1) - 1(3) - 2(0) = 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{j^3}{6} \alpha_j - \sum_{j=0}^2 \frac{j^2}{2} \beta_j &= 0(-3) + \frac{1}{6}(2) + \frac{4}{3}(1) - 0(1) - \frac{1}{2}(3) - 2(0) = \frac{3}{2} \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

So the local truncation error is order( $h^2$ ).

**part b.**

$$\begin{aligned}y_{k+2} &= \frac{1}{2}(y_k + y_{k+1}) + 2hf(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) \\ -\frac{1}{2}y_k - \frac{1}{2}y_{k+1} + y_{k+2} &= 2hf(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) \\ \alpha_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}, \alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{2}, \alpha_2 = 1 \\ \beta_0 &= 0, \beta_1 = 2, \beta_2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

**Zero-stability:**

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma + \gamma^2 &= 0 \\ (\gamma - 1)(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}) &= 0 \\ \gamma_1 &= 1, \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{2}\end{aligned}$$

$\gamma_2$  is in the unit disk.  $\gamma_1$  is on the edge of the unit disk but is a simple root so this multi-step method is zero-stable.

**Consistent:**

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=0}^2 \alpha_j &= -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + 1 = 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^2 (\alpha_j j - \beta_j) &= (-\frac{1}{2}(0) - 0) + (-\frac{1}{2}(1) - 2) + (1(2) - 0) = -\frac{1}{2} \neq 0\end{aligned}$$

So this multi-step method is not consistent.

## **Problem 2**

**part a**

See Jupyter notebook for code and results.

**part b**

See Jupyter notebook for code and results.

**part c**

**part i.**

See Jupyter notebook for code and results.

AB4 is accurate on the interval  $[-1, -0.5]$ , forward Euler is accurate over the whole interval  $[-1, 3]$ .

**part ii.**

See Jupyter notebook for code and results.

This stiff ODE is very sensitive to changes in the  $h$  value.

**part iii.**

See Jupyter notebook for code and results.

**part iv.**

See Jupyter notebook for code and results.

These results are not surprising. Truncation error for forward Euler method is  $O(h)$ , AB2 is  $O(h^2)$ , AB4 is  $O(h^3)$ , and RK4 is  $O(h^4)$  although RK4 error was computed using a larger  $h$  value than the rest.

**part d**

See Jupyter notebook for code and results.

This multistep method is not zero stable, so small errors will eventually grow unbounded.

**Problem 3**

**part a**

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y} &= y_k + \frac{h}{4}(f(x_k, y_k) + f(\hat{x}, \hat{y})) \\ y_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{3}(4\hat{y} - y_k + hf(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1})) \\ f(x, y(x)) &= y'(x) = \lambda y(x)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting in the right-hand side of the ODE into the first equation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{y} &= y_k + \frac{h}{4}(\lambda y_k + \lambda \hat{y}) \\ \hat{y} - \frac{h}{4}\lambda \hat{y} &= y_k + \frac{h}{4}\lambda y_k \\ \hat{y} &= \frac{1 + \frac{h\lambda}{4}}{1 - \frac{h\lambda}{4}} y_k \\ \hat{y} &= \frac{4 + h\lambda}{4 - h\lambda} y_k\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this result and the right hand side into the equation for  $y_{k+1}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}y_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{3}(4(\frac{4 + h\lambda}{4 - h\lambda} y_k) - y_k + h\lambda y_{k+1}) \\ y_{k+1} - \frac{h\lambda}{3} y_{k+1} &= \frac{4(4 + h\lambda)}{3(4 - h\lambda)} y_k - \frac{1}{3} y_k \\ (1 - \frac{h\lambda}{3}) y_{k+1} &= (\frac{4(4 + h\lambda)}{3(4 - h\lambda)} - \frac{1}{3}) y_k \\ y_{k+1} &= (\frac{4(4 + h\lambda)}{(4 - h\lambda)(3 - h\lambda)} - \frac{1}{3 - h\lambda}) y_k\end{aligned}$$

**part b**

This method is stable if  $|g(h\lambda)| < 1$ , resulting in

$$\left| \frac{4(4+h\lambda)}{(4-h\lambda)(3-h\lambda)} - \frac{1}{3-h\lambda} \right| < 1 \quad (1)$$

See Jupyter notebook for code and results.

**Problem 4**

$$\hat{y} = y_k + \frac{h}{4}(f(x_k, y_k) + f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}))$$

Using Taylor expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) &= f(x_k + h/2, y(x_k + h/2)) = y'(x_k) + \frac{1}{2}hy''(x_k) + \frac{1}{8}hy'''(x_k) + \dots \\ \hat{y} &= y_k + \frac{h}{4}(y'(x_k) + y'(x_k) + \frac{1}{2}hy''(x_k) + \frac{1}{8}h^2y'''(x_k) + \dots) \\ \hat{y} &= y_k + \frac{h}{2}y'(x_k) + \frac{1}{8}h^2y''(x_k) + \frac{1}{32}h^3y'''(x_k) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$y_{k+1} = \frac{1}{3}(4\hat{y} - y_k + hf(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}))$$

Using Taylor expansion once more

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) &= f(x_k + h, y(x_k + h)) = y'(x_k) + hy''(x_k) + \frac{1}{2}h^2y'''(x_k) + \dots \\ y_{k+1}^* &= y(x_k + h) = y(x_k) + hy'(x_k) + \frac{1}{2}h^2y''(x_k) + \frac{1}{6}h^3y'''(x_k) + \dots \\ y_{k+1} &= \frac{4}{3}\hat{y} - \frac{1}{3}y_k + \frac{1}{3}hf(x_{k+1}, y_{k+1}) \\ T_k &= \frac{y_{k+1}^* - y_{k+1}}{h} \end{aligned}$$

Order  $h^{-1}$  terms (in numerator these terms are  $h^1$ ):

$$h^{-1}(y(x_k) - \frac{4}{3}(y_k) + \frac{1}{3}y_k) = 0$$

Order  $h^0$  terms:

$$y'(x_k) - (\frac{4}{3}(\frac{1}{2}y'(x_k)) + \frac{1}{3}y'(x_k)) = 0$$

So TR-BDF2 method is consistent.

Order  $h^1$  terms:

$$h(\frac{1}{2}y''(x_k) - (\frac{4}{3}(\frac{1}{8}y''(x_k)) + \frac{1}{3}(y''(x_k))) = 0$$

Order  $h^2$  terms:

$$h^2(\frac{1}{6}y'''(x_k) - (\frac{4}{3}(\frac{1}{32}y'''(x_k)) + \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1}{2}y'''(x_k))) \neq 0$$

Therefore the TR-BDF2 method is accurate to the second order.