Halborn CTF – Smart Contract Security Audit Summary

This document summarizes the vulnerabilities exploited through unit testing of the HalbornCTF contracts.

HalbornToken.sol

Exploit 1: UUPS Upgrade Bypass

- Test: test vulnerableUUPSupgrade()
- Issue: _authorizeUpgrade(address) is empty.
- Exploit: Any address can call upgradeTo(...) and take over the contract.
- Impact: Full contract compromise.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 2: Loans Address Manipulation Attack

- Test: test_setLoansAddress()
- Issue: setLoans(address) lacks access control and can be called by any address after a malicious upgrade.
- Exploit: A malicious implementation is upgraded in; attacker reinitializes and calls setLoans() to assign themselves as the loan authority.
- Impact: Attacker gains permanent mint/burn privileges while locking out the original owner & can no longer change loans address.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 3: Unrestricted Minting (mintToken)

- Test: test_unlimitedMint()
- Issue: The mintToken(address, uint256) function can be called by any address previously set via setLoans(), without validation.
- Exploit: A malicious contract registers itself and mints tokens arbitrarily.
- Impact: Infinite token supply, economic breakdown.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 4: Unrestricted Burning (burnToken)

- Test: test_unlimitedBurn()
- Issue: burnToken can be used to destroy tokens from any address.
- Exploit: A fake loan contract burns a user's tokens without permission.
- Impact: Token holder funds loss.
- Severity: Critical

HalbornLoans.sol

Exploit 1: UUPS Upgrade Bypass

- Test: test_vulnerableUUPSupgrade()
- Issue: _authorizeUpgrade(address) is empty.
- Exploit: Any address can call upgradeTo(...) and take over the contract
- Impact: Full contract compromise and loan logic hijacking.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 2: Infinite Token Minting via Malicious Loan Contract

- Test: test_vulnerableLoanContractReksTokenMint()
- Issue: Token contract trusts loans address for minting; no validation after upgrade.
- Exploit: Attacker upgrades to a malicious contract and mints unlimited tokens via token.mintToken(...).
- Impact: Infinite token inflation, economic collapse.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 3: Arbitrary Token Burning via Loan Contract

- Test: test vulnerableLoanContractReksTokenBurn()
- Issue: Token contract allows loans address to burn tokens from any user.
- Exploit: Malicious loan contract calls token.burnToken(...) on users like Alice.
- Impact: Irreversible user fund destruction.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 4: Reentrancy in NFT Collateral Withdrawal

- Test: test Reentrancy()
- Issue: withdrawCollateral() lacks reentrancy protection.
- Exploit: Re-enter during on ERC721Received callback to withdraw multiple NFTs and call getLoan(...) before state updates.
- Impact: Double NFT withdrawal and max loan drain.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 5: Insecure Loan Collateralization

- Test: Implicit in test_Reentrancy(), confirmed in reentrant logic
- Issue: Loan amount is based on collateral count without lock mechanism or atomicity.
- Exploit: Reentrancy alters collateral count mid-calculation, inflating borrowable tokens.
- Impact: Collateral fraud, overdrawing loans.
- Severity: Medium

HalbornNFT.sol

Exploit 1: Merkle Root Manipulation

- Test: test_setMerkelRoot()
- Issue: setMerkleRoot() has no access control.
- Exploit: Any address can replace the Merkle root, bypassing the whitelist mechanism entirely.
- Impact: Whitelist bypass, unauthorized users gain airdrop minting access.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 2: Unlimited Airdrop Minting

- Test: test_setMintUnlimited()
- **Issue:** Once the Merkle root is manipulated, crafted proofs can be used repeatedly.
- Exploit: Attacker mints unlimited NFTs using a custom Merkle tree and valid proofs.
- Impact: NFT supply inflation, ecosystem collapse.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 3: UUPS Upgrade Bypass

- Test: test_vulnerableUUPSupgrade()
- Issue: _authorizeUpgrade() is left empty, allowing anyone to upgrade the contract.
- Exploit: Attacker upgrades to a malicious implementation, reinitializes, and gains control.
- Impact: Full protocol takeover including minting logic, pricing, and ETH withdrawal.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 4: Price Manipulation

- Test: test setPrice()
- Issue: NFT price is settable via initialize() after malicious upgrade.
- Exploit: Attacker sets custom NFT price via reinitialization.
- Impact: Undermines fair pricing model, opens door to abuse or griefing.
- Severity: Critical

Exploit 5: ETH Drainage via Malicious Upgrade

- Test: test_stealETH()
- **Issue:** ETH stored in contract can be drained post-upgrade through a malicious withdrawETH() function.

- Exploit: Attacker upgrades to a version with withdrawETH() and drains the full contract balance.
- Impact: Complete ETH theft, user losses, contract bankruptcy.

• Severity: Critical

Overall Observations

- UUPS vulnerabilities affect every contract.
- Token mint/burn control must not be externally assigned without proper validation.
- Reentrancy and withdrawal logic should follow best practices.
- \bullet Whitelist minting must include per-address + per-ID limitations.

Status: All issues demonstrated successfully via unit tests using Foundry.