Predictive Analytics: Milestone 4

Joshua Greenert, Gabriel Avinaz, and Mithil Patel DSC630-T301 Predictive Analytics 2/10/2023

Milestone 2: Data Selection and Proposal

Introduction

Since antiquity, games have been an integral aspect of human society – especially for cultural development and social interaction. With the advancement of human civilization, the way games are being played has drastically changed over the eons as modern games are primarily played electronically (video games). With over 3 billion players worldwide and approximately 200 billion dollars in revenue, the video gaming industry is constantly looking to attract new customers and boost playtime. As a result, we will implement a collaborative recommendation system to assist users in finding similar games that may interest them. By utilizing a collaborative filtering system, we can use historical review information to determine whether a product might interest one of our customers. We will experiment with two approaches to determine which application would be better for an e-commerce implementation.

What types of model(s) do you plan to use and why, and how do you intend to evaluate your results?

We will implement a collaborative recommendation system to assist users in finding similar games that may interest them. By utilizing a collaborative filtering system, we can use historical review information to determine whether a product might interest one of our customers. We will experiment with two approaches to determine which application would be better for an e-commerce implementation.

Our first approach will implement memory-based collaborative filtering, which utilizes the collective review information of other users to find similar games. We'll be implementing both item-item and user-item methods to determine which provides a better result for our users. These two methods offer predictions based on what similar users like and what users who like a particular game are likely to enjoy. We'll use several distance measurement algorithms to determine which provides a more accurate recommendation such as: cosine similarity, Pearson Correlation, and K-nearest neighbors. The models created using these methods should perform fastest while providing acceptable accuracy. For our model-based collaborative filtering, we will train a few machine learning (ML) algorithms to make recommendations and find similar games. Our project will focus on utilizing matrix factorization algorithms to make this determination but will be looking to implement a deep learning approach given the time. The process of applying different ML algorithms is fairly simple, so we'll be looking to implement the most we can: SVD, PMF, and NMF, then compare our results with the memory-based results.

Our second approach to creating a recommendation system for our games library is by implementing a content-based filtering system utilizing natural language processing and available user reviews. This process will use a vectorized matrix of user reviews to find games that have been reviewed in a similar way. We can implement many of the techniques from our memory-based collaborative filtering process into this one and measure performance across each of our distance-measuring algorithms. We can utilize a standard train-test

methodology from many of the of the models we will be implementing while cross referencing our suggested games with user-rated games. We also have user information in our data set as to whether they would make a recommendation for the game they are reviewing. We can utilize this data in testing our results accuracy.

What do you hope to learn?

We hope to learn consumers' interests and buying patterns which can help our company optimize revenue by focusing resources on developing games customers will more likely enjoy. Additionally, we intend to learn appropriate methods to develop recommendation systems for alternative enterprises and future outsourcing. Finally, while going through this process, we expect to learn data from our models that can be used for other points of interest for the company and our personal career development.

Are there any risks or ethical implications with your proposal?

Fortunately, all of the personal information of each individual review has been stripped from the data provided from the Steam API. Instead of the actual user's profile information, a review_id value is used instead. However, the comments may contain personal or sensitive information which will be appropriately handled or removed to ensure the safety and security of all users. Besides potential user-provided information, there are no additional risks or ethical concerns within this project.

What is your contingency plan?

In the event that our findings aren't conclusive, our dataset proves too massive to work with, or our data doesn't express a proper recommendation system as intended, we have collected another dataset to use instead. This database revolves around used cars posted to Craigslist and would have a similar strategy to our current proposal. We would use the dataset to review models for recommendations for users based upon their interests and preferences. From that information, we would predict cars that users would be interested in while also being local to their respective area based on the information from the dataset. All parties have agreed that if our project doesn't appear feasible by the end of week 3, we will be shifting gears to this alternative to salvage the time we have remaining.

Additional important information

As the project progresses, we plan to implement a deep learning algorithm if we are able to add it into the scope; at the moment, we anticipate our limited time will be a factor that prevents us from doing so. With a deep learning algorithm, we would be able to enhance our accuracy and predict better recommendations for our users.

Milestone 3: Preliminary Analysis

Will I be able to answer the questions I want to answer with the data I have?

The data are proving to be far more time-consuming than initially expected. Nevertheless, the data should be more than capable of providing a model of a working recommendation system that can suggest games to users based on their game preference. Additionally, our team has utilized a supplemental dataset to create alternative options for our recommendations.

What visualizations are especially useful for explaining my data?

Histogram plots were one of the most useful visualizations in evaluating our data. It helped us determine that a large number of users that left reviews on the steam application would only leave a review for one game. This has a significant effect on how we can treat the data going forward, especially with the collaborative filtering models we were going to attempt to implement. Bar plots can be used to display our model's outputs, showing ratios of similarity with each game. Scatterplots were one of the more important visualizations in determining how to measure our aggregate review data for each game. It helped us determine how the average score changed between positive reviews and those weighted for quality.

Do I need to adjust the data and/or driving questions?

Due to the size of our data selected, we have implemented a checkpoint inside of our file where a csv file is exported after a rigorous six-hour lemmatization. This export can then be used to continue the process of creating the remainder of the model while utilizing the results of the previous steps. While an adjustment to the process and data was a serious consideration, the checkpoint simplifies the approach and allows us to begin further development without the need to start from the beginning.

Do I need to adjust my model/evaluation choices?

For the most part, our models and methodology appear to be functioning as intended. Our intention is to use Term Frequency-Inverse Document Frequency (TFIDF) vectorized user reviews and game descriptions with cosine similarity as a metric to measure the closeness of each recommendation. Additionally, we plan to have a collaborative filtering model utilizing K Nearest Neighbor (KNN), random forest, and XGBoost as classifiers based on the user's review history. The expectation is to utilize GridSearchCV to find the ideal model and parameters for this method. Nothing at the moment appears to require a significant change, but we learned from our visualizations that we can gain a significant amount of efficiency by dropping users that have only contributed a single review from the collaborative dataset, and we will still have plenty of entries after the fact to split up data into training and test sets.

Are my original expectations still reasonable?

Our initial expectations were to create a prediction model that could make recommendations based on the reviews from Steam users. This expectation still shows promise as we've completed the majority of the modeling process. However, the expectation to create a neural network with the dataset may prove challenging based on the size of the dataset, and how long the process took for lemmatization. Alternatively, we've chosen to include an alternative dataset for an additional option on recommendation systems.

Milestone 4: Finalizing Results

Explain your process for preparing the data

Our initial dataset contained a massive amount of rows and comments from Steam users. However, most of the reviews were in other languages. First, we removed all of the other languages besides "english" to ensure we could use and understand the results of the model. Next, we dropped columns that we estimated were insignificant to our process and made dummies for the remaining categorical variables. We imported libraries for keyword sentiment analysis and proceeded to lemmatize the comments from each user to remove stop words and contractions; this process (in code) took over 6 hours! Afterwards, we created a

checkpoint to begin the final processes with our dataset by adding another dataset to use for cosine similarity. Here are some of the steps performed in code:

DO NOT RUN: ONLY FOR VISUAL PURPOSES!

Build and evaluate at least one model

```
In [26]: # Import the required libaries.
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine_similarity
from sklearn.neighbors import NearestNeighbors
from scipy.sparse import csr_matrix
```

```
In [27]: # Pull in the dataframe.

# Josh G
# df_reviews_dummies = pd.read_csv('../../../Downloads/Prepped_test_out.csv', on_b
# df_reviews = pd.read_csv('../../../Downloads/steam_reviews.csv', low_memory=Fals
# df_steam_games = pd.read_csv('../../.../Downloads/Prepped_games_out.csv')
# df_steam_games = df_steam_games.set_index('App ID')

# Gabe

df_reviews_dummies = pd.read_csv('Prepped_test_out.csv')
df_reviews = pd.read_csv('steam_reviews.csv')
df_steam_games = pd.read_csv('Prepped_games_out.csv')
df_steam_games = df_steam_games.set_index('App ID')
```

As noted above, we brought in some new datasets to use for comparison on our models generated. The goal was to create a model that was fairly consistent to compare against the lemmatized model we would use later on.

```
In [28]: # merge the two columns into one
    df_reviews_dummies["recommended"] = df_reviews_dummies['recommended_False'] + df_reviews
# Set the user recommendation dataframes.
    user_rec_df_reviews = df_reviews[["app_id","author.steamid", "recommended"]].copy()
    user_rec_df_prepped = df_reviews_dummies[["app_id","author.steamid", "recommended"]].cop
```

```
n users reviews = len(user rec df reviews['author.steamid'].unique())
         n ratings prepped = len(user rec df prepped)
         n games prepped = len(user rec df prepped['app id'].unique())
         n users prepped = len(user rec df prepped['author.steamid'].unique())
         # Print the results to confirm they have values.
         print(n ratings reviews, n games reviews, n users reviews)
         print(n ratings prepped, n games prepped, n users prepped)
        21747371 315 12406560
         9635437 315 5287718
In [30]: # maps idices to users and game IDs
         user map reviews = dict(zip(np.unique(user rec df reviews["author.steamid"]), list(range
         game map reviews = dict(zip(np.unique(user rec df reviews["app id"]), list(range(n games
         # Confirm that the total reviews equal the n games reviews. Should be true, true.
         print(len(user map reviews) == n users reviews)
         print(len(game map reviews) == n games reviews)
         user i map reviews = dict(zip(list(range(n users reviews)), np.unique(user rec df review
         game i map reviews = dict(zip(list(range(n games reviews)), np.unique(user rec df review
         # Perform the same operations on the prepped items.
         user map prepped = dict(zip(np.unique(user rec df prepped["author.steamid"]), list(range
         game map prepped = dict(zip(np.unique(user rec df prepped["app id"]), list(range(n games
         # Confirm that the total reviews equal the n games reviews. Should be true, true.
         print(len(user map prepped) == n users prepped)
         print(len(game_map_prepped) == n games prepped)
         user i map prepped = dict(zip(list(range(n users prepped)), np.unique(user rec df preppe
         game i map prepped = dict(zip(list(range(n games prepped)), np.unique(user rec df preppe
        True
        True
        True
        True
In [31]: # creating indices for csr matrix
         user index reviews = [user map reviews[i] for i in user rec df reviews['author.steamid']
         game index reviews = [game map reviews[i] for i in user rec df reviews['app id']]
         user index prepped = user rec df prepped['author.steamid'].map(user map prepped, na acti
         game index prepped = [game map prepped[i] for i in user rec df prepped['app id']]
In [32]: # creates csr matrixes
         matrix reviews = csr matrix((user rec df reviews["recommended"], (game index reviews, us
         matrix prepped = csr matrix((user rec df prepped["recommended"], (game index prepped, us
In [33]: # creating a dictionary to pull the name of games from based on IDs
         game names reviews = dict(zip(df reviews['app id'], df reviews['app name']))
         game names prepped = dict(zip(df reviews dummies['app id'], df reviews dummies['app name
        1.1.1
In [34]:
         This function uses both datasets to determine the best match for returning a list of gam
         along with the cosine function to find similiaries and store them into a list of neighbo
         are then compared for accuracy and the highest matches are returned.
         @param game id: the id that references the game found with Steam.
         @param total matches: the total number of matches to return
         @param usePrepped: boolean value to determine if usePrepped should be used in this test
```

n games reviews = len(user rec df reviews['app id'].unique())

```
def find related games(game id, total matches, usePrepped):
    # Set variables
    reviews neighbour ids with distance = {}
    # Prepare index/vectorizations.
    game index reviews = game map reviews[game id]
    game vector reviews = matrix reviews[game index reviews]
    # Increment total matches.
    total matches += 1
    # Set the KNN model and fit them.
    kNN reviews = NearestNeighbors(algorithm = 'brute', metric='cosine')
    kNN reviews.fit(matrix reviews)
    # reshape and determine distances for KNN values.
    game vec reshaped reviews = game vector reviews.reshape(1,-1)
    distances reviews, indices reviews = kNN reviews.kneighbors(game vec reshaped review
    # Loop through and flatten the distances provided
    for i in range(0,len(distances reviews.flatten())):
        n = indices reviews.flatten()[i]
        neighbour id = game i map reviews[n]
        reviews neighbour ids with distance[game names reviews[neighbour id]] = distance
    reviews neighbour ids with distance.pop(game names reviews[game id], None) # removes
    # Sort the data by accuracy
    sorted neighbour ids with distance reviews = sorted(reviews neighbour ids with dista
    if usePrepped:
        sorted neighbour ids with distance prepped = find related games usePrepped(game
        sorted neighbour ids with distance prepped = []
    # finalize the list amongst the two created.
    combined list = sorted neighbour ids with distance reviews + sorted neighbour ids wi
    sorted combined list = sorted(combined list, key=lambda x: x[1])
    # Print the games and their related accuracy.
   count = 1
   print(f"Games related to: {game names reviews[game id]}\n")
    for game name, accuracy in sorted combined list:
       if count == total matches:
           break
           print(f"{game name}: {accuracy:.2f}")
           count += 1
This method breaks the logic into a separate function to keep the prior function clean o
returns a sorted neighbor ids list.
def find related games usePrepped(game id, total matches):
   prepped neighbour ids with distance = {}
    # Prepare index/vectorizations.
    game index prepped = game map prepped[game id]
    game vector prepped = matrix prepped[game index prepped]
    # Set the KNN model and fit them.
    kNN prepped = NearestNeighbors(algorithm = 'brute', metric='cosine')
    kNN prepped.fit(matrix prepped)
    # reshape and determine distances for KNN values.
    game_vec_reshaped_prepped = game_vector_prepped.reshape(1,-1)
```

```
# Loop through and flatten the distances provided
             for i in range(0,len(distances prepped.flatten())):
                n = indices prepped.flatten()[i]
                neighbour id = game i map prepped[n]
                 prepped neighbour ids with distance[game names prepped[neighbour id]] = distance
             prepped neighbour ids with distance.pop(game names prepped[game id], None)
             # Sort the results.
             sorted neighbour ids with distance prepped = sorted(prepped neighbour ids with dista
             return sorted neighbour ids with distance prepped
In [35]: # Set a test game id and run the function.
         game id = 292030
         total matches = 5
         find related games(game id, total matches, False)
        Games related to: The Witcher 3: Wild Hunt
        DARK SOULSTM III: 0.92
        Rise of the Tomb Raider: 0.92
        Dying Light: 0.93
        Grand Theft Auto V: 0.93
        Fallout 4: 0.93
In [36]: # Set a test game id and run the function.
         game id = 292030
         total matches = 5
         find related games (game id, total matches, True)
        Games related to: The Witcher 3: Wild Hunt
        Fallout 4: 0.92
        DARK SOULS™ III: 0.92
        Rise of the Tomb Raider: 0.92
        DOOM: 0.93
        Dying Light: 0.93
```

distances prepped, indices prepped = kNN prepped.kneighbors(game vec reshaped preppe

The initial model above uses data from two separate datasets to return the lowest accuracies provided by each; a lower accuracy indicates less distance between each game for the KNN model. This method uses information that we can verify through our individual understanding of the games listed to reinforce whether our model is matching in a way that we think is acceptable (this step is a little more subjective since without playing the games listed, it can be difficult to determine if this is an accurate assessment). Whether the prepped data is true or false, the result set is matching. This indicates that both sets when coupled together will predict similarly and that we have a model can use for comparison.

For clarity, the game chosen, Witcher 3, is a 3D open world role-playing game where a user travels and completes various missions for money or resources. In addition to the main linear storyline, there are additional side quests to complete as well. In the five games returned above, each of the main details described for Witcher 3 are identical (3D, open world, free roam, etc.).

```
In [37]: # Create the td-idf vectorizer.
    tfidfvec = TfidfVectorizer()

# Fit the model
    tfidf_gamedesc = tfidfvec.fit_transform((df_steam_games['prepped_description_lemm'].valu
# Add cosine similarity
```

```
# functions for retrieving similar game IDs from cos sim
         indices = pd.Series(df steam games.index)
         1.1.1
In [38]:
         Create a new function to get the data by the game's descriptions.
         Returns a list of recommended games from the model.
         @param: id: id of the game to get recommendations on.
         @param: total matches: total number of matches to return.
         def get related games by desc(id, total matches):
             # Set a new dataframe to return.
             recommended games = pd.DataFrame()
             # Set the index variable.
             index = indices[indices == id].index[0]
             # Increment total matches to be 1 higher.
             total matches += 1
             # Obtain the list of cosine similiarities and set them into a series.
             similarity scores = pd.Series(cos sim[index]).sort values(ascending = False)
             top = list(similarity scores.iloc[1:total matches].index)
             recommended games['Score'] = similarity scores.iloc[1:total matches]
             # Create a new list.
             app ids = []
             # Loop over and set the top items in the list.
             for i in top:
                 app ids.append(list(df steam games.index)[i])
             recommended games['App ID'] = app ids
            print(f"Games related to: {df steam games.loc[df steam games.index == game id].Name.
             for i, val in enumerate(recommended games["App ID"]):
                 print(f"{df steam games.loc[df steam games.index == val].Name.values[0]}: {recom
In [39]: # Test the new tdidf model.
         game id = 221380
         total matches = 10
         recommended games = get related games by desc(game id, total matches)
        Games related to: Age of Empires II (2013)
        Age of Mythology: Extended Edition: 0.37
        Rise of Nations: Extended Edition: 0.37
        Spades: 0.26
        Spectre: 0.23
        Escape Legacy: Ancient Scrolls: 0.22
        DRAKERZ-Confrontation: 0.21
        Project Beril / 贝丽尔养成计划: 0.21
        Age of Empires II: Definitive Edition: 0.21
        Age of Wonders II: The Wizard's Throne: 0.21
        Akin: 0.20
In [40]: # Test the new tdidf model.
         game id = 10
         total matches = 10
         recommended games = get related games by desc(game id, total matches)
```

cos sim = cosine similarity(tfidf gamedesc, tfidf gamedesc)

```
Games related to: Counter-Strike
        Rise Of A Hero: 0.23
        Operation swat: 0.23
        BLACK CLOVER: QUARTET KNIGHTS: 0.22
        Emily: Displaced: 0.22
        Team Fortress Classic: 0.21
        Rescue Party: Live!: 0.21
        Werewolves Online: 0.21
        Firefight!: 0.20
        Audio Drive: 0.20
        Infection: 0.20
In [41]: # Test the new tdidf model.
         game id = 1271600
         total matches = 10
         recommended games = get related games by desc(game id, total matches)
        Games related to: Panzer Crew VR
        Steel Crew: 0.60
        Tank Maniacs: 0.47
        Tank Commander: Battlefield: 0.47
        Steel Armor: Blaze of War: 0.46
        Pocket Tank: 0.46
        YUT YUT: 0.45
        Tiger Tank 59 A-Gun: 0.44
        Armored Battle Crew [World War 1] - Tank Warfare and Crew Management Simulator: 0.44
        Panzer Panic VR: 0.44
        Tank Force: 0.43
```

Interpret your results

We decided that matrix factorization would be the best solution for both our content-based and collaborative filtering methods while finding a number of successful recommendations using both methods. Additionally, both methods utilized cosine distance as a measure of likeness to other games. For our collaborative model we used K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) which utilizes cosine distances as a potential metric. The distance is measured as one minus the cosine similarity, so our results had to be inverted. The lower number resulted in more similar games while the higher numbers resulted in games with greater dissimilarity.

Our content-based recommendations utilized a TFIDFVectorized matrix of game descriptions to calculate it's cosine similarity. Unlike the KNN model, we did not have to reverse our list to accommodate for distance, and solely compared similarities with the highest similarities representing the most related games. Moreover, we did not use a separate dataset to compare our results with the TFIDF model. Regardless, both models provide results that can be useful for differing purposes.

Begin to formulate a conclusion/recommendations

Our goal was to provide the best models for a number of different use cases, so we couldn't rely solely on one for each implementation. Our recommendation is that individual game pages can utilize our content-based solution — the TFIDFVectorized matrix — as this provides games that have very similar descriptions. From our results, we would often see expansions for games within our top results.

Alternatively, the collaborative solution — the KNN model — can work much more effectively as a recommendation of games on the user's homepage, often showing games that may or may not be related, but share a common purchase pattern across users.

As for recommendations given more time, we would recommend expansions for each type of model. Our collaborative filtering model could take several games and draw its similarities from them in creating personalized lists for users. Our content-based filtering model can expand it's matrices to include both genre and tag information in it's vectorized data.

Resources

Kaggle. (n.d.). All 55,000 Games on Steam (November 2022). Www.kaggle.com. Retrieved January 20, 2023, from https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/tristan581/all-55000-games-on-steam-november-2022

M., M. (2021) Steam reviews dataset 2021, Kaggle. Available at: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/najzeko/steam-reviews-2021?select=steam_reviews.csv (Accessed: December 10, 2022)

Tristan. "All 55,000 Games on Steam (November 2022)." Www.kaggle.com, www.kaggle.com/datasets/tristan581/all-55000-games-on-steam-november-2022.