

# NJIT



New Jersey's Science &  
Technology University

***THE EDGE IN KNOWLEDGE***

# **CS 280**

# **Programming Language**

# **Concepts**

## **Expressions, Statements, Control Structures**

# Introduction

- Expressions are the fundamental means of specifying computations in a programming language
- To understand expression evaluation, need to be familiar with the orders of operator and operand evaluation
- Essence of imperative languages is dominant role of assignment statements

# Arithmetic Expressions

- Arithmetic evaluation was one of the motivations for the development of the first programming languages
- Arithmetic expressions consist of operators, operands, parentheses, and function calls

# Arithmetic Expressions: Design Issues

- Design issues for arithmetic expressions
  - Operator precedence rules?
  - Operator associativity rules?
  - Order of operand evaluation?
  - Operand evaluation side effects?
  - Operator overloading?
  - Type mixing in expressions?

# Arithmetic Expressions: Operator Precedence Rules

- The *operator precedence rules* for expression evaluation define the order in which “adjacent” operators of different precedence levels are evaluated
- Typical precedence levels
  - parentheses
  - unary operators
  - $**$  (if the language supports it)
  - $*$ ,  $/$
  - $+$ ,  $-$

# Arithmetic Expressions: Operator Associativity Rule

- The *operator associativity rules* for expression evaluation define the order in which adjacent operators with the same precedence level are evaluated
- Typical associativity rules
  - Left to right, except \*\*, which is right to left
  - Sometimes unary operators associate right to left (e.g., in FORTRAN)
- APL is different; all operators have equal precedence and all operators associate right to left
- Precedence and associativity rules can be overridden with parentheses

# Arithmetic Expressions: Conditional Expressions

- Conditional Expressions

- C-based languages (e.g., C, C++)

- An example:

- `average = (count == 0) ? 0 : sum / count`

- Evaluates as if written as follows:

- `if (count == 0)`

- `average = 0`

- `else`

- `average = sum / count`



# Arithmetic Expressions: Comma Expressions

- Comma Expressions
  - C-based languages (e.g., C, C++)
  - An example:

`average = a+b,b`

- Evaluates as if written as follows:

`a + b`

`average = b`

# Arithmetic Expressions: Operand Evaluation Order

- *Operand evaluation order*
  1. Variables: fetch the value from memory
  2. Constants: sometimes a fetch from memory; sometimes the constant is in the machine language instruction
  3. Parenthesized expressions: evaluate all operands and operators first
  4. The most interesting case is when an operand is a function call

# Arithmetic Expressions: Potentials for Side Effects

- *Functional side effects*: when a function changes a two-way parameter or a non-local variable
- Problem with functional side effects:
  - When a function referenced in an expression alters another operand of the expression; e.g., for a parameter change:

```
a = 10;
```

```
/* assume that fun changes its parameter */
```

```
b = a + fun(&a);
```

# Functional Side Effects

- Two possible solutions to the problem
  1. Write the language definition to disallow functional side effects
    - No two-way parameters in functions
    - No non-local references in functions
    - **Advantage:** it works!
    - **Disadvantage:** inflexibility of one-way parameters and lack of non-local references
  2. Write the language definition to demand that operand evaluation order be fixed
    - **Disadvantage:** limits some compiler optimizations
    - Java requires that operands appear to be evaluated in left-to-right order

# Overloaded Operators

- Use of an operator for more than one purpose is called *operator overloading*
- Some are common (e.g., + for `int` and `float`)
- Some are potential trouble (e.g., \* in C and C++)
  - Some loss of readability

# Overloaded Operators (continued)

- C++, C#, and F# allow user-defined overloaded operators
  - When sensibly used, such operators can be an aid to readability (avoid method calls, expressions appear natural)
  - Potential problems:
    - Users can define nonsense operations
    - Readability may suffer, even when the operators make sense

# Type Conversions

- A *narrowing conversion* is one that converts an object to a type that cannot include all of the values of the original type e.g., `float` to `int`
- A *widening conversion* is one in which an object is converted to a type that can include at least approximations to all of the values of the original type e.g., `int` to `float`

# Type Conversions: Mixed Mode

- A *mixed-mode expression* is one that has operands of different types
- A *coercion* is an implicit type conversion
- Disadvantage of coercions:
  - They decrease in the type error detection ability of the compiler
- In most languages, all numeric types are coerced in expressions, using widening conversions



# Explicit Type Conversions

- Called *casting* in C-based languages
- Examples
  - C: `(int) angle`
  - F#: `float(sum)`

**Note that F#'s syntax is similar to that of function calls; also applies to Python. Looks a little like a constructor**

- Some languages check some casts at runtime

# Errors in Expressions

- Causes
  - Inherent limitations of arithmetic e.g.,  
division by zero
  - Limitations of computer arithmetic e.g.,  
overflow
- Might be ignored by the run-time system
- Might be handled with an “exception”  
mechanism

# Relational and Boolean Expressions

- Relational Expressions
  - Use relational operators and operands of various types
  - Evaluate to some Boolean representation
  - Operator symbols used vary somewhat among languages  
(`!=`, `/=`, `~=`, `.NE.`, `<>`, `#`)
- JavaScript and PHP have two additional relational operator, `===` and `!==`
  - Similar to their cousins, `==` and `!=`, except that they do not coerce their operands
    - Ruby uses `==` for equality relation operator that uses coercions and `eq?` for those that do not

# Relational and Boolean Expressions

- Boolean Expressions
  - Operands are Boolean and the result is Boolean
  - Example operators
- C89 has no Boolean type--it uses `int` type with 0 for false and nonzero for true
- One odd characteristic of C's expressions:  
`a < b < c` is a legal expression, but the result is not what you might expect:
  - Left operator is evaluated, producing 0 or 1
  - The evaluation result is then compared with the third operand (i.e., `c`)

# Short Circuit Evaluation

- An expression in which the result is determined without evaluating all of the operands and/or operators
- Examples:
  - $(13 * a) * (b / 13 - 1)$ 
    - If  $a$  is zero, there is no need to evaluate  $(b/13 - 1)$
  - `x != 0 && x->method() > 10`
    - if  $x$  is null, you don't \*want\* to try to call method

# Short Circuit Evaluation

- C, C++, and Java: use short-circuit evaluation for the usual Boolean operators (`&&` and `||`), but also provide bitwise Boolean operators that are not short circuit (`&` and `|`)
- All logic operators in Ruby, Perl, ML, F#, and Python are short-circuit evaluated
- Ada: programmer can specify either (short-circuit is specified with **`and then`** and **`or else`**)
- Short-circuit evaluation exposes the potential problem of side effects in expressions  
e.g. `(a > b) || (b++ / 3)`

# Assignment Statements

- The general syntax

`<target_var> <assign_operator> <expression>`

- The assignment operator

`=` Fortran, BASIC, the C-based languages

`:=` Ada

- `=` can be trouble when it is overloaded for the relational operator for equality (that's why the C-based languages use `==` as the relational operator)

# Assignment Statements: Compound Assignment Operators

- A shorthand method of specifying a commonly needed form of assignment
- Introduced in ALGOL; adopted by C and the C-based languages
  - Example

$a = a + b$

can be written as



# Assignment Can Be An Expression

- `a = b = c = 10;` is valid
- Assignment associates right to left
- The value of an assignment expression is the value that was assigned to the left hand side of the expression
- The side effect of the assignment is changing the value of the left hand side

# Assignment as an Expression

- In the C-based languages, Perl, and JavaScript, the assignment statement produces a result and can be used as an operand

```
while ((ch = getchar()) != EOF) {...}
```

`ch = getchar()` is carried out; the result (assigned to `ch`) is used as a conditional value for the `while` statement

- Disadvantage: another kind of expression side effect

# Assignment Statements: Unary Assignment Operators

- Unary assignment operators in C-based languages combine increment and decrement operations with assignment
- Examples

`sum = ++count` (`count` incremented, then assigned to `sum`)

`sum = count++` (`count` assigned to `sum`, then incremented)

`count++` (`count` incremented)

`-count++` (`count` incremented then negated)

# Multiple Assignments

- Perl, Ruby, and Lua allow multiple-target multiple-source assignments

```
($first, $second, $third) = (20, 30, 40);
```

Also, the following is legal and performs an interchange:

```
($first, $second) = ($second, $first);
```

# Statements and Control Flow

# Control Structure

- A *control structure* is a control statement and the statements whose execution it controls
- Design question
  - Should a control structure have multiple entries?

# Selection Statements

- A *selection statement* provides the means of choosing between two or more paths of execution
- Two general categories:
  - Two-way selectors
  - Multiple-way selectors

# Two-Way Selection Statements

- General form:

```
if control_expression
    then clause
    else clause
```

- Design Issues:

- What is the form and type of the control expression?
- How are the **then** and **else** clauses specified?
- How should the meaning of nested selectors be specified?



# The Control Expression

- If the then reserved word or some other syntactic marker is not used to introduce the then clause, the control expression is placed in parentheses
- Some languages require the control expression to be parenthesized (C, C++, Java) but not all (Python, Swift)
  - NOTE: Not requiring parens can only work if there's some unambiguous way to know where the expression ends and the clause for the statement begins
- In C89, C99, Python, and C++, the control expression can be arithmetic

# Clause Form

- In many contemporary languages, the then and else clauses can be single statements or compound statements
- In Perl and Swift, all clauses must be delimited by braces
- In Fortran 95, Ada, Python, and Ruby, clauses are statement sequences
- Python uses indentation to define clauses

```
if x > y :  
    x = y  
    print "x was greater than y"
```

# Nesting Selectors

- Java example

```
if (sum == 0)
```

```
    if (count == 0)
```

```
        result = 0;
```

```
    else result = 1;
```

- Which `if` gets the `else`?
- Usual static semantics rule: `else` matches with the nearest previous `if`

# Nesting Selectors (continued)

- To force an alternative semantics, compound statements may be used:

```
if (sum == 0) {  
    if (count == 0)  
        result = 0;  
}  
else result = 1;
```

# Nesting Selectors (continued)

- Python

```
if sum == 0 :  
    if count == 0 :  
        result = 0  
    else :  
        result = 1
```

# Multiple-Way Selection Statements

- Allow the selection of one of any number of statements or statement groups
- Design Issues:
  1. What is the form and type of the control expression?
  2. How are the selectable segments specified?
  3. Is execution flow through the structure restricted to include just a single selectable segment?
  4. How are case values specified?
  5. What is done about unrepresented expression values?

# Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- C, C++, Java, and JavaScript

```
switch (expression) {  
    case const_expr1: stmt1;  
    ...  
    case const_exprn: stmtn;  
    [default: stmtn+1]  
}
```

# Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- Design choices for C's **switch** statement
  1. Control expression can be only an integer type
  2. Selectable segments can be statement sequences, blocks, or compound statements
  3. Any number of segments can be executed in one execution of the construct (*there is no implicit branch at the end of selectable segments*)
  4. **default** clause is for unrepresented values (if there is no **default**, the whole statement does nothing)



# Multiple-Way Selection: Examples

- C#
  - Differs from C in that it has a static semantics rule that disallows the implicit execution of more than one segment
  - Each selectable segment must end with an unconditional branch (**goto** or **break**)
  - Also, in C# the control expression and the case constants can be strings

# Implementing Multiple Selectors

- Approaches:
  - Multiple conditional branches
  - Store case values in a table and use a linear search of the table
  - When there are more than ten cases, a hash table of case values can be used
  - If the number of cases is small and more than half of the whole range of case values are represented, an array whose indices are the case values and whose values are the case labels can be used

# Scheme's Multiple Selector

- General form of a call to `COND`:

```
(COND
  (predicate1 expression1)
  ...
  (predicaten expressionn)
  [(ELSE expressionn+1)]
)
```

- The `ELSE` clause is optional; `ELSE` is a synonym for `true`
- Each predicate-expression pair is a parameter
- Semantics: The value of the evaluation of `COND` is the value of the expression associated with the first predicate expression that is true

# Iterative Statements

- The repeated execution of a statement or compound statement is accomplished either by iteration or recursion
- General design issues for iteration control statements:
  1. How is iteration controlled?
  2. Where is the control mechanism in the loop?

# Counter-Controlled Loops

- A counting iterative statement has a loop variable, and a means of specifying the *initial* and *terminal*, and *stepsize* values
- Design Issues:
  1. What are the type and scope of the loop variable?
  2. Should it be legal for the loop variable or loop parameters to be changed in the loop body, and if so, does the change affect loop control?
  3. Should the loop parameters be evaluated only once, or once for every iteration?

# Counter-Controlled Loops: Examples

- C-based languages

**for** ([expr\_1] ; [expr\_2] ; [expr\_3]) statement

- The expressions can be whole statements, or even statement sequences, with the statements separated by commas
  - The value of a multiple-statement expression is the value of the last statement in the expression
  - If the second expression is absent, it is an infinite loop
- Design choices:
  - There is no explicit loop variable
  - Everything can be changed in the loop
  - The first expression is evaluated once, but the other two are evaluated with each iteration
- It is legal to branch into the body of a for loop in C

# Counter-Controlled Loops: Examples

- C++ differs from C in two ways:
  1. The control expression can also be Boolean
  2. The initial expression can include variable definitions (scope is from the definition to the end of the loop body)
- Java and C#
  - Differs from C++ in that the control expression must be Boolean

# Counter-Controlled Loops: Examples

- Python  
for loop\_variable in object:
  - loop body  
[else:
  - else clause]
- The object is often a range, which is either a list of values in brackets ([2, 4, 6]), or a call to the range function (range(5), which returns 0, 1, 2, 3, 4)
- The loop variable takes on the values specified in the given range, one for each iteration



# Logically-Controlled Loops

- Repetition control is based on a Boolean expression
- Design issues:
  - Pretest or posttest?
  - Should the logically controlled loop be a special case of the counting loop statement or a separate statement?

# Logically-Controlled Loops: Examples

- C, C++ and Java have both pretest and posttest forms, in which the control expression can be arithmetic:

**while** (control\_expr) **do**

loop body

loop body

**while** (control\_expr)

- In both C and C++ it is legal to branch into the body of a logically-controlled loop
- Java is like C and C++, except the control expression must be Boolean (and the body can only be entered at the beginning -- Java has no `goto`)

# User-Located Loop Control Mechanisms

- Sometimes it is convenient for the programmers to decide a location for loop control (other than top or bottom of the loop)
- Simple design for single loops (e.g., `break`)
- Design issues for nested loops
  1. Should the conditional be part of the exit?
  2. Should control be transferable out of more than one loop?

# User-Located Loop Control Mechanisms

- C , C++, Python, Ruby, and C# have unconditional unlabeled exits (**break**)
- Java and Perl have unconditional labeled exits (**break** in Java, **last** in Perl)
- C, C++, and Python have an unlabeled control statement, **continue**, that skips the remainder of the current iteration, but does not exit the loop
- Java and Perl have labeled versions of **continue**

# Iteration Based on Data Structures

- The number of elements in a data structure controls loop iteration
- Control mechanism is a call to an *iterator* function that returns the next element in some chosen order, if there is one; else loop is terminate
- C's **for** can be used to build a user-defined iterator:

```
for (p=root; p!=NULL; traverse(p)) {  
    ...  
}
```

# Iteration Based on Data Structures (continued)

- **PHP**

- `current` points at one element of the array
- `next` moves `current` to the next element
- `reset` moves `current` to the first element

- **Java 5.0 uses `foreach`**

For arrays and any other class that implements the `Iterable` interface, e.g., `ArrayList`

```
for (String myElement : myList) { ... }
```

- **C++11 supports this form as well**

# Iteration Based on Data Structures (continued)

- C# and F# (and the other .NET languages) have generic library classes, like Java 5.0 (for arrays, lists, stacks, and queues). Can iterate over these with the `foreach` statement. User-defined collections can implement the `IEnumerator` interface and also use `foreach`.

```
List<String> names = new List<String>();  
names.Add("Bob");  
names.Add("Carol");  
names.Add("Ted");  
foreach (Strings name in names)  
    Console.WriteLine ("Name: {0}", name);
```

# Unconditional Branching

- Transfers execution control to a specified place in the program
- Represented one of the most heated debates in 1960's and 1970's
- Major concern: Readability
- Some languages do not support `goto` statement (e.g., Java)
- C# offers `goto` statement (can be used in `switch` statements)
- Loop exit statements are restricted and somewhat camouflaged `goto`'s



NJIT

*THE EDGE IN KNOWLEDGE*