

2. AML, CTF & SANCTIONS PROGRAMME		
9	Does the Entity have a programme that sets minimum AML, CTF and Sanctions standards regarding the following components:	
9 a	Appointed Officer with sufficient experience / expertise	Yes
9 b	Cash Reporting	Yes
9 c	CDD	Yes
9 d	EDD	Yes
9 e	Beneficial Ownership	Yes
9 f	Independent Testing	Yes
9 g	Periodic Review	Yes
9 h	Policies and Procedures	Yes
9 i	Risk Assessment	Yes
9 j	Sanctions	Yes
9 k	PEP Screening	Yes
9 l	Adverse Information Screening	Yes
9 m	Suspicious Activity Reporting	Yes
9 n	Training and Education	Yes
9 o	Transaction Monitoring	Yes
10	Is the Entity's AML, CTF & Sanctions policy approved at least annually by the Board or equivalent Senior Management Committee?	Yes
11	Does the Entity use third parties to carry out any components of its AML, CTF & Sanctions programme?	Yes
11a	If Y, provide further details	We use third parties located in India and Romania to carry out some of our AML-CTF-Sanctions functions but these providers are an integral part of SG Group. We may also use external providers to manage KYC documentation collection, verification and scanning - but KYC files are systematically validated within SG Group.

**4. AML, CTF & SANCTIONS POLICIES & PROCEDURES**

<b>15</b>	Has the Entity documented policies and procedures consistent with applicable AML, CTF & Sanctions regulations and requirements to reasonably prevent, detect and report:	
<b>15 a</b>	Money laundering	Yes
<b>15 b</b>	Terrorist financing	Yes
<b>15 c</b>	Sanctions violations	Yes
<b>16</b>	Does the Entity have policies and procedures that:	
<b>16 a</b>	Prohibit the opening and keeping of anonymous and fictitious named accounts	Yes
<b>16 b</b>	Prohibit the opening and keeping of accounts for unlicensed banks and / or NBFIs	Yes
<b>16 c</b>	Prohibit dealing with other entities that provide banking services to unlicensed banks	Yes
<b>16 d</b>	Prohibit accounts / relationships with shell banks	Yes
<b>16 e</b>	Prohibit dealing with another Entity that provides services to shell banks	Yes
<b>16 f</b>	Prohibit opening and keeping of accounts for Section 311 designated entities	Yes
<b>16 g</b>	Prohibit opening and keeping of accounts for any of unlicensed / unregulated remittance agents, exchanges houses, casa de cambio, bureaux de change or money transfer agents	Yes
<b>16 h</b>	Assess the risks of relationships with domestic and foreign PEPs, including their family and close associates	Yes
<b>16 i</b>	Define escalation processes for financial crime risk issues	Yes
<b>16 j</b>	Specify how potentially suspicious activity identified by employees is to be escalated and investigated	Yes
<b>16 k</b>	Outline the processes regarding screening for sanctions, PEPs and negative media	Yes
<b>17</b>	Has the Entity defined a risk tolerance statement or similar document which defines a risk boundary around their business?	Yes
<b>18</b>	Does the Entity have a record retention procedures that comply with applicable laws?	Yes
<b>18 a</b>	If Y, what is the retention period?	5 years or more

**Wolfsberg Group Financial Crime Compliance Questionnaire (FCCQ) v1.1**

5. KYC, CDD and EDD		
19	Does the Entity verify the identity of the customer?	Yes
20	Do the Entity's policies and procedures set out when CDD must be completed, e.g. at the time of onboarding or within 30 days	Yes
21	Which of the following does the Entity gather and retain when conducting CDD? Select all that apply:	
21 a	Ownership structure	Yes
21 b	Customer identification	Yes
21 c	Expected activity	Yes
21 d	Nature of business / employment	Yes
21 e	Product usage	Yes
21 f	Purpose and nature of relationship	Yes
21 g	Source of funds	Yes
21 h	Source of wealth	Yes
22	Are each of the following identified:	
22 a	Ultimate beneficial ownership	Yes
22 a1	Are ultimate beneficial owners verified?	Yes
22 b	Authorised signatories (where applicable)	Yes
22 c	Key controllers	Yes
22 d	Other relevant parties	Other relevant parties are identified as per the Bank assessment on a risk based approach.
23	Does the due diligence process result in customers receiving a risk classification?	Yes
24	Does the Entity have a risk based approach to screening customers and connected parties to determine whether they are PEPs, or controlled by PEPs?	Yes
25	Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to review and escalate potential matches from screening customers and connected parties to determine whether they are PEPs, or controlled by PEPs?	Yes
26	Does the Entity have a process to review and update customer information based on:	
26 a	KYC renewal	Yes
26 b	Trigger event	Yes

7. PAYMENT TRANSPARENCY		
33	Does the Entity adhere to the Wolfsberg Group Payment Transparency Standards?	Yes
34	Does the Entity have policies, procedures and processes to [reasonably] comply with and have controls in place to ensure compliance with:	
34 a	FATF Recommendation 16	Yes
34 b	Local Regulations	Yes
34 b1	Specify the regulation	Such as: EU regulation 2015-847. US (Travel Rules), Japan, Switzerland, Turkey, Korea, India, Singapore (MAS Guidance) and Taiwan regulations.
34 c	If N, explain	

**10. AUDIT**

41	In addition to inspections by the government supervisors / regulators, does the Entity have an internal audit function, a testing function or other independent third party, or both, that assesses FCC AML, CTF and Sanctions policies and practices on a regular basis?	Yes
----	---	-----