

Pure Functional Data Structures

Mitch Stevens

Pure Function Data Structures

- Tonight: Queues
- Some other night: Finger Tree, Graphs, Catenatable

```
data Queue a = Queue { f :: [a], r :: [a] }
```

- f is the **front** of a queue
- r is the **rest** of the queue
- We are assuming that length of the list is cached
- *Invariant: $\text{length } f \geq \text{length } r$*

```
data Queue a = Queue { f :: [a], r :: [a] }
```

- f is the **front** of a queue
- r is the **rest** of the queue
- We are assuming that length of the list is cached
- *Invariant: $\text{length } f \geq \text{length } r$*
- If `null f` then the queue is empty
- This invariant is enforced with a pseudo-constructor

Queue

```
rotate :: [a] -> [a] -> [a]
rotate f r = f <> reverse r
```

```
queue :: [a] -> [a] -> Queue a
queue f r =
  if length f < length r --  $O(1)$ 
  then Queue (rotate f r) []
  else Queue f r
```

Queue Operations

```
snoc :: Queue a -> a -> Queue a  
snoc (Queue f r) x = queue f (x:r)
```

```
head :: Queue a -> a  
head (Queue f r) = Prelude.head f
```

```
tail :: Queue a -> Queue a  
tail (Queue f r) = queue (Prelude.tail f) r
```

- Invariant corollary: $\text{null } f \Rightarrow \text{queue is empty}$

Queue operations

- These operations (`snoc`, `head`, `tail`) are amortised $O(1)$
- `queue` reshuffles the elements whenever $\text{length } f - \text{length } r < 0$
- `let s := length f - length r`

Queue operations

- These operations (`snoc`, `head`, `tail`) are amortised $O(1)$
- `queue` reshuffles the elements whenever $\text{length } f - \text{length } r < 0$
- `let s := length f - length r`
- `tail` decrements `s` by removing an element from `f`
- `snoc` decrements `s` by adding an element to `r`

Queue operations

- These operations (`snoc`, `head`, `tail`) are amortised $O(1)$
- `queue` reshuffles the elements whenever $\text{length } f - \text{length } r < 0$
- `let s := length f - length r`
- `tail` decrements `s` by removing an element from `f`
- `snoc` decrements `s` by adding an element to `r`
- After `queue` reshuffles the elements, there will be `n` operations before the next reshuffling.

Lazy evaluation and amortisation

- In strict languages, time complexity \sim num of operation
- In a lazy context this is not true

Lazy evaluation and amortisation

- In strict languages, time complexity \sim num of operation
- In a lazy context this is not true

```
foo :: Num a => [a] -> [a] -> a
```

```
foo l1 l2 = sum $ take 10 (l1 <> reverse l2)
```

- If `len l1 <= 10` then ~ 10 operations are performed

Lazy evaluation and amortisation

- In strict languages, time complexity \sim num of operation
- In a lazy context this is not true

```
foo :: Num a => [a] -> [a] -> a
```

```
foo l1 l2 = sum $ take 10 (l1 <> reverse l2)
```

- If `len l1 <= 10` then ~ 10 operations are performed
- Otherwise we have to evaluate `reverse l2`, which is an $O(n)$ operation
- Often unclear how many operations are performing here

Realtime Queue

```
data Queue a = Queue { f :: [a], r :: ![a], s :: [a] }
```

- **Invariant:** $\text{length } s = \text{length } f - \text{length } r$

```
data Queue a = Queue { f :: [a], r :: ![a], s :: [a] }
```

- **Invariant:** $\text{length } s = \text{length } f - \text{length } r$
- Why is r strict?
- Why are we storing the invariant as a list, instead of an `Int`?

```
data Queue a = Queue { f :: [a], r :: ![a], s :: [a] }
```

- **Invariant:** $\text{length } s = \text{length } f - \text{length } r$
- Why is r strict?
- Why are we storing the invariant as a list, instead of an `Int`?
- s is short for “scheduler”

- We again use a constructor to enforce the invariant

```
rotate :: [a] -> [a] -> [a] -> [a]
rotate f r a = f <> reverse r <> a
```

```
queue :: [a] -> [a] -> [a] -> Queue a
queue f r s = case s of
  (x:s') -> Queue f r s'
  []      -> Queue f' [] f'
  where f' = rotate f r []
```


Rotating a Queue

```
rotate f r a = case (f, r) of  
  (Nil, y:_) -> y:a  
  (x:f', y:r') -> x : rotate f' r' (y : a)
```

- Why go to all this trouble?

Rotating a Queue

```
rotate f r a = case (f, r) of  
  (Nil, y:_) -> y:a  
  (x:f', y:r') -> x : rotate f' r' (y : a)
```

- Why go to all this trouble?
- Example: queue [1, 2] [5, 4, 3] [] = Queue f r s
- f = rotate [1, 2] [5, 4, 3] []
- r = []
- s = f

Rotating a Queue

Need to ensure that pattern matching `f` and `r` is a single operation

- `f` is itself a suspension of `rotate`
- `r` is strict