

1. Since the median of an unsorted array can be found in linear time, we can find the median first, set it as the pivot and then do the quick sort with the median.

We can find median with worst-case linear time by choosing an element that divides array balancely.

array balancely.

Quick Sort (Arr, f, l)

if f > l then return

pivot = find-median (Arr)

i=f, j=l

while i<j

while aj > pivot and i<j

j=j-1

ai \iff aj

while ai = pivot and i<j

i=i+1

ai \iff aj

end while

Quick Sort (f, j-1)

Quick Sort (j+1, l)

2. (a)
$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{2}{3}) + 6n$$

 $a = 2$, $b = 3$, $f(n) = 6n$
 $n \log_3 a = n \log_3 2 = n^{0.63} \in \approx 0.37$
 $f(n) = \Omega(n) = \Omega(n^{\frac{1}{3}} a^{-1} e^{-1})$
 $2 \cdot f(\frac{n}{3}) = 2 \times 6 \times \frac{n}{3} = 4n \le c f(n)$, $c = \frac{2}{3}$
 $T(n) = \Theta(n)$

(b)
$$T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + 6n$$

 $A=2$, $b=2$, $f(n)=6n$
 $n^{43}2^{2} = n$
 $f(n) = 6n = \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^{43}6^{a})$
 $\Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{43}6^{a} | 43^{a})$
 $= \Theta(n | 43^{a})$

3. We divide the array into two subarrays of equal size, and we find the midpoint of the array A[low, ..., high], denoted as mid.

The two subarrays become A[low, ..., mid], and A [mid+1, ..., high]

We can find the maximum sub array of A[low, ..., mid], and A [mid+1, ..., high]

recursively, since both subproblems are smaller instances of the original problem.

For any subarray crossing the midpoint, it can be seen as itself made of two subarrays A[i,..., mid] and A[mid+1,..., j] (low < i < mid and mid < j < high)

Therefore, we just need to find maximum subarray of the form A[i,..., mid]

and A[mid+1,..., j] and combine them, this takes O(n).

Find-Max-SubArr (Arr, low, high)

if low = high

return Arr[low]

else mid = L(low + high)/2]

L_sum = Find - Max - SubArr (Arr, low, mid)

y-sum = Find - Max - SubArr (Arr, mid+1, high)

Cross-sum = Find - Max - SubArr (Arr, low, mid), high)

return max(max (L.sum, r.sum), cross-sum)

Analyze: Assume that the original problem size is a power of 2. T(n): the running time of finding maximum subarray of nelements. T(1)=| \Rightarrow L. sum, Y. sum

The recursive case (n>1), each subproblem size: $\frac{n}{2} \Rightarrow T(\frac{n}{2}) \times 2$ finding max cross subArr takes $\theta(n)$ $\Rightarrow T(n) = 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + \theta(n)$ $T(n) = \begin{cases} \theta(1), n=1 \\ 2T(\frac{n}{2}) + \theta(n), n>1 \end{cases}$ \Rightarrow Solve master $T(n) = \theta(n) = \theta(n)$ H. U, V: n bit binary numbers

We can divide each of the numbers into two halves with equal size (1/2)

Let the two halves be denoted UL. Up and VL, Vp.

We can do the multiplication like


$$U_L U_R$$
 $V_L V_R$ $X V_L V_R$ $X V_L V_R$ $Y_R V_R$


To multiply u and v, we can recursively multiply the four pairs of n size numbers

5. (a) False


(b) True

For example, dynamic programming can provide better solution.





- 7. Solve this with BFS, set two color Red and Blue
 - 1. Assign Red to the source vertex (A)
 - 2. Color all neighbor with Blue (BrD)
 - 3, Color all neighbors neighbor Red



Yes, this graph is 2-colorable.

If we find a node that has the same color with its neighbor, the graph is not 2-colorable.

The time complexity is O(N+e), n: # of nodes, e: # of edges.

Scanning for all neighbor node takes O(e)And we need to scan through all nodes which takes O(n)

8. Becuse A* algorithm mentioned that under certain situations, an obtained feasible solution must be optimal => stop the algorithm.

It has the termination rule that if a node selected is the goal, then it represents an optimal solution, therefore stop the algorithm.

9.

N A	1	2	3	4	5
	8	6	62	35	13
2	51	ø	43	20	7
3	40	43	8	9	22
4	6	50	43	8	8
5	42	29	1	36	8

Subtract the highlighted number from each row.

And update LB.


,	Ţ	2	<u>ક</u>	4	5
1	8	6	62	35	13
2	51	Ø	43	20	ኃ
3	40	43	8	9	22
4	6	50	43	&	8
2	42	3	ņ	36	8

\alpha	÷	1.	2	3	4	5.
	Ι.	8	0	62	35	13
Ľ	2	51	Ø	43	20	D
	ک .	40	43	8	0	22
Ľ	Ή.	0	50	43	ø,	8
Ŀ	5	42	27	D	36	B

LB= 6+7+9+6+11=39

-> reduced cost matrix

=) find the arc that will cause largest increase of LB =) arc (5,3)



(0. 000000, 100110, 001011, 101101, 010101, 110011, 011110, 111000

The cost of branch from level t-1 to level
$$t = (Y_t - (-1)^{Ct})^2$$
 $Y = (-2,1,2,-2,-3,-1)$

$$f(2) = g(2) + h(2)$$

$$= (-2 - (-1)^{0})^{2} + 6$$

$$= 15$$

$$f(3) = (-2 - (-1)^{1})^{2} + h(3)$$

$$= 1$$

$$f(s) = (-2 - (-1)^{1})^{2} + (1 - (-1)^{1})^{2} + 6$$

$$= (1)$$

$$f(b) = (-2 - (-1))^{2} + (1 - (-1)^{2})^{2} + (2 - (-1)^{2})^{2} + 5$$

$$= 15$$

$$f(1) = (-2 - (-1)^{2})^{2} + (1 - (-1)^{2})^{2} + (2 - (-1)^{2})^{2} + 5$$

$$= (9)$$

[evel 0
$$f(1) = g(1) + h(1)$$

$$= 0 + \sum_{i=1}^{6} (|r_{i}| - 1)^{2}$$

$$= 0 + (|+0+|+|+4+0)$$

$$= 7$$

closest code word