## **Appendix C. Lagrange Multipliers**

Lagrange multipliers, also sometimes called undetermined multipliers, are used to find the stationary points of a function of several variables subject to one or more constraints.

Consider the problem of finding the maximum of a function  $f(x_1, x_2)$  subject to a constraint relating  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , which we write in the form

$$g(x_1, x_2) = 0. (C.1)$$

One approach would be to solve the constraint equation (C.1) and thus express  $x_2$  as a function of  $x_1$  in the form  $x_2 = h(x_1)$ . This can then be substituted into  $f(x_1, x_2)$  to give a function of  $x_1$  alone of the form  $f(x_1, h(x_1))$ . The maximum with respect to  $x_1$  could then be found by differentiation in the usual way, to give the stationary value  $x_1^*$ , with the corresponding value of  $x_2$  given by  $x_2^* = h(x_1^*)$ .

One problem with this approach is that it may be difficult to find an analytic solution of the constraint equation that allows  $x_2$  to be expressed as an explicit function of  $x_1$ . Also, this approach treats  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  differently and so spoils the natural symmetry between these variables.

A more elegant, and often simpler, approach introduces a parameter  $\lambda$  called a Lagrange multiplier. We shall motivate this technique from a geometrical perspective. Consider a D-dimensional variable  $\mathbf x$  with components  $x_1,\dots,x_D$ . The constraint equation  $g(\mathbf x)=0$  then represents a (D-1)-dimensional surface in  $\mathbf x$ -space as indicated in Figure C.1.

First note that at any point on the constraint surface, the gradient  $\nabla g(\mathbf{x})$  of the constraint function is orthogonal to the surface. To see this, consider a point  $\mathbf{x}$  that lies on the constraint surface along with a nearby point  $\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  that also lies on the surface. If we make a Taylor expansion around  $\mathbf{x}$ , we have

$$g(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) \simeq g(\mathbf{x}) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\mathrm{T}} \nabla g(\mathbf{x}).$$
 (C.2)

Because both  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  lie on the constraint surface, we have  $g(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon})$  and hence  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T \nabla g(\mathbf{x}) \simeq 0$ . In the limit  $\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\| \to 0$ , we have  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T \nabla g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , and because  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is