Rubric Component	Possible Levels	Score	Additional Comments
Choice of designs	(9) The proposed designs are well-motivated by milestone 1's review, appropriate to the project goals, and reflect attention to detail., (7) designs are appropriate but are limited in scope and relationship with milestone 1, (5) designs are repetitive and ignore salient aspects of the data, (3) several designs are not appropriate to the study.	9	The olympics_sports_ages prototype is especially nicely designed. The theme helps, but also the immediately intuitive interface.
Code logic and style	(8) Code is modular, easy to read, and well-documented, (6) Code is generally well-written, but some elements are unnecessarily complex, (4) Code is often difficult to follow though attempts at modularization are evident, (2) Code may be functional but is generally difficult to read and largely lacking in modularity.	7.5	The documentation is very comprehensive, but it also seems like some code has been included that is no longer needed. You may want to create a "scratchwork" type of folder, so that the parts needed to reproduce the app are clearly separated from the rest.
Critical design evaluation	(8) The discussion of the implemented designs is sophisticated, including both concrete details and higher-level commentary, (6) the discussion of implemented designs is generally good, but could benefit from further details or commentary, (4) the discussion of implemented designs is relatively shallow, drawing ideas from few relevant sources, (2) the discussion of implemented designs is largely missing.	8	The paragraphs describing the trade-offs between the different prototypes are written well.

Overall, I'm quite impressed by the variety of designs and depth of the effort demonstrated in this project. |
| | | 24.5 | |