# Integer Factorization

### Miska Kananen

### December 2, 2018

### Contents

1	Introd	duction	1	
		Definitions		
	1.2 A	Applications	4	
<b>2</b>	Basic algorithms 2.1 Trial division			
	2.1 T	rial division	2	
	2.2 S	Sieve of Eratosthenes	4	
3	Pollard's Rho algorithm			
	3.1 T	Theory	4	
	3.2 In	mplementation	(	
4	Linear	r sieve	6	

# 1 Introduction

We will define the problem of integer factorization and present some applications of efficient factorization. Then we will look at some basic and some more efficient factorization algorithms, namely Pollard's Rho algorithm and the linear sieve, and implement them in practice.

#### 1.1 Definitions

**Definition 1.1.** (Integer Factorization problem) Let n be a positive integer. Find an integer a (1 < a < n) such that n = ab for some positive integer b

or report that such integer does not exist. We call a a factor of n and the product ab a factorization of n.

If there exists a factorization of n, n is *composite*. Otherwise n is *prime* (or equals 1, in case of which it is neither). Note that it is not required that a is prime.

**Example 1.2.** (Factorization) Let n = 36. We can write  $n = 4 \cdot 9$ . Here 4 is a factor of 36 and the product  $4 \cdot 9$  is a factorization of 36.

We are interested in solving two specific problems: finding a factor of an integer n and finding a factor for all integers in range  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ . Let's define these problems more formally.

**Problem 1.3.** factor(n): find a factor of integer n and return it. If such factor does not exist, report it.

**Problem 1.4.** factor-range(n): for each integer i in range  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ , find a factor of i and return it, or report that such factor does not exist.

In practice we will handle the case where a factor does not exist by returning -1.

**Example 1.5.** factor(36) = 4, factor(5) = -1

**Example 1.6.** factor-range(10) = [-1, -1, -1, 2, -1, 2, -1, 2, 3, 2]

## 1.2 Applications

# 2 Basic algorithms

In this section we look at some easy, but slightly inefficient algorithms for solving *factor* and *factor-range*. In later chapters we will find out how to solve the problems more efficiently.

#### 2.1 Trial division

The easiest way to solve factor(n) is to iterate all integers in range  $\{2, 3, \ldots, (n-1)\}$  and try whether one of them divides n. In this case, we have found a factor of n. This kind of algorithm is called  $trial\ division$ .

The correctness of the algorithm is easy to see: every possible factor of n is tried, and therefore if one exists, it will be found. The number of divisions done by the algorithm is in the worst case linear to the size of n, and the time complexity of the algorithm is thus O(n). However, we can do better.

**Proposition 2.1.** If n is composite, one of its factors is smaller than or equal to  $\sqrt{n}$ .

*Proof.* Since n is composite, it can be written as n=ab. Assume that  $a>\sqrt{n}$  and  $b>\sqrt{n}$ . Now  $ab>\sqrt{n}^2 \implies ab>n$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore either a or b must be  $\leq \sqrt{n}$ .

According to Proposition 2.1, it suffices to try out the integers from 2 to  $\sqrt{n}$  inclusive. If at this point we have not found a divisor, there are none. Now we do only  $\sqrt{n}$  steps in the worst case, and the time complexity of the improved algorithm is  $O(\sqrt{n})$ . There is still room for improvement.

**Proposition 2.2.** All primes p except 2 and 3 are of the form  $6k \pm 1$  for some integer k.

*Proof.* All integers can be represented as 6k + m for some integers k and  $0 \le m < 6$ . 6k + 0 is divisible by 6. 6k + 2 and 6k + 4 are divisible by 2. 6k + 3 is divisible by 3. Thus, all primes must be of the form 6k + 1 or  $6k + 5 \equiv 6k - 1 \mod 6$ .

We can use Proposition 2.2 to reduce the amount of required divisions by only trying 2, 3 and the numbers of the form  $6k \pm 1$ . Here is our final trial division algorithm:

#### **Algorithm 2.3.** (Trial division)

- 1. Check if 2 or 3 divide n.
- 2. Iterate all integers of the form  $6k \pm 1$  up to and including  $\sqrt{n}$  and check if one of them divides n.
- 3. If no divisor was found in steps 1 and 2, report that there are none.

The time complexity of this algorithm is still  $O(\sqrt{n})$ , but it has a smaller constant factor than the previous one due to a reduced number of divisions.

#### 2.2 Sieve of Eratosthenes

# 3 Pollard's Rho algorithm

Pollard's Rho algorithm is a randomized Monte Carlo algorithm that solves factor(n) in  $O(\sqrt[4]{n})$  average.

### 3.1 Theory

Assume n is a composite integer and n = ab for some integers a, b (1 < a, b < n). Without loss of generality, assume  $a \le b$ . What kind of a randomized approach we could use to factorize n?

The first approach could be to randomly generate a sequence of integers  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$  from range  $2 \ldots (n-1)$  and try if one of them divides n. There are n-2 integers in the range and if n is a product of two primes, the probability of finding a divisor is  $\frac{2}{n-2}$ . Therefore it takes roughly n attempts to find a divisor this way.

We can do better. Instead of trying to find an integer  $x_i$  that divides n, we can try to find an integer for which  $\gcd(x_i,n)>1$ . In this case the greatest common divisor is a non-trivial factor of n.  $\gcd(x_i,n)>1$  for all multiples  $a,2a,\ldots,(b-1)a,b,2p,\ldots,(a-1)b$  and there are a+b-2 such multiples. The probability of finding such an integer is  $\frac{a+b-2}{n}\approx\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$  in the worst case when all factors are approximately equal, and thus it takes roughly  $\sqrt{n}$  attempts to find a divisor.

Now we are on par with the trial division. To improve the algorithm further, we need to explore the concept of *birthday paradox*.

**Proposition 3.1.** (Birthday paradox) When uniformly generating random integers  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_k$  from the range 1...n, we need to generate approximately  $O(\sqrt{n})$  integers for the probability that two of the generated integers are equal to reach 0.5.

Proof. Proved in CLRS[3], chapter 5.4.1.

We will utilize the birthday paradox as follows. When randomly generating the integers  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k$ , we will check for all pairs  $(x_i, x_j)$  if  $gcd(x_i - x_j, n) > 1$ . How soon can we expect to find a suitable pair?

When generating the sequence  $x_i$ , we are also implicitly generating an induced sequence  $x'_i = x_i \mod a$ . If we manage to generate two integers

 $(x_i, x_j)$  such that  $x'_i \equiv x'_j \mod a$ , then a divides  $(x'_i - x'_j)$  and it must hold that  $\gcd(x_i - x_j, n) > 1$ . Thus, the question reduces to finding how soon we can expect that  $x'_i \equiv x'_j \mod a$ .

We assumed that  $a \leq b$ , so according to Proposition 2.1  $a \leq \sqrt{n}$ . So, we are generating random integers  $x_i'$  in range  $1 \dots \sqrt{n}$ , and according to the birthday paradox we will likely find two equal integers with  $O(\sqrt[4]{n}) = O(\sqrt[4]{n})$  generated integers.

However, we have a problem. To check if there is a repetition in a list of k integers, we have to do approximately  $k^2$  pairwise comparisons. If we implemented the algorithm this way, the time complexity would be still  $O(\sqrt{n})$ .

Instead of assuming a perfect random number generator, we will use a pseudorandom number generator, which is a function that maps each integer to another seemingly random number, generating a sequence of apparently random integers. For our purposes, the recommended function is a polynomial of the form

$$f(x) = (x^2 + c) \mod n$$

where c is an arbitrary integer constant. The usual choice is c = 1. Values c = 0 and c = -2 are not recommended [2].

Using the function, we can generate the sequence from a starting value  $x_1$  as follows:  $x_2 = f(x_1), x_3 = f(x_2)$  and in general,  $x_{k+1} = f(x_k)$ .

Since we are taking the values mod n, we will find a repeated value at some point. Also, since each value depends only on the previous one, the sequence will enter a cycle after encountering a repeated value. The same properties hold for the induced sequence too, as proven in CLRS[3], chapter 31.9.

The average number of steps before entering a cycle is equal to the number of steps before the first repetition in the sequence, which we showed earlier to be approximately  $O(\sqrt{n})$  for the  $x_i$ -sequence and  $O(\sqrt[4]{n})$  for the  $x_i$ -sequence.

So, if the  $x'_i$ -sequence enters a cycle, we have found a factor of n. However, if the  $x_i$ -sequence enters a cycle at the same time, the obtained factor is n, which is not useful. In this case, we have to change the initial values.

How can we detect if a sequence has entered a cycle when we don't store the earlier values of the sequence? We need Floyd's algorithm[1].

#### Algorithm 3.2. (Floyd's algorithm)

1. Initialize two pointers, t and h, pointing at the first element of the sequence.

- 2. Advance t by one step and h by two steps as long as they haven't met again.
- 3. When t = h, we are in a cycle.

In Pollard's Rho algorithm we will use Floyd's algorithm on the  $x_i$ sequence to detect whether it has entered a cycle. Now we are finally ready
to describe the entire Pollard's Rho algorithm.

#### **Algorithm 3.3.** (Pollard's Rho algorithm)

The integer to be factored is n and function used to generate the pseudorandom sequence is f.

- 1. Choose a starting value s from range  $1 \dots (n-1)$  and assign t = f(s), h = f(f(s)).
- 2. While gcd(h t, n) = 1, repeat t = f(t), h = f(f(h)).
- 3. If at this point t = h, the algorithm failed to find a factor. Start from beginning with a different s.
- 4. Otherwise we found a factor gcd(h-t,n), return it.

There are some special cases that are important to notice.

- If n is prime, the algorithm might not terminate.
- If n is a perfect square  $(n = m^2 \text{ for some integer m})$ , the algorithm might not terminate.

## 3.2 Implementation

### 4 Linear sieve

# References

- [1] Antti Laaksonen. Competitive Programmer's Handbook. 2017.
- [2] J. M. Pollard. "A Monte Carlo method for factorization". In: *BIT Numerical Mathematics* 15.3 (1975), pp. 331–334.
- [3] Thomas H. Cormen; Charles E. Leiserson; Ronald L. Rivest; Clifford Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition.* 2009.