

Model

Objective

Dataset

Issues

Alternative Idea 1

Brief other

url

Here is some text¹

Idea:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{v} &= \text{MLP}(\mathbf{z}_e) && \text{(Reaction embeddings to flux vector)} \\
 \text{fitness} &= \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{v} && \text{(Predicted fitness from flux vector)} \\
 \mathcal{L}_{\text{null}} &= \|\mathbf{S}\mathbf{v}\|_2^2 && \text{(Null-space constraint loss)} \\
 \mathcal{L}_{\text{pFBA}} &= \|\mathbf{v}\|_1 && \text{(Parsimonious flux balance objective)} \\
 \mathcal{L}_{\text{fitness}} &= (\mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{v} - \text{fitness})^2 && \text{(Fitness constraint loss)} \\
 \mathcal{L}_{\text{total}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{pFBA}} + \lambda_{\text{null}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{null}} + \lambda_{\text{fitness}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{fitness}} && \text{(Total PINN loss)}
 \end{aligned}$$

- \mathbf{z}_e is the reaction embedding vector mapped via MLP to the flux vector \mathbf{v} .
- \mathbf{w} is a binary vector indicating the biomass pseudoreaction.
- **fitness** is the experimentally measured fitness (growth ratio mutant/wildtype).
- \mathbf{S} is the stoichiometric matrix enforcing the null-space constraint.

Brief of Other Attempts

If you think that these directions are interesting I can will expand on them. For brevity I just highlight my findings.

References

1. Corso, G., Stark, H., Jegelka, S., Jaakkola, T. & Barzilay, R. Graph neural networks. *Nat Rev Methods Primers* **4**, 1–13 (2024).