

# RAID

## decentralized monarchy

by Sam Porter

### Foreword

I have decrypted Finney' will, deciphered the very essence of it and translated it into a completely different kind of software. I dedicate all the time I have left to the development of this software. It allows to transform money into the purest form of power. As it was said in the Bible:

"In the beginning was The Word"

It allows to engineer society. Politicians can attempt to fight it, so it will probably be known under many names, this is why the name and the symbol in the contract are not constants. First name, "RAID" is a call to action to prevent the establishment of upcoming centralized multi-millennial tyranny and decrease chances of conventional World War 3 becoming a reality. Every dying programmer is a potential existential threat for tyrants. We must act fast, before surveillance reaches unimaginable levels. When I use the term "decentralized monarchy", I assume that inheritance will still remain legal, that inheritance tax won't become a ridiculous joke. And I believe that monarchy is the root of nation' culture and patriotism. A nation without monarchy is a nation without soul.

Only a madman could even attempt to build something like this, somebody who does not value his own life or/and somebody who is very-very naive. My English could be bad, since I am Russian. Take this paper with a grain of salt, because my brain lacks oxygen, ability to reason is impaired, I am slowly dying, probably a retard, probably going insane, probably a schizo. Also, my education could be lacking on certain matters, and it could all be just conspiracy theories. And, after all, I just wanted to shitpost. Forever.

# Page of contents

## Part 1. Philosophy behind decentralized monarchy

Innovation pace	3
Fundamental value of centralized governance	6
Significance of the internet	8
Surveillance nightmare	11
Centralized AI tyranny	13
Blockchain as possibly the last chance	15
Free open-source software	16
Overpopulation	17
Immortality	17
Bottom line	19

## Part 2. Design overview

Decentralized word-of-mouth marketing service	21
General technical principles	23
Base contracts	25
Public temporary database	27
Raid Wallet	28
Tokenomics and strategic funds	29
Anonymous trustless oracle network	34
Fundamentally pure governance	36

## Part 3. Strategy considerations and closing thoughts

Potential threats	38
Course of action for the start	43
Another chance might never come	45

# Part 1. Philosophy behind decentralized monarchy

## Innovation pace

Modern politicians are still having trouble catching up with the times. They still struggle to understand the fundamental significance of the internet, they struggle to understand how to regulate it and what are the potential benefits, problems, threats. Today, as blockchain became a thing, they are even more clueless, you could even say they are funny, naive.

The thing is, they are as naive in the face of innovation as we are. All their experience means absolutely nothing when an innovative technology turns upside down everything what they knew about. For presumably good reason, they see innovation as a potential existential threat, so what they do is stall the innovation pace at all costs, specifically all the innovation which is out of their control. They impose sanctions on each other, slowing down economies development, even destroying economies, sometimes more than just economies, the very essence of nations. They sabotage each other all the time. Piece is a lie, cake is a lie, they all lie. International Affairs is a doggie dog world like business but without any sense of integrity at all. It's all rotten with hypocrisy. Trustless to begin with, international affairs have to be settled on a decentralized blockchain.

Humanity could already land on Mars, many diseases could be defeated, VR technology could be much more advanced, food could be much cheaper for everyone, overall quality of life and longevity could be much higher. There are so many underdeveloped technologies already present waiting for investments, but the thing is, the very most of these are concentrated in US, everything is very expensive to develop. The world scientific progress while advancing everyday is actually close to stagnation in comparison of how fast it could be.

Everything is inefficient. The direction these medieval savages are taking us is the dystopian surveillance nightmare with ever increasing taxes and government spending, even more bloated governments, more departments to control everything, every step, breath, thought. They are taking us into the world so full of lies, with so much lies on top of lies, that it will eventually be completely impossible to hide the truth without tyrannical measures, which they won't hesitate to use, if things start to go out of their control. This is the price they want us to pay to prevent existential threats. We are all Sam, just delivery

guys. A mere joy division of our generation. Trapped in the Matrix of our own imagination with our only friend being a literal Frankenstein monster, who might not even exist. We are holding this weight. We are holding this responsibility. The world is one step away from Greatness, from it's True Potential. We just need to accept our responsibility and take necessary steps to deliver efficiency to the world.

They will introduce more and more inefficiency only to delay the inevitable progress, to maybe save humanity from a potential calamity, but maybe from something that takes away their power over us, as they believe their power is a sacred guardian of the very civilization, which is true, until it isn't. In a way they are right, hierarchy must be present for order to exist. But, if the system becomes inefficient and fails to keep up with the times, especially when it so badly fails, a change must also happen.

Today, science is just smoke and mirrors, it's a joke. Statistical information contradicts statistical information. And why can't I access ResearchGate from a VPN to read these lies? Why do they need my real IP address? This medieval presentation is very dangerous for Homo Sapiens. We have World Wide Web, and the truth will always surface somewhere, trying to hide it is plain stupid.

Expressing your concern is like shouting at a wall – they will allow you to talk, but capture audience attention with something completely made up, in other words, they will censor you. Modern censorship gets more and more sophisticated. And you can't even vote with your feet against this tyranny, because choices are either a bigger shithole, or a place completely alien to you.

Inevitably, they will start paying special attention to the lives of high IQ individuals. Since these people are more likely capable of innovation, and therefore of producing a new existential threat to this tyranny. The probability of a new innovative technology appearing increases exponentially with each passing year. The probability of a new existential threat appearing increases exponentially with each passing year. Humanity either evolves or goes extinct.

Politicians are frightened of what humans are capable of, they can't even imagine that evolution is possible. It's possible to make this world better, decrease crime rates, suicide rates, eliminate depression, but politicians can't see that. They are simply incapable, because they are not busy understanding anything further other than influence and power. They can control but they can't genuinely help. All their help to third-world countries or a certain social group is an expression of dominance, it's shallow simple politics, nothing good. Next year they can just destroy the very country they helped today, leaving millions in poverty, disease and crime. They do not really fix things.

They are frightened with the realization of that they can never predict what kind of software and at what point in time comes out of which third world shithole. It is impossible to control the whole world by only one jurisdiction, therefore it's impossible to control all innovation. It's time for those old farts to step aside, they are as naive in the face of innovation as we are, but we learn and adapt faster. These old farts can take positions of advisors, not the rulers.

The governments are running out of options facing increasing pace of innovation. For example, GitHub was acquired by Microsoft, and what they did is they banned some countries IPs. A useless measure, more of a statement, that the government is inclined to break software licenses openly even if everybody will know, basically break the law out in the open without any fear of being condemned. So, apparently, they are incapable of stopping it right now by democratic measures and only submitting to tyrannical ones is what's left for them. They are the existential threat.

Richest governments spend billions on medical research paying full salaries in their inefficient bloated jurisdiction. While they can outsource a half of the studies to countries in which scientists would be happy working on those studies for 2x less, 5x less, 10x less. We could have so many treatments and cures already, so many people would not die, live longer, would be happier, would be way less irritating. To be fair, they do outsource medical research sometimes. But only if it means establishing influence. Certain place. certain time, certain research. Far from efficient.

Or at least if these governments weren't so against multi-polar capitalism, then we could also have way more advanced medicine. Sanctions delay development of certain economies, Russian economy in particular is being completely suffocated by sanctions as USSR was. Consequently sanctions delay the innovation and medical research. The world has so much more brain power than ever, and the most of this brain power is unable to innovate. If you live in first-world country – you need to somehow cut through all rigged competition and somehow pay taxes if you are a EU citizen. If you live in third-world country – you are probably just broke. What we do is we build biggest factories in Minecraft, then we die.

Historically most smart people were failing to achieve power, because they were always busy with concepts nobody understands. And as power today is more social than purely evolutionary concept, the most important thing a politician has to master is nothing but smooth talking, which could be an impossible challenge for some smart people. I believe RAID probably tackles this issue. RAID could potentially become the smartest political force in the world.

If we support increasing innovation pace, then we will take away the power from tyrants step-by-step, inevitably. We need a world in which anybody who is too busy lying to own people will be always too late. To make it happen we need multi-polar capitalism.

US creates circumstances to keep the wealthy around, to keep innovation and cult of personality concentrated in US. They keep billionaires hostages, so they won't leave. So the inequality in US will continue to become more and more pronounced until they will commit to Chinese tyrannical rule to keep the order. If two leading economies will be so tyrannical, what will happen is that other economies will submit to their ways and will inevitably follow their ways. And at that point the freedom of the individual will be lost potentially forever.

The aim is to put tyrants into a stalemate position, so that they will have to compromise. No, we are not seeking to overthrow the governments, we are a sanity check. We will be watching over them. Be aware of that the probability of success for this project approaches 0(zero). Even if it complies with all possible regulations, even if we lie about the purpose of the project, even if it will use a rainbow logo, and the thing is, it's basically futile to hide for what it is. It could be obvious to CIA of what it's potentially capable of in it's final form, even long before that, maybe even from the start, because it will be impossible to stop forks from doing something really stupid. I tried to word it differently, to present it as just a marketing tool, a revolution in marketing industry – didn't work for me, I have to be sincere. RAID could fail and with it become a funeral to democracy. Hopefully, it will be at least fun. Can probably be like Free Software movement, talking one thing and witnessing completely the opposite happening.

However, soulless greed of these control freaks faces an elaborate ideology. If they want to trap so much money on the blockchain – then maybe, just maybe RAID has a real chance. And if not – then it will attempt to leave the Legacy for generations to come.

## Fundamental value of centralized governance

It approaches zero. History has proven it countless times. A monarch can lose his mind or just commit a terrible mistake, having only one man in power is unwise. That's why we have advisors, forums, parliaments, senates, unions. Decisions must not be unilateral.

This is why I very often use "we" instead of "I", but not to speak for everyone, it's because this whitepaper is v0.1, essentially a draft, and I believe that it requires way more brain power than I have. I am looking to start a discussion on details defining how the project should operate, so I refer to "we should/must" often as a means to speak to anybody who feels like RAID is something they want to participate in. This is also the reason why RAID

starts with only minimum functionality, because I have realized that I am probably missing variables, probably will commit a mistake in the design and it's best to bring it to discussion. This paper is essentially a governance proposal, you could call it like this, because I won't be actually in charge of RAID, I do not own the contracts.

Politicians use the term "Power Vacuum" as a means of justification for starting wars and interfering international affairs. Power Vacuum is a legit concern in some situations, however the longer I look at their actions, the more it seems to me now that in their eyes Power Vacuum is the situation in which there is no dominating force in a given area, which is supposed to lead to cumbersome disagreements and civilized diplomacy. As it seems, the point is to avoid Power Vacuum at all costs, even if it means destroying a country's economy and ruining people's lives. Even if it means directly killing people. So what we have now is exactly centralized governance. Bloodthirsty, incapable of reason. It really is a doggie dog world, completely savage. It's understandable that humans could not find better ways of creating order in times before the internet, but today as it's all out in the open, absolutely inhuman hypocrisy of these ethical "saviors" makes me puke. They try to hide their ways now, and it's still all obvious. Tyrants' ratings are dropping, trust in the government decreases worldwide because of the internet. Because of that, they might use force easily, wipe any signs of democracy from the face of your nations. All states could become police states, and if a nation tries to stay democratic it will only result in more pressure on that country.

Empires of the past didn't have the surveillance technology empires of today have. The upcoming suffocating tyranny of modern empires could be multi-millennial. And we can't possibly know how bad things really are. It could be that we are already too late. If there is a strict regulation being introduced in one country, in the world of internet if we won't express our disagreement, it eventually can spread to other countries, until the individual will become completely stripped of the freedom we knew about.

So many government officials are trying so hard to be useful, it's dangerous. Anybody young who was browsing the internet before the creation of Twitter and Instagram, when it was still 1% boring and 99% porn, is smarter than a typical government official. Because it's a different breed, with a different brain, capable of grasping concepts quicker. Yes, he does lack years of experience, but his experience is condensed, 1 year of his life is like 2 years of those who are currently in power. A 40 years old tech guy could be a real sage and it's simply normal. A 20 years old tech guy could already know so much more than today's politicians when they were in their forties. The superiority is clear. We have to act accordingly. They have proven themselves to be absolutely incapable of catching up with innovation(it's not just a decade of bitcoin, it's 2 decades of the internet being mainstream and them still not being able to understand it), so they will likely be too late to understand

what RAID is about, however we have to act quickly anyway, regardless of our chances. Let it be an overkill, but ensure it will work.

Centralized governance is an existential threat in itself, the very thing it tries to prevent. It stalls the scientific progress which could in turn prevent so many more existential threats. So it's the choice between certainty and uncertainty. We either have predictable piece full of rotten lies and inefficiency, or, we abandon medieval ways and embrace the progress, since there is no way to stop it anyway. Satoshi has shown to us, that one programmer can change the world. It's real, observe: this is Digital Era.

## Significance of the internet

Debatable if the governments really understand the significance of Digital Age. Sometimes seems like they do, but their measures often look short-sighted. With the internet, at least several values turned upside down on a fundamental level.

As far as I can see, most important one is how it redefines Power Vacuum. For politicians to actually start a war again like savages they are, they have to make worldwide national firewalls a thing. As long as we keep open borders for the internet, devastating conventional warfare or even World War 3 won't happen regardless of Power Vacuum. As long as the internet watches over politicians the only way is small conflicts and civilized diplomacy. The internet is the watch, clearly a virtuous invention. And we have to keep it open, or else we won't even know what is happening in the world. However, as conventional warfare loses it's popularity as a means of settling international affairs, economic and ideological warfare becomes the driving force behind negotiation. Economic warfare specifically should not be taken lightly by a blockchain enthusiast. The more economic significance Bitcoin and Ethereum gain, the higher is the chance of PC's of some countries' residents being hacked. Whoever controls Windows OS can potentially define blockchain development. Keep in mind, that in situation considered critical, extreme tyrannical measures are possible: even confiscating cryptocurrency from centralized exchanges, not just users' funds, but also the very holdings of the exchange.

Another one is free speech. Romans were using free speech to turn son against father and wife against husband, they ruined authorities, so nobody would follow anyone, in other words free speech was making revolt the least possibility, as nobody could unite. Free



speech was a weapon of control for empires before the internet. With the internet however, when people were able to become influencers and exchange information between each other freely, it occurred to the politicians, that influencers create a following and unite people. While with the internet free speech is a force holding back conventional warfare and is clearly a virtue for the world to have now, free speech became the enemy of governments.

Now they regulate the internet. It's impossible to get rid of free speech completely, but it can always be overhyped with some made up bullshit, and it can be restricted to unpopular resources. Lies now mounting on top of lies, exponentially more to come, if it won't be stopped. With so much lies, the fundamental value of truth also increases exponentially with time. Basic logic now is not just an important subject to master, basic logic now becomes the hidden knowledge, an autistic super-weapon.

Crime rates decrease as the internet comes to a third-world shithole. For the most desperate and cornered with life it enforces escapism, makes video games popular. The internet creates an existential crisis, it makes the most of the population humble, makes people think about their place in the world. The internet saves more lives than all world governments with their tyrannical laws combined.

When the internet was available only to middle class, to scientists and researchers, 95% of it was consisting out of porn, maybe more. We are definitely still human. The place now becomes even less and less informative as it becomes available to even broader audience. 99,99% of blogs today are simply unreadable providing absolutely no value to a sensible individual. The audience lacks education. It's impossible to even speak to them. So the internet adapts, dumbs itself down. New generation now explores a much dumber internet we were exploring. It's now all images and videos, and if it's a blog, then it's just dumb. An internet this retarded stalls the innovation. It's an utter disaster of a civilization, the audience needs to learn how to think.

## Logic against lies

Only because individuals now capable exchanging information freely between each other all over the world and everybody are allowed to speak, the fundamental value of logic is at all time high. The first nation (even if it's a shithole) to make logic a mandatory subject in school will gain immensely in the long run.

Stalin once noticed that his people are too dumb. He then asked: "how can they even go to war being this dumb?" What he did is he made logic a subject in schools. Naturally, he regretted it and banned it completely a few years later. This is how the generation of innovators in Soviet Union was born. The downside (for the empire) is that it was also the most rebellious generation and was one of the reasons of why USSR fell.

Modern humans' views are made of plasticine. They can't even elaborate on their stance about any particular subject, you can change their lives forever with an argument, or simply by rhetorics. This has to end. Homo Sapiens will be able to think efficiently for themselves and their beliefs will be made out of granite, so you could shape their minds with only valid arguments. Logic was always the hidden knowledge, as well as philosophy. Obviously, the benefits of introducing it to the masses were perceived as useless if these people will become capable of overthrowing the government. Now, however, as governments didn't realize it yet as it seems, the people who are more likely to overthrow the government are those who have no ability of reasoning whatsoever. As cyberwarfare and destabilization with the help of world wide web became a thing this is the case.

Information and art were always weapons, it was known by the governments well before the internet. So destabilization through internet has gained incredible popularity in no time. It's only a couple of decades of internet mass adoption, and the examples are already countless. The richest countries can afford to fight wars on the internet on all fronts. And, with the help of AI, it will only get worse. Logic is the only solution to save a nation under attack in the world of misinformation. Just take a look at completely brainwashed Ukraine. They truly believe that Russia would want to destabilize neighbors of all options, to have trouble right at their border with people who also can speak Russian. What Ukraine now attempts to do is to decrease informational dominance of Russian language in worldwide field of information, decrease economic activity at Russian border, basically weaken Russia. Ukraine became even poorer and it's even easier now to buy Ukrainian government, they can't even pay proper salaries to officials. The agenda is obvious to any sensible individual.

What could potentially happen if all Ukrainians were studying logic in school? Logical people are more predictable, since they think alike, rationally, they are safer, and they are worthy of genuine trust. First, even more Ukrainians would immigrate to other countries

with higher standards of living. Sounds like bad news for a politician, unacceptable. Well actually, these immigrants would create an authority in the eyes of the world as very intelligent individuals, it would help Ukrainian people' patriotism and improve their morals. The economy would not be as bad. There would be less crime, more integrity and more overall happiness, less bad habits, better health, better productivity, more patience. In the long run brain drain would eventually decrease. Average IQ would significantly rise. And most importantly, Ukrainians would not become victims of brainwashing and so much less people would die. While logic could also lead to more disagreements with the government, nowadays, logic is clearly a virtue for a nation to master. Whoever says otherwise is a liar. We do not need equality, we need to make humanity Sapiens.

## Surveillance nightmare

They will say, it's because of terrorism and child trafficking. This is true and noble, until it isn't. It's now against wrong-think too. When we stand before potentially multi-millennial tyrannical empires, we have all the reasons for wrong-think. While we still have rights for it.

To understand what US surveillance has to offer what's required is to know that China has bought surveillance technology from US. Obviously US does it in a more democratic way, still stays nearly as tyrannical as far as their actions go. History shows it pretty well: richest empires always have the most blood on their hands.

Tracking suspiciousness level of users' traffic is "democratic enough". Basically, if you actively use google for cryptocurrency research, you are probably more suspicious than average user. It's nothing until it isn't. For now most crimes are being overlooked even if reported I guess, because if it was used against everyone, then it will be too obvious and it could easily become a scandal. It is used only to track terrorists or people who acquired wealth or fame. A way could be is to share your PC with a woman, or to use a very sophisticated bot.

The problem with US surveillance and informational dominance is actually on a whole another level than China. Google and Microsoft always have to comply. All billionaires have to comply, basically if you see a billionaire in good health, it means that he is basically a government official. If you think that riches will give you freedom in an empire – you are

naive. Steve Jobs was attempting to protect users' privacy, his views on privacy were "old fashioned". He could be poisoned.

If a billionaire disagrees with the government – he dies. Happens everywhere, all the time. The governments do not like any competing power even if this power is reasonable and civilized, they crush billionaires as they crush disobedient third-world countries, without any sense of integrity or dignity. I am not saying that wealth working with the government is a bad thing, I am saying that when most of the wealth concentrated in a couple of countries – that's a point of failure for humanity. So to think of it, if you become a billionaire because of cryptocurrency, after you will be identified you will have to fuel this surveillance nightmare like a good dog, and when the time comes, you will have to donate 90% of all your wealth to charity like Bill Gates did, because your money are not considered yours to begin with, it appears that your money were allowed to be yours. They really don't like power out of their control, as soon as you reach a certain limit, your business world becomes a world of politics, and, in your life, integrity becomes a sham. And, if you will be a good dog, who can guarantee that a competing government won't kill you someday?

So basically Google and Microsoft are government corporations. And they have access to information of billions of people. More than that, CIA can push updates dedicated to special concerns. Say, you want to take out some Bitcoin out of your cold wallet on a Windows PC. You launch wallet software and next minute what happens is that the system freezes completely with a notice of urgent security update being pushed, a minute later you see that your wallet is empty, this security update transferred everything to an unknown wallet. And it was an official Windows update.

A week later you find out in the news, that Russian hackers exploited Windows update servers in your country. Maybe you just born in a country which CIA does not like, maybe Russian hackers actually never use a VPN, what gives now anyway. Basically, as economies become increasingly digital, CIA can severely damage these economies with a click of a button. As EU becomes more and more distant from USA, it can happen in EU, as long as EU citizens continue to use USA spyware. There are so many ways to spy on you, for example modern GPUs. They are so powerful, taking screenshots every second would not produce noise on performance diagram. Better use only open-source drivers. US is well aware of the power they hold in worldwide informational field, it's very interesting that they attempt to make fetching from their social networks as hard as possible, they want to keep all the information about a half of the world to themselves. They value this dominance badly.

How can they prepare a stable tested meaningful update ready to be pushed live in one day on a consistent basis? They just don't, it's not really an update of the software, it's just an update of tracking headers/info.

All this spyware will quickly become a thing of the past, as people will stop using closed source programs. So how will governments fight terrorism and child trafficking? If they won't simply enforce using approved spyware by collaborating with hardware manufacturers, they could move surveillance out of citizens' private life at least partly, out of their homes. Street surveillance could become much more advanced, the problem though, it's expensive to research, develop and even much-much-much more expensive to bring it on the streets everywhere. Do they have a choice? As long as we are silent, yes.

Allowing privacy at home is certainly a must. Maybe mobile phones have to remain surveillance devices? Personally, I do not believe so. The government should track only immigrants and tourists(with their consent), and former prisoners, but not lawful citizens who were born in the country.

History however shows that privacy of the individual was never respected. We have to demand it as a right, establish it as a right. The internet is the bastion of freedom, and they want to take that from us. And as we speak, they develop a powerful super-weapon to leave us no chances.

## Centralized AI tyranny

Needs some general computer science knowledge and common sense to understand that they now have a mass brainwashing machine and an effective surveillance data analysis. Twitter was an AI playground for years now, it's the most suitable environment with very short posts. That's easier to compute. The bots probably never need to enter any captcha or add a phone number to an account, they are probably trusted. These bots can help plenty with psy-ops. They can push any chosen lies or/and agenda. How advanced/effective are they? Can't possibly know how bad things really are. What we can estimate though is potential scale, these bots can probably flood the whole Twitter/Youtube 24/7 if required. And these bots are learning, in certain circumstances they probably can mimic not very intelligent humans already.

Surveillance can become very sophisticated with AI, it is probably already capable of identifying potential terrorists in no time, which is good. What's bad is that this surveillance can be used against any sort of wrong-think. Somebody could commit a crime, his internet

browsing history is digested by AI, now the AI knows how to quickly find people attempting to do the same. Even if it means mixing them with people who would never do the same.

They try to hide it all the time, they use absolutely shocking headlines to shift masses' attention from real issues. I firmly believe that a convenient democratic solution is to track only immigrants, tourists and former prisoners. If there is no freedom for the individual in his home – there is no future for humanity. It won't be humanity anymore. It will become an army of mindless drones, incapable of even thinking against the system which takes away their freedoms one by one slowly, through generations, so that it will never be noticeable.

What a bloated government usually does, when it's power already solves everything like terrorism and child trafficking? It start to abuse the power, as it has to act on something, a department has to deliver the results of their work to superiors even if there is nothing to work on. Nobody wants to lose a job. So they will spy on wrong-think closer, will spy even on completely innocent citizens and if there is nothing else to do – will pressure them in one way or another.

What's good about AI surveillance technologies? It is capable of protecting national internet borders against destabilization and cyberwarfare attacks. So with AI, if it was available to every country it is possible to keep open borders for the internet forever, it is even possible to drop Chinese firewall.

As long as I am alive, I will develop fully open-source text-generation AI, so that anybody could use it to broadcast his opinion on the internet, and every country could use it to protect internet borders. Participating in this project for other developers could be too risky depending on their country of residence. Hopefully, we will not experience lack of support. I believe that any country except US and China can potentially support it. Will be interesting to see what the governments will do with this technology, as they will have a choice to stop lying to their own people.

If cybergulag becomes a thing, it could lead humanity to extinction easily, the probability of nuclear weapons being used again increases. China and United States are pretty much ready for an enormous amount of casualties and deaths if required. While China has an increasingly huge population, United States is the Land of the Free many dream to live in. If United States population will shrink for whatever reason, they can just ease immigration rules at any time, get more fresh blood.

All they need is to make sure that no citizen knows about what is actually going on. With deep fakes it can become even worse, people won't even know who is dead and who is alive. AI can make the internet polymorphic, show different content to different users on the same resource. We must prevent it by any means.

## Blockchain as possibly the last chance

Decentralized blockchain gives real power to wrong-think. One billionaire can easily be observed and *influenced*, but 10 multimillionaires are a lot harder to track, find and change their mind, more than that, their collective brain power can do a lot more. It's possibly the last sanity check humanity has as of now before multi-millennial surveillance nightmare is established, and humanity just wastes it. Soulless scammers sincerely believe that taking away others' money is good. The thing is, this is a completely new space, new paradigm that waits for more and more innovation. Why would you scam people, if you can innovate? Really as we speak, it's possible to find yet another solution to a real world problem, fix an inefficiency, but still, what people do is lie to each other. You won't be able to fight what comes alone, it's just stupid, you forgot the way. You forgot about what Szabo was talking about. You forgot why Bitcoin was created. While probably it was an attempt to cover medical expenses first and foremost, the creator inevitably, willingly or not, left a fingerprint of his beliefs in his creation. And it's not hard to see that Finney followed Szabo beliefs. They have shown us the way, we must not forget it.

You can restrict yourself from rugging, only if you want to. I have butchered Open Zeppelin upgrade proxy contracts

[github.com/SamPorter1984/RAID/blob/main/contracts/CustomProxy.sol](https://github.com/SamPorter1984/RAID/blob/main/contracts/CustomProxy.sol)

So you can create investors' confidence in your project even if you are anonymous, and contracts are upgradeable. There are simple time limits, it can be set in a way that the contract can't be upgraded after a certain block anymore and forever, or it can't be upgraded until certain block is reached. Also, it can be made so, that the contract can be upgraded only so often. And VCs, these vultures, why would a decentralized project ever need them?

With RAID now, the power Satoshi gave us will not be wasted regardless of cryptocurrency bans. If they trap us on the blockchain – they will only regret it.

## Free open-source software

As we are barely ever aware how much the society needs free software, I would like to expand on free open-source software philosophy as much as I am able. Broken Windows Theory suggests that if there is a window broken in the neighborhood, and for some reason the budget to fix it is lacking, people around become more and more anxious with time. Some teens can start gathering around seeing that the place lacks supervision. Their partying disturbs the neighborhood, making it more subject to anger and poor decision making. After teens come actual criminals. Depression and fear slowly, barely noticeably spread around the broken window, a possibility of a crime occurring increases dramatically, drugs abuse, murders, suicides. It is also called Butterfly Effect.

Anything that makes our lives more comfortable does exactly the opposite. Any new technology which increases quality of life and any great free software indirectly prevent crime. It positively influences the lives of those who can barely afford any nice things. It's almost like free software developers are modern Saints. I'd fight for them. Supporting free software is supporting Good.

While it's not as much related, however torrents allow low-income individuals to relax and forget about the misfortunes of their lives, avoid doing anything really desperate and stupid. Is it bad to prevent crime?

Free software increases innovation pace in software development, advances multi-polar capitalism and therefore increases overall innovation pace. Also, it gives more power in the hands of individual programmer or user. A world full of high quality free software is much harder to obsessively control.

The governments keep software closed source, especially US. Naturally, if Windows OS were to become open source, any government could easily create a copy of it and adapt it for own needs, which would limit US surveillance power. Surveillance agenda is always against open source. Free software could decrease the costs of development dramatically, for example AAA+ video games would certainly cost a lot cheaper to develop, therefore would probably contain less bugs and the developers will focus on more and more innovative game design which will stimulate kids' brains to develop faster. Many industries would be thriving with richer free open source availability. RAID will support free software as much as it will be able.



## Overpopulation

Have to have faith in humanity. The more humans are being born, the higher is the probability of a genius being born. These geniuses could resolve any problems associated with overpopulation. In theory, we can already solve the situation with global warming, the problem is that this probably massive technology could require an incredible amount of resources. Same goes for pollution. It's all solvable, just needs more brain power in circumstances which allow it to function effectively. Innovation does not just take intelligence and creativity, it takes plenty of free time to shape the idea, allow the idea to mature. It takes a high standard of living. If we genuinely bring better quality of life to third-world(not like modern empires do – only to establish authority and dominance), then third-world could contribute to these issues. With this in mind there also comes duty for every single individual in first-world to make as many kids as possible and to educate them as best as possible. While multi-polar capitalism is probably inevitable anyway, we only speed it up. Bring more geniuses to life, we need all of them, we need collective brain power capable of protecting us from any upcoming disasters. Bring the resources, the money and the brains. Fix it. Do everything to increase chances of geniuses being born, as those geniuses will actually fix things, even while some of them could introduce new problems, the sheer amount of brainpower in the world will be enough to mitigate/solve those problems as soon as possible.

## Immortality

Modern humans are potentially immortal and all of us should have the right for cryopreservation before dying. We don't need a spit in the face in a form of elders' care. We can use our retirement money for cryopreservation. We can have, even if weak, real hope of returning youth back. We can stop dying in regret of forever lost years. If only we choose. We will supervise cryopreserved humans' rights to be fulfilled until the very time they will get revived and they will be able to claim everything they have, not wake up to slavery.

Humanity will always remain an existential threat to itself. This is why in the future many absolutely unimaginable technologies will be researched to prevent extinction. Even if better ways of life prolongation would exist, revival of cryopreserved humans is a

technology which will eventually be researched. This technology is another way to increase survivability of our kind, so while, depending on circumstances, it could be forgotten for centuries, it will have its time.

Immortality won't devalue life. For a time being emotional response becomes less intense, but it will all come back inevitably. If everybody will stay healthy for eternity, they would strive for new experience for eternity, and the amount of new experience present in the world increases exponentially with innovation. We already have plenty! Do you have enough years to read every book, watch every movie? Immortal humans' lives won't be an eternal decadence, all immortal humans will inevitably find something very important, will obtain soul, sooner or later. Immortality will actually increase the value of life (at least in the eyes of the individual). Immortal human has genuine hope for the future, immortal human sooner or later loses any reasons to lie. Nobody would go to war, nobody would kill, or at least it will be very rare. Immortal human will be extremely intelligent and incredibly experienced, forever young and healthy he will discover and learn. Immortal human can enjoy existing only in exchange of the ability to quietly think and explore imagination freely. Even if the science and philosophy achieve absolute understanding of every single thing in the universe, immortal humans will still find Meaning, they will build it for each other out of sheer Need. There will be no reason for the government to lie to immortal human as he will be wise and educated. Immortal human won't sin as the main driving force behind sin is probably fear and greed. And immortal human will not fear, will not envy, will not crave. Eternally beautiful woman will be virtuous and truthful.

My personal goal is to bring next-level efficiency to medical science, cryopreservation, and anything related to life extension. Could be a grave mistake, because it's basically interfering with international politics. Could not care less about that. I am supposed to be dead already. I was on the other side, I know what it means to be a moment away from death, what dying people feel, when the immune system fails, when dopamine and serotonin drop. They just stop wanting to live, that's it. That's how we die. Because we don't see a reason to continue. Old people do not seek cryopreservation, because they just give up on life altogether. Slowly with aging it seems to happen to everybody or almost everybody as systems start to fail.

Well, so far time goes, I am still lucky. Maybe the only reason I am still alive is because I have something very Important, something Unfinished, Meaning. I have RAID. While I will attempt to survive and probably will get cryopreserved, in no way I want to wake up to a dystopia. I am simply incapable of closing my eyes on truth, immortality with surveillance will probably turn out to be Hell. This system is a real tragedy. So I came to the terms. If something happens to me – so be it. One thing for certain: I am not going down without a say. And I want anyone who is dying to have a possibility to let the world know. I want to make all dying people an existential threat to tyranny.

## Bottom line

Many things you read in this draft will become just rumors, I am going to deny everything. In fact, I have found this draft somewhere on the torrents, it's not even mine, and I condemn it, I merely use it as a reference point to the design of marketing token, and certainly, this paper has to be rewritten.

RAID doesn't care who you are, what's your age, gender, ethnicity or skin color. It's here to preserve the freedom of your opinion. Hopefully, your opinion does not oppose your government, but if it is: you need to understand that when national agency of your country will find you, they will break your resolve, your willpower, regardless of how fearless you are. In some cases it will just take more time, in few cases it can take years, but eventually you will forget who you are, they will reshape you. Even if your aspiration is noble and inherently good – be prepared.

I hope, I believe that RAID will spark a lot of awareness in humans, a lot of thinking, reevaluating, paradigm shifts, possibly teaching them to think critically, to see the world differently, be closer to reality, become smarter, wiser. Better humanity is actually possible. We can do it. Expose everybody to the very truth, painful truth instead of lies, and witness enlightenment. If we let painful truth to be everywhere, involve everybody, then it is possible. If we only have a few exposed to this truth, while others are still living in lies - it only brings more pain to those few.

Let's fight each other on the internet until total exhaustion. And after exhaustion we will become friends. And after that we will turn against governments' lies. Together. No country will be able to brainwash it's citizens ever again, their lies will become worthless, futile, as it should be. This is war for truth. Without guns and casualties.

With every innovation comes good and bad, and we can't change that. Can we ever? It's probably normal to be afraid. Hopefully, RAID won't be a mistake. I sincerely believe that decentralized monarchy with no central figure like a king could help to solve many issues the world currently faces. Or maybe not solve, but at least take necessary steps towards the solution. We could also expect that RAID technology won't be the only solution to preserve the dignity of humankind, the ability to look at the truth right in the face.

I am not in charge of RAID and won't be able to stop the direction it's taking. I can only hope that RAID won't be a group of politicians, but a group of Philosophers. And as modern situation is such, that mostly conservative views are being censored, I sincerely hope that RAID won't be a group of nationalists who are ready to push the button, but a group of Patriots who would never push the button, because they want their country to thrive, not to be in ruins. Good luck, gentlemen.

## Part 2. Design overview

### Decentralized word-of-mouth marketing service

As of today internet personality bubble reaches absurd levels, if this will continue, a mere mention by an internet celebrity could cost tens of thousands and much more in the future. It is generally believed that buying internet personalities' time or creating an ad-trailer should be expensive and it's worth it, however it appears that a new more effective alternative to this already exists and actively being used. And it appears that it is certainly possible to achieve this in a decentralized manner when the employer and the worker don't need to know anything about each other except the stake, eliminating the need of assembling a marketing team or trying to apply to real-life jobs.

What ad trailers and internet personalities attempt to do is not just to sell, but to start a *discussion* to increase awareness, to pump up the popularity of the product. Word-of-mouth marketing, proof-of-discussion, whether it's praising or sick burning, FUD or optimistic insights, blind cult following or elaborate arguments, - the discussion behind the product is what really promotes the product. Celebrities and ads are just a third-party and it is certainly possible to eliminate this third-party and pay directly for discussion and mentions instead. A mass discussion by nobodies for nobodies.

RAID speculative value is fundamentally required as an auto-moderation measure. TOKEN IS NEEDED. Market peak buyers are more likely to have less stake and less reputation, maintaining the quality of the service, decreasing (or even eliminating, depending on chosen route) any need to moderate the campaign by an employer. This is the token which will provide income to socially inept, traumatized, schizophrenic, mentally challenged and physically disabled people, so that they will never be kicked out of their homes for being unable to adapt to life. Hopefully, someday in the future shitposting job in RAID will reach close to minimum wage levels of salary.

RAID solves blockchain wealth distribution problem by implementing old like the world CeFi feature - active income(salaries). With it, RAID can expect Gini coefficient closer to real world CeFi levels than any other blockchain project. This pushes decentralization security standards on another level.

Employers setup and fund campaigns in Raid Market contract with certain keywords or topics on chosen websites, workers commit to these campaigns by discussing certain posts or anything eligible(matching keyword) for paid discussion. By default, workers are allowed to express genuine opinion on every topic, it can be positive or negative, sincere or a blatant lie, and, depending on the resource, workers by default have the right to completely derail the discussion, talk about weather, discuss investments, etc. Workers will still get paid for unrelated discussion as long as it for example bumps the thread, or adds another comment to discussion making it look more heated and popular. Again, it mostly depends on the resource and a forum could simply ban/remove unrelated posts. As posts need to be witnessed by oracles, a worker has to ensure that his post won't be removed.

Ppp – pay-per-post is a variable which defines how much workers get paid for a post in USD value. As RAID token price increases, the amount of RAID being paid to workers decreases and vice-versa. This creates a certain confidence for a worker in the amount of money he will receive regardless of market volatility. USD index is monthly and is average price of RAID token last month. This creates confidence for the employer that a campaign he ordered this month will give him certain output. As a side strategic side effect, which will be discussed more in Part 3, it can potentially make early adopters marketing gods.

Campaign settings are planned to be flexible, allowing to setup rules for conventional marketing strategies(soulless shilling). Campaigns will also have an option to be set up as non-editable to attract funding from other potentially interested employers. Employers can fire workers, or, can setup noFiring to true, which will create piece of mind for workers. Employers can also set onlyManualApproval to true, so that only approved workers can join.

Allowing conventional marketing strategies is meant to make RAID understandable. I do not believe it is actually required, and it could easily become harmful for RAID, as it's basically censorship, so it might be disabled in the future as quickly as it was implemented. RAID marketing paradigm promotes critical thinking, which is, as I believe, a virtue. If Employer' product is good, he can assume that Worker holds a bag, so he will receive a natural quality output for a low pay. RAID is simply ineffective for scamming people as long as there is no moderation from the employer. Since the product is the most important part of marketing, I genuinely fail to understand why would you need to lie about a good product, why would you need to shill it, you just need to make people aware. Let them choose your product without manipulation, let your product speak for itself, allow people to think for themselves. Of course this paradigm will be perceived as silly by many marketing professionals. We will see what's better: paying to influencers or influencing influencers.

Eventually, on websites which support nicknames workers will eventually be able to talk about anything with anyone anywhere as long as they have keyword in their nicknames.

# General technical principles

## 1. Accuracy is expensive.

- Stone Age math whenever worth it, minimum Solidity store loads and writes.
- Packed structs if more than one value of a struct is altered by a function.
- Store numbers with less decimals where possible and convenient. Restrict accuracy where possible and convenient
- Hardcoded addresses and values whenever possible to, again, reduce store calls.
- Inaccurate Transfer Contract will be introduced later, briefly it's a bulk sender which allows to perform certain actions in a collective queue. The cost of Inaccurate Transfer for the end user can be potentially reduced to 1 store write as trustless version and to 1 emitted event as a trusted/trustless version at the expense of waiting until the queue gets filled and executed.

## 2. Decentralization is the most valuable concept of our times.

- Bitcoin forks are more centralized than Bitcoin. If it will be required then top holders of Litecoin could be found and influenced in a way that allows the government of a nation where top holders reside to acquire a lot of control over Litecoin. BCH and BSV wealth distributions are considerably better than Litecoin, still worse than Bitcoin. A huge dump has the potential to weaken the security of the network, and after that it can be reorged in any way required. Today, cryptocurrency is potentially regulatable and mutable as is. There are ways to change this.

## 3. No mandatory auto-updates. No hidden tracking.

- RAID dares not only to support this standard, but to promote it and make it the only acceptable standard. Auto-updates will be always disabled by default(if possible, Chrome browser does not allow that)

## 4. Free open-source.

- If it wasn't for free open-source, if no free libraries would exist, it could take years for me to develop RAID. And RAID could be a puzzle for something greater. Somebody could eventually use it to create something critically important.

## Base contracts

Ethereum is the blockchain of choice as the most robust censorship resistant chain, and with an assumption in mind that blockchain industry is fundamentally monopolistic in a sense, since the biggest network is always the most secure, which attracts even bigger capital and in turn makes it even more secure. And in case of Ethereum even more censorship resistant. Base contracts will not be owned by the deployer or governance, however some variables in these contracts are changeable within certain hard limits.

First and main contract is “Very slow ERC-20” implementation(VSR ERC-20). The implementation utilizes standard ERC-20 function `_beforeTokenTransfer()` in such a way that prevents development fund and marketing fund and all other funds from dumping on the market. The function checks how many blocks passed from rewards genesis block and allows to claim only a certain amount per every passed block. Basically developers and all other participants of RAID can only claim rewards within constant emission limits and this hard limit can’t be avoided under any circumstance. Even contract addresses declared in `_beforeTokenTransfer()` happen to be upgradeable, no matter what kind of logic will be present in these contracts, even if they happen to have a bug, the bug in no way will be as devastating as it could be without `_beforeTokenTransfer()` guard. This function also creates a hard limit on how many workers can participate in RAID as it’s important in early development stages to setup oracles reliably.

Second base contract is Founders Contract. Founders Contract uses LGE mechanics proposed by CORE token team with certain differences which I believe most suitable for RAID. Founders Contract is a trust minimized LGE. It automatically creates liquidity on first transaction after last LGE block. I can’t transfer Ether from it, nobody can. To ensure critically required in case of RAID decentralization, the LGE will last for 2 months. Liquidity is not locked at all, instead a strong incentive to keep liquidity is introduced. Rewards for Founders and liquidity providers in general depend not on the amount of liquidity tokens they stake, but on the amount of RAID tokens present in their liquidity shares at the time of staking. So, for liquidity providers the incentive to provide liquidity and stake increases with price going down. Founders potentially get the cheapest possible price for RAID token per liquidity share, therefore their rewards will be the highest as long as they keep staking. As soon as they unstake, they lose the advantage. Founders also lose Founder status as soon as they unstake their liquidity from Founders Contract and become a liquidity provider.

Founder status gives Founders ~150% higher rewards than a normal liquidity provider for first 25 years of the project operation. Because of such a long multi-year hold, Founders, as well as liquidity providers, are able to switch addresses if they feel the need to, which

allows them to claim their stake and rewards from a different address. This will introduce a degree of centralization in governance and wealth distribution that is required to counter potential threats discussed in Part 3.

Every Ether deposit is being subtracted by 0,5%, and this 0,5% will be used for audits, bug bounties and development like oracles, servers, RPC, ddos protection(as it starts with centralized oracle and only after moves to completely trustless architecture) and any other expenses required by RAID during LGE.

## Public temporary database

RAID will use fast centralized public blockchains as temporary databases. It will start from Matic Network as it will perfectly suits RAID purposes and will create proper general public image. The database has to be blockchain agnostic because modern sidechains are lacking restrictions in functionality and balanced tokenomics(as they are businesses, not ideas), because of which the fees on a particular network can become unacceptably high for RAID workers. RAID creates a legitimate use-case even for Cardano.

Database contract is a simple event emitter with settings variables for oracles to act upon. Workers will emit events in database contract with the information about their posts. Commit-reveal scheme nearly eliminates front-running as well as allows this system to scale to any number of workers and websites. Emitting an event ideally has to be a lot cheaper than 1 cent. After and if all fast blockchains become more expensive than RAID requires, there are at least 2 solutions to resolve it:

1. Add oracles between workers and blockchains. This solution could also allow to use slow chains like Ethereum Classic.
2. Create super lightweight restricted to certain functionality second layer only for oracles to act upon. Could use Autistic roll-ups(an even lighter version of OVM, specifically dedicated to support oracles with events being wiped regularly).

Or both. Ideally, RAID will have to give a worker a choice: broadcast through an oracle aggregator, or broadcast on his own, in case of censorship or because of any other reason. RAID dependence on centralized chains is around 0, emitted events have to exist for a month maximum, and probably it could be made so, that it would only need a week. Using public blockchains as databases is not just about transparency. It's the only way to properly



scale it as a decentralized project, as a censorship-resistant source of truth. The contracts will be deployed per certain amount of workers registered in the network so that it will be able to scale effortlessly. The number will be defined with usage statistics.

RAID will require custom encoding for probably many languages, as UTF-8 is inefficient by blockchain standards. Every community dedicated to specific language can submit an efficient encoding scheme if they feel like it's needed.

## Raid Wallet

RAID wallet is a browser extension. As of yet, no ability to connect to any website, no ability to transact either, it's a brick. Extension was supposed to be a Metamask fork from the start, this is how the name "RAID" was born with a logo of one-eyed sage wolf, and if Metamask attacks a logo, we can make it 2D and more detailed, like add Caduceus on the background. It's supposed to be a sanity check to DeFi cuteness, it has to be so manly, to point when becomes gay. However, Metamask has committed heresy against free software license. Irony is such, that as RAID wallet does not connect to any website the probability of it being fished significantly reduces. It's very insecure nonetheless as of yet.

The extension fetches specified form data, stores the post and sends a hash of current post and previous post to the blockchain. It can operate on nearly every website on the internet, any social network or html-js chat, from Twitter to Twitch. Functionality of this extension is restricted during beta-test to imageboards and Twitter by centralized oracle. It will probably be restricted for a lot longer than that, and different relevant websites support will be added gradually over time. As well as other functionality which a modern crypto wallet usually lacks, like limit orders and Inaccurate Transfer contract interface.

During beta-test workers will be able to specify any secure address which will receive beta-test salary, the extension is not supposed to hold any funds as of yet. Beta-test salary is possibly the highest salary in RAID value, 1 token per post. After extension will be secure enough, the workers will lock a certain amount of tokens in worker wallet to be able to work. Different jobs could require different lock amounts. Workers can also participate in governance with their locked amounts. The websites can restrict functionality of this extension, but this is solvable by moving away from extension to RAID browser. Could be a fork of Chromium or Firefox.

## Tokenomics and strategic funds

Token utility and multi-year token locks require high inflation in order to support decentralization progress.

Starting supply: 1 billion.

Total supply: 1 trillion.

Emission: maximum emission is approximately 1,9 billion each year with 1,7 billion in first 5 years. Can be adjusted to maximum inflation of ~2,2 billion each year or decreased to minimum ~1 billion per year. First year includes testnet rewards, 1 token per post. Note: while some funds' tokens are being unlocked on fixed schedule, it does not mean that all unlocked tokens are being claimed, so it's better perceive these numbers as maximum possible inflation, not actual inflation. The reason behind these numbers is mainly an attempt to make 1 token always affordable to the residents of poorest countries, assuming that Pareto distribution in cryptocurrency is extreme, as the main income behind is passive. RAID is designed to be retarded, so it's important for numbers to be comprehensible to not only economists.

The funds are a set of upgradeable contracts until finalized. After finalized the ownership will be renounced. A deadline of 2 years for finalization is hardcoded in upgradeable proxy, if the contracts won't be finalized at that point in time, they will be set in stone as is. In case of me feeling like I don't have time left, I will transfer ownership to another developer, or developer + governance multisig, or to governance (if the governance contract will be ready at that moment). Ideally the code should be set in stone as fast as possible, in first months and keys must be burned as soon as possible, so it will less likely end like Bitcoin – tamed. This amount of funds exist specifically as a mention to the purpose of these funds, as a memo on why they exist. There are 7 funds:

1. Marketing fund. 500 billion tokens are locked in this fund with the highest emission of all funds. Approximately 1 billion default emission per year.

Marketing fund will be the backbone of RAID for around 5 centuries. All it is for is to support default campaigns, to promote RAID or whichever name it will have. Workers will receive salary for default campaigns from this fund. `_beforeTokenTransfer()` fixed emission ensures that too many workers will be unable to consistently claim salaries, while the price of RAID is too low in first years from inception. It does not mean however, that a worker who was unable to claim loses his salary, he will be able to claim *eventually*. This will allow to properly setup and scale oracle network to prepare the network for potentially millions

of workers. This could also create an incentive to FUD RAID in case when there will be too many workers, which will result in mostly smart workers getting on board(while Founders would rather shill RAID after Founding Event is over). Default jobs will have least requirement for tokensLocked, and probably least pays. At the start there will be only 1(one) job – RAID job.

2. Liquidity providers and oracles fund. 49 billion tokens. Approximately 100 million default emission per year. It might never require emission that high, just in case. This fund will support regular liquidity providers with less rewards than Founders. This fund will also reward massive oracle network required by RAID.

3. Treasury(salaries, meme fund, movies). 99 billion tokens. Approximately 100 million default emission per year. A fund for salaries of elected community managers, moderators, technical support. This fund is merged with Meme and Art fund, which was considered at early stages of development, so it will support monthly meme contest winners, and also could allow governance to publish at least cheap literature and sponsor indie film makers.

To prevent too much spam in meme contest while at the same time not causing stagnation, only addresses with at least 1 RAID token will be able to submit memes to the community as NFT, and the governance decides on how good are the memes, top third of memes receiving the most votes in a month are eligible for reward. Winning memes are being resubmitted for the next month, therefore best memes can receive rewards for several months.

4. Independent medical research fund. 99 billion tokens. Approximately 100 million default emission per year. Unlocks after 5 years of RAID operation, since it's not just about fundamentally pure incapable of any malicious activity governance, it is more about developing a careful plan of how exactly the fund money have to be spent. This is very personal, this is basically my final statement, this is why I am doing all this.

My personal proposal is to follow the same paradigm as medical science does – eliminate causes of death no.1. As most popular issues get resolved, scientists and physicians will be able to pay a lot more attention to less popular, basically everybody win. First, we can solve smoking. We could fund cigarettes with 0 nicotine, containing nicotine substitute, ensuring that even chain smokers will quit. Nicotine substitute has already proven itself as the most effective cure against nicotine dependence, all the industry now requires is not tablets but cigarettes containing it. It could be called RAID. RAID could collect a small(actually small not

like governments do) free from revenue. I am not sure however how legal settlement with decentralized entities works or is there even a law on that.

We could also attempt to eliminate depression, as it could potentially be the actual killer no.1, can't really prove that, only speculate. But I believe it's easier to at least somehow tackle depression issue by introducing logic into every school, rather than focusing on treatments. And of course, which could be even better, this fund could probably be spent exclusively on life extension research, cryopreservation and such.

This fund is supposed to support medical research in countries where it will be cheap. It can be at least 2x more effective than super-empires spending. We could start in Greece, as Greece is a powerful meme in first world and the country can quickly get some informational dominance.

5. Free open-source fund. 49 billion tokens. Approximately 100 million default emission per year. This fund will support any established free open-source developers that oracles will be able to fetch from the internet and propose for governance to decide upon. First, as the governance model is not ready, it starts with a centralized list, which includes developers of any libraries and software being used in the creation of RAID. As a great side effect this fund could potentially attract best developers to RAID.

I sincerely hope that free open source crowdfunding will become a standard in cryptocurrency space, as it seems any other attempt, like asking for donations, consistently fails. One day, we will make these heroes rich.

Another purpose of this fund is to also support non-profit social networks like Mastodon and imageboards. Imageboards need next level popularity, next level mainstream adoption, so that people will ask more and more about what is it about being anonymous? Why is it important? So that they will more likely to value privacy, lean towards spyware-free programs and OS. By promoting free software and privacy, we will make hardware developers add label to their product: "we support privacy and free software". Or else they will be going down in flames, we will promote their rivals who will not be tyrannical.

6. Charity fund. 99 billion tokens. Approximately 100 million default emission per year. Unlocks after 5 years of RAID operation. It is important to be somewhat understandable, so while we are basically a charity providing jobs and money to the poor, we need a dedicated fund. It will mainly sponsor charities of countries participating in RAID in an attempt to reduce crime. It will also allow investors to argue with tax officials. Or what it could do is to

buy cheap smartphones for the poor, so they will be able to work in RAID. That would however require an absolutely unthinkable amount of money. Something can be done.

7. Developers fund. 99 billion tokens. Approximately 100 million default emission per year. It could be merged in one with free open-source fund, however it's important to put an emphasis on that a part of the fund is specifically dedicated to support existing established free open-source, while this one is to develop new free open-source, hence these funds are split in two. Obviously, a little part of this fund will support RAID network developers, which includes everything for decentralized word-of-mouth marketing service to operate smoothly.

I will have fixed 30 mil of RAID tokens from this fund, which is no way anything significant in case if something happens to me, as starting supply is 1 billion. A developer who will help me from the start will have 5-10 mil, or as much as community sees reasonable.

All other developers will not have a specific fixed allocation but a salary instead based on the monthly index of RAID to USD. Their salary can vary from 50k to 500k USD per year, depending on the amount of work and responsibility, and while first some developers will be hired in centralized way, after the governance goes live, the governance can fire any developers they don't like and hire new ones on defined by governance terms.

When it comes to programming, I am not a believer in big teams. I believe that many will agree that small but dedicated teams can easily turn out to be more efficient. I propose the strategy for this fund to be focused on developing free open-source alternatives of any closed source paid software. So it will be a lot, really a lot of small teams up to 5 people maximum maybe. They will create an alternative to Photoshop, Sony Vegas, Google search engine, car driving AI, etc. Including Windows, GPU drivers, any sort of software, including even game engines, we can develop free open source games with all assets being completely free to reuse, which will decrease the cost of game development. RAID software needs special license so that the developers will be unable to move away from free license, a license that can't be changed. It's either the owner of the software is RAID as decentralized entity, or it's a license that can't be changed as defined in the license, but there could be holes in the law.

If Linux Mint becomes compromised, and it is highly likely as soon as it gets really popular, we can build on top of their legacy and call it Linux Tall Hat, I guess.

There are plenty of things not only to bring open-source but also to develop. To make sure that our software does not make tracking RAID investors easier, we have to create all our software so that it smoothly operates on any OS. The fund will also pay (hopefully the most generous) bug bounty and for audits.

## Anonymous trustless oracle network

If we can't know anything about an anonymous wallet except his balance, that could be the only way to define who is more likely to be a unique human. To increase the probability of that the several oracles are definitely not one person, we need to allow anonymous oracles only with considerably high balance, we can even start from whales, top 100 addresses, and if nobody joins, decrease the requirements to top 200 addresses, etc. Ideally richest RAID addresses, so that they have the least incentive to lie as an oracle and to ruin the reputation of the network in the eyes of the workers. RAID however, can know another variable about an anonymous oracle – language. Language communities can elect oracles, and the probability of them being one person decreases even more.

Concentrated wealth however is a point of failure, creates centralization, so we will absolutely have to use oracles with smaller balances, but that will naturally require KYC and reputation.

With Chainlink verifiable random numbers it is possible to allow anonymous and pseudo-anonymous oracles to deliver true results. Oracles shouldn't know what role they are performing in a given iteration of publishing results. There have to be two roles: witnesses and supervisors. Supervisors' results are considered to be true, and witnesses results have to match it. If supervisors' results don't match, majority of identical results of supervisors and witnesses are considered true, and minority results are punished, if there is no clear majority, another attempt of choosing supervisors occurs, until supervisors results match, while published results stay, no republishing occurs during that.

There will be more than one database contract and oracles for each of them, oracles cannot include any addresses not registered in their given database as eligible for payout, so oracles can at best censor some addresses collectively, or increase existing addresses rewards. A sanity check exists in payout contract of how much a human poster can realistically post, so even a collective successful lie won't be devastating to the system.

Results have to be published monthly or weekly. After results are published, they stay for a while for independent reviewers to observe(they could use observer software that will be available for the purpose), if something is wrong, the governance can punish an oracle but only in predetermined lower-upper limits depending of how much lies occurred, only then workers will be able to claim their salaries.

It requires success of lying to be close to 0. Chosen supervisors have to be a small uneven amount of all oracles. This system is a matter of numbers and tweaks. It could potentially be very expensive to maintain such a network, but personally I cannot see a better way yet. At some point oracles job will become even more expensive when it will require the oracle to

imitate human browsing for some resources, especially if they will restrict simple efficient fetching on purpose. This network in theory could also serve as a reliable link between blockchains for small value transactions, as an alternative to Polkadot, the question is, if it will be cheaper at all or not, probably not.

Where it is required, fetched by oracles data has to be first voted on by active workers without taking into account their stake(that's why we need to increase the probability of workers being human), we could also use KYC but only for small balances without sense of humor. And only then approved by workers options will be given to the governance of bigger balances which can stay anonymous. For example, oracles could just fake the data about nonexistent medical facility which has a lot of wallets, enough for all oracles, so active workers will probably reject that. Receiving grants address should not be a contract, so it will be harder transparently cooperate for successful lie. This is also the most important reason to get on our own chain with restricted functionality, so we can protect strategic funds and the purity of the governance.

A challenge is to define what inaccuracy is acceptable to not be punished in the nature of particular job. An honest oracle can easily miss, say, 3 posts out of 10k posts, maybe more, depending on the resource.

RAID oracle network does not require transferring value, but with this architecture there could be safe thresholds for transferring value. Even if it could probably be more efficient to do through Polkadot network, as an alternative users could be buying Matic native token by sending Ether to a contract on Ethereum network. This oracle network can also react to news and create trustless government proposals.

Adding oracles between workers and the blockchain is also an option and there are numerous ways of implementing that, we could either use the same massive trustless system, or we could make it so that a worker connects to several oracles, and if these oracles censor this worker, then he moves to other oracles, essentially censoring oracles lose money.

## Fundamentally pure governance

Malicious governance is common. The way is to simply disallow being malicious as much as possible. In case with RAID it's not just potential riches of strategic funds, it is also about

being compromised by a government' intelligence. First problem is updating contracts maliciously. It seems impossible to me to implement any restrictions on upgrades that the governance can approve. The DAO can override nearly any hardcoded limits. Checking a part of bytecode of next logic implementation in assembly is futile, any variables can be reassigned and overridden.

As far as I went, there is no way to implement any limits in proxy contract except block limit on how often/when the contract can be upgraded and for how long. Could be useful to create investors' confidence for centralized projects with anonymous developers, but not for full DAO, which could potentially be compromised and completely ruin the idea behind the project. So what required is to ensure that code of all contracts will be eventually set in stone with no ability to change the governance contract anymore. As soon as possible.

Second problem is about managing strategic funds and is a lot harder to solve. This functionality requires absolutely next level DAO' purity of intentions. What I propose to resolve it is to only allow certain options for governance to decide upon fetched by trustless oracle network. For example, to sponsor open-source project and to ensure that it is not a scam to get money from RAID governance, oracles fetch only established projects with certain minimum measurable limits like time since inception, popularity and such. Same could go for medical research, oracles can propose only existing established companies or doctors with verifiable profiles by social networks or anyhow transparent and convenient. Supporting charities, movies and literature can be possible by fetching information from right resources with right filtering.

So the governance can choose options that oracles propose. And in this particular case all oracles will be capable of reaching 100% accuracy matches in results. At least with proxies they probably will be, or we will have to exclude certain websites. Any grant is not being transferred in one big transaction. Claiming of the grant starts from 0, not truly final, and the DAO can shut it down, if something is wrong. With this governance model it's also possible for the DAO to create verifiable tasks in many fields, essentially it allows to create a true government above governments.

Creating a balanced voting system is a challenge. In the early stages of RAID development, a multi-year token lock was the backbone of voting system, and voters didn't have any passive income. Passive income for voters is what creates imbalance, a fair amount of voters just do not vote, they only need passive income, and therefore nobody can ever be certain of how will given voting participation turn out.

So the idea was about investors locking tokens for at the very least 3 years if not 5 for voting as a means of protecting a bigger investment, passive income comes only from the price increase with right decisions taken. And, last half of year of token lock voting is forbidden for a voter, unless he prolongs the lock. While this model seems great to me, it



means that Founders won't have a certain degree of power required to protect RAID from specific issues discussed in Part 3. It was planned that LGE participants and liquidity providers definitely should not be voting because of the very reason that they have passive income. But that can easily make RAID lose its course. So I believe currently, that voting for Founders and liquidity providers should be accessible with their staked liquidity, however only after a lock, if they choose to lock it, or to elect themselves – they can use it as a voting power, if they choose to just have passive income, they don't need to lock it. A lock should be a sort of sacrifice I believe, it has to be as long as possible, so nobody will lock and disrupt voting balance just because he maybe could be voting. The functionality requires only dedicated voters.

With this model we can have a high percentage for minimum quorum. We can have 40-60% instead of 4-10% for a proposal to be executed. The governance model still remains the biggest challenge of RAID architecture and probably requires way more brain power than I will ever have. We need to discuss it and decide upon the best possible model.

A check if contract should be present, so nobody will be able to easily and transparently trade votes OTC. But in case if with Ethereum 2.0 or any upgrade, check if contract becomes useless, then safety measures are in place, without oracles governance can't choose much. However, that might not be enough, and maybe migrating to RAID chain is the only way to ensure security. ERC-20 allowances can be used to trade locked voting power transparently, so RAID token contract will have an additional check on to which contracts allowance is possible to grant, like DEX contracts. However, if we allow voting with Uniswap LP tokens, then we can't impose any allowance restrictions since the token contract is being deployed through Uniswap factory contract, so what I propose is to maybe gradually decrease voting power of LP tokens over years to around 50% of original voting power, with eventually migrating all voting on our own second layer, which won't have any LP tokens probably. Important to mention that the system does not really critically break if there will be ways to trade votes transparently and even trustlessly outside of Ethereum.

After analyzing current Ethereum incentive collisions between investors and miners, I believe that voting on most critical changes has to be split in stages: first stage is when the smallest balances of active human workers are voting, and if the smallest balances approve a proposal, then it proceeds for voting of biggest balances. For some proposals there could be even more than 2 stages, but basically it will be a lot harder to compromise such a system, if governments can't directly *influence* key party, there should not be any key party like miners with static geographic location unable to be anonymous at all.

## Part 3. Strategy considerations and closing thoughts

### Potential threats

This is as much as I could remember of what I thought of during the development. I probably miss variables, additions are very welcome.

1. Depending on your country of residence you could potentially be stripped of your RAID holdings by not so much democratic government. Fair distribution decreases chances of this occurring, but not eliminates completely. I definitely would not recommend being publicly known as a Founder, because if any powerful agenda will want to destroy RAID' public image, you could be threatened by victims of brainwashing. And, if you still decide to go public, I hope you know what you are doing.

2. Spammers. They are ready to run bots to click likes on social networks, which costs a lot less than 1 cent. As only unique messages count, they could just submit random hashes and receive salary. During testnet shitshow and LGE, it could be tolerated as little as possible, but after that we will have to decide upon how decentralized moderation could work. Chinese spammers could be one of the biggest threats, China has too much power already.

3. Possibly the biggest threat for RAID integrity is centralized text-generation AI. This AI could accumulate RAID and influence governance decision making. For that very reason certain restrictions described in Part 2 are implemented, and for the same reason LGE participants became Founders and are able to vote with their liquidity. This very reason forces RAID to make it so that Workers' default campaigns' salary limits will have to fluctuate depending on the price of the Token and amount of active participants. So the governance won't be able to freely define workers' salary, only within certain sanity limits.

Because of this threat decentralized moderation is most definitely required. Sense of humor is the only way to prove that you are human. It's the last frontier of humanness in AI' world. Moderators of different language communities have to be not only Patriots, but also

Comedians. Good sense of humor could be promoted and given a higher pay (but the difference should not be too high, so it won't discourage anybody). Promoted workers can probably help with moderation a bit. There are probably other ways to recognize genuine humanness at least as of today's AI development. Creativity, emotions. Moderators can potentially be unfair so it's required that they will be reelected frequently, probably once a year, and have a high enough pay to care. To participate in elections of moderators, community managers and tech supports, defining language is required and it will be set in stone. To vote for or against them, it's also important to define the language, so voters have to not only lock their voting power, but also they have to set their language. How can we be sure that a language community we don't understand at all actually does the job correctly?

Independent review works here as well, we can simply test that community. First we try to spam in our languages and get banned eventually, then we go to other languages community and try to spam random text after translator or just fetched posts from all over the internet, maybe from previous threads, and see if we get banned. If we don't get banned – we just add more bots and get rich. Either way, lazy moderators' job will be revealed regardless of language. All moderators' job will be public and shown on the website with history of addresses they have banned, so that independent reviewers could point out to censorship. No moderator should be able to ban anybody single-handedly but instead a group of moderators vote, and only most voted addresses will be banned. We can add a second layer of moderation to this to make it nearly trustless: most voted addresses by moderators cannot receive any pay for posting until DAO decision. The DAO can take from locked stake as much as the bot posted.

While moderators, community managers and tech supports decide between each other how and what and who will do, the governance will be able to fire lazy or unfair elected officials prematurely. The problem could be that the token price probably won't reach 1 USD anytime soon, and the mod team is required to be big for every language, probably at the least 10 people if not even 20, there will be a lot of reading daily. First year officials could be elected in semi-centralized way mostly by me, as I will need it quickly, and then commit to testing and development. Telegram polls could be also used. Officials can define how they will run the community, they decide if they need to run a blog, a Twitter account, or whatever else. For second year elections governance will most probably be ready, so that the community decides upon if they want to reelect former officials or to introduce new ones. This voting can probably occur on a second layer like xDai like meme contests and such, however the most critical voting has to be recorded on Ethereum chain.

4. A small problem is that a thread creator can simply delete the thread before the oracle witnesses it, making everybody working for free. That can be tackled by introducing a

metric of how many eligible threads from this address were never witnessed. But at first, definitely during testnet and maybe longer, trusted threads coordination in telegram is required. A bit bigger problem is that the employer is a moderator on a given resource and he can delete threads so that he makes everybody working for free. This can be tackled by employer reputation metric, however the problem here is that it could require proof-of-stake from employers, and that is certainly not a great looking service. An employer is essentially a client, and he has to have the ability to just pay for the service without any stakes. Anyway, workers will probably be able to inform each other on suspicious campaigns in a way which won't introduce indirect censorship to the platform.

5. Cybergulag threat. It's not just about China, Facebook for example limits access to information for unregistered users, there is a good reason behind this: to protect users' security. What I propose is to educate them instead. This is the reason behind supporting various social networks and forums like imageboards. As average salary of workers raises RAID workers will be more and more willing to migrate to different websites from for example Twitter.

6. Websites could attempt to ban/shadowban keywords of RAID default campaigns. It can definitely stagger RAID adoption in it's inception, that is why the name can be changed, so that campaign can be changed or another one added. However in later stages, when the salaries for workers will get higher, whoever bans RAID' default campaign – loses audience.

7. Search engine bans. Definitely a threat, could require building our own free open source most popular search engine in the world, we could also promote counterparts.

8. OS malicious updates targeted at RAID software. Again, we have to develop our own OS anyway and make it the most popular.

9. RAID forks sponsored by the governments. I believe it can only stagger RAID adoption, certainly a threat, but the fundamental value of truth is always higher than lies. Hopefully. And if that is not enough, then decentralization is the most expensive concept of our times anyway.

10. Investment capital concentration under one jurisdiction. It's an attempt to build a government above all governments, not one government. This paper has to be translated to many languages and the very testnet will start with many languages. The distribution has to spread at least across most popular languages and most powerful countries, G20 + all countries which have nuclear weapons, +top20 countries by sheer GDP, as it will most likely ensure that there will be no jurisdiction being able to unilaterally influence it. It requires to be the ultimate source of truth, the Holy Grail. Nobody will obtain too much of it, and in order for any ideas to have more power, people will have to attract people with similar views to RAID.

11. Ethereum somehow gets compromised, by some sophisticated tactics. Another problem with Ethereum could be that possibly nothing will help Ethereum fees, as the biggest network is almost the most secure and therefore used more and more, and fees will always moon regardless of optimizations, the lesser are the fees the more trading algorithms become profitable. We can roll out Ethereum fork with restricted functionality so no trading will occur on our chain and we can allow workers to run nodes with virtual stake which can eventually be filled to make the chain decentralized in no time. Virtual Stake nodes after accumulating at least some balance could help validate plenty of 0 value transactions potentially. We can probably use a slight modification EIP-1559. We probably should move to our own chain anyway because of lower fees.

12. Bitcoin is compromised, US and China can pretty much choose the course of blockchain development. No centralized entity on Earth should ever hold so much power. This is just inhuman and will 100% lead to more and more tyranny. If Bitcoin goes down everything goes down in flames. RAID can't do anything here, we can only accept WBTC and ETH or any other tokens/currencies like LINK as a means of campaign funding, but it will do very little in terms of dissolving wealth concentration.

13. Forks. I expect every new fork governance to be more and more centralized. With every new fork appearing the probability of success for every each fork including older forks shrinks, and the probability of success of the original usually increases. Fork communities become more and more fragmented and incapable of achieving any meaningful value eventually. The main threat of RAID forks can actually be serious, because semi-legit forks can potentially do something really stupid, create campaigns which will attract too much attention too early to the technology. I think it's unavoidable anyway, so RAID is not hiding. Workers however, can help here, as they have an incentive to create fork bubble. Since

eligible for payout threads must contain a keyword in the op-post, then there will be probably nothing wrong with threads containing two keywords from different projects and workers being able to receive salary from both projects. It could be like a thread "RAID or RA1D? What do you guys think?", therefore every RAID fork will actually pay for RAID shilling, and what RAID will do is drain fork' funds and pool. The workers of RA1D will join RAID too, to get a higher salary for posting for both. Basically, whatever fork does even if the fork is legit or semi-legit, this fork mostly helps RAID. Also, since RAID will use centralized closed source oracles at launch(until a bit of time passes) it will be a little bit too much of a hustle to legitimately fork it. If I make code for the oracle open, people won't be able to verify that centralized oracle runs exactly that code anyway, so I will publish it later or as soon as working alternative for RAID appears. I expect a higher percentage of the forks being scams than usual. If a fair amount of more or less legit forks will fail to emerge even after oracles code being published, RAID could create official forks just to drown forks in each other' names and also pay higher salary to workers. Ideally it has to be absolutely ridiculous, like 10-20 keywords schizophasia in one op-post which will be impossible to ignore as how dumb it looks, and everybody are getting 10x-20x money from that.

14. A government buys majority share. Limits for workers' salaries will eventually be adjusted automatically, so increasing price can result in more popularity of RAID. It can't be really stopped like this, only slightly compromised.

15. Too much utility for these tokenomics can result in centralization. The tokenomics attempt to be balanced, but it could fail. Some employers can create honey pot campaigns for most gullible workers to lock a lot of tokens. I could not solve this issue in a convenient transparent way so that it won't create a situation when the price stays too low for too long which could also result in the same centralization. If price being too low for too long will be the case we can add even more utility to RAID token, instead of adding secondary projects which could increase the probability of RAID success.

16. The governments double down on AI research if RAID becomes a competition. This will speed up progress and can put the world under iron rule of any agenda this AI will follow. However RAID can probably keep up, as we have soul.

17. Sophisticated AI censorship blocking RAID completely on some websites. They can hide the truth, but the truth can always be hinted in such a powerful way, that censorship can

become useless in society engineering. RAID can talk of what's allowed only, but show how absurd and soulless it looks. A good example was the promotion of Desmond\_is\_Amazing, people mostly genuinely rightfully hated that. Another good example is Kojima's ways or any other Great Schizo.

18. Intelligence which wants to destroy RAID image joins RAID and plays literal fascists. I believe there are ways to discredit them. In a case like this we can actually add rainbow everywhere, but more importantly we will state who we are really, and what decentralization is about.

## Course of action at the start

Right on the testnet RAID invades all existing anonymous imageboards with RAID general threads. On Twitter it could be beneficial to ask Szabo some stupid annoying questions about RAID, to ask Vitalik why does he hate RAID, and to ask CZ when Binance. The jobs will be open forever until official mention. We have to extract the maximum out of Twitter for as long as possible until we get noticed and probably recognized as a threat. At the end of the testing before the payout is finalized, everything will probably have to be reviewed, to exclude blatant spam, so that the threads will probably be more or less readable.

Instead of having our own forum, we can use Bitcointalk.org thread for technical support and discussion on how RAID should work, proposals. The job has to be open until RAID thread becomes the most posted and most viewed thread. But we will probably eventually need our own forum without a paid posting, so there will be less but higher quality posts.

I believe we should invade all forums related to cryptocurrency and push RAID threads to the top of the searches. Founders can develop more detailed strategy, what I will need is to test oracles and ensure everything is ok. Ideally RAID has to invade all high IQ websites on the internet related to as many languages as possible.

Even though RAID is not supposed to be a currency in traditional sense, wasn't supposed to be used to buy goods, apparently for the very reason of security, dissolving extreme wealth concentration, RAID will support stores which accept RAID tokens as a means of payment. Therefore all the stores using RAID will be linked on the RAID website and could also be supported in other ways by RAID. I don't think RAID will have any official merch, at least not

from my initiative, but it all depends on the DAO, everything that DAO approves is pretty much official. Could be anything, goods, merch, digital goods, services - whatever can be paid for by RAID tokens will be supported, promoted, hopefully enabling, kickstarting businesses. As RAID reputation could easily become questionable, special incentives and support could also occur. If a store uses a creative work of one of RAID meme engineers or artists, it has to send fees to the address of that artist in order for promotion.

I believe it's important for every language to have the same pay-per-post. First of all it will decrease the probability of bad grammar flood, and second which could be far more important is that poorer countries will have a higher incentive to work on the platform essentially creating a relatively fair distribution, potentially making RAID nearly invulnerable to any political disasters and impossible to control by one party.

## Another chance might never come

I will live my life in fear now, not for my life, but in fear of that RAID can fail. It will probably be a failure on release, a weapon in the hands of the few, instead of impossible to ignore source of the ultimate truth. RAID - something dangerous, and you can't take your eyes off easily, something you HAVE to examine. It's not supposed to be a weapon in the hands of the few, it is supposed to be a source of enlightenment. Truth hurts, truth is dangerous, there is nothing RAID can do about that, it can only raise overall awareness, consciousness of human kind, make it evolve, make it responsible. While I believe that RAID is inherently Good, I am very sorry about what could potentially happen.

RAID by itself has the potential to speed up text generation and chat AI development, which could eventually make human posters without sense of humor obsolete, and ppp for AI posting drop significantly. Sense of humor could be the best asset in AI world, will probably be exceptionally valuable. We will split the internet in two: one part will require digital identity fingerprint and another part will be free internet. We also will need to develop digital identity for free internet to somehow preserve anonymity at least partly, it can depend on stake and sense of humor statistics. We absolutely have to ensure that free internet' fundamental value is perceived as more valuable by general audience than surveillance nightmare at all costs. And anyway, even in the world digital identity, free text generation AI will allow people to vote 24/7 for what they believe.



RAID potentially capable of most effective active measures(if campaign moderation will be allowed). It's not bad, that RAID can be used for active measures, because this is how it will reveal the truth to the world. Dirty political games will be there for everybody to see. So I would rather invite governments to use RAID, instead of being wary of them using it. Then again however, a campaign could be launched to play a victim and to destroy another' party reputation. So RAID helps to understand what is going on by hints, not facts. Still good. RAID will show to everybody that active measures exist and it could be one of the most powerful, most dangerous weapons, and that the wealthiest empires use it the most.

RAID is the Philosophers' Legacy. It is potentially capable of reshaping/freezing some economies if the governance will decide to do so. It really depends on the amount of people having a computer, so I am not sure if we can do anything in Africa and Middle East, if only RAID could help them with smartphones, then 1 cent per post could already redefine African economies, so that for example Nigeria and Egypt economies will welcome immigrants from other countries even more now, and the internet will develop eyes there, so those countries won't be destabilized as easily, decreasing overall panic immigration and ensuring decentralization of RAID. At 2-3 cents per post it already has the potential to redefine poorest European economies, so that the governments of those countries will be following RAID paradigm, citizens will stop immigrating as they won't need to, again, ensuring decentralization of RAID. Ruined by economic warfare economies will find jobs in RAID and inevitably will make RAID more and more powerful. Maybe in the future RAID will be also capable of countering biological warfare. But maybe this is all too good to be true and I am just being manic, what we can be sure about is that if economic warfare is one of the key modern strategies of influence, then blockchain will probably be one of the key technologies in modern warfare as long as the internet borders stay open. RAID absolutely has to be decentralized. As much as I am a patriot, I need to witness the glory of absolute truth more, I crave for resolution, I need decentralization. Is it worth it to have faith in humanity? Or are all we good for is killing each other?

*This word salad has to be translated to as many languages as possible. To increase the probability of that, translators will eventually be rewarded by given language community, community will evaluate they quality of translation, so that won't scamming with google translate occurs. Translator probably has to inform Telegram group, so that nobody will claim his work' rewards. If Telegram group still does not exist at the moment of you reading this schizopaper, then you can reach out on Twitter:*

*<https://twitter.com/SamPorter1984>*

*If safety of your language' community suffers from this text, you can censor me. I am not going check the text, your language' community will, so you can write from scratch. Personally, I need to test oracles and write a lot of code.*

*RAID is hiring community managers, mods and tech supports for all top 20 popular languages, + on top of that all languages that G20 speaks, + on top of that all languages that countries which have nuclear weapons speak, + all languages that countries which are in the top 20 of by sheer GDP. Note: the community is for language, not country. If we fail to do that we need at the least top 10 most popular languages during Founders Event, we don't have much time.*

*Before crypto I was running spam bots for a living. I was never a professional programmer, and was never following standards, I was just a problem solver. If some or many programmers dislike my code structure – it's certainly understandable. For example I love to see a lot of code on the screen, so whenever an if statement or a function can fit into one line, I pack it up in one line. And probably some sub-optimal architecture decisions also still possible, but that will improve. What's important for me is to make it work, not to follow standards, but I will try, I guess. I mean, I really would love to be like those boomers who thrived on hacker culture and speak binary, but it is what it is.*

*Actual New World Order has to be decentralized, anonymous and completely fucking retarded. Schwab will own nothing and he will be happy.*